BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

S. YUAN

On logarithmic derivatives

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 96 (1968), p. 41-52

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1968__96__41_0>

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1968, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Bull. Soc. math. France,
96, 1968, p. 41 à 52.

ON LOGARITHMIC DERIVATIVES

BY

SHUEN YUAN.

1. Introduction.

Let C be a ring, always commutative with identity and of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let C^* denote the group of invertible elements of C. Given a derivation ∂ on C, the mapping

$$\delta_0 : \quad C^* \to C^+$$

defined by $\delta_0(u) = (\partial u)/u$ is a group-homomorphism. Now assume ∂ satisfies a polynomial

$$X = \alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^p + \ldots + \alpha_i t^{p^i} + \ldots + \alpha_n t^{p^n}$$

with coefficients in the ring $A =$ kernel ∂. For any c in C, let Lc denote the map $C \to C$ produced by multiplication by c. From the formula

$$(\partial + Lc)^p = \partial^p + L(\partial^{p-1} c + c^p) \quad ([3], \text{ p. } 201),$$

it is easily seen that

$$X(\partial + Lc) = L(\delta_1 c),$$

where

$$\delta_1(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i([\partial^{p^i-1} c] + [\partial^{p^i-1-1} c]^p + \ldots + [\partial^{p^i-j-1} c]^{p^j} + \ldots + c^{p^i})$$

is an element in A. It is also immediately clear that

$$\delta_1 : \quad C^+ \to A^+$$

is again a group-homomorphism. Let u be an element of C^*. Then

$$\partial + L(\delta_0 u) = (Lu)^{-1} \partial (Lu),$$

and so

$$X(\partial + L(\delta_0 u)) = (Lu)^{-1} X(\partial) (Lu) = 0.$$

This means given ∂ and X, we have a complex :

$$0 \to A^* \underset{\varepsilon}{\to} C^* \underset{\delta_0}{\to} C^+ \underset{\delta_1}{\to} A^+ \to 0.$$

When C is a finite dimensional field extension over A and X is the characteristic polynomial for ∂, a theorem of N. JACOBSON ([7], theorem 15) states that the kernel of δ_1 coincides with the image of δ_0.

The purpose of this paper is to describe, for a general commutative ring C, the group (kernel δ_1)/(image δ_0) in terms of classes of rank one projective A-modules which are split by C. If C is a noetherian integrally closed domain, a description is also given in terms of divisor classes of A which become principal in C. These are done in the next section. In the final section, some examples are given.

2. The rank one projective class group.

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a set of derivations on a semi-local ring* C *of prime characteristic* $p > 0$, *and let* A *denote the kernel*

$$\{ x \in C \mid \partial x = 0 \text{ for all } \partial \in \mathfrak{g} \}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$. *Assume* C *is a finitely generated projective* A*-module and* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\mathfrak{g}]$. *Then both* C *and* A *are finite ring direct sums of indecomposable semi-local rings*

$$C = C_1 + \ldots + C_m, \qquad A = A_1 + \ldots + A_m;$$

and for each i,

$$C_i \cong A_i[t_1, \ldots, t_r]/(t_1^p - a_1, \ldots, t_r^p - a_r),$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ *are in* A_i, $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ *are indeterminates, and* r *depends on* i.

Proof. — Given a prime ideal q in A, $\mathfrak{Q} = \{ x \in C \mid x^p \in \mathfrak{q} \}$ is a prime in C, and $\mathfrak{Q} \cap A = \mathfrak{q}$. If $\mathfrak{q}$ is maximal, so is $\mathfrak{Q}$, hence A must be semi-local. Let e be any idempotent in C. We have $\partial e = \partial e^p = p(\partial e)\, e^{p-1}$ is zero. This shows e is in A. The ring C being semi-local contains no more than finitely many indecomposable indempotents $\{ e_1, \ldots, e_m \}$. Put $C_i = Ce_i$ and $A_i = Ae_i$. We have

$$C = C_1 + \ldots + C_m, \qquad A = A_1 + \ldots + A_m.$$

Let N denote the radical of A_i, and put $\bar{A} = A_i/N$, $\bar{C} = C_i/NC_i$. Of course $\bar{A}$ is a finite direct sum $\sum_j F_j$ of fields. Accordingly $\bar{C}$ decomposes into a direct sum $\sum_j R_j$, where R_j is a finite dimensional

