BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

JOHN M. IRWIN
K. BENABDALLAH

On N-high subgroups of abelian groups

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 96 (1968), p. 337-346
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1968__96__337_0>

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1968, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Bull. Soc. math. France,
96, 1968, p. 337 à 346.

ON N-HIGH SUBGROUPS OF ABELIAN GROUPS

BY

JOHN M. IRWIN AND KHALID BENABDALLAH.

1. Introduction.

This paper is based on a curious property of N-high subgroups when N is a subgroup of G^1 the subgroup of elements of infinite height of a group G. Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G, we say that a subgroup H of G is N-high if H is maximal with respect to the property $H \cap N = 0$. Our first result (theorem 2.4) is that given a group G and N a subgroup of G^1, then $G = \langle H, K \rangle$ whenever H is an N-high subgroup of G and K is a pure subgroup of G containing N. A close look at the proof of this result shows that the assumption that K is pure can be replaced by the weaker one that $N \subset K^1$. An immediate consequence is the classical theorem that divisible subgroups of a group are absolute summands of the group.

N-high subgroups where $N \subset G^1$ were first introduced and studied by IRWIN and WALKER in [3]. These authors proved that N-high subgroups are pure and that the factor groups they induce are divisible. It turns out (theorem 2.5) that H is an N-high subgroup of a group G, where $N \subset G^1$ if and only if H is pure, $H \cap N = 0$, $G = \langle H, K \rangle$ for all K pure containing N and G/H is divisible. We use this property of N-high subgroups where $N \subset G^1$, to generalize and simplify many results in [4]. In particular, we obtain a criterion for a pure subgroup of a group G containing $N \subset G^1$ to be a summand of G (theorem 3.1).

In the fourth part, we define the concept of quasi-essential and strongly quasi-essential subsocles of a p-group (definition 4.1) and proceed to characterize those quasi-essential subsocles which are also centers of purity (theorem 4.4) and those which are strongly quasi-essential (theorem 4.8).

We use standard notation from [1]. The symbol Z^+ denotes the set of positive integers. If G is a p-group, R a subgroup of G and $g \in R$,

the symbol $h_R(g)$ denotes the height of the element g in the subgroup R. All groups considered are Abelian.

2. A characterization of N-high subgroups of a group G, with $N \subset G^1$.

We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let G be a p-group, N subgroup of G^1 and K a pure subgroup of G containing N. Then for any N-high subgroup H of G, $G = \langle H, K \rangle$.*

Proof. — Clearly $\langle H, K \rangle \supset H[p] \oplus N[p] = G[p]$. By induction suppose $\langle H, K \rangle \supset G[p^n]$. Let $g \in G$, $o(g) = p^{n+1}$, if $g \notin H$, $\langle g, H \rangle \cap N \neq o$ thus there exists $h \in H$, $g' \in N$, and $m < n+1$, such that

$$p^m g + h = g' \neq o$$

since K is pure, $g' \in K^1$, thus there exists $k \in K$, such that $g' = p^m k$, or $h = p^m(g - k)$. If $h \neq o$, by purity of H (*see* [3], theorem 5) there exists $h' \in H$, such that $p^m h' = h$, therefore $p^m(g - k - h') = o$. This implies $g - k - h' \in \langle H, K \rangle$, and $g \in \langle H, K \rangle$, thus $\langle H, K \rangle \supset G[p^{n+1}]$. By induction

$$G = \langle H, K \rangle.$$

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let G be a torsion group, N a subgroup of G^1 and K a pure subgroup of G containing N. Then for any N-high subgroup H of G, $G = \langle H, K \rangle$.*

Proof. — Let $G = \sum Gp$, $H = \sum Hp$, $K = \sum Kp$ and $N = \sum Np$ then for each prime p, Hp is Np-high in Gp (*see* [2], lemma 11) and since Kp is pure containing Np, lemma 2.1 holds and $Gp = \langle Hp, Kp \rangle$. Therefore

$$G = \sum \langle Hp, Kp \rangle = \langle H, K \rangle.$$

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G^1 and H an N-high subgroup of G. Then H_t is N_t-high in G_t.*

Proof. — Clearly $H_t \cap N_t = o$, let $g \in G_t$, $o(g) = b$, $g \notin H$ then $\langle g, H \rangle \cap N \neq o$, thus there exists $h \in H$, $n \in N$, and a positive integer a such that $ag + h = n \neq o$. Clearly $a \neq b$. Now $bag + bh = bn$, thus $bh = bn = o$, and $h \in H_t$, $n \in N_t$, therefore $\langle g, H_t \rangle \cap N_t \neq o$. This implies that H_t is N_t-high in G_t.

