COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

D. VAN DULST

A certain subspace of characteristic zero of (l^1)

Compositio Mathematica, tome 28, n° 2 (1974), p. 195-201

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__28_2_195_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 28, Fasc. 2, 1974, pag. 195–201
Noordhoff International Publishing
Printed in the Netherlands

A CERTAIN SUBSPACE OF CHARACTERISTIC ZERO OF $(l^1)^*$

D. van Dulst

Abstract

We construct an example of a subspace [1] V of the conjugate $E^* = l^\infty$ of $E = l^1$ with characteristic $r(V) = 0$ and satisfying the following two conditions:
(K_1) if $x_n \to x_0$ for $\sigma(E, V)$, then $\lim \|x_n\| \geqq \|x_0\|$,
(K_2) If $x_n \to x_0$ for $\sigma(E, V)$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\| = \|x_0\|, \text{ then } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x_0\| = 0.$$

Introduction

Let E be a Banach space, E^* its conjugate and V a subspace of E^*. The unit ball of $E(E^*, V$ respectively) we denote by $S_E(S_{E^*}, S_V$ respectively). Dixmier ([2]) defined the characteristic $r(V)$ of V as follows:

$$r(V) = \sup \{\alpha : \alpha \geqq 0 \text{ and } \alpha S_{E^*} \subset \overline{S_V}^{\sigma(E^*, E)}\}.$$

Clearly $r(V) > 0$ implies that V is $\sigma(E^*, E)$-dense in E^*, but the converse is not true (see [2] for an example).

The following two results involve characteristics.

PROPOSITION 1: ([6, *proposition* 4.1]). *Let E be a Banach space and let V be a separable subspace of E^*. Then (K_1) is equivalent to $r(V) = 1$.*

PROPOSITION 2: ([3], *see also* [9, *p.* 486]) *Let E be a separable Banach space and let V be a subspace of E^* with $r(V) > 0$. Then there exists an equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ on E for which (K_1) and (K_2) hold.*

Our example shows that in proposition 1 the separability of V is essential and also that in proposition 2 the condition $r(V) > 0$ is not necessary.

First we prove, setting $E = l^1$, $E^* = l^\infty$, that for each $k \in N$ there exists a (non-separable) subspace V_k of E^* such that (K_1) and (K_2) hold whereas

$$r(V_k) \leqq \frac{1}{k}.$$

[1] Apparently the problem of the existence of such a subspace was raised by Kadec. We thank Prof. Singer for communicating it to us and for some discussions resulting in the proof of proposition 1.

This V_k will be a suitable quasi-complement of c_0 in E^*, which we define by modifying a construction of Rosenthal ([8]). This leads, by a procedure of taking l^1-sums, to a subspace V of E^* satisfying both (K_1) and (K_2) and with $r(V) = 0$.

We begin by sketching a proof of proposition 1 which differs from the one suggested by Mil'man.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1: We first observe that (K_1) is equivalent to the sequential $\sigma(E, V)$-closedness of S_E. Since V is separable, the topology $\sigma(E, V)$ is metrizable when restricted to bounded subsets of E. Hence the sequential $\sigma(E, V)$-closure and the $\sigma(E, V)$-closure of S_E coincide. Thus (K_1) means that S_E is $\sigma(E, V)$-closed and this in turn is equivalent, by [2, Théorème 8], to $r(V) = 1$.

Observe that $r(V) = 1$ implies (K_1) also for non-separable V, by [2, Théorème 8]. The separability of V is needed only for the proof of the converse implication.

One should also note that (K_1) implies that V is $\sigma(E^*, E)$-dense, whether V is separable or not.

