RENDICONTI del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova

MARCEL HERZOG FEDERICO MENEGAZZO On deficient products in infinite groups

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 93 (1995), p. 1-6

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1995_93_1_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 93 (1995)

On Deficient Products in Infinite Groups(*).

MARCEL HERZOG(**) - FEDERICO MENEGAZZO(***)

1. Introduction.

In [2] groups with the deficient squares property were completely characterized. It was shown in [3] that a group G has the deficient squares property if and only if it does not contain an infinite fully-independent subset.

In this paper we investigate infinite groups with deficient products properties. To make this more precise, let G be an infinite group and let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge 2$. A subset of G with n elements will be called an *n*-set of G. We say that $G \in DP(n, k)$ if all k-tuples X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k of n-sets in G satisfy

$$UP(X_1, ..., X_k) =_{def} | \cup \{X_i X_j | 1 \le i, j \le k, i \ne j\} | < (k^2 - k) n^2$$

In particular, $G \in DP(n)$ stands for $G \in DP(n, 2)$. Finally, we say that $G \in DP$ if $G \in DP(n, k)$ for some positive integers $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge 2$. Our main results are expressed in the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let G be an infinite group and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $G \in DP(n)$ if and only if G is abelian.

This theorem follows immediately from

(*) This article was written during the first author's visit to the University of Padova. He would like to thank the Department of Mathematics for the invitation and for their kind hospitality.

(**) Indirizzo dell'A.: School of Mathematical Sciences, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel.

(***) Indirizzo dell'A.: Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Matematica Pura e Applicata, Via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy. **THEOREM 2.** Let G be an infinite non-abelian group. Then G contains two infinite product-independent subsets.

Two subsets A and B of G are product-independent if whenever $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, then $ab \neq b'a'$ and ab = a'b' or ba = b'a' only if a = a' and b = b'.

We say that a group G satisfies $G \in FIZ$ if its center is of finite index. With respect to the DP property we prove

THEOREM 3. Let G be an infinite group. Then $G \in DP$ if and only if $G \in FIZ$.

Theorem 3 follows easily from

THEOREM 4. Let G be an infinite group. Then G contains \aleph_0 mutually product-independent infinite subsets if and only if $G \notin FIZ$.

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4 will be presented in Section 2. It is easy to see that similar proofs yield the following generalizations of Theorems 1 and 3. In order to state these generalizations, we need some additional notation. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \ge 2$ we define $((n), k) = (n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$, where $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $G \in DP((n), k)$ if all k-tuples $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ of subsets of G with $(|X_1|, |X_2|, ..., |X_k|) = (n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$ satisfy

 $UP(X_1, X_2, ..., X_k) < \sum \{n_i n_j | 1 \le i, j \le k, i \ne j\}.$

Finally, we say that $G \in DP^*$ if $G \in DP((n), k)$ for some $((n), k), k \ge 2$. The generalized theorems are:

THEOREM 1'. Let G be an infinite group. Then $G \in DP((n), 2)$ if and only if G is abelian.

THEOREM 3'. Let G be an infinite group. Then $G \in DP^*$ if and only if $G \in FIZ$.

Section 3 deals with a related, but different, topic. Following [4] we say that $G \in P_n$ if XY = YX for all *n*-sets X, Y in G and $G \in P_n^*$ if every infinite set of distinct *n*-sets of G contains a pair X, Y of distinct members such that XY = YX. In [4] it was shown that $G \in P_n$ if and only if G is abelian and in [5] the second author showed that $G \in P_n^*$ for n > 1 if and only if G is abelian. Theorems 1, 3, 1' and 3' are generalizations of the first mentioned result and we extend the second result by considering groups $G \in P^*$, which satisfy the property that every infinite set of distinct finite

subsets of G of specified sizes not less than two contains a pair of distinct members X, Y such that XY = YX. We prove

THEOREM 5. Let G be an infinite group. Then $G \in P^*$ if and only if G is abelian.

The following notation and definitions will be used in this paper. The letter G denotes an infinite group and N denotes the set of positive integers. The letters $i, j, l, m, n, \lambda, \sigma, \rho, \tau$ will denote positive integers. A subset S of G will be called a Sidon set if whenever $x, y, z, w \in S$ and $|\{x, y, z, w\}| \ge 3$, then $xy \neq zw$.

