ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

Y.-F. S. PÉTERMANN

About a theorem of Paolo Codecà's and omega estimates for arithmetical convolutions. Second part

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 17, n^o 3 (1990), p. 343-353

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1990_4_17_3_343_0>

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

About a Theorem of Paolo Codecà's and Omega Estimates for Arithmetical Convolutions

Second Part

Y.-F. S. PÉTERMANN

1. - Introduction

Consider the real valued functions h defined on $[1, \infty)$

$$h(x) = \sum_{n \leq z} \alpha(n) n^a f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha(n)$ is a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\alpha(n)| = O(x), \tag{1.2}$$

$-1 \leq a < 0$, f is a periodic function of period 1, of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$\int_0^1 f(u)\mathrm{d}u = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

and $z = z(x) \leq x$ is a positive, strictly increasing, continuous and unbounded function (z will always be assumed to satisfy these properties in the sequel).

We say that h is $C_z(a, \alpha, f)$.

In the first part of this work [8], inspired by an article of Codecà's [1], I considered functions g nearly $C_x(-1, \alpha, f)$ (i.e. short of a $o(1)$), where α possesses an asymptotic mean K, and such that

$$g - K \int_1^\infty u^{-1} f(u)\mathrm{d}u$$

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 5 Settembre 1988 e in forma definitiva il 28 Luglio 1989.

is nearly $C_z(-1, \alpha, f)$ for some $z = z(x) = o(x)$, as $x \to \infty$.

In the case where z may be taken small enough, I obtained a general expression for the mean of g on an arithmetical progression $An + B$, $n \leq x$, (Theorem 1), from which I could then, for particular functions g, prove omega estimates by suitably choosing the parameters A, B, and x.

Among the functions for which I found this process to be successful are the classical error terms H and E related to the Euler function ϕ and to the sum-of-divisors function σ, an error term of Landau (see e.g. [14]) related to the function $n/\phi(n)$, and the "Chowla-Walum functions"

$$G_{a,k}(x) := \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} n^a \psi_k\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \tag{1.4}$$

(where $\psi_k(y) = B_k(\{y\})$ denotes the k-th Bernoulli polynomial of argument the fractional part $\{y\}$ of y) when $a \leq -1$. This brings us to the main purpose of this sequel.

The $G_{a,k}$ are related to various divisor problems (see e.g. [5], [6], [9], [10], [11]). Conjectures were proposed as to the "best" O and Ω estimates satisfied by the functions $G_{a,k}$, originating with the Piltz-Hardy-Landau conjecture on the famous Dirichlet divisor problem, generalized in 1963 by Chowla and Walum [2]. If we gather the conjectures that appear reasonable so far in view of the various investigations made by a number of authors, we can state them in the compact form described below.

Let $\alpha_k(a)$ and $\beta_k^*(a)$, where $*$ is allowed to denote $+$, $-$, $\pm$, or nothing at all (i.e. not even a blank), be the smallest α, respectively the largest β, for which $G_{a,k}(x) = O(x^{\alpha+\varepsilon})$, resp. $G_{a,k}(x) = \Omega_*(x^{\beta-\varepsilon})$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Set

$$g(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } a \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \leq -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

CONJECTURE. *For every real number a, every positive integer k, and for $*$ denoting $+$ and $-$, we have*

$$\alpha_k(a) \leq g(a) \qquad (O.k.a.)$$

and

$$\beta_k^*(a) \geq g(a). \qquad (\Omega_*.k.a)$$

REMARKS.

(i) The Piltz-Hardy-Landau conjecture is in this notation $(O.1.0)$; the Chowla-Walum conjecture is: $(O.k.a)$ for all $a \geq 0$ and all positive integers k.

(ii) The assertions "$(O.k.a)$ and $(\Omega.k.a)$" and "$\alpha_k(a) = g(a)$" are equivalent.

(iii) For a brief review of the results known to date towards these conjectures see [11].

