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## Numdam
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Let $(\theta, H, W)$ be an abstract Wiener space. That is: $\theta$ is a separable real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\theta} ; H$ is a separable real Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H} ; H \leq \theta,\|h\|_{\theta} \leq C\|h\|_{H}$ for some $C<\infty$ and all'h $\in H$, and $H$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\theta}$ - dense in $\theta$; and $W$ is the probability measure on ( $\theta, \mathscr{B}_{\theta}$ ) with the property that, for each $\ell \in \theta^{*}, \theta \in \theta \rightarrow$ $\langle\ell, \theta\rangle$ under $W$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance $\|\ell\|_{H}^{2} \equiv \sup \left\{\langle\ell, h\rangle^{2}: h \in H\right.$ with $\left.\|h\|_{H}=1\right\}$.
Let $\left\{\ell^{k}: k \in Z^{+}\right\} \subseteq \theta^{*}$ be an orthonormal basis in $H$; set $\mathscr{A}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{N}^{Z^{+}}:|\alpha|=\sum_{k \in Z^{+}} \alpha_{K}<\infty\right\} ;$ and for $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}$, define

$$
H_{\alpha}(\theta)=\prod_{k \in Z^{+}} H_{\alpha_{k}}\left(\left\langle\ell^{k}, \theta\right\rangle\right), \theta \in \theta,
$$

where

$$
H_{m}(\xi)=(-1)^{m} e^{\xi^{2} / 2} \frac{d^{m}}{d \xi^{m}}\left(e^{-\xi^{2} / 2}\right), \quad m \in N \text { and } \xi \in R^{1}
$$

Then, $\left\{(\alpha!)^{-1 / 2} \mathscr{H}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^{2}(W)$. Moreover, if, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{(\mathrm{m})} \equiv \overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}:|\alpha|=\mathrm{m}\right\}} \mathrm{L}^{2}(w)
$$

then: $Z^{(m)}$ is independent of the particular choice of the orthonormal basis $\left\{\ell^{k}: k \in Z^{+}\right\} ; Z^{(m)} \perp Z^{(n)}$ for $m \neq n$; and $L^{2}(W)=\underset{m=0}{\infty} Z^{(m)}$. These facts were first proved by N. Wiener [6] and constitute the foundations on which his theory of homogeneous chaos is based.

The purpose of the present article is to explain how, for given $\Phi \in L^{2}(W)$, one can compute the orthogonal projection $\Pi_{Z}(m) \Phi$ of $\Phi$ onto $Z^{(m)}$. In order to describe the procedure, it will be necessary to describe the elementary Sobolev theory associated with ( $\theta$, $H$, W).

[^0]To this end, let $Y$ be a separable real Hilbert space and set $\mathscr{P}(Y)=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathscr{H}_{\alpha} \mathrm{y}: \alpha \in \mathscr{A}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{Y}\right\}$. Then $\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{Y})$ is dense in $L^{2}(\mathbb{W} ; \mathrm{Y})$. Next, for $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\Phi \in \mathscr{P}(Y)$, define $\theta \rightarrow D^{m} \Phi(\theta) \in H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(D^{m} \Phi(\theta), h^{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h^{m} \otimes y\right) \\
& H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y \\
&=\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t_{1} \cdots \partial t_{m}}\left(\Phi\left(\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{j} h^{j}\right), y\right)_{Y}\right|_{t_{1}=\ldots=t_{m}=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $h^{1}, \ldots h^{m} \in H$ and $y \in Y$. Then $D^{m}$ maps $\mathscr{P}(Y)$ into $\mathscr{P}\left(H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y\right)$ and $D^{n}=D^{m} \circ D^{n-m}$ for $0 \leq m \leq n$. Associated with the operator $D^{m}$ : $\mathscr{P}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(\mathrm{H}^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes \mathrm{Y}\right)$ is its adjoint operator $\partial^{m}$. Using the Cameron-Martin formula [1], one can easily prove the following lemma.
(1) Lemma: The operator $\partial^{m}$ does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis $\left\{\ell^{k}: k \in Z^{+}\right\}, \mathscr{P}\left(H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial^{m}\right)$, and $\partial^{m}: \mathscr{P}\left(H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(Y)$. Moreover, if $m \in Z^{+}, K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$ $\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m}$, and $e^{K}=e^{k_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e^{k_{m}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{m} e^{K}=H_{\alpha(K)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(K)$ is the element of $A$ defined by

$$
(\alpha(K))_{k}=\operatorname{card}\left\{1 \leq j \leq m: k_{j}=k\right\}, k \in Z^{+}
$$