THEOREM 2.4. — *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G^1 and K a pure subgroup of G containing N. Then for any N-high subgroup H of G,*

$$G = \langle H, K \rangle.$$

Proof. — Suppose $g \in G$, $g \notin H$, then $\langle g, H \rangle \cap N \neq 0$, thus there exists $h \in H$, $n \in N$ and a positive integer a, such that

$$ag + h = n \neq 0.$$

By an argument similar to the one used in lemma 2.1, there exists $h' \in H$ and $k \in K$ such that $a(g + h' - k) = 0$. Thus $g + h' - k \in G_t$. But, by lemmas 2.3 and 2.2, we know that $G_t = \langle H_t, K_t \rangle$, therefore $g \in \langle H, K \rangle$ and $G = \langle H, K \rangle$.

A classical theorem follows immediately from theorem 2.4.

COROLLARY. — *If D is a divisible subgroup of a group G, then D is an absolute summand of G.*

Proof. — Let $D = N$ in theorem 2.4, since D is divisible it is pure in G. Thus $G = D \oplus H$, for any D-high subgroup H of G.

THEOREM 2.5. — *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G^1 and H a subgroup of G disjoint from N. Then H is N-high in G if and only if H is pure, G/H is divisible and $G = \langle H, K \rangle$ for any pure subgroup K of G containing N.*

Proof. — The necessity follows from theorem 2.4. Suppose then that H satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Since $H \cap N = 0$ there exists an N-high subgroup H' of G containing H. Since H' is pure in G, H'/H is pure in G/H which is divisible, therefore H'/H is divisible and $G/H = (H'/H) \oplus (R/H)$ where R can be chosen to contain N. Since H is pure in G and R/H is pure in G/H, R is pure in G, and since $R \supset N$,

$$R = \langle R, H \rangle = G.$$

Therefore

$$H = R \cap H' = G \cap H' = H'$$

and H is N-high in G.

3. Some applications.

We first obtain a criterion for pure subgroups of a group G to be summands of G.

THEOREM 3.1. — *Let G be a group, K a pure subgroup of G containing a subgroup N of G^1. Then K is a direct summand of G if and only if there exists an N-high subgroup H of G such that $H \cap K$ is a direct summand of H.*

Proof. — Suppose $G = K \oplus L$, let M be any N-high subgroup of K, then it is easy to see that $H = L \oplus M$ is N-high in G and $H \cap K = M$ is a summand of H.

Suppose now that there exists an N-high subgroup H of G such that $H=(H\cap K)\oplus R$, by theorem 2.4 :

$$G=\langle H, K\rangle=\langle (H\cap K)\oplus R, K\rangle=\langle R, K\rangle$$

and since $R\cap K=0$, $G=R\oplus K$.

The following corollary contains theorem 2 in [4].

COROLLARY. — *A reduced group G splits over its maximal torsion subgroup G_t if and only if some N-high subgroup of G splits, where $N\subset G^1\cap G_t$.*

Proof. — If G is reduced and $G=G_t\oplus L$ then $G^1\subset G_t$ and since G_t is pure theorem 3.1 implies there exists an N-high subgroup such that $H\cap G_t=H_t$ is a summand of H. Now if H is N-high and $H=H_t\oplus L$ since $N\subset G_t\cap G^1$ by theorem 3.1, G_t is a summand of G.