local F_j-algebra. Now C_i is a finitely generated projective module over a semi-local ring A_i with connected spectrum, so must be free ([1], p. 143). This shows the dimension of R_j over F_j is equal to the rank of C_i over A_i and hence is independent of j. If we denote by $\bar{\partial}$ the derivation on R_j induced by $\partial|_{C_i}$, and by $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set $\{\bar{\partial} \mid \partial \in \mathfrak{g}\}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{F_j}(R_j, R_j) = R_j[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}]$ because

$$\bar{A} \otimes_{A_i} \mathrm{Hom}_{A_i}(C_i, C_i) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{C}, \bar{C}).$$

Thus no non-trivial ideal of R_j can be stable under $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, the structure of R_j is therefore known ([9], corollary 2.8) :

$$R_j \cong F_j[t_1, \ldots, t_r]/(t_1^{p^r} - f_1, \ldots, t_r^{p^r} - f_r),$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ are elements of F_j, $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ are indeterminates. But r is independent of j, so

$$\bar{C} = \sum R_j \cong \bar{A}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]/(t_1^{p^r} - \bar{a}_1, \ldots, t_r^{p^r} - \bar{a}_r) \qquad (\bar{a}_i \in \bar{A}).$$

By [1], p. 105, this shows C_i is isomorphic to

$$A_i[t_1, \ldots, t_r]/(t_1^{p^r} - a_1, \ldots, t_r^{p^r} - a_r)$$

for some $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ in A_i as desired.

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let A be a commutative ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$, let*

$$C = A[t_0, \ldots, t_n]/(t_0^{p^n} - a_0, \ldots, t_n^{p^n} - a_n),$$

where $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ are elements of A and $t_0, \ldots, t_n$ are indeterminates. Assume ∂ is an A-derivation on C such that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. Then the characteristic polynomial of ∂ is of the form

$$\alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^{p^1} + \ldots + \alpha_i t^{p^i} + \ldots + \alpha_n t^{p^n} + t^{p^{n+1}} \qquad (\alpha_i \in A).$$

Proof. — Let $\partial_i = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$ be the A-derivation on C given by $\partial_i t_j = \delta_{ij}$ (the Kronecker delta function). So

$$\partial^{p^i} = b_{i0}\partial_0 + \ldots + b_{in}\partial_n, \qquad b_{ij} = \partial^{p^i}(t_j),$$

because ∂^{p^i} as a derivation is completely determined by its actions on the t_j's. Now from $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$, we know $\{\partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^{n+1}\}$ form a linearly independent C-basis for $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$. (Notice that ∂ as an A-endomorphism on the free A-module C of rank p^{n+1} has a characteristic polynomial of degree p^{n+1}. Therefore $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$ implies that every A-endomorphism on C is a C-linear combination in

$\{ \partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^{n+1} \}$. But $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$ is a free C-module of rank p^{n+1}, $\{ \partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^{n+1} \}$ must be C-linearly independent.) So

$$\partial_i = c_{i0}\partial + c_{i1}\partial^p + \ldots + c_{in}\partial^{p^n} + \sum c'_{ij}\partial^j \qquad (c_{ij}, c'_{ij} \in C),$$

where the summation runs through all j, $0 < j < p^{n+1}$ and j is not a power of p. So we have the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial^p \\ \vdots \\ \partial^{p^n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{00} & \ldots & b_{0n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n0} & \ldots & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} c_{00} & \ldots & c_{0n} & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & c'_{ij} \\ c_{n0} & \ldots & c_{nn} & \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial^p \\ \vdots \\ \partial^{p^n} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear independency of $\{ \partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^{n+1} \}$ therefore asserts that (b_{ij}) (c_{ij}) is the identity $n+1$ by $n+1$ matrix and (b_{ij}) (c'_{ij}) is a zero matrix. This shows $(c'_{ij}) = (c_{ij})$ (b_{ij}) (c'_{ij}) is a zero matrix. In other words,

$$\partial_i = c_{i0}\partial + c_{i1}\partial^p + \ldots + c_{in}\partial^{p^n} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

From $\partial^{p^{n+1}} = b_{n+1\,0}\partial_0 + \ldots + b_{n+1\,n}\partial_n$, we see that ∂ satisfies a polynomial

$$\alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^p + \ldots + \alpha_i t^{p^i} + \ldots + \alpha_n t^{p^n} + t^{p^{n+1}}.$$

That this polynomial must coincide with the characteristic polynomial of ∂ follows from the fact that $\{ \partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^{n+1} \}$ are linearly independent over C. This completes the proof of the lemma.