Our example will be based on the following

LEMMA: *Let $E = l^1$, $E^* = l^\infty$ and let V be a $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense quasi-complement of c_0 in l^∞ (We assume c_0 to be imbedded in l^∞ in the canonical way). Then we have: If $x_n \to x_0$ for $\sigma(l^1, V)$ and $\{x_n\}$ is norm-bounded, then $\|x_n - x_0\| \to 0$. In particular, (K_1) and (K_2) are satisfied.*

Proof: Let $\{x_{n'}\}$ be any subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. Since l^1 is the dual of the separable space c_0, $\{x_{n'}\}$ contains (see [1]) a $\sigma(l^1, c_0)$-convergent subsequence $\{x_{n''}\}$. Thus $\{x_{n''}\}$ is $\sigma(l^1, c_0)$-Cauchy as well as $\sigma(l^1, V)$-Cauchy and therefore $\sigma(l^1, c_0 + V)$-Cauchy. Since $c_0 + V$ is norm-dense in l^∞, the boundedness of $\{x_{n''}\}$ now implies that $\{x_{n''}\}$ is $\sigma(l^1, l^\infty)$-Cauchy and therefore norm-convergent (see [4, p. 281]), say to x. V being $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense in l^∞, $\sigma(l^1, V)$-limits are unique. This evidently implies that $x = x_0$. We have now shown that any subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ contains a subsequence converging to x_0 in norm. Hence $\|x_n - x_0\| \to 0$.

The statement proved clearly implies (K_2), and also (K_1), since (K_1) is equivalent to the sequential $\sigma(l^1, V)$-closedness of S_{l^1}.

In order to understand our example it is necessary to recall briefly Rosenthal's construction of a quasi-complement of c_0 in l^∞ (cf. [8]). This construction is based on the following observations, the complete proofs of which can be found in [8].

(i) A subspace X of a Banach space E is quasi-complemented in E if and only if there exists a $\sigma(E^*, E)$-closed subspace Y of E^* such that $Y \cap X^\perp = \{0\}$ and $Y_\perp \cap X = \{0\}$. Indeed, if Y has these properties, then $Y_\perp$ is a quasi-complement of X in E.

(ii) If Y is a reflexive subspace of E^*, then Y is $\sigma(E^*, E)$-closed. This follows from the Krein-Šmulian theorem.

(iii) If an infinite compact topological space S contains an infinite perfect subset, then $C(S)^*$ contains a subspace isomorphic to l^2.

Rosenthal's construction ([8]) of a quasi-complement of c_0 now proceeds as follows. We may identify l^∞ with $C(\beta N)$, where βN denotes the Stone-Cech compactifation of N. Then $c_0^\perp$ can be identified with $C(\beta N/N)^*$. Since $\beta N \setminus N$ is an infinite perfect compact Hausdorff space, (iii) implies that $c_0^\perp$ contains l^2 isomorphically. Let $H \subset c_0^\perp$ be isomorphic to l^2 and let $\{\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n, \cdots\}$ be a basis of H equivalent to the orthonormal basis of l^2. We assume that $\|\mu_n\| = 1$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. For each $n \in N$ let δ_n be the Dirac measure on N concentrated at n. Then the closed linear span of $\{\delta_n : n \in N\}$ in $(l^\infty)^*$ can be identified with l^1, by the canonical map. Now let G be the closed linear span of

$$\left\{\frac{\delta_n}{n} + \mu_n : n \in N\right\}.$$

It is easily verified that G is isomorphic to H and therefore $\sigma((l^\infty)^*, l^\infty)$-closed, by (ii). Finally, $G \cap c_0^\perp = G_\perp \cap c_0 = \{0\}$, so $V = G_\perp$ is a quasi-complement of c_0 by (i).

Since, in this construction, $V^\perp \cap l^1 = G \cap l^1 = \{0\}$, V is $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense in l^∞, so the lemma applies.

EXAMPLE: We now show that by a slight modification of the construction described above we can obtain for each $k \in N$ a $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense quasi-complement V_k of c_0 with $r(V_k) \leqq 1/k$.

Let $k \in N$ be arbitrary and let G_k be the closed linear span of $k\delta_1 + \mu_1$ and

$$\left\{\frac{\delta_n}{n} + \mu_n : n = 2, 3, \cdots\right\}.$$

Clearly G_k is isomorphic to H and therefore $\sigma((l^\infty)^*, l^\infty)$-closed, by (ii). Again, as before it is easily verified that

$$G_k \cap c_0^\perp = (G_k)_\perp \cap c_0 = \{0\}.$$

Therefore $V_k = (G_k)_\perp$ is a quasi-complement of c_0 in l^∞, by (i). Also

$$V_k^\perp \cap l^1 = G_k \cap l^1 = \{0\},$$

so that V_k is $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense in l^∞.

