SÉMINAIRE CHOQUET. Initiation à l'analyse

JEAN BOURGAIN

A stabilization property and its applications in the theory of sections

© Séminaire Choquet. Initiation à l'analyse (Secrétariat mathématique, Paris), 1977-1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Séminaire Choquet. Initiation à l'analyse » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

A STABILIZATION PROPERTY AND ITS APPLICATIONS IN THE THEORY OF SECTIONS

par Jean BOURGAIN

<u>Abstract</u>. - We introduce a stabilization property in descriptive set theory which generalizes the topological and measure theoretical situations. An associated theory of sections, for mesurable sets in products, is developed.

1. Preliminaries.

The aim of this section is to make the text more selfcontained. We will introduce the various classical notions and properties, which are the starting point of this work. They can also be found in [12].

<u>Definition</u> 1.1. - Let E be a set. A paving on E will be a class \mathcal{E} of subsets of E containing the empty set. We will call (E, \mathcal{E}) a paved set.

<u>Definition</u> 1.2. - If (E, \mathcal{E}) is a paved set, we denote by $c\mathcal{E}$ the class of subsets A of E, such that E\A belongs to \mathcal{E} . b \mathcal{E} : $\mathcal{E} \cap c\mathcal{E}$ \mathcal{E} (resp. \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}) is the stabilization of \mathcal{E} for finite intersection (resp. finite union, finite intersection and finite union, countable intersection and countable union) $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$ is the superpoted by \mathcal{E}

 $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{E})$ is the σ -algobra generated by \mathfrak{E} .

<u>Definition</u> 1.3. - Let $(E_i, \xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of paved sets. The set \mathcal{E} of subsets of $E = \prod_i E_i$ of the form $\prod_i A_i$, where $A_i \in \xi_i$ for each $i \in I$, is called the product paving $\prod_i \xi_i$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. - Let $(E_i, \varepsilon_i)_{i \in I}$ be paved sets such that $E_i \in \varepsilon_i$, for each $i \in I$. Then, $\mathfrak{S}(\prod_i \varepsilon_i)$ contains the product σ -algebra $\bigotimes_i \mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon_i)$. If moreover I is countable, then $\mathfrak{S}(\prod_i \varepsilon_i) = \bigotimes_i \mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon_i)$.

In fact, only finite and countable products will be involved here. Let (E, E) be a paved set, and let $(K_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of \mathcal{E} . We will say that $(K_i)_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property provided $\bigcap_{i \in J} K_i \neq \emptyset$, whenever J is a finite subset of I.

<u>Definition</u> 1.5. - A paving & on a set E is said to be compact (resp. semicompact) if every family (resp. every countable family) of elements of &, possessing the finite intersection property, has nonempty intersection. By a simple ultra-filter argument, we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.6. - If & is a compact (resp. semi-compact) paving on E, then also & is compact (resp. semi-compact). PROPOSITION 1.7. - Let $(E_i, \mathcal{E}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of paved sets. If each \mathcal{E}_i is compact (resp. semi-compact), then $\prod_i \mathcal{E}_i$ on $\prod_i E_i$ is compact (resp. semi-compact).

We now pass to a proposition which will be often used later (especially in product situations).

PROPOSITION 1.8. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set, and f an application of E into a set F. We assume that, for each $x \in F$, the paving, consisting of the sets $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A$, $A \in \mathcal{E}$, is semi-compact. If $(A_n)_n$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{E} , then

$$f(\bigcap_{n} A_{n}) = \bigcap_{n} f(A_{n})$$
.

<u>Proof.</u> - It is clear that if $x \in \bigcap_n f(A_n)$, then the family $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A_n$ has the finite intersection property. By hypothesis, the set $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \bigcap_n A_n$ contains some point $y \in E$. Hence $x = f(y) \in f(\bigcap_n A_n)$, completing the proof.

N will denote the set of all positive integers 1, 2, ... Let $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}^k$, consisting of the finite complexes of integers. Take $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. If $c \in \mathcal{R}^*$, let |c| be the length of c. If c, $d \in \mathcal{R}^*$, we write te c < d, if c is an initial section of d. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \cdot \mathbb{I}f$ $v \in \mathcal{R}$, and $c \in \mathcal{R}^*$, we write c < v, if c is an initial section of v.

Definition 1.9. - Let (E, &) be a paved set. A Souslin scheme $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ on & will be a mapping of \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{E} . The scheme $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ is said to be regular, if $A_c \supseteq A_d$ whenever c < d. The result of the scheme $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ is the set $\bigcup_{v} \cap_{c < v} A_c = \bigcup_v \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{v|k}$, where v runs over \mathcal{R} . Let (E, &) be a paved set, and $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ a scheme on \mathcal{E} . For each complex $c \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we introduce the following sets

$$\begin{array}{l} {}^{A}[c] = \bigcup_{\substack{n_{1} \leq c_{1}, \dots, n_{k} \leq c_{k}}} A_{n_{1}, \dots, n_{k}} \\ {}^{A}(c) = \bigcup_{\substack{c < \nu \\ n_{1} \leq c_{1}, \dots, n_{k} \leq c_{k}}} A_{\nu \mid k} , \text{ where } \nu \text{ runs over } \mathcal{R}_{c} = \{\nu \in \mathcal{R}, c < \nu\} \\ {}^{A}[c] = \bigcup_{\substack{n_{1} \leq c_{1}, \dots, n_{k} \leq c_{k}}} A(n_{1}, \dots, n_{k}) \\ {}^{Obviously, the following properties hold.} \end{array}$$

··· -

PROPOSITION 1.10. - If $c \in R$, then

$$A_{[c]} \in \mathscr{E}$$

$$A(c) \subset A_{c}$$

$$A[c] \subset A_{[c]}$$

$$A(c) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A(c, n)$$

$$A[c] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A[c, n]$$

 $\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\mathfrak{N}_{c}; c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a paving on \mathfrak{N} , which we denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$. The reader will easily verify.

PROPOSITION 1.11. - $\bar{\pi}$ is a compact paving on π .

The following result is basic in the theory of analytic sets.

PROPOSITION 1.12. - Let (E, E) be a paved set, and $(A_c)_{c\in \mathbb{R}}$ a regular scheme on E, with result A. If $v \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(A_c)_{k\in \mathbb{R}} = A$.

<u>Proof</u>. - Suppose $x \in \bigcap_k A_{\lfloor v \mid k \rfloor}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the set

 $\mathbb{K}_{k} = \{ \mu \in \pi ; \mu_{1} < \nu_{1}, \dots, \mu_{k} < \nu_{k} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mu_{1}}, \dots, \mu_{k} \},\$

which is clearly a nonempty member of $\bar{\pi}$. By the regularity of the scheme, the sequence $(K_k)_k$ is decreasing.

Since, by 1.6, also $\overline{\pi}$ is compact, we obtain some $\mu \in \bigcap_k K_k$. It follows that $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu|k} \subset A$, completing the proof.

<u>Definition</u> 1.13. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set. A subset A of E is said to be \mathcal{E} -analytic if it is the result of a Souslin scheme on \mathcal{E} . Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the class of all \mathcal{E} -analytic subsets of E. The members of $c\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{E})$ (resp. $b\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{E})$) are called \mathcal{E} -coanalytic (resp. \mathcal{E} -bianalytic). The main property of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{E})$ is the following.

PROPOSITION 1.14. - $\alpha(\alpha(\varepsilon)) = \alpha(\varepsilon)$.

In fact, the proof of this property consiste in the reduction of a scheme of schemes to a single scheme. Although the idea is quite simple, its working-out is rather complicated. For the details, we refer the reader to [14], for instance.

The class of the analytic sets is stable under projection in the following sense.

PROPOSITION 1.15. - Let (E, E) and (F, F) be paved sets, such that the paving 3 is semi-compact. If $A \subseteq E \times F$ belongs to $\mathfrak{A}(E \times F)$, then $\pi(A)$ is a member of $\mathfrak{A}(E)$, if π : $E \times F \longrightarrow E$ is the projection.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let A be the result of the scheme $(E_c \times F_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$, where $E_c \in \mathcal{E}$ and $F_c \in \mathcal{F}$, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We define a scheme $(B_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{E} by taking $B_c = E_c$ if $\bigcap_{k=1}^{|c|} F_{c|k} \neq \emptyset$, and $B_c = \emptyset$, otherwise. Since, for each $v \in \mathcal{R}$, we obtain that

 $\pi \begin{pmatrix} \bigcap_{k} E_{\nu | k} \times \bigcap_{k} F_{\nu | k} \end{pmatrix} = \bigcap_{k} B_{\nu | k},$ the result of the scheme $(B_{c})_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ is precisely $\pi(A)$.

To each subset R of R^* , we associate a transfinite system $\begin{pmatrix} R \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$, which we define inductively as following. $R_0 = R$, and

 $R_{\alpha+1} = \{ c \in R , there exists <math>d \in R_{\alpha} \text{ with } c < d , and c \neq d \}$.

If γ is a limit ordinal, take $R_{\gamma} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} R_{\alpha}$. It is easily verified that the sequence $(R_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is decreasing. Because R is at most countable, the sequence stabilizes. Let $i(R) = \inf\{\alpha < \omega_1; R_{\alpha} = R_{\alpha+1}\}$, which is called the ordinal of R. We are now able to introduce the Lusin-Sierpinski index, which is of fundamental importance in the study of Souslin schemes.

Definition 1.16. - Let (E, E) be a paved set, and $(A_c)_{c\in R}$ a regular scheme on E. Suppose $x \in E$, and consider $R(x) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{c \in R ; x \in A_c\}$. Let $\eta = i(R(x))$. If $R(x)_{\eta} = \emptyset$, let $i(x) = \eta$. If $R(x)_{\eta} \neq \emptyset$, let $i(x) = \omega_1$. The ordinal i(x) is called the Lusin-Sierpinski index of the scheme $(A_c)_{c\in R}$ in the point x.