REMARK 2.3. — Derivations satisfying the hypothesis $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$ always exist. For example, let ∂ be given by $\partial t_0 = 1$ and $\partial t_i = (t_0 \ldots t_{i-1})^{p-1}$ for all $i > 0$. It is easy to verify that the characteristic polynomial of this derivation is just $t^{p^{n+1}}$.

THEOREM 2.4. — *Let ∂ be a derivation on a ring C of prime characteristic $p > 0$ with A as its kernel. Assume C is a finitely generated projective A-module of rank r and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. Then ∂ satisfies a polynomial $X = \alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^p + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1} t^{p^{n-1}} + t^{p^n}$ with α_i in A and $r = p^n$. Moreover $XC[t] = \{ f \in C[t] \mid f(\partial) = 0 \}$.*

Proof. — Given a maximal ideal q in A, let Q denote the maximal ideal $\{ x \in C \mid x^p \in q \}$ in C. It is clear that $C_Q = C \otimes_A A_q$. So $\mathrm{Hom}_{A_q}(C_Q, C_Q) = A_q \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C_Q[\partial]$. Hence by lemma 2.1 $r = p^n$ for some n. Let M be the A-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$ generated by ∂^{p^i}, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, and denote by M' the A-submodule of M generated by ∂^{p^i}, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. In view of [1] (p. 112, cor. 1)

to show the inclusion map $M' \to M$ is onto it suffices to show at each maximal ideal q in A the corresponding map $M'_q \to M_q$ is onto which according to lemma 2.2 is indeed the case. So there is a polynomial $X = \alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^p + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1} t^{p^{n-1}} + t^{p^n}$, with α_i in A and $X(\partial) = 0$. Given $f \in C[t]$, $f(\partial) = 0$, we may write $f = gX + h$, with g, $h \in C[t]$ and degree $h < p^n$. So $h(\partial) = 0$. Since $\{ \partial^i \mid 0 \leq i < p^n \}$ is linearly independent over C_Q at every maximal ideal Q in C, all coefficients of h must vanish because they vanish locally. So $f = gX$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.5. — *Let ∂ be a derivation on a ring C of prime characterictic $p > 0$ with A as its kernel. Assume C is a finitely generated projective A-module and* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. *Then*

$$\{ f \in C[t] \mid f(\partial) = 0 \} = XC[t]$$

for some $X(t) = \alpha_0 t + \alpha_1 t^p + \ldots + \alpha_i t^{p^i} + \ldots + \alpha_n t^{p^n}$ *with* $\alpha_i \in A$ *and α_n a non-zero idempotent.*

Proof. — Since C is finitely generated and projective as A-module, the map $\rho : q \to$ (rank of C_q over A_q) is locally constant on $\Omega = \mathrm{Spec}\ A$. For any positive integer r_i write $\Omega_i = \{ q \in \Omega \mid \rho(q) = r_i \}$. So Ω_i is both open and closed in Ω and we have a finite disjoint union $\Omega = \bigcup \Omega_i$ because Ω is quasi-compact. If $\tilde{A} = (\Omega, \tilde{A})$ is the sheaf of local rings associated to A and $\tilde{A}_i = \tilde{A} \mid \Omega_i$, then $A = \tilde{A}(\Omega)$ decomposes into a finite ring direct sum $\oplus \tilde{A}_i(\Omega_i)$. So $A = \oplus Ae_i$ and $C = \oplus Ce_i$ where e_i is the identity element of $\tilde{A}_i(\Omega_i)$. Since Ce_i is a finitely generated projective Ae_i-module of finite rank and $\mathrm{Hom}_{Ae_i}(Ce_i, Ce_i) = Ce_i[e_i\partial]$. An application of the theorem completes the proof of the corollary.

Hereafter we shall always denote by X the polynomial given by corollary 2.5.