In particular, $H^{\otimes^{m}} \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial^{m}\right)$.
Since $\partial^{m}$ is densely defined, it has a well-defined adjoint $\left(\partial^{m}\right)^{*}$. Set $W_{m}^{2}(Y)=\operatorname{Dom}\left(\left(\partial^{M}\right)^{*}\right)$ and use $\|\cdot\|_{W_{m}^{2}}^{2}(Y)$ to denote the associated graph norm on $W_{m}^{2}(Y)$. The following lemma is an easy application of inequalities proved by $M$ and $P$. Kree [3].
(3) Lemma: $W_{m}^{2}\left(H^{\otimes^{m}} \otimes Y\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}\left(\partial^{m}\right),\left\|\partial^{m} \Psi\right\|_{L}^{2}(W ; Y) \leq C_{m}\|\Psi\|_{W_{m}}^{2}\left(h^{\theta^{m}} \otimes Y\right)$, and $\partial^{m}=\left(\left(\partial^{m}\right)^{*}\right)^{*}$. Moreover, $\mathscr{F}(Y)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{W_{m}^{2}(Y)}$-dense in $W_{m}^{2}(Y)$.

Finally, $W_{m+1}^{2}(Y) \subseteq W_{m}^{2}(Y)$ and $\|\cdot\| W_{W_{m}^{2}(Y)} \leq C_{m}\|\cdot\|_{W_{2}^{m+1}(Y)}$ for allm $\geq 0$. Warning: In view of the preceding, the use of $D^{m}$ to denote its own closure $\left(\partial^{m}\right)^{*}$ is only a mild abuse of notation. Because it simplifies the notation, this abuse of notation will be used throughout what follows.

Now set $W_{-m}^{2}(Y)=W_{m}^{2}(Y)^{*}, m \geq 0$, and $W_{\infty}^{2}(Y)=\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} W_{m}^{2}(Y) . \quad$ Then, when $W_{\infty}^{2}(Y)$ is given the Fréchet topology determined by $\left\{\|\cdot\| W_{m}^{2}(Y)\right.$ : m $\geq 0\},\left(W_{\infty}^{2}(Y)\right)^{*}$ is $W_{-\infty}^{2}(Y) \equiv \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} W_{-m}^{2}(Y)$. Moreover, $L^{2}(W ; Y)$ becomes a subspace of $W_{-\infty}^{2}(Y)$ when $\Phi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{W} ; Y)$ is identified with the linear functional $\Psi \in W_{\infty}^{2}(Y) \rightarrow E^{W}\left[(\Phi, \Psi)_{Y}\right]$; and in this way $W_{\infty}^{2}(Y)$ becomes a dense subspace of $W_{-\infty}^{2}(Y)$. Finally, $D^{m}$ has a unique continuous extension as a map from $W_{-\infty}^{2}(Y)$ into $W_{-\infty}^{2}\left(H^{\otimes m} \otimes Y\right)$. In particular, for $T \in W_{-\infty}^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$, there is a unique $D^{m} T(1) \in H^{\otimes^{m}}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~T}(1), \mathrm{h}\right)_{H^{\otimes}}=\mathrm{T}\left(\partial^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~h}\right), \mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}^{\otimes} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $\Phi \in W_{\infty}^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{m} \Phi(1)=E^{W}\left[D^{m} \Phi\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) Theorem: Let $\Phi \in L^{2}(w)$ be given. Then, for each $m \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{Z}(m) \Phi=\frac{1}{m!} \partial^{m}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \partial^{m}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, when $\Phi \in W_{\infty}^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)$ :

$$
\Pi_{Z}(m) \Phi=\frac{1}{m!} \partial^{m} E^{W}\left[D^{m} \Phi\right]
$$

and

$$
\Phi=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \partial^{m} E^{W}\left[D^{m} \Phi\right]
$$