Remark that a predecessor of a member of $R(x)_{\alpha}$ is also in $R(x)_{\alpha}$, and, in particular, $R(x)_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ if, and only if, $\emptyset \in R(x)_{\alpha}$.

PROPOSITION 1.17. - If A is the result of the regular scheme $(A_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$, then i(x) = ω_1 if, and only if, $x \in A$.

Proof.

1° If $x \in A$, then $x \in \bigcap_{c < \nu} A_c$, for some $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$. It is easily verified, using induction, that, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, the set $\mathbb{R}(x)_{\alpha}$ contains every initial section of ν .

2° If $\eta = i(R(x))$, then $R(x)_{\eta} = R(x)_{\eta+1}$, and therefore every element of $R(x)_{\eta}$ has a strict successor in $R(x)_{\eta}$. Assume $R(x)_{\eta} \neq \emptyset$. Then, we find some $\nu \in \pi$ so that $\nu | k \in R(x)_{\eta}$, for each $k \in \underline{N}$. Hence also $\nu | k \in R(x)$, for each $k \in \underline{N}$, implying $x \in \bigcap_k A_{\nu | k} \subseteq A$.

PROPOSITION 1.18. - If $i(x) < \omega_1$, then i(x) is never a limit ordinal.

<u>Proof.</u> - If $\eta = i(x)$ would be a limit ordinal, we would obtain that $R(x)_{\eta} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \eta} R(x)_{\alpha}$. For each $\alpha < \eta$, we have that $R(x)_{\alpha} \neq R(x)_{\alpha+1}$, and hence $R(x)_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\emptyset \in R(x)_{\eta}$, which is a contradiction.

<u>Definition</u> 1.19. - Let (E, δ) be a paved set, and $(A_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ a regular scheme on δ . If $x \in E$, then we define, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, a subset R(c, x) of \mathbb{R}^* , and an ordinal i(c, x) by taking

$$R(\emptyset, \mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{x})$$

and

 $\mathbb{R}(c, \mathbf{x}) = \{ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{\star} ; \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{A}_{c,d} \} \text{ if } c \neq \emptyset .$

If $\eta=i(R(c\ ,\ x))$, let $i(c\ ,\ x)=\eta$ if $R(c\ ,\ x)_{\eta}=\emptyset$, and $i(c\ ,\ x)=\omega_1$ if $R(c\ ,\ x)_{\eta}\neq\emptyset$.

Of course, $i(\emptyset, x) = i(x)$. If $c \neq \emptyset$, then i(c, x) is the Lusin-Sierpinski index of the scheme $(A_{c,d})_{d\in\mathbb{R}}$ if $x \in A_c$. In virtue of 1.17 and 1.18, we obtain

that $i(c, x) = \omega_1$ if, and only if, $x \in \bigcup_{c \le \nu} \bigcap_k \mathbb{A}_{\nu|k}$, and otherwise i(c, x) is never a limit ordinal.

PROPOSITION 1.20. - If $\alpha < \omega_1$, and c, $d \in \mathbb{R}^*$, then $d \in \mathbb{R}(c, \mathbf{x})_{\alpha}$ if, and only if, $(c, d) \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})_{\alpha}$.

<u>Proof</u>. - If $c = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. If $c \neq \emptyset$, we proceed again by induction on $\alpha < \omega_1$.

PROPOSITION 1.21. - If $c \in \mathbb{R}^{\#}$, then

 $i(c, x) = inf(w_1, sup_n i((c, n), x) + 1)$.

<u>Proof.</u> - If $i(c, x) = \omega_1$, then R(c, x) contains every initial section of some sequence $v \in \pi$. Therefore $R((c, v_1), x)$ contains every section of the sequence μ defined by $\mu_k = v_{k+1}$. It follows that $i((c, v_1), x) = \omega_1$. Assume now $i(c, x) < \omega_1$. Then also $i((c, n), x) < \omega_1$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1° If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha < i((c, n), x)$, then $R((c, n), x)_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$, and thus contains \emptyset . It follows that $n \in R(c, x)_{\alpha}$, and thus $\emptyset \in R(c, x)_{\alpha+1}$. Therefore $i(c, x) > \alpha + 1$. Since i((c, n), x) is not a limit ordinal, it follows that i(c, x) > i((c, n), x). Because i(c, x) is not a limit ordinal, $i(c, x) > sup_n i((c, n), x)$.

2° If $\alpha = \sup_n i((c, n), x)$, then $R((c, n), x)_{\alpha} = \emptyset$, whenever $n \in \underline{N}$. Suppose $d \in R(c, x)_{\alpha}$ and $d \neq \emptyset$. Then d = (n, d'), for some $n \in \underline{N}$, and $d' \in R^*$. We obtain that $d' \in R((c, n), x)_{\alpha}$, a contradiction. Hence $R(c, x)_{\alpha} \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$, and $R(c, x)_{\alpha+1} = \emptyset$, implying $i(c, x) \leq \alpha + 1$. This completes the proof.

Proceeding by induction, we deduce easily from 1.21 the following.

PROPOSITION 1.22. - If $(A_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a regular scheme on \mathcal{E} , then $\{x \in E ; i(c, x) > \alpha\}$ is a member of \mathcal{E}^* , whenever $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$.

2. A stabilization property.

The topic of this section is to define a stabilization property, which we will call (S). It will provide us a generalization of various situations, especially the topological and measure-theoretical case.

<u>Definition</u> 2.1. - Let E be a set, and \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N} pavings on E. We agree to say that (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) is basic, if :

1° & is stable under finite intersection.

2° If $A \in \mathfrak{N}$, and $B \subset A$, then also $B \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Definition 2.2. - Let $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{N})$ be basic. We say that $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{N})$ has pro-

perty (S) if, moreover, the following is true. Let $(A_c)_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a regular scheme on \mathcal{E} with index i. Then, either the result of the scheme is nonempty or $\{x \in E ; i(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ (and hence for the succeeding countable ordinals). It is clear that (S) is preserved if \mathcal{E} decreases, and \mathbb{R} increases. The following proposition will provide us a more explicit formulation of property (S). PROPOSITION 2.3. - Let $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{R})$ be basic. Then, the following properties are equivalent. (I) Let, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, a transfinite system $(A_c^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of sets in \mathcal{E}^* be given, verifying: $1^\circ (A_c^0)_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a regular scheme on \mathcal{E} ; $2^\circ A_c^{\alpha} > A_c^{\beta}$, if $\alpha < \beta$; $3^\circ A_c^{\alpha+1} < \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{c,n}^{\alpha}$. Then, either $(A_c^0)_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ has a nonempty result, or $A_{\emptyset}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. (II) $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ has property (S).

(III) The same as (I), but where
$$\mathcal{E}^*$$
 is replaced by $2^{\mathbf{E}}$.
Proof.

(I) \Longrightarrow (II): Assume $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ a regular scheme on \mathcal{E} , and define $A_c^{\alpha} = \{x \in E \ ; \ i(c \ , x) > \alpha\}$, which belongs to \mathcal{E}^{*} . Applying 1.21, we see that the conditions of (I) are satisfied. Therefore, either $(A_c)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$ has nonempty result, or $A_{\mathcal{O}}^{\alpha} = \{x \in E \ ; \ i(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathfrak{N}$, for some $\alpha < \omega_1$.

(II) \implies (III): Let, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, a transfinite system $(A_c)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of subsets of E be given, satisfying 1°, 2°, 3°. We consider the scheme $(A_c^0)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{E} . The reader will easily verify by induction on $\alpha < \omega_1$ that

$$\mathbb{A}_{c}^{\alpha} \subset \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E} ; \mathbf{i}(c, \mathbf{x}) > \alpha \}$$

If $(A_c^0)_{c\in \mathbb{R}}$ has an empty result, then $\{x \in E \ ; \ i(x) > \alpha\}$, and hence $A_{\not 0}^{\alpha}$ belong to \Re , for some $\alpha < \omega_1$.

 $(III) \longrightarrow (I)$: This is obvious.

It is clear that if $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{N})$ has (S), then also $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{N}_1)$ has (S), where $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \{A \in E, A \subset A_1 \in \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathcal{E}^*\}$. Some examples are in order. The first example requires the notion of a capacity.

<u>Definition</u> 2.4. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set such that \mathcal{E} is stable under finite union and finite intersection. An \mathcal{E} -capacity on E will be a real valued function I defined on 2^{E} , verifying the following conditions :

1º I is increasing

$$A \subset B \Longrightarrow I(A) \leq I(B)$$

2° If $(A_n)_n$ is an increasing sequence of subsets of E , then

$$I(\bigcup_{n} A_{n}) = \sup_{n} I(A_{n});$$

3° If $\binom{A}{n}_n$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{E} , then

 $I(\bigcap_{n} A_{n}) = \inf_{n} I(A_{n})$.

Example 1. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set such that \mathcal{E} is stable under finite union and finite intersection. Let I be an \mathcal{E} -capacity with $I(\emptyset) = 0$. If we take $\mathfrak{N} = \{A \subseteq E; I(A) = 0\}$, then (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) has property (S).

