ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'É.N.S.

MICHEL TALAGRAND

On spreading models in $L^1(E)$

Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 4^e série, tome 17, n° 3 (1984), p. 433-438
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1984_4_17_3_433_0>

© Gauthier-Villars (Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier), 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. » (http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ansens) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.,
4e série, t. 17, 1984, p. 433-438.

ON SPREADING MODELS IN $L^1(E)$

PAR MICHEL TALAGRAND (*)

ABSTRACT. — We construct a Banach space E which has the Schur property (hence l^1 is its only spreading model) but such for each family $(a_{n,k})$, with $a_{n,k} \geqq 1$, $\lim_n a_{n,k} = +\infty$, there is a sequence (f_n) in $L^1(E)$ for which $\| \sum_{k \leqq i \leqq n} \pm f_i \| \leqq a_{n,k}$. In particular, $L^1(E)$ has a spreading model isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{N})$.

1. Introduction

Let E be a separable Banach space and (Ω, Σ, μ) a (standard) measure space. We denote by $L^1(E)$ the space of integrable functions $\Omega \to E$. It is known that if E does not contain $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$, then $L^1(E)$ does not contain c_0 [2]. The purpose of this work is to show in an opposite direction that even when E is by no way close to c_0, $L^1(E)$ can contain sequences which somehow behave like the unit basis of c_0. Recall that a Banach space has the Schur property if weak null sequences go to zero in norm.

We shall show the following.

THEOREM A. — *There exists a separable Banach space* E *which has the Schur property, such that for each family* $a_{n,k}$ *of real* $a_{n,k} \geqq 1$, *such that:*

$$\forall k, \quad \lim_n a_{n,k} = +\infty, \tag{1}$$

there exists a sequence $f_n \in L^1(E)$, *such that:*

$$\forall \omega, \quad \| f_n(\omega) \| = 1, \tag{2}$$

(3) $\forall$ *finite set* I, *with* $\operatorname{card} I = n$ *and* $\operatorname{Inf} I \geqq k$ *one has, for* $(b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^I$:

$$\operatorname{Inf} |b_i| \leqq \| \sum_{i \in I} b_i f_i \| \leqq a_{n,k} \sup |b_i| .$$

(*) This paper was written while the author was visiting the Ohio State University.

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE. — 0012-9593/84/03 433 6/$ 2.60
© Gauthier-Villars

Since E has the Schur property it follows from Rosenthal's theorem [3] that each sequence (X_n) of E which does not converge in norm has a subsequence equivalent to the basis of l^1. However the sequence (f_n) of $L^1(E)$ has a behavior which is close to the basis of c_0. Since it is possible to choose $(a_{n,k})$ such that for each $n \lim_k (a_{n,k}) = 1$, in the language of spreading models, $L^1(E)$ has c_0 as a spreading model, while E has l^1 as unique spreading model.

The whole difficulty of the construction is that in E there should be "very few" sequences equivalent to the basis of l^1.

2. Setting of the construction

Let us set $T_n = \{0, 1\}^n$, $T = \cup T_n$. For $s \in T$ let $|s|$ be the unique n for which $s \in T_n$. For $s, t \in T$, $|s| = n$, $|t| = m$, $n \leqq m$, $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$, $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_m)$, we write $s < t$ if $\forall i \leqq n$, $s_i = t_i$. With this order, T is the usual dyadic tree. For $t \in T$, $n \leqq |t|$, we write $t|n$ the unique $s \in U_n$ for which $s < t$.

Let us denote by $(e_t)_{t \in T}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{(T)}$. In the next paragraph, we shall construct a family H of $\mathbb{R}^{(T)}$, and we shall define for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{(T)}$:

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|\langle g, x\rangle|, g \in H\}. \tag{4}$$

Let E be the completion of $(\mathbb{R}^{(T)}, \|\ .\ \|)$. It will be true that $\|e_t\| = 1$. We denote by e_t^* the element of E^* given by $e_t^*(e_{t'}) = 1$ if $t = t'$ and zero otherwise.

Let $\Omega = \prod_n T_n$, and let μ be the canonical measure on Ω (i.e. the product measure when each T_n is given the measure which puts weight 2^{-n} at each point).