For what follows we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.2. — *Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G then if K/H_t is an (H/H_t)-high subgroup of G/H_t, then K is pure in G and $K\supset G_t$.*

Proof. — Suppose $ng\in K$ where $g\in G$. Let $0\neq h=ag+k\in\langle K, g\rangle\cap H$ then $nag+nk=nh\in K\cap H=H_t$, therefore $h\in H_t$, thus $\langle K, g\rangle\cap H=H_t$ which implies $\langle K, g\rangle=K$, therefore $g\in K$ and thus K is pure in G. Now if $g\in G_t$ then letting $n=o(g)$ in the above argument we see that $K\supset G_t$.

LEMMA 3.3. — *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of G^1, H an N-high subgroup of G and K a pure subgroup of G containing $\langle N, G_t\rangle$ and such that $K\cap H=H_t$. Then for any N-high subgroup H' we have $K\cap H'=H'_t$.*

Proof. — Such K do exist (lemma 3.2). Clearly $K\cap H'\supset H'_t$. *Let* $h'\in K\cap H'$ and suppose $h'\notin H$ then there exists $h\in H$, $g\in N$ and a positive integer a such that $ah'+h=g\neq 0$, thus $h\in K\cap H=H_t$ let $b=o(h)$, then

$$bah'=bah'+bh=bg\in H'\cap N=0$$

thus $bah'=0$ and consequently $h'\in H'_t$. Therefore $K\cap H'=H'_t$.

COROLLARY 1 ([4], lemma). — *If G is a group, N a subgroup of G^1 and H is an N-high subgroup of G, then H/H_t is a summand of G/H_t.*

Proof. — Let K/H_t be H/H_t-high in G/H_t. Choose $K\supset N$. Then, since K is pure in G (lemma 3.2), it follows from theorem 2.4 that $G=\langle K, H\rangle$. Therefore $G/H_t=(H/H_t)\oplus(K/H_t)$.

COROLLARY 2 ([4], theorem 4). — *Let H and H' be two N-high subgroups of a reduced group G where N is a subgroup of G^1. Then $H/H_t\simeq H'/H'_t$ and $G/H_t\simeq G/H'_t$.*

Proof. — From corollary 1, $G/H_t = (H/H_t) \oplus (K/H_t)$. From lemma 3.3, $K \cap H' = H'_t$, therefore $G/H_t = (H'/H'_t) \oplus (K/H'_t)$. The result follows from this and the fact that $G/H \simeq G/H'$ (*see* [3]).

COROLLARY 3 ([4], theorem 1). — *Let G be a reduced group, N a subgroup of G^1 and H an N-high subgroup of G then if $H = H_t \oplus L$, we have $G = K \oplus L$ where K/G_t is the divisible part of G/G_t.*

Proof. — $G/H_t = H/H_t \oplus K/H_t$ from corollary 1.

Now K/H_t is divisible since $K/H_t \simeq G/H$, and $H/H_t \simeq L$ is reduced. Thus K/G_t is the divisible part of G/H_t. Now $K \cap H = H_t$ implies $K \cap L = 0$ and $\langle K, H \rangle = G$ implies $\langle K, L \rangle = G$, therefore

$$G = K \oplus L.$$

4. Generalizations. Quasi-Essential subsocles of p-groups.

It is natural to ask, what kind of subgroups of a group G have properties similar to subgroups of G^1. We consider first p-groups. It is trivial to verify that two subgroups of a p-group are disjoint if and only if their socles are. Thus it suffices to consider subgroups of the socle of a p-group which we will call subsocles.

DEFINITION 4.1. — Let G be a p-group, a subsocle S of G is said to be quasi-essential (q. e.) if $G = \langle H, K \rangle$ whenever H is an S-high subgroup of G and K a pure subgroup of G containing S. S is said to be strongly quasi-essential (s. q. e.) if every subgroup of S is q. e.

We now proceed to characterize those quasi-essential subsocles of G a p-group G which are also centers of purity (*see* [7] and [6]).