<u>Proof.</u> - Let, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, a transfinite system $(A_c^{\alpha})_{\alpha \leq \omega_1}$ of subsets of E be given, such that 1°, 2°, 3° of proposition 2.3 are satisfied. If $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with |c| = k and $\alpha < \omega_1$, let

$$\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{[c]} = \bigcup_{n_1 \leq c_1, \dots, n_k \leq c_k} \mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{n_1, \dots, n_k} \cdot$$

Assume $A_{0}^{\alpha} \notin \Re$, for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$. Then, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ with $I(A_{0}^{\alpha}) > \varepsilon$, for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$. By induction on k, we construct a sequence $(n_{k})_{k}$ of integers satisfying $I(A_{n_{1}}^{\alpha}, \dots, n_{k}]) > \varepsilon$, for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$, and $k \in \underline{\mathbb{N}}$. For each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$, we have that $I(A_{0}^{\alpha+1}) > \varepsilon$, and $A_{0}^{\alpha+1} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\dagger} A_{[n]}^{\alpha}$. Therefore, there must be some $n_{1} \in \underline{\mathbb{N}}$ so that $I(A_{[n_{1}]}^{\alpha}) > \varepsilon$, for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$. Suppose n_{1}, \dots, n_{k} obtained verifying $I(A_{[n_{1},\dots,n_{k}]}^{\alpha}) > \varepsilon$, for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$. For each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$, we have that

$$\mathbb{A}_{[n_1,\ldots,n_k]}^{\alpha+1} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{A}_{[n_1,\ldots,n_k,n]}^{\alpha} \cdot$$

Therefore, there must be again some $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$I(A_{[n_1,\ldots,n_k,n_{k+1}]}^{\alpha}) > \varepsilon$$
, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$.

So the construction is complete. Since, in particular, $(A_{[n_1,\dots,n_k]}^0)_k$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{E} , and $I(A_{[n_1,\dots,n_k]}^0) > \varepsilon$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we find that $\bigcap_k A_{[n_1,\dots,n_k]}^0 \neq \emptyset$. But, by 1.12, this set is contained in the result of the scheme $(A_c^0)_{c \in \mathcal{R}}$, which is therefore also nonempty.

<u>Example</u> 2. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set such that \mathcal{E} is semi-compact and stable under finite union and finite intersection. If $\mathfrak{R} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{R})$ has property (S).

<u>Proof.</u> - We define I on 2^{E} by taking $I(\phi) = 0$, and I(A) = 1 if $A \neq \phi$. Clearly I is an \mathcal{E} -capacity. We obtain a special case of example 1.

The following example is of different nature.

Example 3. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a paved set such that \mathcal{E} is stable under countable union and countable intersection. Let \mathfrak{N} be a class of subsets of E, such that :

1° \mathfrak{N} is a σ -ideal

2° If $\binom{\Lambda}{\alpha}_{\alpha \leq \omega_1}$ is decreasing in \mathcal{E} , then there is some $\eta < \omega_1$ so that $A_{\eta} \setminus A_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{N}$, whenever $\alpha > \eta$. Then (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) has property (S). <u>Proof</u>. - Let, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, a transfinite system $(A_c^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of subsets in $\xi^* = \xi$ be given, such that 1°, 2°, 3° of proposition 2.3 are satisfied. There exists $\eta < \omega_1$ so that $\mathbb{A}^{\eta}_c \setminus \mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_c \in \mathfrak{N}$, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and $\alpha > \eta$. Remark that $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{R}} \star (\mathbb{A}_{c}^{\eta} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{c}^{\eta+1}) \in \mathfrak{N} \text{ . If } \mathbb{A}_{d}^{\eta} \notin \mathfrak{N} \text{ . Then there is } x \text{ in } \mathbb{A}_{d}^{\eta} \text{ not belonging to}$ $\bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^{k}} (\mathbb{A}_{c}^{\eta} \mathbb{A}_{c}^{\eta+1}) \text{ By induction on } k \text{, we construct a sequence } (n_{k})_{k} \text{ of integers satisfying } x \in \mathbb{A}_{n_{1}}^{\eta}, \dots, n_{k}^{n_{k}}, \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ .}$ Since $x \in A^{\eta}$ and $x \notin A_{0}^{\eta} A_{0}^{\eta+1}$, we obtain that $x \in A_{0}^{\eta+1} \subset \bigcup_{n} A_{n}^{\eta}$. Thus, there is $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \in A_{n_{1}}^{\eta}$. Suppose n_1, \dots, n_k obtained such that $x \in A_{n_1,\dots,n_k}^{\eta}$. Since $x \notin A_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}^{\eta} \setminus A_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}^{\eta+1}$, we obtain $x \in A_{n_1}^{\eta+1}$ $\subset \bigcup_n A_{n_1}^{\eta}$. Thus, there is $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ $x \in A^{\eta}$, completing the construction. In particular, $x \in A^{0}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, x belongs to the result of the scheme $(A^0)_{C\in\mathbb{R}}$ The following example reduces as well to example 1 as to example 3. Example 4. - Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) be a probability space, and take $\mathfrak{N} = \{ \mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{\mu}^{*}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{O} \}$ Then $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{N})$ has property (S). Also the following example, which is an application of example 3, is worth to be mentioned. Example 5. - Let E be a separable metric space, \mathcal{E} the Baire σ -algebra, and $\mathfrak N$ the class of first category sets. Then (E, $\mathfrak E$, $\mathfrak N$) has property (S). PROPOSITION 2.5. - Assume (E, &, \Re) with property (S), and let (k, K) be a paved set such that X is semi-sompact and stable under finite intersection. Let π : E × K \longrightarrow E be the projection, and consider

 $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}) = \{ \mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{K} ; \pi(\mathbb{A}) \in \mathfrak{N} \} .$

Then, $(E \times K, \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{K}, \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}))$ has property (S).

<u>Proof.</u> - First, remark that $(E \times K, \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{K}, \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$ is basic. For each $c \in \mathfrak{R}^*$, let $(\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{c})_{\alpha \leq \omega_{1}}$ be a transfinite system of subsets of $E \times K$ satisfying 1°, 2°, 3° of 2.3. Then, the subsets $\pi(\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{c})$ of E also satisfy 1°, 2°, 3° of 2.3, with respect to the paving \mathcal{E} . Suppose there is $\nu \in \mathfrak{R}$ so that $\bigcap_{c \leq \nu} \pi(\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{c}) \neq \emptyset$.

5**-**08

Since $\bigcap_{c<\nu} \pi(\mathbb{A}^0_c) = \pi(\bigcap_{c<\nu} \mathbb{A}^0_c)$, by 1.8, we see that also $(\mathbb{A}^0_c)_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ has a nonempty result. Otherwise $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{\emptyset} \in \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{R})$, for some $\alpha < \omega_1$.

The next result requires the following lemma, which is more technical than basically difficult.

PROPOSITION 2.6. - Assume (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) with property (S). Let, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(c_1, \ldots, c_k) \in (\mathcal{R}^*)^k$, a set W_{c_1, \ldots, c_k} in \mathcal{E} , and a transfinite system $(V_{c_1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, c_k)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of subsets of E be given, so that following properties are satisfied:

^{1°}
$$\mathbb{W}_{c_1,...,c_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{W}_{d_1},...,d_k \xrightarrow{if} c_1 < d_1, \ldots, c_k < d_k;$$

^{2°} $\mathbb{W}_{c_1,...,c_k}, \emptyset \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{W}_{c_1},...,c_k;$
^{3°} $\mathbb{V}^0_{c_1,...,c_k} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \mathbb{W}_{c_1},...,c_k;$
^{4°} $\mathbb{V}^{\alpha}_{c_1,...,c_k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{V}^{\beta}_{c_1,...,c_k} \xrightarrow{if} \alpha < \beta;$
^{5°} $\mathbb{V}^{\alpha}_{c_1,...,c_k} = \bigcup_n \mathbb{V}^{\alpha}_{(c_1,n),c_2,;...,c_k} = \cdots = \bigcup_n \mathbb{V}^{\alpha}_{c_1,...,c_{k-1},(c_k,n)};$
^{6°} $\mathbb{V}^{\alpha+1}_{c_1,...,c_k} \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \mathbb{V}^{\alpha}_{c_1,...,c_k}, \emptyset$

Then one of the following 2 alternatives must occur

1° $\mathbb{V}_{\emptyset}^{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{N}$ for some $\alpha < \omega_{1}$; 2° There is a sequence $(\nu^{k})_{k}$ in \mathfrak{N} such that $\bigcap_{k} \mathbb{W}_{\nu^{1}|k,\ldots,\nu^{k}|k} \neq \emptyset$. <u>Proof</u>. - The Cantor enumeration of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ induces a map

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{k} \mathbb{R}^{k} : c \longmapsto (\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{k} | \mathbf{c} |)$$

where the number $k_{|c|}$ of complexes is, of course, only dependent on |c|. This map is extended to \mathbb{R}^* by taking $k_0 = 1$ and $d_{\not 0}^1 = \not 0$. For each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, we define

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{1}},\ldots,\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{k}}} |\mathbf{c}| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{1}},\ldots,\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{k}}} |\mathbf{c}| \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > 0 .$$

We show that the conditions 1°, 2°, 3° of 2.3 are verified.

1° To see that the scheme $({\mathbb A}^0_c)_{c\in {\mathbb R}}$ on & is regular, take c', c" \in R with c' < c" . Then

$$|c_{1}| \leq |c_{1}|$$
 and $d_{c_{1}}^{1} < d_{c_{1}}^{1}$, ..., $d_{c_{1}}^{k}|c_{1}| < d_{c_{1}}^{k}|c_{1}|$.

We only have to apply properties 1° and 2°.

2° This follows immediately from properties 3° and 4°.

