COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

R. TIJDEMAN

On the maximal distance between integers composed of small primes

Compositio Mathematica, tome 28, nº 2 (1974), p. 159-162

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__28_2_159_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 28, Fasc. 2, 1974, pag. 159–162
Noordhoff International Publishing
Printed in the Netherlands

ON THE MAXIMAL DISTANCE BETWEEN INTEGERS COMPOSED OF SMALL PRIMES

R. Tijdeman

Let $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ be fixed primes, $r \geqq 2$. Let $n_1 = 1 < n_2 < \cdots$ be the sequence of all positive integers composed of these primes. In [3] we proved the existence of an effectively computable constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$n_{i+1} - n_i > \frac{n_i}{(\log n_i)^{C_1}} \quad \text{for } n_i \geqq 3.$$

In this note we shall prove the existence of effectively computable constants $C_2 > 0$ and N such that

$$n_{i+1} - n_i < \frac{n_i}{(\log n_i)^{C_2}} \quad \text{for } n_i \geqq N. \tag{1}$$

The average order of the difference $n_{i+1} - n_i$ is about $n_i/(\log n_i)^{r-1}$. Hence, $C_1 \geqq r-1$, $C_2 \leqq r-1$. (Compare [3].)

In the proof of (1) we use some elementary properties of continued fractions (See for example [2, Ch. V]) and a result of N.I. Fel'dman. All constants $c, c_1, c_2, \cdots$ will be positive and effectively computable. They only depend on the fixed primes $p_1, \cdots, p_r$ or p, q.

1

LEMMA: *Let p, q be fixed primes, $p \neq q$. Let $h_0/k_0, h_1/k_1, \cdots$ be the sequence of convergents of* $\log p/\log q$. *Then there exists an effectively computable constant c such that*

$$k_{j+1} < k_j^c \log q \quad \textit{for } j = 2, 3, \cdots.$$

PROOF: One has $k_j \geqq 2$ for $j \geqq 2$.
Since

$$\left| \frac{h_j}{k_j} - \frac{\log p}{\log q} \right| < \frac{1}{k_j k_{j+1}} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

we have

$$|h_j \log q - k_j \log p| < \frac{\log q}{k_{j+1}}. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, Fel'dman's result [1] implies

$$|h_j \log q - k_j \log p| > \exp\{-c_1(1+\log H_0)\},$$

where $H_0 = \max(1+h_j, 1+k_j)$ and c_1 is a constant. Since h_j/k_j is bounded by $1+\log p/\log q$, one has $1+\log H_0 \leqq c_2 \log k_j$ for $j \geqq 2$. So we obtain a constant c such that

$$|h_j \log q - k_j \log p| > k_j^{-c} \quad \text{for } j \geqq 2. \tag{3}$$

The lemma follows from (2) and (3).

2

In order to prove (1) we may assume $r = 2$ without loss of generality. Hence, it suffices to prove

THEOREM: *Let p and q be primes, $p \neq q$. Let $n_1 = 1 < n_2 < \cdots$ be the sequence of all positive integers composed of these primes. Then there exist effectively computable constants C and N such that*

$$n_{i+1} - n_i < \frac{n_i}{(\log n_i)^C} \quad \textit{for } n_i \geqq N.$$

PROOF: Let $n = n_i = p^u q^v \geqq N$. It is no restriction to assume that $p^u \geqq \sqrt{n}$, and, hence,

$$u \geqq \frac{\log n}{2 \log p}. \tag{4}$$

Let $h_0/k_0, h_1/k_1, \cdots$ be the convergents of $\log p/\log q$. Then $k_1, k_2, \cdots$ is a monotonic increasing sequence. Take j such that $k_j \leqq u < k_{j+1}$. We suppose that N is so large that both $n \geqq 3$ and $j \geqq 2$. We distinguish cases (a) and (b).

(a) $$\frac{h_j}{k_j} > \frac{\log p}{\log q}.$$

Put $n' = p^{u-k_j} q^{v+h_j}$. Hence, $n' > n$. We have

$$\frac{h_j}{k_j} - \frac{\log p}{\log q} < \frac{h_j}{k_j} - \frac{h_{j+1}}{k_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{k_j k_{j+1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\log \frac{n'}{n} = \log \frac{q^{h_j}}{p^{k_j}} = h_j \log q - k_j \log p < \frac{\log q}{k_{j+1}}.$$

Using (4) and $u < k_{j+1}$ we obtain

$$\log\frac{n'}{n} < \frac{\log q}{k_{j+1}} < \frac{\log q}{u} \leqq \frac{2\log p \log q}{\log n}.$$

We see that n'/n has an upper bound only depending on p and q. We therefore have

$$\log\frac{n'}{n} > c_3\left(\frac{n'}{n} - 1\right)$$

for some constant c_3. The combination of these inequalities yields

$$\frac{n'}{n} - 1 < \frac{c_4}{\log n},$$

and, hence,

$$n_{i+1} \leqq n' < n + \frac{c_4 n}{\log n}. \tag{5}$$

(b)
$$\frac{h_j}{k_j} < \frac{\log p}{\log q}.$$

Then $h_{j-1}/k_{j-1} > \log p/\log q$. Put $n' = p^{u-k_{j-1}} q^{v+h_{j-1}}$. Hence, $n' > n$. We have

$$\frac{h_{j-1}}{k_{j-1}} - \frac{\log p}{\log q} < \frac{h_{j-1}}{k_{j-1}} - \frac{h_j}{k_j} = \frac{1}{k_{j-1}k_j}.$$

It follows that

$$\log\frac{n'}{n} = \log\frac{q^{h_{j-1}}}{p^{k_{j-1}}} = h_{j-1}\log q - k_{j-1}\log p < \frac{\log q}{k_j}.$$

We know from the lemma that

$$k_j > \left(\frac{k_{j+1}}{\log q}\right)^{1/c}.$$

Using (4) and $u < k_{j+1}$ we obtain

$$\log\frac{n'}{n} < \frac{\log q}{k_j} < \frac{(\log q)^{1+1/c}}{k_{j+1}^{1/c}} \leqq \frac{(2\log p)^{1/c}(\log q)^{1+1/c}}{(\log n)^{1/c}}.$$

Hence,

$$\log\frac{n'}{n} > \frac{c}{c_5}\left(\frac{n'}{n} - 1\right)$$

for some constant c_5. It follows that

$$n_{i+1} \leqq n' < n + \frac{c_6 n}{(\log n)^{1/c}}. \tag{6}$$

We have in both cases, from (5) and (6),

$$n_{i+1} \leqq n_i + \frac{c_7 n_i}{(\log n_i)^{c_8}} \quad \text{for } n_i \geqq N.$$

For N sufficiently large this implies

$$n_{i+1} < n_i + \frac{n_i}{(\log n_i)^{c_9}}, \quad \text{for } n_i \geqq N.$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] N. I. Fel'dman: Improved estimate for a linear form of the logarithms of algebraic numbers. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 77* (119) (1968) 423–436. Transl. *Math. USSR Sbornik 6* (1968) 393–406.

[2] I. Niven: Irrational numbers. *Carus Math. Monographs, 11*. Math. Assoc. America. Wiley, New York, 1956.

[3] R. Tijdeman: On integers with many small prime factors. *Compositio Mathematica 26* (1973) 319–330.

(Oblatum 5-X-1973)

Mathematical Institute
University of Leiden
Leiden, Netherlands.