Let $p_n : \Omega \to T_n$ be the projection of rank n. Let $h_n(\omega) = e_{p_n(\omega)}$. The reader has noticed that the setting of this construction is very similar to the setting of the construction [4] of a space E with the Dunford-Pettis property such that $\mathscr{C}([0, 1], E)$ fails the Dunford-Pettis property. However the idea of the construction of the norm is rather different.

3. Construction of the norming functionals

We start with $H_0 = \{e_t^*;\ t \in T\}$. We shall construct inductively subsets H_n of $\mathbb{R}^{(T)}$.

Let X^n be the set of subsets $A = \{t_1, \ldots, t_p\}$ of T with the following property:

$$\forall 1 \leqq i \leqq p, \qquad |t_i| \geqq n. \tag{5}$$

$$\exists s \in T_n, \qquad s < t_i, \quad \forall i \leqq p. \tag{6}$$

(7) If $|t_i| = c_i$, for $1 \leqq i < j \leqq p$ one has $t_j|c_i = t_{i+1}|c_i$.

The element s will be called the *stem* of A and be denoted by $s(A)$. Let $H_1^n = \{ 1/4 \sum_{t \in A} e_t^*; A \in X^n \}$. For $g \in H_1^n$, we call $s(A)$ the stem of g, also denoted by $s(g)$. We set $H_1 = \bigcup_n H_1^n$.

For $g \in \mathbb{R}^{(T)}$, let $V(g) = \sup \{ |t|; \langle g, e_t \rangle \neq 0 \}$. Let $n > 0$. Consider a sequence $k(1) = n < k(2) < \ldots < k(p)$ and a sequence $g(i) \in H_1^{k(i)}$ such that:

$$\forall 1 \leqq i \leqq p, \qquad V(g(i)) < k(i+1). \tag{8}$$

$$\exists s \in T_n, \qquad \forall i, \quad s < s(g(i)). \tag{9}$$

$$\forall i < j \leqq p, \qquad s(g(i+1)) \,|\, V(g(i)) = s(g(j)) \,|\, V(g(i)). \tag{10}$$

[The reader should make a picture of the supports of the $g(i)$.] We define H_2^n as the set of sums $1/4 \sum_{i \leqq p} g(i)$ of the above type, and H_2 as $\bigcup_{n \geqq 1} H_2^n$.

The construction continues in the same way. Notice that each $g \in H_n$ is of the type $4^{-n} \sum_{t \in A} e_t^*$. Moreover, as is seen by induction, if $B \subset A$, $g' = 4^{-n} \sum_{t \in B} e_t^*$ still belongs to H_n.

Let H' be the set of finite sums $\sum_{i \geqq 2} g_i$, where $g_i \in H_i$. Let $H = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup H'$.

4. E has the Schur property

By standard arguments of approximation, it is enough to show that if a sequence $(f_n) \in E$ such that $f_n = \sum_{t \in A_n} x_t^n e_t$ for A_n disjoint sets, $\| f_n \| = 1$ it cannot go to zero weakly.

1*st case.* — The following holds:

$$\forall m, \qquad \limsup_n \{ |\langle g, f_n \rangle|; g \in H_m \} = 0. \tag{11}$$

For each n, there is $g_n \in H$ with $|\langle g_n, f_n \rangle| \geqq 1/2$. From (11) it follows that $g_n \in H'$ for n large enough. Then we can write $g_n = \sum_{2 \leqq i \leqq k(n)} g_n^i$ where $g_n^i \in H_i$. By taking a subsequence one can assume from (11) that:

$$\Big| \sum_{i \leqq k(n-1)} g_n^i(f_n) \Big| \leqq 1/4.$$

If:

$$g_n' = \sum_{k(n-1) < i \leqq k(n)} g_n^i,$$

one has $|g_n'(f_n)| \geqq 1/4$. Let $\bar{g}_n^i$ obtained from g_n^i by restricting its support to A_n. Then $\bar{g}_n^i \in H_n$. Let:

$$g''_n = \sum_{k(n-1) < i \leqq k(n)} \bar{g}_n^i.$$

Then $|g''_n(f_n)| \geqq 1/4$. Moreover, $g''_n(f_p)=0$ for $p \neq n$. Let $h_n = \sum_{p \leqq n} g''_p$. Then $h_n \in H'$. Indeed, $h_n = \sum_{i < k(n)} h^i$ where $h^i = \overline{g}^i_p$ for the unique p such that $k(p-1) < i \leqq k(p)$. We have $|h_n(f_p)| > 1/4$ for $p < n$. Hence if h is a weak* cluster point of (h_n), we have $|h(f_p)| \geqq 1/4 \, \forall p$, which finishes the proof in this case.

