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## o. Introduction.

(0.1) Grothendieck's version of the Riemann-Roch theorem for non-singular projective varieties [Borel-Serre] is expressed by saying that the mapping $\zeta \mapsto \operatorname{ch}(\zeta) \smile \operatorname{Td}(\mathrm{X})$ from $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X}$ to $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}$ is a natural transformation of covariant functors. Here $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X}$ denotes

[^0]the Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles on $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}$ is a suitable cohomology theory, ch is the Chern character, and $\operatorname{Td}(\mathrm{X})$ is the Todd class of the tangent bundle to $\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{K}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$are naturally contravariant functors, but for non-singular varieties they can be made covariant.

A Riemann-Roch theorem for singular varieties in terms of $\mathrm{K}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}$ can be formulated only for those maps $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ for which Gysin homomorphisms

$$
f_{*}: \mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{0} \mathrm{Y} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{Y}
$$

are available. Such a theorem can be proved when $f$ is a complete intersection morphism, and the cohomology is
I) $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X})_{\mathbf{Q}}=$ the associated graded ring to the $\lambda$-filtration of $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X}_{Q}$ [SGA 6], or
2) $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}=$ the Chow cohomology ring (Chapter IV, § 3; [App., § 3]), or
3) $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})=$ singular cohomology (Chapter IV, §§ 3, 4).

With such a theorem, however, one obtains a Hirzebruch Riemann-Roch formula for the Euler characteristic of a vector-bundle on X only if X itself is a local complete intersection in projective space.

Our Riemann-Roch theorem for projective varieties (which may be singular) is formulated in terms of naturally covariant functors from the category of projective varieties to the category of abelian groups. We construct a natural transformation $\tau$ from $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ to H . Here $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ is the Grothendieck group of coherent algebraic sheaves on X , and H. X is a suitable homology group. In the classical case, when the ground field is $\mathbf{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$ may be $\mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})=$ singular homology with rational coefficients. For varieties over any field we may take H. X to be the Chow group A. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of cycles modulo rational equivalence, with rational coefficients [App., § r]. Each of these homology theories has a corresponding cohomology theory $\mathrm{H}^{+}$with a cap product $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{H}_{.} \xlongequal{\rightarrow} \mathrm{H}_{.}$; each variety has a fundamental class [X] in H.X.

Riemann-Roch theorem. - There is a unique natural transformation $\tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$. such that:

1) For any X the diagram

is commutative.
2) If X is non-singular, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the structure sheaf on X , then

$$
\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\mathrm{Td}(\mathrm{X}) \frown[\mathrm{X}] .
$$

For each projective variety $X, \quad \tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups. The naturality of $\tau$ means, as usual, that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism, then the following diagram commutes:

(If an element $\eta$ in $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ is represented by a sheaf $\mathscr{F}$, then $f_{*} \eta$ in $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{Y}$ is represented by $f_{1} \mathscr{F}=\sum_{i}(-\mathrm{I})^{i} \mathrm{R}^{i} f_{*} \mathscr{F}$.)

We call $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ the homology Todd class of X , and denote it $\tau(\mathrm{X})$. Let $\varepsilon: \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ be the map induced by mapping X to a point. Then $\varepsilon(\tau(\mathrm{X}))=\chi\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ is the arithmetic genus of X .

Corollary. - If E is an algebraic vector bundle on a projective variety X , then

$$
\chi(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{E})=\varepsilon(\operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{E}) \frown \tau(\mathrm{X})) .
$$

In particular, for fixed $\mathrm{X}, \chi(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{E})$ depends only on the Chern classes of E . Of course, if X is non-singular, the corollary becomes Hirzebruch's formula

$$
\chi(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{E})=(\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E} \smile \mathrm{Td} \mathrm{X})[\mathrm{X}] .
$$

The uniqueness assertion in the Riemann-Roch theorem can be strengthened considerably (Chapter III, § 2):

Uniqueness theorem. - The $\tau$ of the Riemann-Roch theorem is the only additive natural transformation from $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ to H . satisfying either of the following conditions:

1) $\tau$ is compatible with the Chern character, as in 1) of the Riemann-Roch theorem, and if X is a point, $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\mathrm{I} \in \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$.
2) If X is a projective space, the top-dimensional cycle in $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ is [ X .

Neither condition mentions the Todd class of a bundle; condition 2) does not even mention Chern classes. This theorem holds over an arbitrary field when $H . X=A . X_{Q}$, as well as in the classical case when $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H} .(X ; \mathbf{Q})$.

We can also deduce from our Riemann-Roch theorem (Chapter III, § i) a result known previously only for non-singular varieties [SGA 6; XIV, § 4]. Let Gr. X be the graded group associated to the filtration of $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ by dimension of support. Assigning to each subvariety of $X$ its structure sheaf induces a homomorphism $\varphi: A . X \rightarrow G r . X$.

Theorem. - The mapping $\varphi$ is an isomorphism modulo torsion:

$$
\text { A. } X_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text { Gr. } X_{\mathbf{Q}} .
$$

(0.2) For morphisms which are complete intersections, our theory lifts to cohomology (Chapter IV, § 3). This allows us to recover the "cohomology Riemann-Roch theorem " of [SGA 6], for quasi-projective schemes, with values in $A_{\dot{Q}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{Q}}{ }^{-}$.

For a complete intersection morphism $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ of complex varieties we construct Gysin " wrong-way" homomorphisms

$$
f_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z}) \quad \text { and } \quad f^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

(Chapter IV, §4). The problem of constructing such maps was raised by Grothendieck [SGA 6; XIV]. This allows us to prove a cohomology Riemann-Roch theorem without denominators for a local complete intersection $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ of singular complex varieties (Chapter IV, §5), as well as extend the Riemann-Roch theorem of [SGA 6] to the singular cohomology theory.

When $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ are smooth, in any characteristic, our methods also give a RiemannRoch theorem without denominators for the Chow theory; this was conjectured by Grothendieck, and proved using other methods by Jouanolou [Inventiones Math., i I (1970), pp. 15-26].

For morphisms $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ which are complete intersections, there are formulas relating the Todd classes of X and Y (Chapter IV, § i and § 3). In particular, if X is a local complete intersection in a non-singular variety, its Todd class $\tau(X)=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}}\right) \simeq[\mathrm{X}]$, where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the virtual tangent bundle (Chapter IV, § I).

For general singular varieties, however, the Todd class may not be the cap product of any cohomology class with the fundamental class (Chapter IV, § 6). One method of attack is to find a map $\pi: \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ which resolves the singularities of X . Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}-\pi_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}}=\sum_{i} n_{i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{V}_{i}}$ in $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$, where the $\mathrm{V}_{i}$ are irreducible subvarieties of the singular locus of X . So

$$
\tau(\mathrm{X})-\pi_{*} \tau(\widetilde{\mathrm{X}})=\sum_{i} n_{i} \varphi_{i *}\left(\tau\left(\mathrm{~V}_{i}\right)\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{i}$ is the inclusion of $V_{i}$ in $X$. If one can find $\widetilde{X}$, and calculate $V_{i}$ and $n_{i}$, one may reduce the problem to a lower-dimensional case. In this paper we make no use of resolution of singularities (except in an unrelated way for surfaces in Chapter II).
(o.3) The way the homology Todd class generalizes the arithmetic genus is quite analogous to the way the homology Chern class generalizes the topological Euler characteristic [ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ]. (In fact our work on Riemann-Roch began with our trying to find an analogy with this theory of Chern classes.) However, a basic property of the arithmetic genus is that it is constant in a (flat) family of varieties, while the topological Euler characteristic can vary, so one cannot expect the sort of relation between them as one has in the non-singular case (cf. Chapter IV, § 6).