THEOREM 2.6. — *Let ∂ be a derivation on a ring C of prime characteristic $p > 0$ with A as its kernel. Assume C is a finitely generated projective module over A and* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. *Then the group $P(C/A)$ of classes of rank one projective A-modules split by C is isomorphic to the homology group $L(C/A) =$ (kernel δ_1)/(image δ_0) of the complex*

$$C^* \underset{\delta_0}{\to} C^+ \underset{\delta_1}{\to} A^+$$

defined by ∂ and X.

Proof (1). — Let M be a rank one projective A-module such that the C-module $M \otimes C$ is free on one generator b. Let F be a finite subset

(8) Henceforth all tensor-product signs without subscripts will denote tensor product over A.

of A such that the ideal in A generated by F is A and such that for any $f \in F$, the A_f-module $M \otimes A_f$ is free on one generator b_f ([1], p. 138). Given $f \in F$, $b = b_f(1 \otimes u_f)$ for some invertible element u_f of A_f. Now let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a prime ideal of C, and let $\mathfrak{q}$ denote the prime $\mathfrak{Q} \cap A$ in A. To any generator $b_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ for the free $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$-module $M \otimes A_{\mathfrak{q}}$, there is a unique invertible element $u_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ in $C_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ given by the equation $b = b_{\mathfrak{Q}}(1 \otimes u_{\mathfrak{Q}})$. It is easily seen that the correspondence $\mathfrak{Q} \to (\partial u_{\mathfrak{Q}})/u_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ is independent of the choice of $b_{\mathfrak{Q}}$. In particular, if $f \in F$ is not in $\mathfrak{q}$, then $(\partial u_f)/u_f$ goes to $(\partial u_{\mathfrak{Q}})/u_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ under the canonical homomorphism $C_f \to C_{\mathfrak{Q}}$. This shows $\mathfrak{Q} \to (\partial u_{\mathfrak{Q}})/u_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ is a section for the structural sheaf of $\operatorname{Spec} C$. By [4], p. 86, there is a unique element $z \in C$ such that for all $\mathfrak{Q} \in \operatorname{Spec} C$, the canonical image of z in $C_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ is $(\partial u_{\mathfrak{Q}})/u_{\mathfrak{Q}}$. Now $\delta_1 z$ must be trivial because at each $\mathfrak{Q}$,

$$X(\partial + Lz) = (Lu_{\mathfrak{Q}})^{-1} X(\partial) (Lu_{\mathfrak{Q}}) = 0 \quad ([1], \text{ p. } 112).$$

If b' is another generator for the free C-module $M \otimes C$, and z' is the element in C to correspond, then $z' = z$ modulo image δ_0. So we have a well-defined mapping $\lambda : P(C/A) \to L(C/A)$.

Obviously λ is a group-homomorphism. To show it is one-to-one, assume $z = \partial u/u$ for some $u \in C^*$. Then for any $\mathfrak{Q} \in \operatorname{Spec} C$, $u_{\mathfrak{Q}} = u a_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ for some $a_{\mathfrak{Q}} \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$, $(1 \otimes \partial)\ (b[1 \otimes u^{-1}])$ must be zero in $M \otimes C$ because at every $\mathfrak{Q}$,

$$(1 \otimes \partial)\ (b[1 \otimes u^{-1}]) = (1 \otimes \partial)\ (b_{\mathfrak{Q}}[1 \otimes a_{\mathfrak{Q}}]) = 0.$$

But the sequence $0 \to M \otimes A \to M \otimes C \xrightarrow[1 \otimes \partial]{} M \otimes C$ is exact, $b(1 \otimes u^{-1})$ therefore is already contained in M. Let m be any element of M. Then $m \otimes 1 = b(1 \otimes u^{-1}c)$ for some $c \in C$. Therefore c must be an element of A because $0 = (1 \otimes \partial)\ (m \otimes 1) = b(1 \otimes u^{-1}[\partial c])$. This shows M is free over A and hence λ is one-to-one (2).

It remains to show λ is onto. So let $C[t; \partial]$ be the non-commutative ring of differential polynomials with coefficients in C defined by $tc = ct + \partial c$. An inductive argument shows that

$$t^r c = ct^r + \binom{r}{1} (\partial c)\, t^{r-1} + \binom{r}{2} (\partial^2 c)\, t^{r-2} + \ldots + (\partial^r c),$$

and so X is in the center of $C[t; \partial]$ because $t^p c = ct^p + \partial^p c$.