2. Groups with deficient products.

In this section we shall prove Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4. Our proofs rely on the following important results of B. H. Neumann and of Babai and Sós.

THEOREM A (B. H. Neumann [6]). Let G be a group. Then $G \in FIZ$ if and only if G does not contain an infinite subset U satisfying $uv \neq vu$ whenever $u, v \in U$ and $u \neq v$.

THEOREM B (L. Babai and V. T. Sós [1]). If U is an infinite subset of a group G, then U contains an infinite Sidon set.

We proceed with our

LEMMA 1. Let G be an infinite group and let k denote a positive integer or \aleph_0 . Then there exist k infinite sequences $A_i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, ...), i = 1, 2, ..., k$ of elements of G such that

$$(2.1) A_{\lambda}A_{\sigma} \cap A_{\rho}A_{\tau} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } |\{\lambda, \sigma, \rho, \tau\}| \ge 3$$

and

(2.2)
$$a_i^{\lambda} a_j^{\sigma} = a_k^{\lambda} a_l^{\sigma}$$
 for $\lambda \neq \sigma$ if and only if $i = k$ and $j = l$.

If $G \notin FIZ$, we may choose the A_i in such a way, that in addition to the above mentioned properties they will satisfy

(2.3)
$$A_{\lambda}A_{\sigma}\cap A_{\sigma}A_{\lambda}=\emptyset \quad for \ \lambda\neq\sigma.$$

PROOF. Suppose, first, that $G \notin FIZ$. By Theorem A there exists an infinite sequence $B = (g_1, g_2, ...)$ of distinct elements of G such that

 $g_i g_j \neq g_j g_i$ if $i \neq j$. By Theorem B there exists an infinite subsequence $U = (u_1, u_2, ...)$ of B such that $u_i u_j = u_k u_l$ implies that either i = k and j = l (i.e. $u_i u_j = u_i u_j$) or i = j and k = l (i.e. $u_i^2 = u_k^2$). Partition the set U into k disjoint infinite subsequences $A_i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, ...), i = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) hold. The proof in the case $G \notin FIZ$ is complete.

If $G \in FIZ$, then Z(G) is of infinite order and by Theorem B Z(G) contains an infinite sequence $U = (u_1, u_2, ...)$ of distinct elements such that $u_i u_j = u_k u_l$ implies that either i = k and j = l (i.e. $u_i u_j = u_i u_j$) or i = j and k = l (i.e. $u_i^2 = u_k^2$) or i = l and j = k (i.e. $u_i u_j = u_j u_i$). Construct the sequences $A_i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, ...)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k as before. Then (2.1) and (2.2) hold, as claimed.

We are ready now to prove Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If $G \notin FIZ$, then Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 1, with k = 2. So suppose that $G \in FIZ$. Then Z(G) is infinite, G' is finite and Z(G)/H is infinite, where $H = Z(G) \cap G'$. Let T be a transversal of H in Z(G) and let U be an infinite subset of T such that $\{uH | u \in U\}$ is a Sidon set in Z(G)/H. This means that if $u, v, w, t \in U$ satisfy uvH = wtH then $|\{uH, vH, wH, tH\}| < 3$, hence also $|\{u, v, w, t\}| < 3$. Now split U into two infinite disjoint subsets R and S; fix elements $x, y \in G$ with $xy \neq yx$ and finally set A = xR, B = yS. If a = xr, a' = xr', b = ys and b' = ys', with $r, r' \in R$ and $s, s' \in S$, satisfy ab = b'a', then xrys = ys'xr', which implies [x, y] rs = s'r'and $[x, y] \in H$. But then rsH = s'r'H and it follows that $|\{r, s, r', s'\}| < 3$ and r = r', s = s', which implies [x, y] = 1, a contradiction. Thus whenever $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, then $ab \neq b'a'$. Suppose, now, that ab = a'b' (or ba = b'a'). Then xrys = xr'ys'(or ysxr = ys'xr'), which implies rs = r's' (or sr = s'r') and as above r = r', s = s', yielding a = a' and b = b'. Hence A and B are infinite product-independent subsets of G and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Theorem 2.