2nd case. — There is m, $\alpha > 0$ and a sequence k_n such that $\sup\{|\langle g, f_{k_n}\rangle|; g \in H_m\} > \alpha \, \forall n$. One can suppose $k_n = n$. One can also suppose that m is the smallest integer for which the above is true, i. e.:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup\{|\langle g, f_n\rangle|; g \in H_{m-1}\} = 0. \tag{12}$$

For convenience of notation suppose now on that $m \geqq 1$. (The same argument works for $m=0$.)

Let $g_n \in H_m$ with $|\langle g_n, f_n\rangle| > \alpha$. One can suppose that g_n is supported by A_n. It follows from the definition of H_m that for each k one can write $g_n = g_n^1 + \ldots + g_n^k + g'_n$ where $g_n^i \in H_{m-1}$ for $i \leqq n$, and $g'_n \in H_m^k$. It follows, by taking a subsequence, that one can assume $g_n \in H_m^n$ and $|\langle g_n, f_n\rangle| \geqq \alpha/2$. Another extraction of subsequence will give $g_n \in H_m^{k(n)}$ where $k(n) > V(g_{n-1})$. Let $s_n = s(g_n) \in T_{k(n)}$. By taking a subsequence, one can assume that for each p, the sequence $s_n | p$ is eventually constant. A further subsequence will satisfy $s_n | V(g_p) = s_{p+1} | V(g_p)$ for $n \geqq p+1$.

It follows from the definition of H_{m+1} that for each n, $h_n = 1/4 \sum_{p \leqq n} g_p \in H_{m+1}$. Moreover, for $p \leqq n$ we have $|h_n(f_p)| > \alpha/8$. Let h be a weak* cluster point of (h_n). Then $|h(f_p)| \geqq \alpha/8$ for each p, which finishes the proof.

5. Construction of (f_n)

In fact, (f_n) will be a subsequence of h_n.

LEMMA. — *Let (u_i) be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed in $\{1, \ldots, g\}$. Let $P(q, n) = \mathrm{Prob}(\exists i, j \leqq n, u_i = u_j)$. Then $\lim_{q \to \infty} P(q, n) = 0$. Moreover, $P(q, n)$ is increasing in n and decreasing in q.*

Proof. — $P(q, n) \leqq q^{-2}(n(n-1))/2$.

Let $(a_{n,k})$ be the sequence of theorem A. One can suppose that $a_{n,k} \leqq a_{n+1,k}$ and $a_{n,k} \geqq a_{n,k+1}$ for each n, k. Let $n(k)$ be the smallest integer such that $a_{n(k),k} \geqq k+1$. From the lemma, there exists an increasing sequence $q(k)$ such that for each $k \geqq 1$ one has the following conditions :

$$n(k) P(2^{-q(k)}, n(k)) \leqq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{13}$$

(14) For each integer n such that $a_{n,k} \leqq 2$, $n P(2^{-q(k)}, n) \leqq a_{n,k} - 1$.

We shall prove that the sequence $f_n = h_{q(n)}$ satisfies the theorem. Let I be a finite set of integers, with $k = \operatorname{Inf} I$ and $\operatorname{card} I = n$. Let l the greatest integer such that $l+1 \leqq a_{n,k}$. (It is possible that $l=0$.) Let $m = k+l+1$.