Proof: Simply observe that, by Lemma (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\mathrm{m}}\left(D^{\mathrm{m}} \Phi(1)\right) & =\sum_{K \in\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m}} E^{W \prime \prime}\left[\Phi \partial^{m} e^{\mathrm{K}}\right] \partial^{\mathrm{m}} e^{\mathrm{K}} \\
& =\sum_{|\alpha|_{=\mathrm{m}}}\binom{m}{\alpha} E^{W}\left[\Phi H_{\alpha}\right]_{\alpha}=m!\Pi_{Z(m)}{ }^{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The classic abstract Wiener space is the Wiener space associated with a Brownian motion on $R^{1}$. Namely, define $H_{1}\left(R^{1}\right)$ and $\theta\left(R^{1}\right)$ to be, respectively, the completion of $C_{o}^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) ; R^{1}\right)$ with respect to

$$
\|\psi\|_{H_{1}}\left(R^{1}\right) \equiv\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\|\psi\|_{\theta\left(R^{1}\right)} \equiv \sup _{t \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+t}|\theta(t)|
$$

Then Wiener's famous existence theorem shows that there is a probability measure on $\theta\left(R^{1}\right)$ such that $\left(\theta\left(R^{1}\right), H_{1}\left(R^{1}\right), W\right)$ is an abstract Wiener space. For $\left(\theta\left(R^{1}\right), H_{1}\left(R^{1}\right), W\right), K$. Ito [2] showed how to cast Wiener's theory of homogeneous chaos in a particularly appealing form. To be precise, set $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}=[0, \infty)^{m}$; and, for $f \in$ $L^{2}\left(\square_{m}\right)$, define
$\int_{\square_{m}} f d^{m} \theta=\sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{m}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \theta\left(t_{m}\right) \int_{0}^{t} m-1 d \theta\left(t_{m-2}\right) \cdots$

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{2}} f\left(t_{\sigma(1)} \ldots t_{\sigma(m)}\right) d \theta\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

where $\Pi_{m}$ denotes the permutation group on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and the $d \theta(t)$-integrals are taken in the sense of Itô. What Ito discovered is that, for given $\Phi \in L^{2}(W)$, there exists a unique symmetric $f_{\Phi}^{(m)} \in$ $L^{2}\left(口_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{Z(m)}^{\Phi}=\frac{1}{m!} \int_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}} \mathrm{f}_{\Phi}^{(\mathrm{m})} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{m}} \theta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to interpret Ito's result in terms of Theorem (5), let $\left\{\psi^{k}: k \in Z^{+}\right\} \subseteq C_{o}^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) ; R^{1}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis in $L^{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ and
$\operatorname{define} e^{k} \in \square\left(R^{1}\right)^{*}$ by $e^{k}(d t)=\left(\int_{0}^{t} \psi^{k}(s) d s\right) d t . \quad$ Then $\left\langle e^{k}, \theta\right\rangle=\int_{0_{1}}$ $\psi^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{d}^{1} \theta$. Moreover, by using, on the one hand, the generating function for the Hermite polynomials and, on the other hand, the uniqueness of solutions to linear stochastic integral equations (cf. H. P. McKean [5]), one finds that for $K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right) \in\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m}$ :

$$
\int_{0_{m}} \psi^{k} d^{m} \theta=H_{\alpha(K)}
$$

where $\psi^{K}=\psi^{k_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \psi^{k_{m}}$ and $\alpha(K) \in \&$ is defined as in Lemma (1). Hence, by Lemma (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{m} e^{K}=\int_{0_{m}} \psi^{K} d^{m} \theta, \quad K \in\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \square_{m}$, define $h_{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)}\left(s_{1}, \ldots s_{m}\right)=$ $\left(s_{1} \wedge t_{1}\right) \ldots\left(s_{m} \wedge t_{m}\right)$. Then, for each $h \in H_{1}\left(R^{1}\right)^{\theta^{m}}$, there is a unique $h^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(口_{m}\right)$ such that $\left(h, h\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)\right)_{H_{1}}\left(R^{1}\right)^{\otimes m}$
$\int_{0}^{t}{ }_{m} \ldots \int_{0}^{t} r h^{\prime}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) d s_{1}, \ldots, d s_{m}$ for all $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in \square_{m}$ (11) Theorem: Given $\Phi \in L^{2}(W)$ and $m \geq 1$, the $f_{\Phi}^{(m)}$ in (9) is $\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right)$.