Next we show that

$$r(V_k) \leqq \frac{1}{k}.$$

By [2, Théorème 9] it suffices to prove that

$$\overline{(l^1, V_k^{\perp})} \leqq \frac{1}{k}$$

(Here $\overline{(X, Y)}$, for arbitrary subspaces X and Y of a Banach space E, denotes the inclination of X to Y, i.e. the distance of the unit sphere of X to Y (cf. [9]). Clearly, since $\delta_1 \in S_{l^1}$ and

$$\delta_1 + \frac{1}{k}\mu_1 \in G_k,$$

we have

$$\overline{(l^1, G_k)} \leqq \left\| \delta_1 - \left(\delta_1 + \frac{1}{k}\mu_1\right)\right\| = \frac{1}{k},$$

which proves our claim, since $G_k = V_k^{\perp}$.

Now, for each $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$, let $E_k = l^1$, $E_k^* = l^\infty$ and let V_k be the $\sigma(E_k^*, E_k)$-dense quasi-complement of c_0 in E_k^* with

$$r(V_k) \leqq \frac{1}{k}$$

that was constructed above. Then, putting

$$E = (E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k \oplus \cdots)_{l^1},$$

we have

$$E^* \equiv (E_1^* \oplus E_2^* \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k^* \oplus \cdots)_{l^\infty}.$$

We will show that

$$V = (V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k \oplus \cdots)_{l^\infty} \subset E^*$$

satisfies (K_1) and (K_2) whereas $r(V) = 0$.

To prove (K_1), it suffices to show that S_E is sequentially $\sigma(E, V)$-closed. Let $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with $x^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)} \cdots) \in E (n \in \boldsymbol{N})$, be a sequence in S_E which converges for $\sigma(E, V)$ to $x^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \cdots) \in E$. We must show that $||x^{(0)}|| \leqq 1$. For this it is enough to prove that for an arbitrary $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$

$$||\pi_k(x^{(0)})|| = \sum_{n=1}^{k} ||x_n^{(0)}|| \leqq 1,$$

where π_k is the natural projection of E onto $(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k \oplus \{0\} \oplus \cdots)_{l^1}$, which we identify with $(E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k)_{l^1}$. Clearly the sequence

$$\{\pi_k(x^{(n)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

converges to $\pi_k(x^{(0)})$ for $\sigma(\pi_k(E), \pi_k^*(V)) = \sigma((E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k)_{l^1},$

$(V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k)_{l^\infty}$). Since $||\pi_k(x^{(n)})|| \leqq 1$ for all $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, $(E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k)_{l^1}$ (which is isometric to l^1) is isometric to the dual of the separable space

$$\underbrace{(c_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_0)_{l^\infty}}_{k \text{ factors}},$$

and $(V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k)_{l^\infty}$ is a

$$\sigma((E_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k^*)_{l^\infty}, (E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k)_{l^1})\text{-dense}$$

quasi-complement of $(c_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_0)_{l^\infty}$ in

$$(E_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k^*)_{l^\infty},$$

the Lemma applies here and yields that $||\pi_k(x^{(0)})|| \leqq 1$. Hence $||x^{(0)}|| \leqq 1$, since $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ was arbitrary.

To show that (K_2) holds, let us assume that $x^{(n)} \to x^{(0)}$ for $\sigma(E, V)$ and that $||x^{(n)}|| \to ||x^{(0)}||$. We may also assume that $||x^{(0)}|| = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and let $k \in \boldsymbol{N}$ be such that

$$1-\varepsilon < ||\pi_k(x^{(0)})|| \leqq 1 \tag{1}$$

As in the proof of (K_1) it follows from the Lemma that

$$||\pi_k(x^{(n)}) - \pi_k(x^{(0)})|| \to 0$$

$(n \to \infty)$. Hence there exists an $n_0 \in \boldsymbol{N}$ such that

$$||\pi_k(x^{(n)}) - \pi_k(x^{(0)})|| < \varepsilon \ (n \geqq n_0), \tag{2}$$

and therefore, by (1),

$$||\pi_k(x^{(n)})|| > ||\pi_k(x^{(0)})|| - \varepsilon > 1-2\varepsilon \ (n \geqq n_0) \tag{3}$$