We generalize this property of the arithmetic genus as follows (Chapter IV, § 2).
Theorem. - If $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ is a flat family parametrized by a non-singular curve C , then the Todd class of the general fibre specializes to the Todd class of the special fibre.

Similarly the formula giving the arithmetic genus of a Cartesian product $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y}$ as the arithmetic genus of X times the arithmetic genus of Y generalizes to the fact that $\tau(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y})=\tau(\mathrm{X}) \times \tau(\mathrm{Y}) \quad$ (Chapter III, § 3).
(o.4) We give two proofs of the Riemann-Roch theorem. Both proceed by imbedding $X$ in a non-singular variety $M$. Since a coherent sheaf on $X$ can be resolved by locally free sheaves on $M$, we are led to consider complexes $E$. of vector bundles on M which are exact off X .

For such a complex E. its Chern character $\sum_{i}(-I)^{i}$ ch $\mathrm{E}_{i} \frown[\mathrm{M}] \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{M}$ restricts to zero in $\mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})$, so it should come from an element in H.X. From our point of view, an essential step in proving Riemann-Roch is to construct such a "localized class " $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. in H. X.

Another essential step is to compare an imbedding of non-singular varieties $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P}$ with the imbedding of M as the zero-section of the normal bundle. This problem was overcome in [B-S, SGA 6] by blowing up $P$ along $M$ to reduce to the case of a hypersurface, and in [A-H 2] by using a local diffeomorphism (with a suitable complex analytic property) between the two imbeddings. Here we use a different approach which we believe is simpler. We find a family of imbeddings which deforms the given imbedding algebraically into the imbedding as the zero-section of the normal bundle (Chapter I, §5). Our construction of this deformation uses a simplified form of the " Grassmannian graph construction" (cf. § o.7) which is vital to our general proof of Riemann-Roch.
(0.5) Chapter I contains the first proof, valid for complex varieties, with values in singular homology with rational coefficients. The class $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. is constructed using the "difference bundle" of Atiyah and Hirzebruch [A-H I], and its basic properties are proved in §§ i, 2. More properties are deduced from those in § 3, and §§4,5,6 contain the construction of $\tau$ and the proof of Riemann-Roch.
(o. 6) In Chapter II we construct the localized class $\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E_{0}$ in the Chow group $A_{0} X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for any closed subvariety (or subscheme) $X$ of a quasi-projective variety $M$ over an arbitrary field, and a complex of bundles $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ on M , exact off X. This greater generality allows us to study local complete intersections, and also extends the Riemann-Roch theorem to all quasi-projective varieties and proper morphisms. Once the localized class $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is constructed, the proof of Riemann-Roch proceeds as in Chapter I , §§ 3-6.

Note that our theorem gives a Riemann-Roch theorem in any homology theory H. for which there is a natural transformation $\mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text {。 }}$, where $\mathrm{A}_{\text {. }}$ is the Chow theory. In the classical case this gives another proof for singular homology.
(o.7) We say a few words about the basic Grassmannian graph construction [M 1] for a vector-bundle map $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ of bundles on a complex variety $M$. The graph of $\varphi$ at each point $p \in \mathrm{M}$ is a subspace of $\mathrm{E}_{p} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{p}$, so we have a section of a Grassmann bundle $\mathbf{G}=\operatorname{Grass}_{e}(\mathrm{E} \oplus \mathbf{F})$ over M , with $e=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{E}$. For each complex number $\lambda$, we can
apply this to $\lambda \varphi$, and get a section $s_{\lambda}$ of $G$ over $M$. This family of imbeddings can be completed at $\lambda=\infty$ to get a rational equivalence. The cycle obtained at infinity contains a great deal of information about where and how $\varphi$ becomes singular. RiemannRoch is only one of the applications of this construction.
(o.8) In the classical case the Riemann-Roch map $\tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})$ factors through topological homology K-theory $\mathrm{K}_{0}^{\text {top }}(\mathrm{X})$ with integer coefficients. In fact the construction becomes more natural in this context (cf. [A-H 2] for the non-singular case). The Todd class $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \in \mathrm{K}_{0}^{\text {top }} \mathrm{X}$ becomes an orientation class for X in topological K-theory.

If one regards Riemann-Roch as a translation from algebraic geometry to topology, the K-theory version is the most natural and precise way to formulate it. On the other hand, factoring through the Chow group shows that the Todd class is an algebraic cycle which is well-defined up to rational equivalence (over $\mathbf{Q}$ ). The relations between these theories are made clearer by the commutative diagram

where the maps out of $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ are the maps we construct in our Riemann-Roch theorems, the right vertical map is the homology Chern character, and the lower horizontal map takes an algebraic cycle to its homology class. This should be thought of as "dual" to the diagram

where the horizontal maps are the natural maps from algebraic objects to topological ones.
All four of these pairs of natural transformations are compatible, as in i) of our Riemann-Roch theorem. The horizontal maps translate algebraic geometry to topology. The top maps are with integer coefficients, and the bottom maps are induced by maps with integer coefficients. All the vertical maps become isomorphisms over $\mathbf{Q}$ (provided we take just the even part of the homology and cohomology) (Chapter IV, § i and [App., 3.3]).

We will give the K-theory version of Riemann-Roch in another paper.
(o.9) The methods of this paper extend to give a Lefschetz fixed point theorem for singular varieties which specializes to [P. Donovan, The Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch

Formula, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 97 (1969), pp. 257-273] in the non-singular case. We also obtain explicit contributions to the Lefschetz number at isolated (possibly singular) fixed points. For an automorphism of finite order, this extends the Atiyah-Bott formula ([M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes, I, Annals of Math., 86 (1967), pp. 374-407], [M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal, The index of elliptic operators: II, Annals of Math., 87 (1968), pp. 531-545]) to singular varieties. This will be the subject of another paper.

It also appears that this Riemann-Roch map is just the zero-th part of RiemannRoch maps $\mathrm{K}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{i}^{\text {top }} \mathrm{X}$, where $\mathrm{K}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ is the higher K -group of Quillen [Higher algebraic K-theory, Algebraic K-theory I, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 34I (1973)]. For non-singular varieties this question is not difficult; for singular varieties we have a proposed definition of these maps. We plan to report on this later.

## (0.10) Notation:

If X is a subspace of Y , and $i: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is the imbedding, and $x \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}, y \in \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{Y}$, we write $y \frown x$ instead of $i^{*} y \frown x$, for any of our homology-cohomology theories.

If E is a vector bundle on a space X , we write $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})$ for the bundle over X whose fibre over a point in X is the set of lines in E over that point, as in [G], not [EGA]; similarly for Grassmann-bundles. We often use the same letter to denote an algebraic vector bundle and the associated locally free sheaf, saying "the bundle E", or " the sheaf $E$ " to distinguish the concepts when necessary. We write $\check{E}$ for the dual bundle (or, sheaf).

The Todd class of a bundle E is denoted $\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{E})$. If M is non-singular, we write

$$
\operatorname{Td}(\mathrm{M})=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)
$$

for the Todd class of its tangent bundle $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}$.
(0.1I) An outline of our Riemann-Roch theorem, using differential-geometric methods, appears in [Baum]. The main results were also announced at Arcata [F], where a preliminary version of this paper was distributed.