Now to any z in the kernel of $\delta_1 : C^+ \to A^+$, we associate a ring-homomorphism

$$\rho_z : \quad C[t; \partial] \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C) \quad \text{given by } \rho_z(g) = g(\partial + Lz).$$

---

(2) Note that the hypotheses C over A being finitely generated projective and $\operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$ are not needed for the existance and the injectivity of λ. Similar remark applies to theorem 2.9.

If g is in the kernel of ρ_0, then $g(\partial + Lz)$ is the zero endomorphism on C. This shows the kernel of ρ_0 is contained in the kernel of ρ_z. So we have a ring-homomorphism $\rho_z\rho_0^{-1} : C[\partial] \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$. In other words, $X(\partial + Lz) = 0$ means that C is made into a $C[\partial]$-module with ∂ acting on C as $\partial + Lz$. But if $C[\partial] = \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$, the modules over the latter are well-known. Write $E = \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$, then the formula is $\mathrm{Hom}_E(C, C) \otimes C \simeq C$ ([1], p. 181, exercice 18). Now each element of $\mathrm{Hom}_E(C, C)$ is determined by its action on $1 \in C$ which must go to an element of C annihilated by the new operation of ∂ since in the old operation of ∂, $\partial 1 = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_E(C, C) \cong$ kernel $(\partial + Lz)$ and so $C = C.\mathrm{kernel}\ (\partial + Lz)$. But C over A is a faithfully flat module : given any prime ideal q in A, $Q = \{ x \in C \mid x^p \in q \}$ is a prime in C, and $Q \cap A = q$; if q is maximal, so is Q ([1], p. 51). $\mathrm{Hom}_E(C, C) \otimes C = C$ therefore implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_E(C, C)$ and hence kernel $(\partial + Lz)$ is a rank one projective A-module ([1], p. 53, 142). Write $\pi_z = \mathrm{kernel}\ (\partial + Lz)$, and let b be the element $\sum m_i \otimes c_i$ in $\pi_z \otimes C$ such that $\sum m_i c_i = 1$ in C. For each $Q \in \mathrm{Spec}\, C$, pick $m_Q \in \pi_z$ such that $b_Q = m_Q \otimes 1$ is a generator for the rank one free A_q-module $\pi_z \otimes A_q$. We have, for all i, $m_i \otimes 1 = m_Q \otimes a_i$ for some $a_i \in A_q$. Now with the notations introduced earlier in this proof, $u_Q = \sum a_i c_i$. But in C_Q $m_Q \sum a_i c_i = \sum m_i c_i = 1$. So

$$0 = (\partial m_Q)\left(\sum a_i c_i\right) + m_Q \sum a_i (\partial c_i)$$

$$= -m_Q\left(z \sum a_i c\right) + m_Q \sum a_i (\partial c_i).$$

This shows $(\partial u_Q)/u_Q = \left(\partial \sum a_i c_i\right)\Big/\left(\sum a_i c_i\right) = z$, and hence λ is onto. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We list some special cases of theorem 2.6. When C is a field, the following is the well-known theorem of Jacobson ([7], theorem 15].

COROLLARY 2.7. — *Let C be a semi-local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let ∂ be a derivation on C with A as its kernel such that C is a finitely generated projective module over A and* $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$ (3). *Then the sequence*

$$0 \to A^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C^* \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C^+ \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A^+$$

is exact.

---

(3) When C is a finite dimensional field extension of A, this is always satisfied.

Proof. — Since A is also semi-local, we have $L(C/A) \cong P(C/A) = 0$ ([1], p. 143) hence the corollary.

Of particular interest is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.8. — *Let C be either a noetherian ring or an integral domain of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let ∂ be a derivation on C with A as its kernel such that C is a finitely generated projective A-module and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. Let L be the total ring of fractions of C, and denote by $L(C/A)$ the group*

$$[\delta_0(L^*) \cap C^+]/\delta_0(C^*) = \{\, \partial x/x \mid x \in L^*;\ \partial x/x \in C \,\}/\{\partial x/x \mid x \in C^* \,\}.$$

Then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi : \quad L(C/A) \to P(C/A)$$

which takes class z to class kernel $(\partial + Lz)$.