We proceed with a proof of Theorem 4, from which Theorem 3 follows easily.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. If $G \notin FIZ$, then G contains \aleph_0 mutually product-independent infinite subsets by Lemma 1. On the other hand, if $G \in FIZ$, then |G: Z(G)| = n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n+1}$ be infinite subsets of G. Then there exist $s \in G$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1, i \neq j, A_i \cap sZ(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $A_j \cap sZ(G) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that A_i and A_j are not product-independent, and in particular G does not contain \aleph_0 mutually product-independent infinite subsets.

Finally we prove Theorem 3, in which the *DP*-groups are characterized.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Suppose, first, that $G \in FIZ$ and |G: Z(G)| = m. Then $G \in DP(1, m + 1)$ since given m + 1 elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{m+1}$ of G, at least two of them belong to the same coset of Z(G) in G and therefore commute with each other. This implies that

$$UP(\{g_1\},\{g_2\},\ldots,\{g_{m+1}\}) < (m+1)^2 - (m+1)$$

and hence $G \in DP(1, m + 1)$, as claimed. But this implies that $G \in DP$, thus completing the proof in one direction.

Suppose, now, that $G \in DP$. Then $G \in DP(n, k)$ for some positive integers n, k with $k \ge 2$. It follows immediately by Theorem 4 that $G \in FIZ$.

3. Sequences with equal products.

In this section we shall prove Theorem 5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. If G is abelian then clearly $G \in P^*$. So suppose that $G \in P^*$ and G is non-abelian. We shall reach a contradiction from our assumptions. Let $(n_1, n_2, ...)$ be an infinite sequence of integers greater or equal to two. Pick $x, y \in G$ such that $xy \neq yx$.

If $G \notin FIZ$ then by Lemma 1 applied to G and $k = \aleph_0$, the subsequences $U_i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, \ldots, a_{n_i}^i)$ of the infinite sequences $A_i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, \ldots), i = 1, 2, \ldots$ satisfy $U_i U_j \cap U_j U_i = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and hence $G \notin P^*$, a contradiction.

So suppose that $G \in FIZ$. Then Z(G) is infinite and by Lemma 1 applied to Z(G) and k = 3, there exist three disjoint infinite sequences $(a_1, a_2, \ldots), (b_1, b_2, \ldots)$ and (t_1, t_2, \ldots) of distinct elements of Z(G) such that $a_i b_j \neq a_j b_i$ whenever $i \neq j$. Define the following infinite sequence of sequences:

$$U_1 = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n_1-2}, a_1 x, b_1 y),$$

$$U_2 = (t_{n_1-1}, t_{n_1}, \dots, t_{n_1+n_2-4}, a_2 x, b_2 y),$$

$$U_3 = (t_{n_1+n_2-3}, t_{n_1+n_2-2}, \dots, t_{n_1+n_2+n_3-6}, a_3 x, b_3 y)$$

and so on. Suppose that for some $i \neq j$ we have $U_i U_j = U_j U_i$. Since t_k , a_l , $b_m \in Z(G)$ for all k, l, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, x, y, $xy \notin Z(G)$ and $a_i b_j \neq a_j b_i$, we must have $a_i x b_j y = b_j y a_i x$, which implies xy = yx, a contradiction. Thus $G \notin P^*$, a final contradiction.

REFERENCES

- L. BABAI V. T. Sós, Sidon sets in groups and induced subgraphs of Cayley graphs, Europ. J. Combinatorics, 6 (1985), pp. 101-114.
- [2] M. HERZOG P. LONGOBARDI M. MAJ, On a combinatorial problem in group theory, Israel J. Math., to appear.
- [3] M. HERZOG C. M. SCOPPOLA, On deficient squares groups and fully-independent subsets, preprint.
- [4] J. C. LENNOX A. M. HASSANABADI J. WIEGOLD, Some commutativity criteria, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 84 (1990), pp. 135-141.
- [5] F. MENEGAZZO, A property equivalent to commutativity for infinite groups, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 87 (1992), pp. 299-301.
- [6] B. H. NEUMANN, A problem of Paul Erdös on groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), 21 (1976), pp. 467-472; Selected Works of B. H. Neumann and Hanna Neumann, vol. 5, pp. 1003-1008.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'1 aprile 1993.