We are grateful to M. F. Atiyah and A. Landman for helpful comments and suggestions.

## Ghapter I

## RIEMANN-ROCH BY DIFFERENCE-BUNDLE

In this chapter we use singular homology and cohomology with rational coefficients; we write $\mathrm{H}_{.} \mathrm{X}$ for $\mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ for $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} ; \mathbf{Q})$. The Grothendieck group of topological vector bundles on a compact space $X$ will be denoted $K_{\text {top }}^{0}(X)$. When X has a base point the reduced group will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{\text {top }}^{0}(\mathrm{X})$.

## 1. The Localized Class $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. by Difference-Bundle.

Let X be a compact complex analytic subspace of a complex manifold M. Define

$$
\mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})=\lim \widetilde{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{C})
$$

where the limit is over all closed subsets C of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$.
Atiyah and Hirzebruch have shown [A-H I] how to construct an element $d\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}\right)$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})$ from a complex $\mathrm{E}_{.}$:

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{r} \xrightarrow{d_{r}} \mathrm{E}_{r-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

of topological vector-bundles on $M$ which is exact off $X$. We recall their construction.
Let $\mathrm{F}_{i}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{i}\right)$ and choose splitting isomorphisms $\mathrm{E}_{i} \cong \mathrm{~F}_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{i-1}$ on $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$. This gives isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ev}}=\sum_{k} \mathrm{E}_{2 k} \cong \sum_{i} \mathrm{~F}_{i} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{odd}}=\sum_{k} \mathrm{E}_{2 k+1} \cong \sum_{i} \mathrm{~F}_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Composing the first with the inverse of the second gives an isomorphism $\sigma: \mathrm{E}_{\text {ov }} \xlongequal{\cong} \mathrm{E}_{\text {odd }}$ on $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$. Choose an isomorphism of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {odd }} \oplus \mathrm{F}$ with a trivial bundle $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{N}}$, for a suitable bundle F on M . Then

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ev}} \oplus \mathrm{~F} \xrightarrow{\sigma \oplus 1} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{odd}} \oplus \mathrm{~F} \cong \varepsilon^{\mathrm{N}}
$$

trivializes $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ev}} \oplus \mathrm{F}$ on $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$. Therefore $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ev}} \oplus \mathrm{F}$ defines a compatible collection of bundles on $M / G, C$ closed in $M-X$, and so $E_{e v} \oplus F-\varepsilon^{N}$ determines the desired element $d\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right)$ in the limit group $\mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})$.

If we note that $H^{\cdot}(M, M-X)=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \tilde{H}^{\cdot}(M / C)$, the Chern character gives a mapping

$$
\operatorname{ch}: \mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})
$$

The Lefschetz duality isomorphism $\tilde{H}^{\cdot}(M / C) \cong H .(M-C)$ for $C$ a neighborhood retract (cf. [Spanier, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill (1966), p. 297]) passes to the limit to give an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} .
$$

We then have $K^{0}(M, M-X) \xrightarrow{\text { ch }} H^{\bullet}(M, M-X) \xrightarrow{L} H . X$.
Define

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{L}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(d\left(\mathbf{E}_{\bullet}\right)\right)\right)
$$

2. Basic Properties of $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}$.

We list six fundamental properties of this construction. Except for a variation in (2.5), X, M and E. will be as in § I .

Property (2.1) (Localization).
(a) If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{M}$, where Y is another compact analytic subspace of M , and $j$ denotes the imbedding of X in Y , then

$$
j_{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

(b) If $i$ is the imbedding of X in M , then

$$
i_{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E}_{.} \frown[\mathrm{M}]=\sum_{i}(-\mathrm{I})^{i} \operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E}_{i} \frown[\mathrm{M}] .
$$

Property (2.2) (Additivity). - If $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is a direct sum of two complexes $\mathrm{E}_{.}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{.}^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Property (2.3) (Module). - If F is a vector-bundle on M , then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{~F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{F} \leftrightharpoons \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet} .
$$

Property (2.4) (Excision). - If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{M}$, with U open in M , then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{U}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0} \mid \mathrm{U}\right)
$$

Property (2.5) (Homotopy). - Let $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{M}$ as in § i. Let C be a connected complex manifold, D a complex manifold, $\pi: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ a smooth $\left(^{1}\right)$ mapping, and $i: \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ a closed imbedding so that


[^1]commutes, where $p$ is the projection. Let E. be a complex of bundles on D , exact off $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{C}$. Then for each $t \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$. induces a complex $\mathrm{E}_{.}$on $\mathrm{D}_{t}=\pi^{-1}(t)$ exact off $\mathrm{X}_{t}=\mathrm{X} \times\{t\}=\mathrm{X}$, and the resulting class $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{D}_{t}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$ is independent of $t$.

Property (2.6) (Pull-back). - Let $p: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be a smooth, proper mapping, and let $\mathrm{Q}=p^{-1}(\mathrm{X}), q: \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ the restriction to X . Then $p^{*}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is a complex on P exact off $Q$, and

$$
q^{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)
$$

where $q^{*}: \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Q}$ is the homology Gysin map.
(When $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is singular homology, we define the homology Gysin map

$$
q^{*}: \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Q},
$$

for simplicity, by requiring commutativity in the diagram


If X is non-singular, this agrees with the map obtained by using Poincaré duality.)
The first four properties are easy consequences of the definition. For the homotopy, we may replace C by a compact disk. Then by standard techniques of extending $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ vector fields, the product structure on $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{C}$ extends to a neighborhood U of $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{0} \times \mathrm{G}$. Let $i_{t}$ inject $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ as $\mathrm{U}_{0} \times t$ and let $\left[\mathrm{U}_{0}\right]_{t}$ be the Borel-Moore homology orientation of $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ given by the complex structure on $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ induced by $i_{i}$. If we apply the construction of § I to $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}$, then $\operatorname{ch}\left(d\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right)$ maps to $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{D}_{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)}$ by the composite

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{C}) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{U}_{0}, \mathrm{U}_{0}-\mathrm{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq\left[\mathrm{U}_{0}\right]_{t}} \mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{X}) .
$$

But these are equal since the $i_{t}$ are homotopic and the $\left[\mathrm{U}_{0}\right]_{t}$ are determined by homotopic complex structures.

Property (2.6) follows from the fact that $d\left(p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=p^{*}\left(d\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right)$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q})$, and the above description of the homology Gysin map.

## 3. More Properties of $\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E$.

We prove several more facts about this construction. Although some of these could be proved directly and easily from the definition-the reader is invited to do so-we prefer to show how they can be derived from the basic Properties (2.1-2.6).

When we construct a localized class algebraically in Chapter II which satisfies Properties (2.1-2.6), we will then be able to conclude that it satisfies all the other properties of this section, and that Riemann-Roch is true for the Chow theory.

Proposition (3.1). - Let $\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} \stackrel{\xrightarrow{\alpha}}{\rightarrow} \mathrm{E} \stackrel{\beta}{\rightarrow} \mathrm{E}_{\cdot}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$ be an exact sequence of complexes on M , each exact off X . Then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Proof. - We deform the exact sequence into the split exact sequence. Let $p: \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be the projection, and define a surjection of complexes on $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C}$

$$
h: p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{.} \oplus p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}
$$

by $h\left(e, e^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta(e)-t e^{\prime \prime}$ if $e$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ are in fibres over a point $(m, t) \in \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C}, t \in \mathbf{C}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$. be the kernel of $h$. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$. is exact off $\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{C}$, and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$. restricts to $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ at $t=0$, and to E. at $t=\mathrm{I}$, so the result follows from Properties (2.5) and (2.2).