THEOREM 4.2. — *Let G be a p-group, S a center of purity, $S \subset G[p]$. If S is not quasi-essential in G then there exists $n \in Z$, $g \in G[p]$, $g \notin S$ and $s \in S$ such that*

$$h(s) = h(g) = n \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(s + g) = n + 1.$$

Proof. — Set $P_n = (p^n G)[p]$, $P_\infty = G^1[p]$ and $P_{\infty+1} = 0$ then it is known (*see* [6]) that S is a center of purity if and only if

$$P_n \supset S \supset P_{n+2} \qquad \text{for some} \quad n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \infty, \infty + 1\}.$$

From lemma 2.1, we see that if $n = \infty$, i. e. $S \subset G^1$, S is q. e. Thus if S is not q. e. there exists $n \in Z^+$, such that

$$P_n \supset S \supset P_{n+2}.$$

Also S is not q. e. implies that there exist a pure subgroup K of G containing S and an S-high subgroup H, of G such that $\langle H, K \rangle \neq G$. Let

$\langle H, K \rangle = R$. Since $R \supset G[p]$ and $R \neq G$, R is not pure in G (*see* [5], lemma 12). Therefore there exists an element $x \in R[p]$ such that $h(x) > h_R(x)$. H and K being both pure in G implies that $x \notin H$ and $x \notin K$ Therefore there exists $g \in H[p]$ and $s \in S$ such that $x = g + s$, $g \neq 0 \neq s$. It is easy to verify that

$$h_R(g) = h_H(g) = h(g) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_R(s) = h_K(s) = h(s),$$

therefore

$$h(g) = h(s) \leq h_R(g + s) < h(g + s).$$

Now $s \in S$ implies $h(s) \geq p^n$, $g \notin S$ implies $h(g) \leq n + 1$ and since $S \supset P_{n+2}$ we conclude that $h(s) = h(g) = n$ and $h(g + s) = n + 1$ as stated.

COROLLARY 1. — *Let G be a p-group, S a subsocle of G such that*

$$P_n \supset S \supset P_{n+1} \quad \textit{then } S \textit{ is quasi-essential.}$$

Proof. — S is a center of purity, thus theorem 4.2 applies and clearly there exists no pair $g \in G[p]$, $g \notin S$ and $s \in S$ that satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Thus S is q. e.

COROLLARY 2. — *Let G be a p-group, S subsocle of G such that S supports an absolute summand A of G then S is quasi-essential.*

Proof. — S is a center of purity, thus theorem 4.2 holds and again if $g \notin S$ and $s \in S$ and $h(g) = h(s)$ then, since g can be embedded in a complementary summand of A in G, $h(g + s) = h(g) = h(s)$. Therefore the condition of the theorem cannot be satisfied and S must be q. e.

COROLLARY 3. — *Let G be a p-group, K a pure subgroup of G containing P_n for some $n \in Z^+$, then K is a direct summand containing $p^n G$.*

Proof. — Since P_n is q. e., $G = \langle K, H \rangle$, where H is a P_n-high subgroup of G. Now H is bounded, in fact $p^n H = 0$, and $G/K \simeq H/H \cap K$, therefore K is a direct summand of G and $p^n G \subset K$.

In fact, it turns out that the conditions on S in corollary 1 and 2 as well as the condition that S be quasi-essential and a center of purity, are equivalent provided $S \not\subset G^1$. To prove this, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. — Let G be a p-group, H a pure subgroup of G such that G/H is pure-complete. Let S be a subsocle of G such that $H[p] \subset S$. Then S supports a pure subgroup K of G containing H.

Proof. — Since G/H is a pure-complete group, by definition, every subsocle of G/H supports a pure subgroup of G/H. Now $\langle S, H \rangle / H$ is

clearly a subsocle of G/H, therefore there exists K/H a pure subgroup of G/H such that

$$(K/H)[p] = \langle S, H \rangle / H.$$

Since H is pure in G, K is pure in G (*see* [5], lemma 2). Clearly $K[p] \supset S$, let $k \in K[p]$, then $k + H \in (K/H)[p] = \langle S, H \rangle / H$, thus there exists $s \in S$ and $h \in H$ such that $k - s = h$, but $ph = p(k - s) = 0$, and since $S \supset H[p]$, we conclude that $k \in S$. Therefore $K[p] = S$.