3° Assume $c \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$, and |c| = r. We distinguish 2 cases. <u>Case 1</u>: $k_{r} = k_{r+1}$. - There is some k = 1, ..., k_{r} so that $d_{c,n}^{\ell} = d_{c}^{\ell}$ if

;

 $l \neq k$, and $d_{c,n}^k = (d_c^k, n)$, whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We find

$$\mathbb{A}_{c}^{\alpha+1} = \bigcup_{n} \mathbb{V}_{d_{c}^{1},\ldots,(d_{c}^{k},n),\ldots,d_{c}^{k}}^{\alpha+1} = \bigcup_{n} \mathbb{V}_{d_{c,n}^{1}}^{\alpha+1} = \bigcup_{n} \mathbb{A}_{c,n}^{\alpha+1} \subset \bigcup_{n} \mathbb{A}_{c,n}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{c,n}^{\alpha}$$

<u>Case</u> 2: $k_{r+1} = k_r + 1$. - Then $d_{c,n}^{\ell} = d_c^{\ell}$ if $1 \le \ell \le k_r$, and $d_{c,n}^{K_{r+1}} = n$, whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We obtain

$$A_{c}^{\alpha+1} = V_{d_{c}}^{\alpha+1}, \dots, A_{c}^{k} \stackrel{\subset}{} V_{d_{c}}^{\alpha}, \dots, A_{c}^{k}, \varphi = \bigcup_{n} V_{d_{c}}^{\alpha}, \dots, A_{c}^{n}, n = \bigcup_{n} A_{c,n}^{\alpha}, \varphi$$

Since (E, E, \mathfrak{N}) possesses (S), either $A_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{Q}} \in \mathfrak{N}$, for some $\alpha < \omega_{1}$, or there is $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $\bigcap_{\mathbf{r}} A_{\nu|\mathbf{r}}^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Remark that $A_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha} = V_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha}$. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}$, then there is a sequence $(\nu^{k})_{k}$ in \mathfrak{N} such that $d_{\nu|\mathbf{r}}^{k} < \nu^{k}$, whenever $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $k \leq k_{\mathbf{r}}$. If $k \in \mathfrak{N}$ is fixed, then there exists $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $k \leq k_{\mathbf{r}}$, and $\nu^{\ell}|\mathbf{k} < d_{\nu|\mathbf{r}}^{\ell}$, for each $\ell = 1, \ldots, k$. Then

$$A_{\nu|r}^{0} = W_{d_{\nu|r}^{1}, \dots, d_{\nu|r}^{k}} \stackrel{\subset W}{\overset{}}_{\nu^{1}|k, \dots, \nu^{k}|k}$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.7. - Assume (E, E, \Re) with property (S), and (K, K) a paved set such that κ is semi-compact and stable under finite intersection. We consider the projections π_k : $E \times K^{k+1} \longrightarrow E \times K^k$, and p_k : $E \times K^k \longrightarrow E$. For each $k \in \underline{N}$, let $(X_k^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ be a transfinite system of subsets of $E \times K^k$, so that following properties are satisfied :

1°
$$X_{k}^{0}$$
 is $(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{K}^{k})$ -analytic in $\mathbb{E} \times \mathcal{K}^{k}$
2° $X_{k}^{\alpha} \ge X_{k}^{\beta}$ if $\alpha < \beta$;
3° $X_{k}^{\alpha+1} \subseteq \pi_{k}(X_{k+1}^{\alpha})$.

Assume $p_1(X_1^{\alpha}) \notin \mathfrak{N}$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then there exist $x \in E$ and $(y_k)_k$ in $K^{\underline{N}}$ such that $(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) \in X_k^0$, for each $k \in \underline{N}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let X_k^0 be the result of a regular scheme $(Y_c^k)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{K}^k$. For each $k \in \underline{N}$ and $(c_1, \ldots, c_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^k$, define

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{c}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{c}_{k}} = p_{k}(\bigcap_{\ell=1}^{k}(\mathbb{Y}_{\mathbf{c}_{\ell}}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{K}^{k-\ell}))$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{c}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{c}_{k}}^{\alpha} = \mathbb{P}_{k}(\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\ell}=1}^{k}(\mathbb{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathbf{c}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}) \times \mathbb{K}^{k-\boldsymbol{\ell}}) \cap \mathbb{X}_{k}^{\alpha})$$

The reader will easily make out that $1^{\circ} \longrightarrow 6^{\circ}$ of proposition 2.6 are verified. Hence there are 2 possibilities :

1° There is $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $\mathbb{V}_{\emptyset}^{\alpha} = p_1(\mathbb{X}_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{N}$.

2° There is a sequence $(v^k)_k$ in \mathcal{R} such that $\bigcap_k \mathbb{W}_{\nu^1|k,\ldots,\nu^k|k}$ contains some point $x \in E$. Therefore

$$\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\ell}=1}^{k} (\Upsilon^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbb{K}^{k-\boldsymbol{\ell}}) \neq \emptyset , \text{ for each } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}$$

By the semi-compactness of the paving χ^{N} on $K^{N} = \prod_{k} K_{k}$, we get

$$\bigcap_{\mathbf{k}} \bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \leq \mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}) \times \prod_{m \geq \boldsymbol{\ell}} \mathbf{K}_{m}) = \bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} (\bigcap_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}) \times \prod_{m \geq \boldsymbol{\ell}} \mathbf{K}_{m}) \neq \emptyset ,$$

and thus contains a point $(y_k)_k$ of $\prod_k K_k$. For each integer \mathcal{A} , we have $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k) \in \bigcap_k Y_{\mathbf{x}_k}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset X_{\mathbf{x}_k}^0$, completing the proof.

We pass to the following first corollary.

PROPOSITION 2.8. - If (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) has property (S), then also (E, $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{E})$, \mathfrak{N}) has property (S).

<u>Proof</u>. - Let, for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$, a transfinite system $(A_c^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of subsets of E be given, satisfying

1° $(\mathbb{A}^{0}_{c})_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a regular scheme on $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{E})$; 2° $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{c} \ge \mathbb{A}^{\beta}_{c}$ if $\alpha < \beta$; 3° $\mathbb{A}^{\alpha+1}_{c} \subset \bigcup_{n} \mathbb{A}^{\alpha}_{(c,n)}$.

Take K = N, and let $X = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{n\}; n \in N\}$, which is a compact paving on K, stable under finite intersection. For each $k \in N$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$, we define $X_k^{\alpha} = \{(x, c) \in E \times K^k ; x \in A_c^{\alpha}\}$, which clearly satisfy the conditions 1°, 2°, 3° of 2.7. Therefore we have one of the following 2 possibilities :

1° There is $\alpha < \omega_1$ so that $p_1(X_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{N}$. But $\mathbb{A}_{\emptyset}^{\alpha+1} \subset \bigcup_n \mathbb{A}_n^{\alpha} = p_1(X_1^{\alpha})$, implying $\mathbb{A}_{\emptyset}^{\alpha+1} \in \mathfrak{N}$.

2° There is $x \in E$ and $v \in \pi$ such that $(x, v|k) \in X_k^0$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \in \bigcap_k A_{v|k}^0$, and thus in the result of the scheme $(A_c^0)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$. So the proof is given.

THEOREM 2.9. - Assume (E, \mathcal{E} , \mathfrak{N}) with property (S), and let $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$. Then there is a sequence $(B_n)_n$ in \mathcal{E}^* so that $A_n \subset B_n$, for each n, and $\bigcap_n B_n \in \mathfrak{N}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Each set A_n is the result of a regular scheme on \mathcal{E} with index i_n . Let $K = \pi$ and $\kappa = \overline{\pi}$, which is a compact paving on K, stable under finite intersection. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha < \omega_1$, we define

$$X_k^{\alpha} = \bigcap_n \{ (x, v^1, \dots, v^k) ; i_n((v_n^1, \dots, v_n^k), x) > \alpha \},$$

which again satisfy the conditions 1° , 2° , 3° of 2.7 (cf. 1.21). Thus there are 2 alternatives :

1° There is $\alpha < \omega_1$ so that $p_1(X_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{N}$. If we let $B_n = \{x \in E ; i_n(x) > \alpha + 1\}$, then B_n belongs to \mathcal{E}^* , and $A_n \subset B_n$. Moreover

$$\bigcap_{n} B_{n} = \bigcap_{n} \{ x \in E ; \exists v_{n} \in \pi \text{ such that } i_{n}(v_{n}, x) > \alpha \}$$

$$= \{ x \in E ; \exists v \in \pi \text{ such that } (x, v) \in X_{1}^{\alpha} \} = p_{1}(X_{1}^{\alpha}),$$

thus a member of \mathfrak{N} .

2° There is $x \in E$, and a sequence $\binom{k}{\nu}_k$ in \Re so that $(x, \nu^1, \dots, \nu^k) \in X_k^0$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. We find that $i_n((\nu_n^1, \dots, \nu_n^k), x) > 0$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, implying $x \in A_n$. Hence $x \in \bigcap_n A_n$, which is a contradiction.

In particular we obtain the Novikov separation result (see [24]).

3. Applications in section theory.

A. Classes of sets.

The starting point will be a paved set (X, \mathbf{x}) such that :

1° $X \in \mathfrak{X}$;

2° \mathfrak{X} is stable under finite union and finite intersection ;

3° \mathfrak{X} is bianalytic (i. e. $\mathfrak{X} \subset b\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{X})$).

Let further \mathfrak{R} be a class of subsets of X satisfying

4° \mathfrak{N} is a σ -ideal;

5° If $A \in \mathfrak{N}$, then there is $B \in \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{X}^*$ so that $A \subseteq B$;

6° (X, \mathcal{I} , \mathfrak{R}) has property (S).