Proof. — Consider the commutative diagram given by ∂ and X,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C^* & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & C^+ & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & A^+ \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ L^* & \underset{\delta_0}{\rightarrow} & L^+ & \underset{\delta_1}{\rightarrow} & K^+ \end{array} \qquad (K = \text{the total ring of fractions of } A),$$

the lower sequence is exact by corollary 2.7. So z belongs to kernel $\{\, C^+ \xrightarrow{\delta_1} A^+ \,\}$ if and only if $z = \partial x/x$ for some $x \in L^*$. By theorem 2.6, this shows π is an isomorphism as asserted.

In the above corollary, if C is a noetherian integrally closed domain, the hypothesis that C over A is finitely generated and projective can be relaxed to C over A is finitely presented, that is, there is an exact sequence of A-modules

$$F_2 \to F_1 \to C \to 0,$$

where F_1 and F_2 are finitely generated free A-modules. But instead of rank one projectives, we now have to describe $L(C/A)$ in terms of divisor classes.

The definition of Krull domain can be found in [2]. Noetherian integrally closed domains form the main example of Krull domains. If g is a set of derivations on a field L, and z a non-zero element in L, we shall denote by $\zeta_z : g \to L$ the map defined by $\partial \to (\partial z/z)$.

THEOREM 2.9. — *Let g be a finite set of derivations on a Krull domain C of characteristic $p \neq 0$, and let A be the Krull domain*

$$\{\, x \in C \mid \partial x = 0 \quad \text{for all } \partial \in g \,\}.$$

Denote by L and K the fields of fractions of C and A respectively. Assume C is finitely presented as A-module and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[g]$. *Then the group $\Gamma(C/A)$ of divisor classes in A which become principal in C is isomorphic to*

$$L(C/A) = \{\zeta_z \mid z \in L^* \text{ and } \zeta_z(\partial) \in C \text{ for all } \partial \in g\}/\{\zeta_z \mid z \in C^*\}.$$

Proof. — Let d be a divisor in A which becomes a principal divisor (z) in C. Then for each prime ideal Q of height one in C, there is some z_Q in K such that $|z|_Q = |z_Q|_Q$, where $|\ |_Q$ is the discrete valuation on C given by Q. So $z = u_Q z_Q$ for some invertible element u_Q in C_Q. This shows for any ∂ in g, $\partial z/z = \partial u_Q/u_Q$ is an element of C_Q for all prime Q of height one. So $\partial z/z$ is an element of C because C is a Krull domain. Since $\zeta_z = \zeta_u$ $(z \in L^*, u \in C^*)$ is equivalent to $\partial\,(z/u) = 0$ for all ∂ in g, or in other words $z/u \in K^*$, the correspondence $d \to \zeta_z$ gives rise to a one-to-one group-homomorphism $\lambda : \Gamma(C/A) \to L(C/A)$.

To prove the map is onto, let z be an element of L^* such that $\partial z/z \in C$ for all ∂ in g. We claim that if $|z|_Q \not\equiv 0$ modulo p, then the ramification index $e(Q)$ of Q over A must be one. Let $t \in Q$ be a uniformizing variable for Q, that is, $tC_Q = QC_Q$. So $z = ut^n$ for some invertible element u in C_Q, and

$$(\partial u/u) + n(\partial t/t) = \partial z/z \in C \quad \text{for all } \partial \text{ in } g.$$

This shows if $n \not\equiv 0\ (p)$, then tC_Q is stable under g. Now C is finitely presented as A-module, if $q = Q \cap A$, then

$$A_q \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A_q}(C_Q, C_Q) \quad ([1], \text{p. } 98).$$

But A_q is a discrete valuation ring, C_Q as a finitely generated torsion-free A_q-module must be free, so

$$\hat{C}_Q[g] \cong \hat{A}_q \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) \cong \hat{A}_q \otimes_{A_q} [A_q \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)]$$
$$\cong \hat{A}_q \otimes_{A_q} \mathrm{Hom}_{A_q}(C_Q, C_Q) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{A}_q}(\hat{C}_Q, \hat{C}_Q),$$

where $\hat{}$ means taking completion. Now the ramification index of $t\hat{C}_Q$ is either 1 or p. If it is p, then there is an $\hat{A}_q$-derivation Δ on $\hat{C}_Q$ such that $\Delta t = 1$. From $\hat{C}_Q[g] = \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{A}_q}(\hat{C}_Q, \hat{C}_Q)$, we see that $\partial t \notin t\hat{C}_Q$ for some ∂ in g. This shows that if $QC_Q = tC_Q$ is stable under g, then $e(Q) = 1$. Let d denote the divisor $\sum_Q \frac{|z|_Q}{e(Q)}(Q \cap A)$. Clearly λ maps class d to class $\partial z/z$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 2.10. — When L is a field extension over K of dimension p, g has only one element ∂, and $\partial(C)$ contained in no prime ideal of

height one, theorem 2.9 is given by SAMUEL ([8], theorem 2). The monomorphism part of theorem 2.9 is also given by HALLIER ([6], p. 3924). That this monomorphism in general is by no means onto is clear from the following.