Proof: By (9):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{m}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right) & =\partial^{m}\left[\sum_{K \in\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m}}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1), e^{K}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{K \in\left(Z^{+}\right)^{m}}\left(\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right)^{\prime}, \psi^{K}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\square_{m}\right)} \int_{0_{m}} \psi^{\otimes^{m}} e^{K} d^{m} \theta \\
& =\int_{\square_{m}}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right)^{\prime} d^{m} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (7):

$$
\Pi_{Z}(m)=\frac{1}{m!} \int_{0_{m}}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right)^{\prime} d^{m} \theta
$$

(12) Remark: It is intuitively clear that the $f_{\Phi}^{(m)}$ in (9) must be given by $f_{\Phi}^{(m)}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=E^{W}\left[\dot{\theta}\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots \dot{\theta}\left(t_{m}\right)\right]$, where $\dot{\theta}(t)$ is white noise. What Theorem (11) does is provide a rigorous meaning for this equation.
(13) Remark: Given $d \geq 2$, define $H_{1}\left(R^{d}\right)$ and $\theta\left(R^{d}\right)$ by analogy with $H_{1}\left(R^{1}\right)$ and $\theta\left(R^{1}\right)$. Then $\left(\theta\left(R^{d}\right), H_{1}\left(R^{d}\right)\right.$, W becomes an abstract Wiener space when is the Wiener measure associated with the Brownian motion in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$. To provide an Ito interpretation in this case, let $\left\{\Psi^{k}: k \in Z^{+}\right\} \subset C_{o}^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) ; R^{1}\right)$ be chosen as before and set $\ell^{(k, i)}=\Psi^{k} e_{i}, k \in Z^{+}$and $i \in \mathscr{D} \equiv\{1, \ldots, d\}$, where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ is a standard basis for $R^{d}$. Next, for $f=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}^{m}} f_{I^{\prime}} e_{I} \in L^{2}\left(口_{1} ;\left(R^{d}\right)^{\otimes m}\right)$, define

$$
\int_{\square_{m}} f d^{m} \theta=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}^{m}} \int_{\square_{m}} f_{I} d^{m} \theta_{I}
$$

where
$\int_{0_{m}} f_{I} d^{m} \theta_{I}=$
$\sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_{m}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \theta_{i_{m}}\left(t_{m}\right) \int_{0}^{t}{ }^{m} d \theta_{i_{m-1}}\left(t_{m-1}\right) \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{2}} f_{I^{\prime}}\left(t_{\sigma(1)} \ldots . t_{\sigma(m)}\right) d \theta_{i_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right)$
for $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \in \mathscr{D}^{m}$. One can then check that

$$
\partial^{m^{2}}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{I})=\int_{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{m}}} \Psi^{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~m} \theta_{\mathrm{I}} .}
$$

Finally, after associating with each $h \in H_{1}\left(R^{d}\right)^{\otimes^{m}}$ the unique $h^{\prime} \epsilon$ $L^{2}\left(\square_{i}^{m} ;\left(R^{d}\right)^{\otimes^{m}}\right)$ satisfying

$$
h\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=\int_{0}^{t_{m}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h^{\prime}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{m}
$$

we again arrive at the equation

$$
\Pi_{Z}(m) \Phi=\int_{\square_{m}}\left(D^{m} \Phi(1)\right) d^{m} \theta
$$

(14) Remấk: Theorem (11) is little more than an exercise in formalism unless $\Phi \in W_{\infty}^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. Fortunately, many interesting functions are in $W_{\infty}^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. For example, let $\sigma: R^{1} \rightarrow R^{1}$ and $b: R^{1} \rightarrow R^{1}$ be smooth functions having bounded first derivatives and slowly increasing derivatives of all orders. Define $X(\cdot, x), x \in R^{1}$, to be the solution to

$$
\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})) \mathrm{d} \theta(\mathrm{t})+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})) \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~T} \geq 0 .
$$

Then, for each $(\Gamma, x) \in(0, \infty) \times R^{1}, X(T, x) \in W_{\infty}^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. In fact, DX(•,x) satisfies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{DX}(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{x})=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})) \mathrm{DX}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d} \theta(\mathrm{t})+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})) \mathrm{DX}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dt} \\
\\
+\int_{0}^{: \wedge \mathrm{T}} \sigma(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})) \mathrm{dt}
\end{gathered}
$$

an equation which can be easily solved by the method of variation of parameters. Moreover, $D^{m} X(T, x), m \geq 2$, can be found by iteration of the preceding.
(15) Remark: In many ways, the present paper should be viewed as an outgrowth of P. Malliavin's note [4]. Indeed, it was only after reading Malliavin's note that the ideas developed here occurred to the present author.
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