Lemma (3.2). - Let F. be the complex obtained by shifting E. one place to the left: $\mathrm{F}_{i}=\mathrm{E}_{i-1} \quad$ (with corresponding boundaries). Then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~F}_{.}=-\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

Proof. - Construct the «algebraic mapping cylinder» $\mathrm{G}_{\text {. , where }}$

$$
\mathrm{G}_{i}=\mathrm{F}_{i} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i}=\mathrm{E}_{i-1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad d_{i}(f, e)=\left(d f, d e+(-\mathrm{I})^{i} f\right) .
$$

Then $G$. is exact on all of $M$, so $\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{\frac{H}{X}} G_{0}=0$ (Property (2.I) for $\varnothing \subset X \subset M$ ). Since there is an exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{.} \rightarrow \mathrm{G} . \rightarrow \mathrm{F} . \rightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

we can conclude by Proposition (3.1).
Proposition (3.3). - Let E. be a complex of bundles on M, exact off X, and let F. be any complex of bundles on M . Then $\mathrm{F} . \otimes \mathrm{E}$. is exact off X , and

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\frac{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{X}}}\left(\mathrm{~F} . \otimes \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathrm{F}_{.}\right) \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

Proof. - If the boundary maps in F. are all zero this follows from the lemma and Properties (2.2) and (2.3). For the general case let $p: \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$, and consider the complex $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}} . \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{E}$. on $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C}$, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}_{i}=p^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{i}$ but the boundary maps of $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}$. over a point $(m, t) \in \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C}$ are $t$ times the boundary maps of F . This gives a homotopy between the zero-boundary case and the general case.

Proposition (3.4). - Let E. be a complex of bundles on M exact off X , and let $\pi: \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be a vector bundle over M , with M regarded as a subspace of N by the zero-section. Let $\wedge^{\bullet} \pi^{*} \mathrm{~N}$ be the Koszul-Thom complex on N (cf. [A-H 2, Prop. (2.5)]). Then $\wedge^{*} \pi^{*} \mathrm{~N} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}$. is exact on $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{X}$, and

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \pi^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1} \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) .
$$

Proof. - The exactness on N-X results from the fact that a tensor product of complexes is exact where either of the complexes is exact.

Imbed $N$ in its projective completion $P=P(N \oplus I) \quad(c f .[G, \S 5])$, let $p: P \rightarrow M$ be the projection, and let $q: \mathrm{Q}=p^{-1}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{P}((\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \mid \mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the restriction over X .

On P we have an exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} \rightarrow p^{*}(\check{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{o} .
$$

Since $p^{*}(\check{\mathbf{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I})=p^{*}(\check{\mathrm{~N}}) \oplus_{\mathrm{I}}, \quad$ projection on the second factor gives a homomorphism of sheaves

$$
\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}
$$

which is surjective off $M$. Such a homomorphism from a locally free sheaf $H$ to the trivial sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}$ gives rise to a Koszul complex $\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}$ on P , exact off M . This complex restricts to $\wedge^{\circ} \pi^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}}$ on N. By the excision Property (2.4)

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \pi^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)
$$

Let $s: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ be the zero section. Then

$$
s_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet}\right)
$$

by the localization Property (2.1). But $p^{*} E$. is exact off Q , so by Proposition (3.3)

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}\right) \frown \operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)
$$

Now $\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=q^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right)$ by the pull-back Property (2.6), and $q_{*} s_{*}=$ identity. Therefore (cf. [App., § (3.1)])

$$
q_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\Lambda^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}\right) \frown q^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet}\right)=p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}\right)\right) \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet}
$$

Putting all this together, we are reduced to proving the formal identity

$$
p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \wedge^{\cdot} \mathrm{H}\right)=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1}
$$

or, by the projection formula,

$$
p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \smile p^{*} \operatorname{td}(\mathrm{~N})\right)=\mathrm{r}
$$

We use the basic identity [B-S; Lemma 18]

$$
\operatorname{ch} \wedge^{\cdot} \mathrm{H}=c_{e}(\breve{\mathrm{H}}) \operatorname{td}(\breve{\mathrm{H}})^{-1}
$$

where $e=\operatorname{rank} H=\operatorname{rank} N$. From the exact sequence defining $H$ we see that

$$
p^{*} \operatorname{td}(\mathrm{~N})=\operatorname{td}\left(p^{*} \mathrm{~N} \oplus \mathrm{I}\right)=\operatorname{td}(\breve{\mathrm{H}}) \operatorname{td}(\mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{I}))
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{\cdot} \mathrm{H}\right) \cdot p^{*} \operatorname{td}(\mathrm{~N})=c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}}) \cdot \operatorname{td}(\mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{I}))$, so we are reduced to showing that

$$
p_{*}\left(c_{e}(\breve{\mathrm{H}}) \operatorname{td}(\mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{I}))\right)=\mathrm{I}
$$

Let $z=c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{I}))$. Since

$$
\mathrm{o}=c_{e+1}(\check{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I})=c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}}) \cdot c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{I}))=-z c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}})
$$

and $\operatorname{td}(\mathcal{O}(-1))-1$ is a multiple of $z$, we are reduced to showing

$$
p_{*}\left(c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}})\right)=\mathrm{I} .
$$

Finally, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(\breve{\mathrm{H}})=p^{*} c(\mathrm{~N}) / c(\mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{I})) \\
& c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}})=\sum_{i=0}^{e} p^{*} c_{i}(\mathrm{~N}) z^{e-i}, \quad \text { so } \quad p_{*} c_{e}(\check{\mathrm{H}})=p_{*} z^{e}=\mathrm{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Coherent Sheaves.

Let X be a projective variety, and imbed X in a non-singular quasi-projective variety $M$. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $X$, let $E$. be a complex of vector bundles on $M$ that resolves $\mathscr{F}$, and define

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

Proposition (4.1). $-\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F}$ does not depend on the resolution E. .
Proof. - Since two resolutions are dominated by a third [B-S; Lemma 13], if E.' is another we may assume there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$, where $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}$ is exact on all of M . Then $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ by Proposition (3.1) and Property (2.1).

Since an exact sequence of sheaves can be resolved by an exact sequence of bundles [B-S; Proof of Lemma 12], we likewise deduce the following fact:

Proposition (4.2). -If $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$ is an exact sequence of sheaves on X , then $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$.

Therefore $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}$ defines a homomorphism from $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ to $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$. We can see from Proposition (3.4) how this homomorphism depends on the imbedding, at least in a special case.