REMARK 2.11. — The hypothesis $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$ cannot be dropped from theorems 2.6 and 2.9. Consider the polynomial ring $C = E[x, y, z]$ where E is a field of characteristic 2. Let ∂' be the E-derivation on C given by

$$\partial' x = y^4, \qquad \partial' y = x^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial' z = xyz.$$

Then C is a free module over $A = \text{kernel } \partial' = E[x^2, y^2, z^2]$. The latter is a unique factorization domain, so both $P(C/A)$ and $\Gamma(C/A)$ are trivial. $L(C/A, \partial')$ however is not trivial : $\partial' z/z = xy$ is an element of C while C^* is just E^*, the image of C^* in C^+ is trivial.

If instead of ∂', we consider the E-derivation ∂ on C given *by* $\partial x = 1$, $\partial y = x$ and $\partial z = xy$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. The sequence $C^* \to C^+ \to A^+$ given by ∂ and its characteristic polynomial t^8 is exact, and

$$L(C/A) = L(C/A, \partial) = 0.$$

3. **Examples.**

3.1. *Counter-example for a conjecture of Samuel.* — Let C be the polynomial ring $E[x, y]$ where E is a field of characteristic 2. Let ∂ be the E-derivation on C given by $\partial x = 1$ and $\partial y = y^2$. Then C is a free module over $A = \text{kernel } \partial = E[x^2, y^2, xy^2 + y]$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C) = C[\partial]$. The characteristic polynomial for ∂ is t^2, and the map $\delta_1 : C^+ \to A^+$ given by ∂ and t^2 is $c \to \partial c + c^2$. Now C^* is just E^*, so $\delta_0(C^*)$ is trivial. The kernel of δ_1 is $\{0, y\}$. So $P(C/A) = \Gamma(C/A)$ [$= P(A) = \Gamma(A)$ because C is a unique factorization domain] is cyclic of order 2. The non-trivial rank one projective A-module is the ideal $y^2A + (xy^2 + y)A$. Since $\partial y/y = y$ is an element of $C = (\partial C)C$, we get a counter-example for the following conjecture of Pierre SAMUEL ([8], p. 88) :

Let ∂ be a derivation on an integral domain of characteristic $p > 0$. If Q is the ideal in C generated by the image of ∂, then $\partial c/c \in Q$ $(c \in C)$ implies $\partial u/u = \partial c/c$ for some $u \in C^*$.

Some special cases of this statement have been verified by HALLIER [5] and also by SAMUEL [8], and was used by SAMUEL to compute the divisor class group of the following example when the characteristic of C is 2, 3 and 5.

3.2. — Let $C = E[[x, y]]$ be the formal power series ring over a field E of characteristic $p > 0$. Let ∂ be the E-derivation on C given by $\partial x = x$ and $\partial y = -y$. So $A = \text{kernel } \partial = E[[x^p, y^p, xy]]$. Both A and C are noetherian integrally closed. Since C is finitely generated

as A-module, C is finitely presented also [1], p. 36. The rank one projective class group $P(A)$ is trivial because A is a local ring. We propose to verify the following statements :

(i) $C[\partial] = \mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$;
(ii) $\Gamma(A) = \Gamma(C/A)$ is cyclic of order p;
(iii) the A-module C is not flat, and hence not projective.