Definition 3.1. - If \mathfrak{F} is a class of subsets of X, we let \mathfrak{F}' consist of the $A \subset X$ such that there is $B \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $A \Delta B \in \mathfrak{R}$. It is clear that $(\mathfrak{F}')' = \mathfrak{F}'$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. - If $A \in \mathfrak{X}'$, then there exist B, $C \in b\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ satisfying $B \subset A$, $A \subset C$, and $A \setminus B \in \mathfrak{N}$, $C \setminus A \in \mathfrak{N}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Take $A_1 \in \mathfrak{X}$ so that $A \bigtriangleup A_1 \in \mathfrak{N}$, and consider $D \in \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{X}^*$ with $A \bigtriangleup A_1 \subset D$. It is easily seen that $B = A_1 \backslash D$ and $C = A_1 \cup D$ satisfy.

PROPOSITION 3.3. - $(X, \mathfrak{X}', \mathfrak{N})$ has property (S).

<u>Proof.</u> - It is clear that $(X, \mathfrak{X}', \mathfrak{N})$ is basic. It follows from 3.2 that if $(A_{\mathbf{c}})_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathcal{R}}$ is a regular scheme on \mathfrak{X}' , then there is a regular scheme $(B_{\mathbf{c}})_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathcal{R}}$ on $b\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $B_{\mathbf{c}} \subset A_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{c}} \setminus B_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathfrak{N}$, for each $\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{R}$. Hence $\mathbf{D} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{c}} (A_{\mathbf{c}} \setminus B_{\mathbf{c}})$ is still a member of \mathfrak{R} . Let i and j be the indices of the schemes $(A_{\mathbf{c}})_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathcal{R}}$ and $(B_{\mathbf{c}})_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathcal{R}}$, respectively. By induction and using 1.21, we see that $\{\mathbf{x} \in X ; i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}) > \alpha, j(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}) \leq \alpha\}$ is contained in \mathbf{D} , for each $\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{R}^*$ and $\alpha < \omega_1$. Since, by 2.8, also $(X, b\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{R})$ has property (S), there are 2 possibilities :

1° The scheme $(B_c)_{c\in R}$, and hence certainly $(A_c)_{c\in R}$, have a nonempty result.

2° There is $\alpha < \omega_1$ so that $\{x \in X ; j(x) > \alpha\} \in \mathfrak{N}$. Since

$${x \in X ; i(x) > \alpha} \subset {x \in X ; j(x) > \alpha} \cup D$$

also

 ${x \in X ; i(x) > \alpha} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

So the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 3.4. -
$$(x')^* = (x^*)'$$
.

Proof.

1° Since $\mathfrak{X}' \subset (\mathfrak{X}^*)'$, and $(\mathfrak{X}^*)'$ is stable under countable union and countable intersection, $(\mathfrak{X}')^* \subset (\mathfrak{X}^*)'$.

2° Define $\mathcal{Y} = \{A \in \mathfrak{X}^*; \{A\}^{! \subset} (\mathfrak{X}^{!})^*\}$, which of course contains \mathfrak{X} . Moreover \mathcal{Y} is stable under countable union and countable intersection. We give the details for the intersection, the argument for the union being similar. Let thus $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{Y} , $A = \bigcap_n A_n$ and B some set in $\{A\}^{!}$. If, for each n, we take $B_n = (A_n \setminus (A \setminus B)) \cup (B \setminus A)$, then B_n is in $\{A_n\}^{!}$, and hence in $(\mathfrak{X}^{!})^*$. Thus also $B = \bigcap_n B_n$ is in $(\mathfrak{X}^{!})^*$. So we proved that $A \in \mathcal{Y}$. There-

The following is left as an exercice for the reader.

PROPOSITION 3.5. - $\alpha(\mathbf{x}') = \alpha(\mathbf{x})'$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. - $b\alpha(\mathbf{x})' = b\alpha(\mathbf{x}') = (\mathbf{x}^*)'$.

fore $\mathfrak{X}^* \subset \mathfrak{Y}$, implying that $(\mathfrak{X}^*)^{\iota} \subset (\mathfrak{X}^{\iota})^*$.

<u>Proof.</u> - It follows from 3.5 that $bq(\mathbf{x})' \subset b(\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x})') = b\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x}')$. If $A \in b\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x}')$, then $A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x}')$, $X \setminus A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x}')$, and we obtain $B, C \in (\mathbf{x}')^* = (\mathbf{x}^*)'$ so that $A \subset B$, $X \setminus A \subset C$ and $B \cap C \in \mathfrak{N}$, applying 3.3 and 2.9. Since $B \setminus A \subseteq B \cap C$, also $A \in (\mathbf{x}^*)'$. Finally $(\mathbf{x}^*)' \subseteq b\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{x})'$, since \mathbf{x} is bianalytic.

We let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{N})$ be the σ -algebra $\mathfrak{ba}(\mathfrak{X}')$.

Definition 3.7. - If Y is a polish (a. e. a complete metric space which is separable) lot B_Y denote its Borel field. The σ -algebra B_Y is the union of the classes F_{α}, and also the union of the classes G_{α} ($\alpha < \omega_1$), where :

(i) F_0 is the family of the closed sets, and G_0 of the open sets in Y.

(ii) The sets of the family F_{β} are countable intersections or unions of sets belonging to F_{α} , with $\alpha < \beta$ according to whether β is even or odd. The sets of the family G_{β} are countable unions or intersections of sets belonging to G_{α} , with $\alpha < \beta$ according to whether β is even or odd.

The families F_{α} with even indices as well as the families G_{α} with odd indices form the multiplicative class α , the families F_{α} with odd indices and the families G_{α} with even indices the additive class α (for more details, we refer to [20], p. 345). We let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(X, Y) = \{A \times F ; A \in \mathfrak{X}^{i} \text{ and } F \text{ closed in } Y\}$.

PROPOSITION 3.8. - $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathfrak{P}^{\star}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - This follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{Z}^*)^*$, $\mathfrak{G}_{Y} = \mathbb{F}_{0}^*$ and monotonicity arguments.

Let $A \subseteq X \times Y$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Define $A(x) = \{y \in Y ; (x, y) \in A\}$ and $A(y) = \{x \in X ; (x, y) \in A\}$. Such sets will be called sections of A.

From 3.8, we deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.9. - If $A \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Y$, then the sections A(x), where x is taken in X, are of bounded Baire class.

Definition 3.10. - For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ be the class of those $A \in \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathbb{G}_Y$ such that A(x) is an \mathbb{F}_{α} -set, for each $x \in X$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ the class of the $A \in \mathbb{M} \otimes \mathbb{G}_Y$ such that A(x) is a \mathbb{G}_{α} -set, for each $x \in X$. Hence $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha} = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{S}_{\alpha}$.

3.9 can be reformulated as following.

PROPOSITION 3.11. - $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{Y} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_{1}} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_{1}} \mathfrak{T}_{\alpha}$. <u>Definition</u> 3.12. - For each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$, we introduce a class $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ and a class $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ as follows.

(i) $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \mathfrak{S}_0$, and $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathfrak{C}_0$

(ii) The sets of the family \mathfrak{F}_{β} are countable intersections or unions of sets belonging to \mathfrak{F}_{α} , with $\alpha < \beta$, according to whether β is even or odd. The sets of the family \mathfrak{P}_{β} are countable unions or intersections of sets belonging to \mathfrak{P}_{α} , with $\alpha < \beta$, according to whether β is even or odd.

By induction, we verify that $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}$.

It is easily seen that $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$, and $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha}$, for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. In fact the following deep property holds

THEOREM 3.12. - $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$, and $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha < \omega_{1}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - We remark that \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra on X satisfying $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{bl}(\mathfrak{M})$. Then the theorem follows from recent results in descriptive set theory obtained by A. LOUVEAU (see [21]).

The following proposition is easily established by induction.

PROPOSITION 3.13. - Let $(X_n)_n$ be a sequence of disjoint sets in \mathfrak{M} . If $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $(A_n)_n$ is a sequence in \mathfrak{F}_{α} (resp. \mathfrak{G}_{α}), then also $A = \bigcup_n [A_n \cap (X_n \times Y)]$ is in \mathfrak{F}_{α} (resp. \mathfrak{G}_{α}).

<u>Definition</u> 3.14. - $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ will be the class of the subsets A of $X \times Y$

so that $A(x) \in B_Y$, for each $x \in X$, and there exists $B \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Y$ satisfying $\pi_X(A \land B) \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Obviously we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.15. - 6 is a σ -algebra.

Definition 3.16. - If $A \subset X \times Y$, then $\overline{A}^S \subset X \times Y$ is defined by $\overline{A}^S(x) = \overline{A(x)}$, where $\overline{}$ denotes the closure operation.

The following description of \overline{A}^S will be useful. If $y \in Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $B(y, \varepsilon)$ is the open ball with midpoint y and radius ε . Let now $(y_n)_n$ be a dense sequence in Y. If, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we take

$$X_{n,k} = \pi_{X} \left[A \cap \left(X \times B(y_{n}, \frac{1}{k}) \right) \right],$$

then

$$\overline{A}^{S} = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup_{n} (X_{n,k} \times B(y_{n}, \frac{1}{k})) .$$

PROPOSITION 3.17. - Let $A \subset X \times Y$, and suppose $\pi_X(A) \in \mathfrak{N}$. If $\alpha < \omega_1$, and the sections A(x), where x is taken in X, are \mathfrak{F}_{α} (resp. \mathfrak{G}_{α}) sets, then $A \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}$ (resp. \mathfrak{G}_{α}).