COROLLARY. — *Let G be a p-group, S a subsocle containing P^u (see* theorem 4.2*) for some $n \in Z^+$, then S supports a pure subgroup of G containing $p^n G$.*

Proof. — Let G_n be as in [1], p. 98. Then G_n is pure in G, $G_n[p] = P_n$ and G/G_n is bounded and therefore pure complete. Thus lemma 4.3 holds, and S supports a pure subgroup of G containing G_n.

THEOREM 4.4. — *Let G be a p-group, S subsocle of G not contained in G^1 then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *S is both a center of purity and a quais-essential subsocle of G;*
(ii) *S supports an absolute direct summand of G;*
(iii) *There exists $n \in Z^+$ such that $P_n \supset S \supset P_{n+1}$.*

Proof. — (i) implies (ii). Suppose S satisfies (i), then since S is a center of purity $S \supset P_m$ for some $m \in Z^+$ and by the corollary to lemma 4.3, S supports a pure subgroup K of G. Since S is also quasi-essential K is an absolute summand of G.

(ii) implies (i). Suppose S supports an absolute summand K. Then S is clearly a center of purity and by corollary 2 to theorem 4.2, S is q. e.

(i) implies (iii). Suppose S satisfies (i), then S supports an absolute summand K of G. Since S is a center of purity, we know there exists $m \in Z^+ \ni P_m \supset S \supset P_{m+2}$. Suppose $P_{m+1} \not\supset S$, we will show, by contradiction, that $S \supset P_{m+1}$. Indeed, suppose not, i. e. there is $x \in G[p]$ such that $x \notin S$ and $h(x) = m + 1$. Now $P_{m+1} \not\subset S$ implies there exists $s \in S$, $h(s) = m$, otherwise $P_m \subset S \subset P_{m+1}$, and we would be done. Let

$$y = x - s \quad \text{then } h(y) = m, \qquad y \notin S \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(y + s) = m + 1.$$

Since $y \notin S$ there is an S-high subgroup H of G such that $y \in H$. But, $G = K \oplus H$ and $h(y) = h(s)$ imply that $h(y + s) = h(y) = h(s)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $S \supset P_{m+1}$.

(iii) implies (i). If S satisfies (iii) it is a center of purity (*see* theorem 4.2, proof) and by corollary 1 to theorem 4.2 it is also q. e.

At this point we have completely characterized those quasi-essential subsocles of a p-group which are also centers of purity. An immediate consequence is the following.

COROLLARY. — *Let G be a p-group, A a pure subgroup of G, then A is an absolute direct summand of G if and only if A is divisible or $P_n \supset A[p] \supset P_{n+1}$ for some $n \in Z^+$.*

The strongly quasi-essential subsocles have also a simple characterization which can be obtained from the previous result. We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.5. — *Let G be a group; A, B, C three subgroups of G then*

$$\langle A \cap B, C \cap B \rangle = \langle A \cap B, C \rangle \cap B = \langle A, C \cap B \rangle \subset B.$$

LEMMA 4.6. — *Let G be a group, N a subgroup of M a subgroup of G, if a subgroup H is N-high in G then $H \cap M$ is N-high in M. Conversely if H' is an N-high subgroup of M then $H' = H \cap M$ for any N-high subgroup H of G containing H'.*

Proof. — Let H be N-high in G then for all $x \notin H$, we have

$$\langle H, x \rangle \cap N \neq 0.$$

Suppose $m \in M$, $m \notin H$, then

$$\langle H \cap M, m \rangle \cap N = \langle H, m \rangle \cap M \cap N = \langle H, m \rangle \cap N \neq 0.$$

and since $(H \cap M) \cap N = 0$, $H \cap M$ is N-high in M.

Let H' be an N-high subgroup of M, and let H be any N-high subgroup of G then $H \cap M \supset H'$ and $(H \cap M) \cap N = 0$. The maximality of H' implies $H \cap M = H'$.