We have:

$$a_{n,m} \leqq a_{n,k} < l+2 \leqq m \leqq a_{n(m),m} \qquad \text{so} \quad n \leqq n(m).$$

Hence:

$$n\,\mathrm{P}(2^{-q(m)}, n) \leqq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{15}$$

Let us define $a_i(\omega)$ by $f_i(\omega) = e_{a_i(\omega)}$. Let:

$$Z = \{\omega \in \Omega;\ \exists i, j \in I,\ i, j \geqq m,\ i \neq j,\ a_i(\omega) \,|\, q(m) = a_j(\omega) \,|\, q(m)\}.$$

Since the maps $\omega \to a_i(\omega)$ are independent and $a_i(\omega) \,|\, q(m)$ takes for value each element of $T_{q(m)}$ with equal probability, one has $\mu(Z) \leqq \mathrm{P}(2^{-q(m)}, n)$. For $\omega \in Z$, we have the trivial estimate $\left\| \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \right\| \leqq n$.

We show by induction over p that for $\omega \notin Z$ and $g \in H_p$, we have:

$$\left| \langle g, \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \rangle \right| \leqq 2^{-p}(l+1). \tag{16}$$

The result is obvious for $p=0$. Assume it has been proved for p. Let $g \in H_{p+1}$. Then we have a decomposition $g = 1/4 \sum_{i \leqq r \leqq n} g(r)$ which satisfy (8) to (10). Let j be the largest integer $j \leqq n$ for which $V(g(j)) < m$.

Let $g' = 1/4 \sum_{i \leqq r \leqq j} g(r)$. Then $g' = 4^{-p-1} \sum_{t \in A} e_t^*$ where $\sup\{|t|;\ t \in A\} < m$. Since there are at most l indexes i for which $|a_i(\omega)| < m$ we have $\left| \langle g', \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \rangle \right| \leqq 4^{-p-1} l$.

If $j=p$, the proof is finished. Otherwise $\left| \langle g(j+1), \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \rangle \right| \leqq 2^{-p}(l+1)$ by induction hypothesis. If $j+1=p$, the proof is finished. Otherwise let $g'' = \sum_{r > j+1} g(r)$. It follows from condition (10) that there is $s \in T_m$ such that for each $t \in T$ one has $s < t$. But since there is at most one $i \in I$ for which $s < a_i(\omega)$, we have $\left| \langle g'', \sum_{i \in I} f_i \rangle \right| \leqq 4^{-p-1}$. Adding these three estimates gives (16). It follows that for $g \in H$ one has:

$$\left| \langle g, \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \rangle \right| \leqq \sup\left(1, \frac{l+1}{2}\right)$$

and hence $\| \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \| \leqq \sup(1, (l+1)/2)$. So we have:

$$\| \sum_{i \in I} f_i \|_1 \leqq \int_Z \| \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \| d\mu(\omega) + \int_{\Omega \setminus Z} \| \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\omega) \| d\mu(\omega).$$

$$\leqq n\mu(Z) + \sup\left(1, \frac{l+1}{2}\right),$$

$$\leqq n\, \mathrm{P}(2^{-q(m)}, n) + \sup\left(1, \frac{l+1}{2}\right).$$

If $l=0$, we have $a_{n,k} \leqq 2$, so $n\,\mathrm{P}(2^{-q(m)}, n) \leqq a_{n,k} - 1$ from (14) and since $q(m) \geqq q(k)$, so the right hand side is $\leqq a_{n,k}$. If $l \geqq 1$, we have $n\,\mathrm{P}(2^{-q(m)}, n) \leqq (1/2)$ from (14), so the right hand side is less than $l/2 + 1 \leqq l + 1 \leqq a_{n,k}$ which concludes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] J. HAGLER, *A Counterexample to Several Questions About Banach Spaces* (*Studia Math.*, Vol. 60, 1977, pp. 289-308).

[2] S. KWAPIEN, *On Banach Spaces Containing* c_0 (*Studia Math.*, Vol. 22, 1974, pp. 188-189).

[3] H. P. ROSENTHAL, *A Characterization of Banach Spaces Containing* l^1 (*Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A.*, Vol. 71, 1974, pp. 2411-2413).

[4] M. TALAGRAND, *Sur la propriété de Dunford-Pettis dans* $\mathscr{C}([0, 1], E)$ *et* $L^1(E)$, *Israel, J. of Math.* 44, 1983, pp. 317-321.

(Manuscrit reçu le 18 février 1983.)

Michel TALAGRAND,
Équipe d'Analyse, Tour 46,
Université Paris-VI,
4 place Jussieu,
75230 Paris Cedex 05.