In the first part of this paper [8] (see the Addendum), the truth of $(\Omega_{\pm}.k.a)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, is proved for $a \leq -1$. Here, through an extension of the main result of [8] to $C_z(a, \alpha, f)$, $-1 < a < 0$, for suitable z and α (Theorem 1 in Section 2 below), we obtain Ω-estimates for the $G_{a,k}(x)$ (Theorem 2 just below), and as a corollary the truth of $\Omega_{\pm}.k.a)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, for $a \leq -\frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM 2. *For $-1 < a < 0$ and every positive integer k, we have*

$$G_{a,k}(x) = \Omega_{\pm}\left(\exp\left\{(1+o(1))\xi_{a,k}\,\frac{(-a/2)^{1+a}}{1+a}\,\frac{(\log x)^{1+a}}{\log\log x}\right\}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\xi_{a,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ or } k \text{ is even,} \\ 2^a & \text{if } k > 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

As another corollary of Theorem 2, we obtain in Section 3:

THEOREM 3. *Let $E_a(x)$ be the error term*

$$E_a(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma_a(n) - \left(\frac{\zeta(1+a)}{1+a}x^{1+a} + \zeta(1+a)x - \frac{\zeta(-a)}{2}\right), \tag{1.7}$$

$$a \neq -1, 0.$$

Then, for $\frac{25}{38} < |a| < 1$,

$$E_a(x) = \Omega_{\pm}\left(x^{\frac{a+|a|}{2}}\,\exp\left\{(1+o(1))\,\frac{(|a|/2)^{1-|a|}}{1-|a|}\,\frac{(\log x)^{1-|a|}}{\log\log x}\right\}\right). \tag{1.8}$$

It appears that no nontrivial Ω-estimate for $E_a(x)$, with $-1 < a < -\frac{1}{2}$, was known so far; and, when a is positive, (1.7) improves in the indicated range both results

$$E_a(x) = \Omega_{\pm}\left((x\,\log\,x)^{\frac{a}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}\right) \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$E_a(x) = \Omega(x^a) \tag{1.10}$$

of Hafner's [3], and should be compared, on the one hand with MacLeod's [7]

$$\overline{\lim_{x\to\infty}}\,\frac{E_a(x)}{x^a} = \pm\,\frac{\zeta(a)}{2}, \qquad a > 1, \tag{1.11}$$

and our [12]

$$\overline{\lim_{x\to\infty}} \frac{E_1(x)}{x \log\log x} \gtrless \pm\frac{e^\gamma}{2}, \tag{1.12}$$

and, on the other hand, with the following consequence of conjecture $(O.1.a)$

$$E_a(x) = O(x^{a+\varepsilon}), \qquad a \geq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{1.H}$$

(see (3.10)).

Finally in Section 4 we give another application of Theorem 1. We define the functions

$$P_a(x) = \sum_{n\leq\sqrt{x}} n^a \cos\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$Q_a(x) = \sum_{n\leq\sqrt{x}} n^a \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right). \tag{1.14}$$

In [8] we prove (see [4])

$$P_{-1}(x) = \Omega_\pm(\log\log x) \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$Q_{-1}(x) = \Omega_\pm\left((\log\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{1.16}$$

Here we obtain

THEOREM 4. *For* $-1 < a < 0$, *we have*

$$P_a(x) = \Omega_\pm\left(\exp\left\{(1+o(1))\ \frac{(-a/2)^{1+a}}{1+a}\ \frac{(\log x)^{1+a}}{\log\log x}\right\}\right) \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$Q_a(x) = \Omega_\pm\left(\exp\left\{(1+o(1))2^a\ \frac{(-a/2)^{1+a}}{1+a}\ \frac{(\log x)^{1+a}}{\log\log x}\right\}\right). \tag{1.18}$$

2. - The main result

Let the notation be that of Section 1 and consider a function h being some $C_z(a, \alpha, f)$, where $-1 < a < 0$ and, in addition to (1.2), the arithmetical function α satisfies the submultiplicative property

$$|\alpha(nm)| = O(|\alpha(n)\alpha(m)|) \tag{2.1}$$

for all positive integers n and m. Let $A = A(x) > 0$ be an integer valued function, and $B = B(x) \geq 0$ (we do not require that B be an integer: see [8, Addendum]). Then we have

THEOREM 1. *Set*

$$u = u(x) := z(Ax + B),$$

$$v_i := z\left(\frac{Ax}{2^i} + B\right), \qquad i \geq 0,$$

$$\alpha_b(A) := \sum_{d|A} |\alpha(d)| d^b,$$

and suppose that there exists a function $\eta = \eta(x)$ *decreasing to* 0 *as* $x \to \infty$ *and such that*

$$A \leq v_N, \tag{2.2}$$

where $N := \left[-\frac{\log \eta}{\log 2}\right]$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x} h(An+B) \\ &= \sum_{k\leq u} \alpha(k)k^a \left(\frac{1}{k^*}\sum_{n\leq k^*} f\left(\frac{n}{k^*} + \frac{B}{k}\right)\right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{u^{a+2}}{x} + v_N^a \alpha_0(A) + \eta\alpha_a(A)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where k^* *denotes* $\frac{k}{(A,k)}$.