We may also assume that

$$||x^{(n)}|| < 1+\varepsilon \ (n \geqq n_0) \tag{4}$$

Thus

$$||x^{(n)} - \pi_k(x^{(n)})|| = ||x^{(n)}|| - ||\pi_k(x^{(n)})|| < 1+\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon) = 3\varepsilon \quad (n \geqq n_0) \tag{5}$$

It follows now from (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) that

$$||x^{(n)} - x^{(0)}|| \leqq ||x^{(n)} - \pi_k(x^{(n)})|| + ||\pi_k(x^{(n)}) - \pi_k(x^{(0)})|| + ||\pi_k(x^{(0)}) - x^{(0)}|| < 3\varepsilon+\varepsilon+\varepsilon = 5\varepsilon \ (n \geqq n_0)$$

This proves (K_2).

Finally, let us show that $r(V) = 0$. We have

$$S_{E^*} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{E^*_k}$$

and it is easily seen that

$$\overline{S_V}^{\sigma(E^*, E)} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{S_{V_k}}^{\sigma(E_k^*, E_k)}.$$

By the definition of $r(V_k)$

$$\alpha S_{E_k^*} \notin \overline{S_{V_k}}^{\sigma(E^*_k, E_k)} \text{ for all } \alpha > \frac{1}{k} \ (k \in N).$$

It follows that

$$\alpha S_{E^*} \notin \overline{S_V}^{\sigma(E^*, P)} \text{ for all } \alpha > 0.$$

Thus $r(V) = 0$. This completes the example.

We conclude with a general result on quasi-complements of c_0 in l^∞. All such quasi-complements obtained by Rosenthal's construction are $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense in l^∞. This may not be the case in general. However, all quasi-complements of c_0 are 'almost' $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$-dense in l^∞, as we show in the following

PROPOSITION 3: *Let V be a quasi-complement of c_0 in l^∞. Then the $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$- closure V' of V in l^∞ has finite codimension in l^∞.*

PROOF: Suppose that $\dim l^\infty/V' = \infty$. Then we have, since $V_\perp = V'_\perp$, that $\dim V_\perp = \infty$ and, of course, $\dim l^1/V_\perp = \infty$. By [7, Lemma 2] $V_\perp$ contains a subspace L with $\dim L = \infty$ which is complemented in l^1. Let M be a complement of L in l^1. Then $l^\infty = L^\perp \oplus M^\perp$. By [5] both $L^\perp$ and $M^\perp$ are isomorphic to l^∞. In particular $M^\perp$ is non-separable. Since $L \subset V_\perp$ we have $V \subset L^\perp$. Furthermore, l^∞/V is separable, by the definition of V, whereas $l^\infty/_{L^\perp} \cong M^\perp$ is not. This is a contradiction, since the canonical map $l^\infty/V \to l^\infty/_{L^\perp}$ is a continuous surjection.

REFERENCES

[1] S. BANACH: *Théorie des opérations linéaires.* Warszawa (1932).
[2] J. DIXMIER: Sur un théorème de Banach. *Duke Math. J. 15* (1948) 1057–1071.
[3] M. I. KADEC and A. PELCZYNSKI: Basic sequences, biorthogonal systems and norming sets in Banach and Fréchet spaces. *Studia Math. 25* (1965) 297–323 (Russian).
[4] G. KÖTHE: *Topological vector spaces I.* Springer Verlag (1969).
[5] J. LINDENSTRAUSS: On complemented subspaces of *m. Israel J. Math. 5* (1967) 153–156.
[6] V. D. MIL'MAN: The geometric theory of Banach spaces, Part II. *Uspehi Math. Nauk, 26,* (1971) 73–149 (Russian). English translation in *Russian Math. Surveys 26* 79–163 (1971).

[7] A. PELCZYNSKI: Projections in certain Banach spaces. *Studia Math. 19* (1960) 209–228.
[8] H. P. ROSENTHAL: On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\mu)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* 4 (1969) 176–214.
[9] I. SINGER: *Bases in Banach spaces I.* Springer Verlag (1970).

(Oblatum 22–X–1973)

Mathematisch Instituut
der Universiteit van Amsterdam
Roetersstraat 15, Amsterdam-C.