## 5. Deformation to the Normal Bundle.

Proposition (5.x). - Let $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P}$ be an imbedding of non-singular quasi-projective varieties, and let N be the normal bundle. Then there is a non-singular variety D , an imbedding $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$, and a smooth morphism $\pi: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ which restricts to the projection $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{C}$ :


For each $t \in \mathbf{C}$ we get an imbedding

$$
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M} \times\{t\} \subset \pi^{-1}(t)=\mathbf{D}_{t}
$$

with the following properties:

1) For $t \neq 0$, the imbedding $\mathrm{McD}_{t}$ is isomorphic to the given imbedding of M in P .
2) For $t=0$, the imbedding $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{D}_{0}$ is isomorphic to the imbedding of M as the zerosection of N .
$\operatorname{Proof}\left({ }^{1}\right)$. - Imbed $\mathbf{P}$ as a locally closed subvariety of a projective space $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and choose homogeneous polynomials $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~F}_{r}$ (in $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{I}$ variables), with $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{F}_{i}=d_{i}$, which define M (scheme-theoretically) in P . Let E be the bundle over P whose sheaf of sections is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(d_{1}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(d_{r}\right)$, and let $s: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be the section determined by $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~F}_{r}\right)$. The fact that $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~F}_{r}$ define M scheme-theoretically means that ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~F}_{r}$ ) maps the sheaf $\check{\mathrm{E}}=\underset{i}{\oplus} \mathcal{O}\left(-d_{i}\right)$ onto the ideal-sheaf $\mathscr{I}$ of M in P . Restricting to M gives $\check{\mathrm{E}} \mid \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathscr{I} / \mathscr{I}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$. This is dual to an imbedding of the bundle N in $\mathrm{E} \mid \mathrm{M}$.

Throughout the proof we regard $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P} \subset \mathrm{E}$ by means of the zero-section of E ; thus $\mathrm{M}=s^{-1}(\mathbf{P})$ as a scheme.

Let $\mathbf{C}^{*}=\mathbf{C}-\{\mathrm{o}\}$, and consider the imbedding

$$
\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{C}^{*} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{E} \times \mathbf{C}
$$

by the map $(p, t) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{t} s(p), t\right)$. Let D be the closure of $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{C}^{*}$ in $\mathrm{E} \times \mathbf{C}, \pi: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the projection.

We first notice that the product imbedding $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \subset \mathrm{E} \times \mathbf{G}$ imbeds $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{G}$ in D , since $s$ is the zero-section on M.

If $t \neq \mathrm{o}, \quad \mathrm{D}_{t}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{t} s(\mathrm{P}) \times\{t\}$, and the imbedding $\mathrm{M} \subset \frac{\mathrm{I}}{t} s(\mathrm{P})$ is isomorphic to the imbedding of M in P , proving ( I ).

To check (2) and smoothness, we study the situation locally on $\mathbf{P}$. We assume $\mathbf{P}$ is an affine subvariety of $\left\{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x_{0} \neq \mathrm{o}\right\}$, so the ideal of M is generated by $f_{i}=\mathrm{F}_{i}\left(\mathrm{I}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in the coordinate ring of P . Shrinking P if necessary, and renumbering the $f_{i}$, we may assume $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ define M in P , and $f_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i j} f_{j}$ for $i>k ; k$ is the codimension of M in P , and $a_{i j}$ are regular functions on P . Since $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{I})$ is canonically trivial on $\left\{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mid x_{0} \neq 0\right\}, \mathrm{E}$ is trivial over P ; let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$, be fibre coordinates for E . We claim that in $\mathrm{E} \times \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{C}^{r} \times \mathbf{C}$ the equations for D are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t y_{i}=f_{i} & i=\mathrm{I}, \ldots, k \\
y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i j} y_{j} & i=k+\mathrm{I}, \ldots, r .
\end{array}
$$

[^2]To see this let $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ be the subscheme of $\mathrm{E} \times \mathbf{C}$ defined by these equations. The Jacobian criterion shows $D^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is smooth, with fibres of the same dimension as $P$. It is clear that $\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{t}$ for $t \neq \mathrm{o}$. And $\mathrm{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}=\mathrm{o} & i=\mathrm{I}, \ldots, k \\
y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i j} y_{j} & i=k+\mathrm{1}, \ldots, r
\end{array}
$$

But these equations define the normal bundle $N$ in $E \mid M$.
Since $\mathrm{D}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is smooth and all the fibres are connected, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ is non-singular and irreducible; since $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ agrees with D where $t \neq 0, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}$. This finishes the proof.

Remark. - Even if P is projective (complete), the variety D is not proper over $\mathbf{C}$. If one takes the closure $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ of D in $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{C}$ the fibre $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathbf{0}}$ has two components $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I})$ and $\hat{P}=P$ blown up along $M$, which meet transversally along $P(N)$ (see Chapter IV, § 3).

Lemma (5.2). -With $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$ as in Proposition (5.1), let $p: \mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be the projection. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on M , and let E . be a resolution of $p^{*} \mathscr{F}$ by vector bundles on D . Then for all $t \in \mathbf{C}, \mathrm{E}_{\bullet t}$ is a resolution of $\mathscr{F}$ by vector bundles on $\mathrm{D}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$.

Proof. - Let $\pi: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the projection. The natural resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{t}}$ by locally free sheaves is

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}} \xrightarrow{\pi-t} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{o} .
$$

Since $\pi-t$ is not a zero divisor on $p^{*} \mathscr{F}=\mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{c}}$, tensoring the above sequence with $p^{*} \mathscr{F}$ shows that $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}}}\left(p^{*} \mathscr{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{t}}\right)=0$ for $i>0$. Since $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}}}\left(p^{*} \mathscr{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{t}}\right)$ is the $i$-th homology of $\mathrm{E}_{\cdot t}=\mathrm{E} . \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{D}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_{t}}$, this proves the lemma.

Proposition (5.3). - Let $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P}$ be closed subvarieties, with M and P nonsingular. Let N be the normal bundle of M in P . Then for any coherent sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathscr{F}=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1} \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F} .
$$

Proof. - Take $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$ as in Proposition (5.1), and a resolution E. of $p^{*} \mathscr{F}$ as in Lemma (5.2). Then the homotopy Property ( 2.5 ) reduces it to the case where M is embedded as the zero section of N . And this case is covered by Proposition (3.4), since if E . resolves $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathrm{M}, \wedge^{\bullet} \pi^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}} \otimes \pi^{*} \mathrm{E}$. resolves $\mathscr{F}$ on N .

## 6. Construction of $\tau$ and Proof of Riemann-Roch.

Fix a projective variety X . For any imbedding of X in a non-singular quasiprojective variety M , and sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on X , define

$$
\tau^{\mathrm{M}}(\mathscr{F})=\mathrm{Td}(\mathrm{M}) \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}(\mathscr{F})
$$

where $\operatorname{Td}(\mathrm{M})$ is the Todd class of the tangent bundle to M . By Proposition (4.2), $\tau^{M}$ defines a homomorphism from $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ to H . X. We will show that $\tau=\tau^{M}$ is independent of the imbedding and satisfies the conditions of the Riemann-Roch theorem (§ o.I). We do this in several small steps.
( I ) If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{M}$, and $j$ is the imbedding of X in Y , the diagram

commutes. This follows from Property (2.1).
(2) If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P}$, with M and P non-singular, then $\tau^{M}=\tau^{\mathrm{P}}$. This follows from Proposition (5.3) and the identity

$$
\mathrm{Td}(\mathrm{P}) \smile \operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1}=\mathrm{Td}(\mathrm{M}) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{M}
$$

(3) If $p: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$. maps a projective space to a point, then the diagram

commutes. This is an easy formal calculation, since $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{P}$ is generated by powers of the hyperplane bundle [ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$; Prop. io].
(4) If F is an algebraic vector-bundle on M , and $\mathscr{F}$ is a sheaf on $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{M}$ as above, then

$$
\tau^{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathscr{F})=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{F} \frown \tau^{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{F}) .
$$