<u>Proof</u>. - It is clearly enough to prove only the first property. We proceed inductively on α . If $\alpha = 0$, then every section A(x) of A is closed, and hence $A = \overline{A}^S$. Since, for every $n \in \underline{N}$, $k \in \underline{N}$, the set $X_{n,k} \in \Re$, $A \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y$ and hence $A \in \mathfrak{F}_0$. Now, let the property be true, for every $\alpha < \beta$, and assume A(x) an \mathfrak{F}_β set, for each $x \in X$. Clearly there is a sequence $(A_n)_n$ of subsets of $X \times Y$ such that $\pi_X(A_n) = \pi_X(A)$, for each n, the set $A_n(x)$ is in $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha$, for each n and each $x \in X$, and $A = \bigcap_n A_n$ if β is even, $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ if β is odd.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. If, for each $\alpha < \beta$, we take

 $X_{n,\alpha} = \{x \in X ; A_n(x) \text{ is precisely an } \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \text{ set} \},\$

then $A_{n,\alpha} = A_n \cap (X_{n,\alpha} \times Y) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}$, by induction hypothesis. It follows from 3.13 that $A_n = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} A_{n,\alpha} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta}$. Hence also $A \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta}$, which completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.18. - Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then $A \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y$ if, and only if, the sections A(x), where x is taken in X, are of bounded Baire class.

<u>Proof.</u> - The 'bnly if" part is precisely 3.9. Assume $A \in \mathfrak{S}$, then there exists some $B \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Y$ such that $\pi_X(A \Delta B) \in \mathfrak{N}$. If the sections A(x) are of bounded Baire class, then, again by 3.9, this is also true for the sections $(A \setminus B)(x)$ of $A \setminus B$, and $(B \setminus A)(x)$ of $B \setminus A$. It follows from 3.17 that $A \setminus B$ and $B \setminus A$ are members of $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Y$. Hence

$$A = (B \setminus (B \setminus A)) \cup (A \setminus B) \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

We introduce $\alpha = \alpha(x, Y)$ as the class of $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ -analytic subsets of $X \times Y$. From 3.8 and the fact that $\alpha = \alpha^*$, we obtain immediately the following proposition. PROPOSITION 3.19. - $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{Y} \subset \mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$.

The following result is similar to 3.17.

PROPOSITION 3.20. - Let $A \subset X \times Y$, and suppose $\pi_X(A) \in \mathfrak{N}$. If the section A(x) is analytic in Y, for each $x \in X$, then $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - For each $x \in X$, A(x) is the result of a Souslin scheme $(F_c^{\times})_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the paving of the closed subsets of Y. For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define $F_c \subset X \times Y$ by $F_c(x) = F_c^{\times}$ if $x \in \pi_X(\mathbb{A})$, and $F_c(x) = \emptyset$ if $x \notin \pi_X(\mathbb{A})$. By 3.19, we find that $F_c \in \mathfrak{F}_0$. Because A is the result of the scheme $(F_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ and 1.14, we find $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{C}$.

PROPOSITION 3.21. - $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y) \subset \mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}$, and take $B \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y$ satisfying $\pi_X(A \Delta B) \in \mathfrak{N}$. Since $B_1 = B \cap [(X \setminus \pi_X(A \Delta B)) \times Y] \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y$, $A_1 = A \cap [\pi_X(A \Delta B) \times Y) \in \mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$ by 3.20, and $A = B_1 \cup A_1$, it follows that $A \in \mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$.

B. Separation results.

In this section, we will apply the general separation theorems obtained in the preceding chapter to more concrete situations. We start with the following well-known fact.

PROPOSITION 3.22. - Every polish space is homeomorphic to a G_{δ} -subset of $(0, 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}$, where (0, 1) is the unit-interval.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let Y be a polish space, d a complete metric for Y bounded by 1, and $(y_n)_n$ a dense sequence in Y. Consider the following map

$$i: Y \longrightarrow (0, 1)^{\underline{N}}$$
$$y \longmapsto (d(y, y_n))_n$$

It is not difficult to verify that i is an inbedding. Moreover, i(Y) is a G_{δ} -subset of $(0, 1)^{\underline{N}}$. This follows from the fact that i(Y) is the intersection of the 2 sets

 $\{(\xi_n)_n \in (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}; \text{ inf } \xi_n = 0\}$

and

 $\bigcap_{n} \{ (\xi_{n})_{n} \in (0, 1)^{\underline{N}} ; \exists y \in Y \text{ with } |\xi_{k} - d(y, y_{k})| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \}$ which are G_{k} .

We assume Y a fixed polish space. By 3.22, Y is homeomorphic to a G_{δ} subset of a compact metric space K. Let K be the paving on K consisting of the closed sets, which is of course compact.

PROPOSITION 3.23. - If
$$(A_n)_n$$
 is a sequence of analytic subsets of Y such that

 $\bigcap_{n} A_{n} = \emptyset, \text{ then there is a sequence } (B_{n})_{n} \text{ in } B_{Y} \text{ satisfying } A_{n} \subset B_{n}, \text{ for } B_{n} = \emptyset.$

<u>Proof.</u> - Y can clearly be assumed a G_{δ} subspace of K. Since $\begin{pmatrix} A_n \end{pmatrix}_n$ is also a sequence of K-analytic subsets, we obtain by 2.10 a sequence $\begin{pmatrix} B_i \\ n \end{pmatrix}_n$ in \mathcal{B}_K satisfying $A_n \stackrel{\mathsf{C}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{B_i}{\xrightarrow{}}_n$, for each n, and $\bigcap_n \stackrel{B_i}{\xrightarrow{}}_n = \emptyset$. We only have to take $B_n = B_n^i \cap Y$.

In the remainder of this section, we assume $(X, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{N})$ satisfying 1° \longrightarrow 6° of 3 (A).

PROPOSITION 3.24. - If $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, then $\pi_{Y}(A) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z}^{1})$.

<u>Proof.</u> - It is clear that Y can be assumed a G_{δ} subset of K. Because A, considered as subset of X × K, is $(\mathfrak{X}' \times \mathfrak{K})$ -analytic, $\pi_{\chi}(A)$ is \mathfrak{X}' -analytic by 1.15.

PROPOSITION 3.25. - If $(A_n)_n$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ such that $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$, then there is a sequence $(B_n)_n$ in $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Y$ with $A_n \subset B_n$, for each n, and $\pi_X(\bigcap_n B_n) \in \mathfrak{R}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Again, we may assume Y a G_{δ} subset of K. Remark that each set A_n is $(\mathfrak{X}' \times \mathfrak{K})$ -analytic. Since by 3.3 and 2.5, $(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{X}' \times \mathfrak{K}, \pi_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}))$ has property (S), 2.9 yields us a sequence $(B_n')_n$ in $(\mathfrak{X}' \times \mathfrak{K})^* = \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_K$ so that $A_n \subseteq B_n'$, for each n, and $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(\bigcap_n B_n') \in \mathfrak{N}$. If we take $B_n = B_n' \cap (\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y})$, the required sequence $(B_n)_n$ is obtained.

THEOREM 3.26. - If $(A_n)_n$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$ such that $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$, then there is a sequence $(B_n)_n$ in $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ with $A_n \subset B_n$, for each n, and $\bigcap_n B_n = \emptyset$.

<u>Proof.</u> - By 3.25, there is a sequence $(B_n^i)_n$ in $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{G}_Y$ such that $A_n \subseteq B_n^i$, for each n, and $N = \pi_X (\bigcap_n B_n^i) \in \mathfrak{N}$. Applying 3.23, we find on the other side, for each $x \in X$, a sequence $(B_n^X)_n$ in \mathbb{G}_Y satisfying $A_n(x) \subseteq B_n^X$, for each n, and $\bigcap_n B_n^X = \emptyset$. The sets B_n are introduced by taking $B_n(x) = B_n^i(x)$ if $x \notin N$, and $B_n(x) = B_n^X$ if $x \in N$. Because $\pi_X(B_n \Delta B_n^i) \subseteq N$, each set B_n belongs to $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$, and it follows from the construction that $A_n \subseteq B_n$, for each n, and $\bigcap_n B_n = \emptyset$.

The following 2 corollaries are straightforward.

PROPOSITION 3.27. - Disjoint sets in O(X, Y) can be separated by sets in S(X, Y).

PROPOSITION 3.28. - $b\mathfrak{A}(X, Y) = \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$.

C. Stable mappings.

We still assume $(X, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{N})$ with properties $1^{\circ} \longrightarrow 6^{\circ}$ of 3 (A). From 3.29 to

3.36, Y and Z will be fixed polish spaces and $D \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$,

Definition 3.29. - A mapping φ : D $\longrightarrow X \times Z$ will be called stable, if $\pi_X \circ \varphi = \pi_X$ (φ preserve the first coordinate). Obviously φ is determined by $\pi_Z \circ \varphi$, which we denote by φ_2 .

<u>Definition</u> 3.30. - Let φ : D $\longrightarrow X \times Z$ be a stable mapping. We will say that φ is measurable if φ is (S(X, Y) - S(X, Z))-measurable.

PROPOSITION 3.31. - <u>A stable map</u> φ : D $\longrightarrow X \times Z$ is measurable if, and only if, φ_2 : D $\longrightarrow Z$ is $(\mathfrak{S}(X, Y) - \mathfrak{B}_Z)$ -measurable.

Proof.

1° Suppose φ measurable. Since $\pi_Z : X \times Z \longrightarrow Z$ is $(\mathfrak{S}(X, Z) - \mathfrak{B}_Z)$ -measurable, it follows that φ_Z is $(\mathfrak{S}(X, Y) - \mathfrak{B}_Z)$ -measurable.

2° Assume now φ_2 is $(\mathfrak{G}(X, Y) - \mathfrak{B}_Z)$ -measurable. First, we verify that φ is $(\mathfrak{G}(X, Y) - \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Z)$ -measurable. Take then $A \in \mathfrak{G}(X, Z)$, and consider $B \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Z$ satisfying $\pi_y(A \Delta B) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Clearly,

$$\pi_{X}(\varphi^{-1}(A) \land \varphi^{-1}(B)) \subset \pi_{X}(A \land B)$$

and furthermore

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{A})(\mathbb{X}) = \varphi_2^{-1}(\mathbb{A}(\mathbb{X}))(\mathbb{X}) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Y}}$$

Hence $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$.