PROOF. The proof goes along the same line as that of Theorem 1 in [8]. We let $w(k)$ be the inverse function of $v(y) := z(Ay+B)$, $1 \leq y \leq x$ if $k \geq u(1)$, and $w(k) = 1$ otherwise, and we obtain as in [8]

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\leq x} h(An+B) = \beta + \delta + \varepsilon, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\beta := \sum_{k\leq u} k^a \alpha(k) \left(\frac{1}{k^*}\sum_{n\leq k^*} f\left(\frac{n}{k^*} + \frac{B}{k}\right)\right), \tag{2.5}$$

where by (1.2),

$$\varepsilon = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\sum_{k\le u} k^a k^* |\alpha(k)|\right) = O\left(\frac{u^{a+2}}{x}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

and where

$$\delta = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\sum_{k\le u} k^{a-1}(A,k)|\alpha(k)|w(k)\right). \tag{2.7}$$

In order to estimate δ we define, as in [8],

$$R_i := \begin{cases} \{k\in\mathbb{N} \mid \max(v(1), v_i) < k \le v_{i-1}\} & \text{if } i = 1,2,\dots,M := \left[\dfrac{\log x}{\log 2}\right] + 1, \\ \{k\in\mathbb{N} \mid k \le v := v(1)\} & \text{if } i = M+1, \end{cases}$$

and may thus rewrite the sum in (2.7) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M+1}\sum_{k\in R_i}(A,k)k^{a-1}|\alpha(k)|w(k) \le \sum\nolimits_{\mathbf{1}} + \sum\nolimits_{\mathbf{2}} + \sum\nolimits_{\mathbf{3}}, \tag{2.8}$$

say, where $\sum_{\mathbf{3}} := \sum_{k\le v} k^a|\alpha(k)|$, and

$$\sum\nolimits_{\mathbf{1,2}} := \sum_i \frac{x}{2^{i-1}}\sum_{k\in R_i}(A,k)k^{a-1}|\alpha(k)|, \tag{2.9}$$

the ranges of summation in (2.9) being respectively

$$1 \le i \le N \text{ and } N+1 \le i \le M$$

($\sum_{\mathbf{3}}$ corresponding to $i = M+1$). By (1.2)

$$\sum\nolimits_{\mathbf{3}} = O(v^{a+1}) = O(u^{a+2}). \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.1) the inside sum on the right side of (2.9) is a O of

$$\sum_{d\mid A} d^a|\alpha(d)| \sum_{d^*\ge \max\left(1,\frac{v_i}{d}\right)} d^{*a-1}\,|\alpha(d^*)|, \tag{2.11}$$

which in turn is, by (1.2), a O of

$$\sum_{d\mid A} d^a\,|\alpha(d)| = \alpha_a(A), \tag{2.12}$$

and, in the case of $\sum_1$, is by (2.2) a O of

$$\sum_{d|A} |\alpha(d)| v_i^a \leq v_N^a \alpha_0(A). \tag{2.13}$$

Thus

$$\sum\nolimits_2 = O\left(x\eta\alpha_a(A)\right) \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\sum\nolimits_1 = O\left(xv_N^a\alpha_0(A)\right). \tag{2.15}$$

The theorem now follows from (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.15). □

3. - Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

In this section we let h be a $G_{a,\ell}$ as in (1.4). Thus $z = \sqrt{x}$, $f = \psi_\ell$ and $\alpha(n) = 1$ for all n. A well known identify for Bernoulli polynomials [13, (6.1)] implies that

$$\frac{1}{k^*} \sum_{n \leq k^*} \psi_\ell\left(\frac{n}{k^*} + \frac{B}{k}\right) = \frac{1}{k^{*\ell}} \psi_\ell\left(\frac{B}{(A,k)}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

whence, if $B = O(A)$ and $A = o(x)$, an application of Theorem 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} G_{a,\ell}(An + B) \\ &= \sum_{k \leq u} (A,k)^\ell k^{a-\ell} \psi_\ell\left(\frac{B}{(A,k)}\right) + O\left[A(Ax)^{\frac{a}{2}} + A^a \sigma_0(A)\right] + o\left(\sigma_a(A)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and, with a special choice of the parameters A, B and x, the