This follows from the module property (2.3), since if E . resolves $\mathscr{F}$ on M , then $\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}$. resolves $\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathscr{F}$.
(5) If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{M}$ as above, and P is a projective space, then the diagram

commutes, where the vertical arrows are Künneth maps. For $\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{P}$ is generated by vector bundles, so by (4) we are reduced to showing

$$
\tau^{\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{P}}\left(q^{*} \mathscr{F}\right)=\tau^{\mathrm{M}} \mathscr{F} \times(\mathrm{Td} \mathrm{P} \frown[\mathrm{P}])
$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is a sheaf on X , and $q: \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is the projection. But this follows from the pull-back property (2.6) applied to $p: \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$, and the fact that

$$
\mathrm{Td}(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P})=\mathrm{Td} \mathbf{M} \times \mathrm{Td} \mathbf{P}
$$

(6) If $X \subset M$, and $P$ is a projective space, so $X \times P \subset M \times P$ by the product, then the diagram

commutes. Here $p$ is the projection. We can see this by fitting a "cube" over this square, whose top square is

and the maps to the bottom square are all Künneth maps. The top commutes by (3), two sides commute by (5), and the other two commute by natural properties of the Künneth maps. Since $K_{0} X \otimes K_{0} P \rightarrow K_{0}(X \times P)$ is surjective [B-S; Prop. 9], the bottom square must commute.
(7) Let $X \subset P, Y \subset Q$ be imbeddings of varieties $X$ and $Y$ in projective spaces $P$ and $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism, and regard

$$
\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}
$$

by means of the mapping $x \mapsto(x, f(x))$. Then the diagram

commutes. For this diagram is obtained by fitting together the diagrams

and the top of this commutes by ( I ), and the bottom by (6).
(8) The mapping $\tau=\tau^{\mathrm{M}}$ is independent of the imbedding. For by (2) we need only consider imbeddings in projective spaces. And if $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Q}$ were two such imbeddings, apply (7) to the identity map on X to conclude that $\tau^{\mathbf{P} \times Q}=\tau^{Q}$, and by symmetry $\tau^{\mathrm{P}}=\tau^{\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}}=\tau^{\mathrm{Q}}$.
(9) The mapping $\tau$ is natural. For if $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is an imbedding, just imbed Y in a non-singular M and use (1). If $f$ is a projection $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, it follows from (7). A general $f$ is a composite of two such mappings, as in (7).
(io) The mapping $\tau$ gives the right formula on a non-singular variety X . This follows from (2) above, with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{P}$.
(ii) The module property follows from (4) and the fact that a vector-bundle on any quasi-projective variety is the restriction of an algebraic vector-bundle on some non-singular M containing X [App., § (3.2)].

Remark. - If one assumes all the results of [A-H 2], this proof of Riemann-Roch may be shortened considerably. The construction of $\tau$ and proof of naturality is as given in this section, but using only imbeddings in projective spaces. The fact that $\tau$ gives the right answer for non-singular varieties is the content of [A-H $2 ; \S 3]$.

## Ghapter II

## RIEMANN-ROGH BY GRASSMANNIAN-GRAPH

In this chapter we work in the category of quasi-projective schemes over an algebraically closed field $k$ of arbitrary characteristic. In fact $k$ need not be algebraically closed. We leave to the reader interested in that case the verification that all the cycles constructed are rational over the ground-field. The reader in the opposite camp may read " variety" wherever we write " scheme".

For such a scheme X , we let $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}$ be the Chow group of cycles modulo rational equivalence, graded according to dimension. This "Chow homology theory" is discussed in the appendix [App.], where a " cohomology" theory $A^{\prime}$ is constructed to go with this, with the usual formal properties-cap products, projection formulae, Poincaré duality for non-singular varieties, Gysin homomorphisms, Chern classes, etc.

Write $H_{.} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X} \otimes \mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. There is the Chern character ch $: \mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \quad$ [App., § (3.3)]. We will prove:

Theorem. - There is a unique natural transformation $\tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$. of covariant functors from the category of quasi-projective schemes and proper mappings to the category of abelian groups satisfying:
(1) For any X the diagram

is commutative.
(2) If X is non-singular

$$
\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}}\right)=\operatorname{Td}(\mathrm{X}) \frown[\mathrm{X}] .
$$

(3) If U is an open subscheme of X , the diagram

is commutative, where the vertical maps are restrictions [App., § (1.9)]. (Chapters III and IV contain more properties of the map $\tau$.)

To prove this we will construct localized classes satisfying properties analogous to (and more general than) those in Chapter I, § 2. (The construction gives an alternate approach to the case with singular homology; for non-compact varieties Borel-Moore homology [Michigan Math. J., 7 (1960), pp. 137-159] should be used.)

In this chapter $\mathbf{A}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ denote affine and projective space over $k$.

## 1. The Localized class $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\frac{\mathrm{M}}{}} \mathrm{E}$. by Grassmannian Graph.

Let X be a closed subscheme of an irreducible variety M . It is not necessary to assume M is smooth over $k$, but the smooth case will suffice for the Riemann-Roch theorem and most applications. (In fact the construction goes through with little change even if $M$ is not irreducible or reduced, but for simplicity here we take $M$ to be a variety.)

For each complex $E$. of bundles on $M$, exact off $X$, we will construct a class $\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E$. in H. X by using the Grassmannian graph construction. The notation of this section will be used throughout the rest of Chapter II.

Suppose our complex is

$$
\mathrm{o} \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_{r} \xrightarrow{d_{r}} \mathrm{E}_{r-1} \xrightarrow{d_{r-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \mathrm{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} \mathrm{E}_{-1}=\mathrm{o} .
$$

Let $e_{i}$ be the rank of $\mathrm{E}_{i}$, and let $\mathrm{G}_{i}=\operatorname{Grass}_{e_{i}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{i} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}\right)$ be the Grassmann bundle (over M) of $e_{i}$-dimensional planes in $\mathrm{E}_{i} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$. Let $\xi_{i}$ be the tautological bundle on $G_{i}$; it is the subbundle of $\mathrm{E}_{i} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$ (pulled back to $\mathrm{G}_{i}$ ) whose fibre over a point in $G_{i}$ is the subspace represented by that point.

Let $G=G_{r} \times_{M} G_{r-1} \times \ldots \times_{M} G_{0}, \quad \pi: G \rightarrow M$ the projection. The bundles $\xi_{i}$ pull back to bundles on $G$, still denoted $\xi_{i}$, and we take

$$
\xi=\xi_{0}-\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}-\ldots+(-1)^{r} \xi_{r}
$$

to be the " virtual tautological bundle" on G.
Any bundle map $\varphi: \mathrm{E}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$ determines a section $s(\varphi)$ of $\mathrm{G}_{i}$ over M ; the value of $s(\varphi)$ at $m \in \mathbf{M}$ is the graph of $\varphi$ in the fibre over $m$. Thus

$$
s(\varphi)(m)=\left\{(v, \varphi(v)) \mid v \in\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{m}\right\} \in \mathrm{G}_{i} .
$$

For each $\lambda \in k$ we obtain a section $s_{\lambda}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ by taking the section $s\left(\lambda d_{i}\right)$ in the factor $\mathrm{G}_{i}$, where $d_{i}: \mathrm{E}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$ is the boundary map in the complex $\mathrm{E}_{.}$.