<u>Definition</u> 3.32. - If φ : D $\longrightarrow X \times Z$ is a stable mapping, then the graph of φ will be the set $\Gamma(\varphi) = \{(x, y, \varphi_2(x, y)); (x, y) \in D\}$.

PROPOSITION 3.33. - If φ : D \longrightarrow X × Z is stable and measurable, then $\Gamma(\varphi)$ is a member of $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y \times Z)$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let ψ : $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be given by $\psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = (\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{z})$. Then ψ is $(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}) - \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ -measurable. Indeed, $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}$: $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is $(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}) - \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ -measurable, and $\pi_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}}$: $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is $(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}) - \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Y}))$ -measurable. The diagonal Δ of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, since it is closed. The fact that $\Gamma(\varphi) = \psi^{-1}(\Delta)$ completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.34. - If φ : D $\longrightarrow X \times Z$ is stable and measurable and A $\in \mathfrak{A}(X, Y)$, then $\varphi(A \cap D) \in \mathfrak{A}(X, Z)$.

<u>Proof.</u> - We may assume Y a G_{δ} -subset of a compact metric space K with paving X of its compact subsets. Let \mathfrak{F} be the paving on Z consisting of the closed sets. By 3.33, $\Gamma(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y \times Z)$, and hence, by 3.21, $\Gamma(\varphi) \cap (A \times Z) \in \mathfrak{O}(X, Y \times Z)$. Since $\Gamma(\varphi) \cap (A \times Z)$, considered as subset of $X \times K \times Z$, is $(\mathfrak{X} \cdot \times \mathfrak{F})$ -analytic, we obtain, by 1.15, that $\varphi(A \cap D) = \pi_{X \times Z}(\Gamma(\varphi) \cap (A \times Z))$ is $(\mathfrak{X} \cdot \times \mathfrak{F})$ -analytic. Thus $\varphi(A \cap D) \in \mathfrak{Q}(X, Z)$.

Definition 3.35. - We will say that a stable map φ : D \longrightarrow X × Z is continuous

provided the partial map $(\varphi_2)_x$: $D(x) \longrightarrow Z$ is continuous, for each $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.36. - If $D \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y$, and $\varphi : D \longrightarrow X \times Z$ is a stable, measurable and continuous map, then φ is $(\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Y - \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_Z)$ -measurable.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let B be a member of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_Z$. Applying 3.18, we only have to show that the sections $\varphi^{-1}(B)(x) = ((\varphi_2)_x)^{-1}(B(x))$ are of bounded Baire class. But this follows immediately from 3.9 and the fact that each $(\varphi_2)_x$ is continuous. Obviously, the following composition results hold.

PROPOSITION 3.37. - Let Y, Z, W be polish spaces, $D \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$, $E \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Z)$, φ : $D \longrightarrow X \times Z$ and ψ : $E \longrightarrow X \times W$ mappings so that $\varphi(D) \subseteq E$. If φ and ψ are stable, then $\psi \circ \varphi$ is stable. If moreover φ and ψ are measurable (continuous) then also $\psi \circ \varphi$ is measurable (continuous).

PROPOSITION 3.38. - If Y is a polish space and $A \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, then there exist a set D in $\mathcal{F}_0(X, \mathcal{N})$, and a continuous map $\varphi : \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow Y$ so that $\varphi(D(x)) = A(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Let A be the result of a regular scheme $(M_c \times F_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$. It is easily seen that we may assume $F_c \neq \emptyset$, $F_c \supseteq F_d$, if c < d, and diam $F_c \leq 1/|c|$, where the diameter is taken with respect to a complete metric. Obviously the set

$$D = \bigcup_{v} \bigcap_{c < v} (\mathbb{M}_{c} \times \mathfrak{n}_{c}) = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup_{|c|=k} (\mathbb{M}_{c} \times \mathfrak{n}_{c})$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_0(X, \mathfrak{N})$. The map φ on \mathfrak{N} will be given by $\varphi(\nu) = \bigcap_{\mathbf{C} < \nu} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{C}}$, which is a unique point of Y. It is clear that φ is continuous. Horeover $D(\mathbf{x}) = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{N}; \ \mathbf{x} \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{C} < \nu} \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{C}}\}$, and hence $\varphi(D(\mathbf{x})) = \bigcup_{\nu \in D(\mathbf{x})} \bigcap_{\mathbf{C} < \nu} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{C}}$, which is precisely $A(\mathbf{x})$.

Our next aim is to establish the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.39. - If Y is a polish space, and $A \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$, then there exists a set $D \in \mathfrak{F}_0(X, \mathfrak{N})$, and an injective, stable, measurable and continuous map $\varphi: D \longrightarrow X \times Y$ onto A.

We need the following lemma.

PROPOSITION 3.40. - Let $(Y_n)_n$ be a sequence of polish spaces, and let $Y = \prod_n Y_n \cdot \underline{We \text{ consider, for each } n \in \mathbb{N}$, a member $D_n \quad \underline{of} \quad \mathfrak{M} \otimes \ \mathfrak{B}_Y \cdot \underline{Then the subset}$ set $D \quad \underline{of} \quad X \times Y$, defined by $D(x) = \prod_n D_n(x)$, belongs to $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \ \mathfrak{B}_Y \cdot \underline{Proof} \cdot - It$ is easily verified that, for each n, the set

$$\hat{D}_n = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; (x, y_n) \in D_n\}$$

is a member of $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_{Y}$. Since $D = \bigcap_{n} \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{n}$, the proof is clear. The main step in the proof of 3.39 is the following proposition.

- 1° Q is stable under countable disjoint union ;
- 2° Q is stable under countable intersection.
- Hence $\Omega \cap c\Omega$ is stable under countable union.

<u>Proof.</u> - It is clear that, in the definition of Q above, the space π can be replaced by a homeomorphic polish space.

1° Let $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence of disjoint members of Q. For each n, we obtain a set D_n in $\mathfrak{F}_0(X, \mathfrak{N}_n)$, and an injective, stable, measurable and continuous map $\varphi_n : D_n \longrightarrow X \times Y$ satisfying $\varphi_n(D_n) = A_n$. Obviously $D = \bigcup_n D_n$ is a member of $\mathfrak{F}_0(X, \mathfrak{N})$. Define φ on D by taking $\varphi|_n = \varphi_n$. Then φ satisfies the required properties and has image $\bigcup_n A_n$.

2° Let $(A_n)_n$ be a sequence of members of Q. For each n, let $D_n \in \mathfrak{F}_0(X, \pi)$, and $\varphi_n : D_n \longrightarrow X \times Y$ an injective, stable, measurable and continuous map so that $\varphi_n(D_n) = A_n$. Let $S = \pi \mathbb{K}^n$. From 3.40, we know that the subset D of $X \times S$, defined by $\widetilde{D}(x) = \prod_n D_n(x)$, belongs to $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathfrak{G}_S$, and hence to $\mathfrak{F}_0(X, S)$. We consider the map $\widetilde{\varphi} : \widetilde{D} \longrightarrow X \times Y^n$ given by $\widetilde{\varphi}_2(x, s) = (\varphi_{n,2}(x, s_n))_n$, if $s = (s_n)_n$. Using 3.31, we see that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is measurable and continuous. If Δ is the diagonal of Y^n , then $D = (\widetilde{\varphi})^{-1}(X \times \Delta) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, S)$, and hence $D \in \mathfrak{F}_0(X, S)$, since D(x) is closed in $\widetilde{D}(x)$, for each $x \in X$. Let $\iota : \Delta \longrightarrow Y$ be the canonical isomorphism, and $\varphi : D \longrightarrow X \times Y$ the stable map given by $\varphi_2 = \iota \cdot (\widetilde{\varphi}_2 | D)$. It is easily checked that φ is injective, measurable and continuous. We also verify that $\varphi(D) = \bigcap_n A_n$. Because S and π are homeomorphic, the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 3.42. - If Y is polish, then every member of \mathscr{B}_Y is the continuous injective image of a closed subset of \mathscr{N} .

<u>Proof.</u> - By 3.22, Y can be assumed a G_{δ} subset of $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$ or $(0, 1(\stackrel{\mathbb{N}}{\sim} \cdot \mathbb{N})^{\mathbb{N}}$ We will obtain this result by applying 4.41 to the very special case where X consists of a unique element. Take for instance $X = \{\emptyset\}$, $\mathfrak{X} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and $\mathfrak{N} = \{\emptyset\}$, clearly satisfying $1^{\circ} \longrightarrow 6^{\circ}$ of 3, 1. It is almost obvious that the class \mathfrak{Q} introduced in 3.41 consists of the continuous injective images of closed subsets of $\mathfrak{N} \cdot \mathbb{N}$ let the reader the care of showing that every interval of the form $(a, b(, \text{ with } a < b, \text{ in } (0, 1(\text{ is the continuous injective image of } \mathfrak{N} \text{ it-self.}$ Therefore the products of such intervals are certainly members of $\mathfrak{Q} \cdot \mathbb{B}y$ 3.41 in addition, $\mathfrak{Q} \cap c\mathfrak{Q}$ is a σ -algebra, and since it contains a generating subclass of the Borel field of $(0, 1(\stackrel{\mathbb{N}}{\sim}, \text{ the proposition is true.})$

<u>Proof of</u> 3.39. - Let Ω be as in 3.41. It is enough to prove 3.39, if $A \in \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{Y}$, and if $A \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ with $\pi_{\chi}(A) \in \mathfrak{R}$, since every element of

 $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ is the disjoint union of such sets.