LEMMA 1. *If* $-1 < a < 0$ *and*

$$A = \prod_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \in P_\ell}} p = x^{-\frac{a}{2+a}},$$

where $P_\ell = \{p \equiv 1(2), p \text{ prime}\}$ *if* ℓ *is either* 1 *or even, and* $P_\ell = \{p \equiv 1(3), p \text{ prime}\}$ *if* $\ell > 1$ *is odd, then there are non negative numbers* $B_i < A$, $i =$

1, 2, *such that as* $y \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\le x} G_{a,\ell}(An+B) = \begin{cases} \Omega_+(\sigma_a(A)), & \text{if } B = B_1 \\ \Omega_-(\sigma_a(A)), & \text{if } B = B_2 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

PROOF. We shall make use of the following elementary properties of the Bernoulli polynomials (see [13], Chapter I).

$$\text{If } \ell > 1, \quad \begin{cases} \psi_\ell(0)\psi_\ell\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) < 0 & \text{when } \ell \text{ is even,} \\ \psi_\ell\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\psi_\ell\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) < 0 & \text{when } \ell \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

(i) With the choice of A and with $B = 0$ the right side of (3.2) becomes, for a certain set D of integers containing 1,

$$\psi_\ell(0)\sum_{d\mid A} d^a \sum_{\substack{d^*\le \frac{y}{d} \\ d^*\in D}} d^{*a-\ell} + o(\sigma_a(A)), \tag{3.5}$$

and we thus obtain the Ω_--estimate for $\ell = 1$, and one of the Ω-estimates for ℓ even.

(ii) Let $B = A - 1$ and $\ell = 1$. The right side of (3.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\le u}(A,k)k^{a-1} - \zeta(1-a) + O(u^a) + o(\sigma_a(A)), \tag{3.6}$$

since

$$\psi_1\left(\frac{A-1}{(A,k)}\right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{(A,k)}.$$

Whence the Ω_+-estimate in the case where $\ell = 1$.

(iii) With $B = A/2$ we obtain, by virtue of (3.4), the other Ω-estimate for ℓ even.

(iv) Finally, when $\ell > 1$ is odd, each one of the choices $B = A/3$, $B = 2A/3$ yields one of the Ω-estimates (again we use (3.4)). □

Now we need an estimate for $\sigma_a(A)$.

LEMMA 2. *For* $-1 < a < 0$ *and*

$$A = \prod_{\substack{p\le y \\ p\equiv k(n)}} p,$$

where $(k,n) = 1$, *we have*

$$\sigma_a(A) = \exp\left((1+o(1))\left\{\frac{\phi(n)^a}{a+1}\,\frac{(\log A)^{a+1}}{\log\log A}\right\}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

PROOF. For this choice of A we have

$$\log\ \sigma_a(A) = \sum_{\substack{p\le y\\ p\equiv k(n)}} \log(1+p^a)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{p\le y\\ p\equiv k(n)}} p^a + \begin{cases} O(1), & -1 < a < -\frac{1}{2}, \\ O(\log\log\ y), & a = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ O(y^{2a+1}), & -\frac{1}{2} < a < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Now the Euler summation formula and the prime number theorem for arithmetical progressions yield

$$\sum_{p\le y} p^a = (1+o(1))\ \frac{\phi(n)^a}{a+1}\ \frac{y^{a+1}}{\log\ y}, \tag{3.9}$$

and the lemma follows, after another application of the prime number theorem. □

Theorem 2 is now a direct consequence of Lemmata 1 and 2. □

As for theorem 3, it easily follows from Theorem 2, from [9, (1.3)]

$$E_a(x) = -x^a G_{-a,1}(x) - G_{a,1}(x) + O(x^{\frac{a}{2}}), \qquad |a| < 2, \tag{3.10}$$

and from [10, (5.4)]

$$\alpha_1(b) \le \frac{b}{2} + \frac{25}{76}, \qquad b > 0. \tag{3.11}$$

□

4. - Proof of Theorem 4

We shall need

LEMMA 3. *With the notation of Theorem* 1, *we have*

$$\sum_{n\le k^*} \begin{matrix}\sin\\ \cos\end{matrix}\ \left(2\pi\left(\frac{n}{k^*}+\frac{B}{k}\right)\right) = \begin{cases} \begin{matrix}\sin\\ \cos\end{matrix}\ \left(\frac{2\pi B}{k}\right) & \text{if } k^* = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The proof of which is quite straightforward.