Regard $\mathbf{A}^{1} \subset \mathbf{P}^{1}$ by $\lambda \mapsto(\mathrm{I}: \lambda)$ as usual, so $\mathbf{P}^{1}=\mathbf{A}^{1} \cup\{\infty\}, \infty=(0: 1)$. The mapping $(m, \lambda) \mapsto\left(s_{\lambda}(m),(\mathrm{I}: \lambda)\right)$ gives an imbedding

$$
\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}} .
$$

Let $n$ be the dimension of M . Let W be the closure of $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ under this imbedding. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ be the $n$-cycle cut out by W at $\infty$; i.e. let $\varphi: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the projection, and let $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty} \times\{\infty\}=\varphi^{*}([\infty])=\mathrm{W}_{.}[\infty]$ ([S; V], [App., § 2]). If M is nonsingular, $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty} \times\{\infty\}$ is the intersection-cycle of W and $\mathrm{G} \times\{\infty\}$.

Lemma (1.1). - The cycle $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ has a unique decomposition $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}=\mathrm{Z}+\left[\mathrm{M}_{*}\right]$, where
(1) $\mathrm{M}_{*}$ is an irreducible variety.
(2) $\pi$ maps $\mathrm{M}_{*}$ birationally onto M , isomorphically off X .
(3) $\pi$ maps the cycle Z into X .

Proof. - Since the construction of $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ restricts naturally to open subsets of M , we may reduce to the case where E . is exact on all of M . We show in this case how to extend the imbedding $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ to an imbedding $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \subset \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, from which it will follow that $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}=\left[\mathrm{M}_{*}\right] \cong[\mathrm{M}]$.

Now $\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{i}\right)$ is a subbundle of $\mathrm{E}_{i}$. We imbed $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ by assigning to a point ( $m,\left(\lambda_{0}: \lambda_{1}\right)$ ) in $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ the point ( $\mathrm{H},\left(\lambda_{0}: \lambda_{1}\right)$ ) where H is the subspace of $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{m} \oplus\left(\operatorname{Ker} d_{i-1}\right)_{m}$ defined by the equations

$$
\lambda_{0} z_{i-1}=\lambda_{1} d_{i} e_{i}
$$

where $z_{i-1} \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} d_{i-1}\right)_{m}, e_{i} \in\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{m}$. If $\lambda_{0} \neq 0$, this gives the same subspace of
as $s\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} d_{i}\right)$,
but if $\lambda_{0}=0$ we get the subspace $\left(\operatorname{Ker} d_{i}\right)_{m} \oplus\left(\operatorname{Ker} d_{i-1}\right)_{m}$, still of the right dimension. One checks that this imbeds $\mathrm{M} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, and so concludes the proof.

The cycle Z determines a class in $\mathrm{H} .\left(\pi^{-1} \mathrm{X}\right)$, which may also be denoted Z . Then $\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown \mathrm{Z} \in \mathrm{H}_{.}\left(\pi^{-1} \mathrm{X}\right)$, and we define

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}=\pi_{*}(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\mathrm{Z}) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} .
$$

In Chapter IV, § 3 all these cycles and classes are determined explicitly in the case where X is a local complete intersection in M and E . resolves a locally free sheaf on X .
2. Basic Properties of $\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E$.

We prove stronger versions of the properties stated in Chapter I.
Property (2.1) (Localization). - (a) If $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{M}$, where Y is another subscheme of M , and $j$ denotes the imbedding of X in Y , then

$$
j_{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

(b) If $i$ is the imbedding of X in M , then

$$
i_{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E} .=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E} . \frown[\mathrm{M}] .
$$

Proof. - (a) is clear from the construction. We prove (b). Let $Z_{\lambda}=s_{\lambda}(M) \subset G$. Then $W$ gives a rational equivalence between $Z_{0}$ and $Z_{\infty}$. So ch $\xi \frown Z_{\infty}=\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown Z_{0}$ in H.G. When $\lambda=0, \lambda d_{i}$ is the zero map, so $\xi$ restricts to $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on $\mathrm{Z}_{0} \cong[\mathrm{M}]$. So $\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}\right)=\mathrm{ch} \mathrm{E} . \frown[\mathrm{M}]$ in H.M.

To finish the proof we must show that $\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left[\mathrm{M}_{*}\right]\right)=0$. In fact we will show that $\xi$ restricts to zero on $\mathrm{M}_{*}$.

Let $k_{i}$ be the rank of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{i}\right)$ on $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$, where it is a bundle. Define

$$
\mathbf{G}_{*}=\operatorname{Grass}_{k_{r}} \mathrm{E}_{r} \times_{\mathbf{M}} \ldots \times_{\mathbf{M}} \text { Grass }_{k_{0}} \mathrm{E}_{0} .
$$

There is a closed imbedding $G_{*} \subset G$ of bundles over $M$ which assigns to the collection of subspaces $S_{i}$ of $E_{i}$ the collection of subspaces $S_{i} \oplus S_{i-1}$ of $E_{i} \oplus E_{i-1}$. Then the virtual tautological bundle $\xi$ restricts to zero on $\mathrm{G}_{*}$.

There is a section

$$
s: \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{*}
$$

which assigns to a point $m$ in $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ the collection of subspaces ( $\left.\operatorname{Ker} d_{i}\right)_{m}$ of $\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\right)_{m}$. If we look at the proof of Lemma (I.I), and consider how G. is imbedded in G, we see that $s(M-X)$ agrees with $M_{*}$ over $M-X$. Since $G_{*}$ is closed in $G, M_{*}$ (being the closure of $s(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})$ ) must be contained in $\mathrm{G}_{*}$, so $\xi \mid \mathrm{M}_{*}=\mathrm{o}$, as desired.

Remark. - Although the construction of $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. is rather delicate, the above proof shows one fortunate way in which it is not. With $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ as in § I, we may take any cycle $\mathrm{M}_{*}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{G}_{*}$ such that $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{*}^{\prime}$ agree over $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$. Then if we set $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}-\mathrm{M}_{*}^{\prime}$, $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)$. This fact will be crucial in the proof of the homotopy property.

Property (2.2) (Additivity). - If E. is a direct sum of two complexes E., and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{\prime}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E_{0}=\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{M} E_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{X}^{\frac{M}{M}} E_{0}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Proof. - We denote by one or two primes the spaces, bundles, cycles, and mappings constructed for $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\prime \prime}$ as in § I . The natural imbedding $\mathrm{G}_{i}^{\prime} \times_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{G}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathrm{G}_{i}$ gives a closed imbedding $G^{\prime} \times_{M} G^{\prime \prime} \subset G$ under which $\xi$ restricts to $\widetilde{\xi}^{\prime} \oplus \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime}$, where $\widetilde{\xi}^{\prime}$ is the pullback of $\xi^{\prime}$ to $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times_{\mathbf{M}} \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}$, and similarly for $\xi^{\prime \prime}$. Since the imbedding of $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ maps it into $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times_{M} \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, we may regard W as a cycle on $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times_{M} \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Let $p^{\prime}: \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times{ }_{\mathbf{M}} \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the projection. Since $p^{\prime}$ is the identity on $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$, $p_{*}^{\prime}[\mathrm{W}]=\left[\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\right]$ as cycles. Since the push-forward of a rational equivalence is a rational equivalence [App., § i. 8], $p_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}_{\infty}=\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Also $p_{*}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{M}_{*}\right]=\left[\mathrm{M}_{*}^{\prime}\right]$, since $\mathrm{M}_{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{*}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ is birational. So $p_{*}^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$, and likewise $p_{*}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0} & =\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\widetilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime} \oplus \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& \left.=\pi_{*}^{* h} \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Z}\right)+\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\pi_{*}^{\prime} p_{*}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{ch} \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Z}\right)+\pi_{*}^{\prime \prime} p_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\operatorname{ch} \widetilde{\xi}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\pi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi^{\prime}-\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}\right)+\pi_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(\operatorname{ch} \mathfrak{\xi}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{*}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Property (2.3) (Module). - If F is a vector-bundle on M , then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{~F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{F} \leftrightharpoons \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
$$

