COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

N. J. KALTON

On the weak-basis theorem

Compositio Mathematica, tome 27, nº 2 (1973), p. 213-215

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1973__27_2_213_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 27, Fasc. 2, 1973, pag 213-215
Noordhoff International Publishing
Printed in the Netherlands

ON THE WEAK*-BASIS THEOREM

N. J. Kalton

Suppose (E, τ) is a locally convex space; then a sequence (x_n) is called a basis of E if for every $x \in E$ there is a unique sequence of scalars (a_n) with

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$$

If, furthermore the coefficients a_n are given by

$$a_n = f_n(x)$$

where each f_n is a τ-continuous linear functional, we say that (x_n) is a Schauder basis of E.

The weak basis theorem of Mazur (see [2]) states that if X is a Banach space, then a basis of X in the weak topology is a Schauder basis of X in the strong topology; in particular it is a Schauder basis. This theorem has been extended to various classes of locally convex spaces. In particular it is natural to ask whether a basis (f_n) of X^* in the weak* topology $\sigma(X^*, X)$ is necessarily a Schauder basis; this is equivalent (see [8] p. 155) to asking whether there exists a basis (x_n) of X with (f_n) the corresponding coefficient functionals. Unfortunately Singer shows by example ([8] p. 153 or see [7]) that a weak* basis need not be Schauder.

However it is trivial that a weak*-basis of the dual of a reflexive Banach space is Schauder; in this paper we give another important class of spaces for which this theorem is true.

Let τ be an $\langle X, X^* \rangle$ polar topology on X^*, and let (f_k) be a τ-basis of X^*; suppose $(p_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda)$ is a collection of semi-norms defining the topology τ. We define

$$p_\lambda^*(x) = \sup_n p_\lambda\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k x_k\Big)$$

where

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \, (\tau).$$

Then the collection of semi-norms $(p_\lambda^*; \lambda \in \Lambda)$ define a topology τ^* on X^*. We then have the following lemma (see McArthur [6] Lemma 2 or Bennett and Cooper [1] Lemma 1).

LEMMA 1: *(X^*, τ^*) is complete and (f_k) is a Schauder basis of (X^*, τ^*).*

PROOF: This is proved by a method similar to [1] Lemma 1 or [6] Lemma 3. It is only necessary to assume that whenever $\sum a_k f_k$ is a τ-Cauchy series then it converges; this follows from the sequential completeness of (X^*, τ).

LEMMA 2: *τ^* is weaker than the norm topology on X^*.*

PROOF: For

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k f_k \ (\tau),$$

the sequence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f_k$$

is τ-bounded and therefore norm bounded. Let

$$||f||^* = \sup_n || \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f_k||$$

Then the standard argument, used in [1] Lemma 1, shows that $(X^*, || ||^*)$ is a Banach space. As the identity map $(X^*, || ||^*) \to (X^*, || ||)$ is continuous, we obtain, by the open mapping theorem, a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$||f||^* \leqq K||f||$$

However as τ is weaker than the norm topology on X^*; then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists K_λ with

$$p_\lambda(x) \leqq K_\lambda||f|| \qquad (x \in E)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} p_\lambda^*(x) &\leqq K_\lambda||f||^* \\ &\leqq KK_\lambda||f|| \end{aligned}$$

and τ^* is weaker than the norm topology.

THEOREM: *Let μ be a (positive) measure on a set S; suppose X is a closed subspace of $L_1(\mu)$ and that τ is an $\langle X, X^* \rangle$ polar topology on X^*. Then any basis of (X^*, τ) is a Schauder basis.*

PROOF: Suppose $\{f_k\}$ is a basis of (X^*, τ); then $\{f_k\}$ is a Schauder basis of (X^*, τ^*), and so it is sufficient to show that every τ^*-continuous linear functional is also τ-continuous.

Let $J : X \to L_1(\mu)$ denote the inclusion map, and let B and C be the closed unit balls if X^* and $[L_1(\mu)]^*$ respectively; then we have $J^*(C) = B$. Let I be the identity map on X^*. The map $IJ^* : [L_1(\mu)]^* \to (X^*, \tau^*)$

is continuous by Lemma 2; furthermore, by Lemma 1, (X^*, τ^*) is a separable complete locally convex space.

We use the well-known result that $[L_1(\mu)]^*$ is isometrically isomorphic with the space $C(S)$ of continuous functions on a compact Stone space. This follows, in the case of μ σ-finite, from the remarks of Grothendieck [3] p. 167. More generally we may use the results of Kakutani ([4], [5]) to show that the real space $[L_1(\mu)]^*$ is an abstract M-space with unit, and therefore lattice isomorphic and isometric with a space $C(S)$ where S is compact and Hausdorff; as $[L_1(\mu)]^*$ is also clearly order-complete it follows that S is a Stone space.

Now, by a result of Grothendieck [3], p. 168, $IJ^* : [L_1(\mu)]^* \to (X^*, \tau^*)$ is weakly compact. Let σ^* denote the weak topology associated with τ^*; we have that $IJ^*(C) = B$ is σ^*-relatively compact. However B is τ-closed and therefore τ^*-closed; as B is convex we can deduce that B is σ^*-closed. Thus B is σ^*-compact; hence on B, σ^* coincides with the weaker Hausdorff topology $\sigma(X^*, X)$. If ϕ is a τ^*-continuous linear functional on X^*, then ϕ is σ^*-continuous and therefore $\sigma(X^*, X)$ continuous on B; it follows that ϕ is $\sigma(X^*, X)$-continuous and therefore τ-continuous. This completes the proof.

We conclude by remarking that if X satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem then X is weakly sequentially complete; conversely we may ask whether the theorem holds if X is weakly sequentially complete. This would seem very likely but we have been unable to prove it.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Bennett and J. B. Cooper, Weak bases in (F)- and (LF)-spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* *(1) 44* (1969) 505–508.

[2] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, Properties of bases in spaces of type B_0, *Prace Mat. 3* (1959) 123–142 (Polish).

[3] A. Grothendieck, Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$, *Can. J. Math. 5* (1953) 129–173.

[4] S. Kakutani, Concrete representation of abstract (L)-spaces and the mean ergodic theorem, *Ann. Math.* *(2) 42* (1941) 523–537.

[5] S. Kakutani, Concrete representation of abstract (M)-spaces, *Ann. Math.* *(2) 42* (1941) 994–1024.

[6] C. W. McArthur, On the weak basis theorem, *Coll. Math. 17* (1967) 71–76.

[7] I. Singer, Weak*-bases in conjugate Banach spaces, *Stud. Math. 21* (1961) 75–81.

[8] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1970.

(Oblatum 5-X-1972)

Department of Pure Mathematics
Singleton Park
Swansea, Wales