Given f in $\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, C)$, we have $f = x_0 + x_1\partial + \ldots + x_{p-1}\partial^{p-1}$ with $x_i \in L$ because $\mathrm{Hom}_K(L, L) = L[\partial]$ and $[L : K] = p$. Now $x_0 = f(1) \in C$, so we may assume $x_0 = 0$ and

$$f = x_1\partial + \ldots + x_{p-1}\partial^{p-1}.$$

From $\partial^i(x^j) = j^i x^j$, $\partial^i(y^j) = (-j)^i y^j$, we get two systems of linear equations in x_i :

$$\text{(I)} \qquad ix_1 + i^2x_2 + \ldots + i^{p-1}x_{p-1} = f(x^i)/x^i \qquad (0 < i < p);$$

$$\text{(II)} \quad (-i)x_1 + (-i)^2x_2 + \ldots + (-i)^{p-1}x_{p-1} = f(y^i)/y^i \qquad (0 < i < p).$$

The first system of equations shows x_i is a polynomial in $1/x$ with coefficients in C, while the second system shows x_i is a polynomial in $1/y$ also with coefficients in C. So $x_i \in C$ and $f \in C[\partial]$.

The divisor class group $\Gamma(A)$ is just $\Gamma(C/A)$ because C is a unique factorization domain. So $\Gamma(A) = [\delta_0(L^*) \cap C^+]/\delta_0(C^*)$. Now the minimal polynomial for ∂ is $t^p - t$. The mapping $\delta_1 : C^+ \to A^+$ with respect to ∂ and $t^p - t$ is given by $\delta_1(s) = \partial^{p-1}s - s + s^p (s \in C)$.

Assume z is an element of kernel δ_1, and write

$$z = \alpha + \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i),$$

where $\alpha \in E$, β, u_i, $v_i \in A$, and β has no constant term. We have

$$(\alpha^p - \alpha) + (\beta^p - \beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^p = 0.$$

So $\alpha = \alpha^p$, which implies α is an element of $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^p + \beta^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^{p^2} + \beta^{p^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^{p^n}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows z is an element of kernel ∂_1 if and only if

$$z = \alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} (u_i x^i + v_i y^i)^{p^n},$$

with $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, u_i, $v_i \in A$. But given $u \in A$, $0 < i < p$, the element $ux^i + (ux^i)^p + (ux^i)^{p^2} + \ldots$ always lies in the image of $\partial_0 : C^* \to C^+$ because the equation

$$\partial\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} s_j x^j\right) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} s_j x^j\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ux^i)^{p^n} \qquad (s_j \in A)$$

is solvable in s_j. This proves $\Gamma(A)$ is cyclic of order p since elements in the image of $\partial_0 : C^* \to C^+$ has no constant terms.

Finally, C is finitely presented as A-module, if C is flat over A, C would be projective over A ([1], p. 140); according to corollary 2.8, that would imply $P(C/A) = L(C/A) = \Gamma(C/A)$ is cyclic of order p. But A is a local ring, $P(C/A)$ must be trivial, therefore the A-module C is not flat.

REFERENCES.

[1] Bourbaki (Nicolas). — *Algèbre commutative.* Chap. 1 et 2. — Paris, Hermann, 1961 (*Act. scient. et ind.*, 1290; *Bourbaki*, 27).

[2] Bourbaki (Nicolas). — *Algèbre commutative.* Chap. 7, Diviseurs. — Paris, Hermann, 1965 (*Act. scient. et ind.*, 1314; *Bourbaki*, 31).

[3] Cartier (Pierre). — Questions de rationalité des diviseurs en géométrie algébrique, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 86, 1958, p. 177-251 (Thèse Sc. math., Paris, 1958).

[4] Grothendieck (Alexander) et Dieudonné (Jean). — *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, I. *Le langage des schémas.* — Paris, Presses Universitaires de France, 1960 (*Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Publications mathématiques*, 4).

[5] Hallier (Nicole). — Quelques propriétés arithmétiques des dérivations, *C. R. Acad. Sc.*, t. 258, 1964, p. 6041-6044.

[6] Hallier (Nicole). — Utilisation des groupes de cohomologie, *C. R. Acad. Sc.*, t. 261, 1965, p. 3922-3924.

[7] Jacobson (N.). — Abstract derivations and Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.*, t. 42, 1937, p. 206-224.

[8] Samuel (Pierre). — Classes de diviseurs et dérivées logarithmiques, *Topology*, Oxford, t. 3, 1964, p. 81-96.

[9] Yuan (Shuen). — Differentiably simple rings, *Duke math. J.*, t. 31, 1964, p. 623-630.

(Manuscrit reçu le 15 septembre 1967.)

Shuen Yuan,
8420 Main,
Williamsville, N. Y. 14221
(États-Unis).