LEMMA 4.7. — *Let G be a p-group, S a quasi-essential subsocle of G. Let K be a pure subgroup of G containing S. Then S is quasi-essential in K.*

Proof. — Let M be a pure subgroup of K containing S and let H be an S-high subgroup of K. Let H' be an S-high subgroup of G containing H then, since S is q. e. and M is also pure in G we have $\langle M, H' \rangle = G$, thus by lemma 4.5 and 4.6,

$$K = \langle M, H' \rangle \cap K = \langle M \cap K, H' \rangle \cap K = \langle M \cap K, H' \cap K \rangle = \langle M, H \rangle,$$

and S is q. e. in K as stated.

THEOREM 4.8. — *Let G be a p-group, S a subsocle of G then S is strongly quasi-essential if and only if either $S \subset G^1$ or there exists $n \in Z^+$, such that $p^n G = 0$ and $(p^{n-1} G)[p] \supset S$.*

Proof. — If $S \subset G^1$, S is s. q. e. follows from lemma 2.1. If there exists $n \in Z^+$ such that $p^{n-1} G[p] \supset S \supset p^n G = 0$ then S is s. q. e. as a

consequence of corollary 1 to theorem 4.2. Suppose now that S is s. q. e. and $S \not\subset G^1$ then there exists $s \in S$ such that $h(s) < \infty$. By corollary 24.2 in [1], s can be embedded in a finite pure subgroup K such that $K[p] = \langle s \rangle$. Since S is s. q. e., K is an absolute summand of G. Thus by theorem 4.4, there exists $m \in Z^+$, such that $(p^m G)[p] \subset \langle s \rangle \subset (p^{m-1} G)[p]$ but $\langle s \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order p, therefore G is a bounded group. This implies that S supports a pure subgroup M of G, and since S is q. e., M is an absolute summand of G. From lemma 4.7, we see that every subsocle of M is q. e. in M, and thus every summand of M is an absolute summand. By problem 11 (*b*), p. 93 in [1], $M = \sum C(p^n)$ for some $n \in Z^+$ and

$$S = M[p] \subset (p^{n-1} G)[p].$$

Clearly $M[p] \not\subset (p^n G)[p]$, therefore $(p^n G)[p] \subset S \subset (p^{n-1} G)[p]$, and since M is pure $P^n G \subset M$. Thus

$$p^n G = (p^n G) \cap M = p^n M = 0,$$

and the proof is complete.

The following characterization follows immediately from theorem 4.8.

THEOREM 4.9. — *Let G be a p-group, every subsocle of G is quasi-essential if and only if G is divisible or G is a direct sum of cyclic groups of same order.*

We have not been able to decide whether a quasi-essential subsocle is necessarily a center of purity or not. But in the next theorem, we have a case where quasi-essential subsocles are centers of purity.

THEOREM 4.10. — *Let G be a p-group, if G is pure-complete then every quasi-essential subsocle of G is a center of purity.*

Proof. — Let S be q. e. Since G is pure-complete, S supports a pure subgroup K of G. This K is an absolute summand and therefore the result follows from corollary 2 to theorem 4.2

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

[1] FUCHS (Laszlo). — *Abelian groups.* — Budapest, Hungarian Academy of Science, 1958.

[2] IRWIN (John M.). — High subgroups of Abelian torsion groups, *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 11, 1961, p. 1375-1384.

3] IRWIN (John M.) and WALKER (Elbert A.). — On *N*-high subgroups of Abelian groups, *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 11, 1961, p. 1363-1374.

[4] IRWIN (John M.), PEERCY (Carol) and WALKER (Elbert A.). — Splitting properties of high subgroups, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 90, 1962, p. 185-192.

[5] KAPLANSKY (Irving). — *Infinite abelian groups.* — Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press, 1954 (*University of Michigan Publications in Mathematics*, 2).

[6] PIERCE (R. S.). — Centers of purity in Abelian groups, *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 13, 1963, p. 215-219.

[7] REID (J. D.). — On subgroups of an abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup, *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 13, 1963, p. 657-664.

(Manuscrit reçu le 19 février 1968.)

John M. IRWIN,
Department of Mathematics,
College of liberal Arts,
Wayne State University,
Détroit, Mich. 48202 (États-Unis).

KHALID BENABDALLAH,
Département de Mathématiques
Université de Montréal
Montréal, Que. (Canada).