1° From 3.42, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, and hence also $\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \cap c\mathcal{Q}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \cap c\mathcal{Q}$, thus certainly $\mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$.

2° Assume now $A \in G(X, Y)$, and $\pi_X(A) \in \mathfrak{N}$. Again by 3.42, there exist, for each $x \in X$, a closed subset D^X of \mathfrak{N} , and a continuous injective map $\varphi^X : D^X \longrightarrow Y$ onto A(x). Let $D(x) = D^X$ if $x \in \pi_X(A)$, and $D(x) = \emptyset$ otherwise. Define φ : $D \longrightarrow X \times Y$ by $\varphi(x, \nu) = (x, \varphi^X(\nu))$. Clearly, by 3.17, $D \in \mathfrak{F}_0(X, \mathfrak{N})$, and φ is an injective, stable, measurable and continuous mapping with image A.

This completes the proof.

We will now pass to the proof of a converse result, hamely

THEOREM 3.43. - Let Y, Z be polish. If $D \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ and $\varphi : D \longrightarrow X \times Z$ is an injective, stable and measurable mapping, then $\varphi(C) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Z)$.

PROPOSITION 3.44. - Let Y be polish, and $\binom{A}{n}_n$ a sequence of mutually disjoint elements of $\alpha(X, Y)$. Then, there is a sequence $\binom{B}{n}_n$ of mutually disjoint members of $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ such that $\binom{A}{n} \stackrel{\subset}{B}_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

<u>Proof.</u> - Since A_m and A_n are disjoint for $m \neq n$, A_1 and $\bigcup_{n \geq 2} A_n$ are disjoint members of $\alpha(X, Y)$. By 3.27, we can find disjoint sets B_1 and C_1 in $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ such that $A_1 \subset B_1$, and $\bigcup_{n \geq 2} A_n \subset C_1$. We can then separate similarly A_2 and $\bigcup_{n \geq 3} A_n$ by sets B_2 and C_2 in $\mathfrak{S}(X, Y)$ such that $B_2 \subset C_1$, and $C_2 \subset C_1$. Repeating this, we complete the proof.

<u>Proof of</u> 3.43. - By 3.39 and 3.37, we may assume $Y = \pi$. For every $c \in R$, define $E_c = \varphi(D \cap (X \times \pi_c))$, which is a member of $\mathfrak{A}(X, Z)$, by 3.34. The scheme $(E_c)_{c \in R}$ is regular, and since φ is injective, $E_c, \cap E_{c''} = \emptyset$ if |c'| = |c''| and $c' \neq c''$. Applying 3.44, we obtain a regular scheme $(B_c)_{c \in R}$ on $\mathfrak{S}(X, Z)$ so that $E_c \cap B_c$ and $B_c, \cap B_{c''} = \emptyset$ if |c'| = |c''| and $c' \neq c''$. For each $c \in R$, let $C_c = \{(x, \nu, z) \in X \times \pi \times Z; c < \nu \text{ and } (x, z) \in B_c\}$, which clearly belongs to $\mathfrak{S}(X, \pi \times Z)$. Hence also $\Gamma^* = \bigcap_k \bigcup_{|c|=k} C_c$ is in $\mathfrak{S}(X, \pi \times Z)$. It is easily seen that $\Gamma(\varphi) \subseteq \Gamma^*$.

consists of at most one point of ${\mathfrak R}$. Furthermore

$$\pi_{X \times Z}(\Gamma^*) = \bigcup_{\nu} \bigcap_{c < \nu} B_c = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup_{c = k} B_{c}$$

and therefore in $\mathfrak{S}(X, Z)$. Since $\Gamma(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, \mathfrak{X} \times Z)$ by 3.33, the set $\pi_{X \times Z}(\Gamma^* \setminus \Gamma(\varphi)) = \pi_{X \times Z}(\Gamma^*) \setminus \varphi(D)$ is a member of $\mathfrak{A}(X, Z)$. It follows that $(X \times Z) \setminus \varphi(D)$ belongs also to $\mathfrak{A}(X, Z)$ and thus, by 3.34 and 3.28,

$$\varphi(D) \in \mathfrak{S}(X, Z)$$
.

An obvious corollary of 3.43 is the isomorphism theorem.

5-21

PROPOSITION 3.45. - If Y, Z are polish, $D \in B_Y$ and $\varphi : D \longrightarrow Z$ is injective and Borel measurable, then $\varphi(D) \in B_T$.

For a slightly different proof of 3.45, the reader is referred to [15].

REFERENCES

- [1] ARSENIN (W. J.), LJAPUNCV (A. A.), CEGOLKOV (E. A.). Arbeiten zur deskriptiven Mengenlehre. - Berlin VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1955 (Mathematische Forschungsberichte, 1).
- [2] BAIRE (R.). Sur les fonctions des variables réelles, Annali Mat. pura ed appl., 3e Série, t. 3, 1899, p. 1-123 (Thèse Sc. math.).
- [3] BOURBAKI (N.). Intégration. Chap. 1-8. Paris, Hermann, 1956-1965 (Act. scient. et ind., 1175, 1244, 1306; Bourbaki, 13, 21, 29).
- [4] BOURGAIN (J.). Decompositions in the product of a measure space and a polish space (submitted to Fundamenta Mathematicae).
- [5] BOURGAIN (J.), FREMLIN (D. H.) and TALAGRAND (M.). Pointwise compact sets of Baire measurable functions (submitted to Amer. J. Math.).
- [6] CHRISTENSEN (J. P. R.). Topology and Borel structure. Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1974 (North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 10; Notas de Matematica, 51).
- [7] COBAN (N. M.). On B-measurable sections, Soviet Math. Dokl., t. 13, 1972, p. 1473-1477.
- [8] DELLACHERIE (C.). Capacités et processus stochastiques. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1972 (Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 67).
- [9] DELLACHERIE (C.). Ensembles analytiques, capacités, mesures de Hausdorff.
 Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1972 (Lecture Notes in mathematics, 295).
- [10] DELLACHERIE (C.). Ensembles analytiques : Théorèmes de séparation et applications. - Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1975 (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 465).
- [11] DELLACHERIE (C.). Une démonstration du théorème de Souslin-Lusin, "Séminaire de probabilités de l'Université de Strasbourg, VII, Année 1971/72", p. 48-50. - Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1973 (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 321).
- [12] EFFROS (E. G.). Convergence of closed subsets in a topological space, Proc. amer. Math. Soc., t. 16, 1965, p. 929-931.
- [13] HALMOS (P. R.). Measure theory. Toronto, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1950 (The University Series in higher Mathematics).
- [14] HAUSDORFF (F.). Set theory. New York, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1962.
- [15] HOFFMANN-JØRGENSEN (J.). The theory of analytic spaces. Aarhus, Aarhus Universitet, Mathematik Inst., 1970 (Various Publication Series, 10).
- [16] HUREWICZ (W.). Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A), Fund. Math., Warszawa, t. 12, 1928, p. 78-109.
- [17] JECH (T. J.). Lectures in set theory with particular emphasis on the method of forcing. - Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1971 (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 217).
- [18] KONDÖ (N.). Sur l'uniformisation des complémentaires d'analytiques et les ensembles projectifs de la seconde classe, Japan. J. Math., t. 15, 1938, p. 197-230.

- [19] KUNUGUI (K.). Contribution à la théorie des ensembles boréliens et analytiques, III, J. Fac. Sc. Hokkaido Univ. Serie 1, t. 8, 1939-1940, p. 79-108.
- [20] KURATOWSKI (C.). Topologie, vol. 1 and 2, 4th edition. PWN, Polish scientific Publishers, 1958-1961 (Monografie Matematyczne, 20, 21).
- [21] LOUVEAU (Alain). La hiérarchie borélienne des ensembles Δ¹, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 285, 1977, Série A, p. 601-604.
- [22] MARTIN (D. A.) and SOLOVAY (R. M.). Internal Cohen extensions, Ann. of math. logic, t. 2, 1970, p. 143-178.
- [23] MEYER (P.-A.). Probabilités et potentiels. Paris, Hermann, 1966 (Act. scient. et ind., 1318; Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg, 14).
- [24] NOVIKOV (P.). The separation of CA sets, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Serija Mat., t. 1, 1937, p. 253-264.
- [25] NOVIKOV (P.). Generalization of the second separation theorem, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, t. 4, 1934, p. 8-11.
- [26] PURVES (R.). Bimeasurable functions, Fund. Math., Warszawa, t. 58, 1966, p. 149-157.
- [27] ROGERS (C. A.). Lusin's second theorem of separation, J. London, math. Soc., 2nd Serie, t. 6, 1973, p. 491-503.
- [28] SCHWARTZ (L.). --- Radon measures on Souslin spaces, "Symposium on analysis [1967, Kingston]", p. 157-168. -- Kingston, Queen's University, 1967 (Queen's Papers in pure and applied Mathematics, 10).
- [29] SIERPINSKI (W.). Les ensembles projectifs et analytiques. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1950 (Mémorial des Sciences mathématiques, 112).
- [30] SIERPINSKI (W.). Sur une suite infinie de fonctions de classe 1 dont toute fonction d'accumulation est non mesurable, Fund. Math., Warszawa, t. 33, 1945, p. 104-105.
- [31] SIERPINSKI (W.). Oeuvres choisies, Vol. 2 et 3. Warszawa, PWN Polish scientific publishers, 1975-1976.
- [32] SOLOVAY (R. M.) and TENNENBAUM (S.). Iterated Cohen extensions and Souslin's problem, Ann. of Math., 2nd serie, t. 94, 1971, p. 201-245.

(Texte reçu le 20 février 1978)

Jean BOURGAIN Vrije Universiteit Brussel 2 Pleinlaan B-1050 BRUSSEL (Belgique)