To prove (1.17) we set

$$A = \prod_{2<p\le y} p = x^{-\frac{a}{a+2}}, \quad B = 0, \quad z = \sqrt{x}, \tag{4.2}$$

and we obtain, with Theorem 1 and Lemma 3,

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\le x} P_a(2\pi(An+B)) = \sum_{k|A} k^a + o(\sigma_a(A)) = \sigma_a(A)(1+o(1)) \tag{4.3}$$

which, with Lemma 2, implies the Ω_+-estimate; as for the Ω_--estimate, it is obtained similarly with $B = A/2$ instead of $B = 0$ in (4.2).

To prove the Ω_+-estimate in (1.18), we set

$$A = 4B = x^{-\frac{a}{a+2}}, \quad B = \prod_{\substack{p\le y\\ p\equiv 1(4)}} p, \quad z = \sqrt{x}. \tag{4.4}$$

Theorem 1 and Lemma 3 yield this time

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{n\le x} Q_a(2\pi B(4n+1)) = 4^a \sum_{k|B} k^a + o(\sigma_a(A)) = 4^a\sigma_a(B)(1+o(1)), \tag{4.5}$$

and we conclude again with Lemma 2. The Ω_--estimate is similarly obtained with

$$A = \frac{4B}{3} = x^{-\frac{a}{a+2}} = 4D, \qquad D = \prod_{\substack{p\le y\\ p\equiv 1(4)}} p, \qquad z = \sqrt{x}. \tag{4.6}$$

□

REFERENCES

[1] C. CODECÀ, *On the properties of oscillations and almost periodicity of certain convolutions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **71** (1984), 103-119.

[2] S. CHOWLA - H. WALUM, *On the divisor problem*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. **36** (1963), 127-134.

[3] J.L. HAFNER, *On the average order of a class of arithmetical functions*, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), 36-76.

[4] G.H. HARDY - J.E. LITTLEWOOD, *Notes on the theory of series (XX): on Lambert series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **41** (1936), 257-270.

[5] S. KANEMITSU, *Omega theorems for divisor functions*, Tokyo J. Math. **7** (1984), 399-419.

[6] S. KANEMITSU - R.S.R.C. RAO, *On a conjecture of S. Chowla and of S. Chowla and H. Walum I, II*, J. Number Theory **20** (1985) 255-261, 103-120.

[7] R.A. MACLEOD, *An extremal result for divisor functions*, J. Number Theory **23** (1986), 365-366.

[8] Y.-F.S. PÉTERMANN, *About a theorem of Paolo Codecà's and Ω-estimates for arithmetical convolutions*, J. Number Theory **30** (1988), 71-85; *Addendum*, to appear: J. Number Theory.

[9] Y.-F.S. PÉTERMANN, *Divisor problems and exponent pairs*, Arch. Math. **50** (1988), 243-250.

[10] Y.-F.S. PÉTERMANN, *Divisor problems and exponent pairs: on a conjecture by Chowla and Walum*, Proc. Conf. Prospects Math. Sci. Tokyo 1986, World Sci. Publ., Singapore 1988, 211-230.

[11] Y.-F.S. PÉTERMANN, *Omega theorems for divisor functions*, to appear: Indian J. Math.

[12] Y.-F.S. PÉTERMANN, *An Ω-theorem for an error term related to the sum-of-divisors function*, Mh. Math. **103** (1987), 145-157; *Addendum*, Mh. Math. **105** (1988), 193-194.

[13] H. RADEMACHER, *Topics in analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1973.

[14] R.S.R.C. RAO, *On an error term of Landau II*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **15** (1985), 579-588.

Université de Genève
Section de Mathématiques
2-4, rue du Lièvre
C.P. 240,
CH-1211 Genève 24