Proof. - Let $f=\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{F}$, and let

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{i}=\operatorname{Grass}_{f_{i}}\left(\left(\mathrm{~F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{i-1}\right)\right), \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{r} \times \times_{\mathrm{M}} \ldots \times \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_{0} .
$$

There is a natural imbedding of $G$ in $\widetilde{G}$ which maps a subspace $S_{i}$ of $E_{i} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}$ to the subspace $\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{S}_{i}$ of $\left(\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{i}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{i-1}\right)$. The virtual tautological bundle $\widetilde{\xi}$ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}$ restricts to $\pi^{*} \mathrm{~F} \otimes \xi$ on G . In the imbedding of $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ used in constructing $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}$. $)$, we see that

$$
\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \subset \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} .
$$

It follows that the cycle $j_{*} Z$ is the same as the corresponding cycle constructed for $\mathrm{F} \otimes \mathrm{E}$., so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{~F} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{\cdot}\right) & =\widetilde{\pi}_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \tilde{\xi} \frown j_{*} \mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\pi_{*}\left(\left(\operatorname{ch} \pi^{*} \mathrm{~F}-\operatorname{ch} \xi\right)-\mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{F} \leftrightharpoons \pi_{*}(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown \mathrm{Z}) \\
& =\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{F} \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Property (2.4) (Excision). - Let $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ be an open subscheme of $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{M}_{0}$. Then $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. restricts to $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{0}}^{\mathrm{K}_{0}}\left(\mathrm{E} . \mid \mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$ under the restriction $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{.} \mathrm{X}_{0}$.

Proof. - This follows from the fact that the entire construction restricts to $\mathrm{M}_{0}$. It is also a special case of property (2.6) below.

Property (2.5) (Homotopy). - Let C be a smooth (geometrically) connected curve over $k$. Suppose X is a closed subscheme of M , and $f: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ is a flat morphism whose restriction $g$ to X is also flat. Let E . be a complex of bundles on M , exact off X . For each $t \in \mathrm{C}$ we get an imbedding of the fibres $\mathrm{X}_{t} \subset \mathrm{M}_{t}$, and a complex $\mathrm{E}_{. t}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{t}$ exact off $\mathrm{X}_{t}$. If $i_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ is the inclusion, then

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{H}_{t}} \mathrm{E}_{\cdot t}=i_{t}^{*} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E} .
$$

where $i_{t}^{*}: \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{.} \mathrm{X}_{t}$ is the Gysin homomorphism [App., §4].
Remark. - In the language of specialization [App., § 4.4], this implies that the localized class $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{X}_{t}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ for the general fibre $\mathrm{X}_{t}$ specializes to the localized class $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{s}}^{\mathrm{M}_{s}} \mathrm{E}_{. s}$ for the special fibre $\mathrm{X}_{s}$.

Corollary. - If $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{C}$ in the above, $g$ is the projection to C , and C is a rational curve, then all the classes $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{E}_{. t}$ are equal in H.Y.

Proofs. - The corollary follows since all the maps

$$
i_{t}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{Y} \times\{t\})=\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{Y}
$$

are the same if C is rational [App., § 4.3].

To prove the homotopy property, let $\pi: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}, \xi, \mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be as constructed in § i for E. on M. Examples show that the projection $\mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ may not be equidimensional (i.e. some fibres may have bigger dimension than the generic fibre), so W does not determine a family of cycles parametrized by $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. We will overcome this difficulty by blowing up $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ so that W becomes equidimensional (cf. claim below).

Let $\rho: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a birational, proper morphism from a non-singular surface V onto $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ which is an isomorphism over $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$. For such $V$, and any subvariety S of V , and any scheme T over G , we denote by

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{T} \times{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~S}
$$

the fibre product, where $S$ maps to $\mathbf{C}$ by the composite $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{V} \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. A similar subscript is used for morphisms between schemes over G . Note that if a point $v \in \mathrm{~V}$ maps to a point $t \in \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{T}_{v}=\mathrm{T}_{t}$ is the fibre of T over $t \in \mathrm{C}$. The following diagram may clarify the situation.


If $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{V}$, then $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{V}}$ maps birationally onto $\mathrm{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, under which an open subscheme of $G_{v}$ becomes identified with $G \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$. Thus for example the imbedding $M \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \subset \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ of the Grassmannian-graph construction may be regarded as an imbedding $M \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \subset G_{v}$.

Claim. - There is a proper birational $\rho: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ from a non-singular surface V onto $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ which is an isomorphism over $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$, so that if $\tilde{W}$ is the closure of $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{V}}$, then the morphism $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathrm{W}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ induced by the projection $p: \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{V}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ is equidimensional.

Before discussing the claim, we show how it can be used to conclude the proof. Let $M_{*}$ be the subvariety of $G$ constructed in Lemma (i.I). Then $M_{* v} \rightarrow V$ is equidimensional, since it pulls back from $\mathrm{M}_{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$. Set

$$
z=[\tilde{\mathrm{W}}]-\left[\mathrm{M}_{* v}\right],
$$

an $(n+\mathrm{r})$-cycle on $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{V}}(n=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M})$.
Fix $t \in \mathrm{C}$, let D be the non-singular rational curve on V which maps isomorphically by $\rho$ to $\{t\} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, and let $v_{0}$ be the point on D that maps to $\{t\} \times\{\infty\}$. Let $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ be the non-singular curve on V that maps isomorphically by $\rho$ to $\mathrm{C} \times\{\infty\}$, and let $v_{1}$ be the point on $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ which maps to $\{t\} \times\{\infty\}$. Since $\rho$ is a birational proper morphism between non-singular surfaces, $\rho^{-1}(\{t\} \times\{\infty\})$ is a connected collection of rational
curves $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{m}$ which meet transversally. (Cf. [Zariski, Introduction to the problem of minimal models in the theory of algebraic surfaces, Mathematical Society of Japan, 1958].)


The idea of the proof is as follows. If we restrict $z$ first to $D$, and then to $v_{0}$, we obtain the cycle needed to calculate the localized class for $\mathrm{E}_{. t}$. By the equidimensionality assumption, using Serre's intersection theory, this restriction can be done directly from V to $v_{0}$. Similarly, restricting $z$ to $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$, and then to $v_{1}$, gives the cycle for $i_{t}^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}$. Travelling from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$ along the lines $L_{i}$ will give the required rational equivalence between them.

Since $V$ is non-singular, for any cycle $w$ on $G_{V}$ whose components are all equidimensional over V , and any cycle $\eta$ on V , the intersection cycle $w_{p} \eta$ on $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is defined ([S; V], [App., § 2]).

Now $\left[\widetilde{W} \bullet_{p} \mathrm{D}\right.$ ] is the "W-cycle" used in computing the localized class of $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$, since it agrees with the desired cycle over $\{t\} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$. Therefore $\left(^{1}\right)[\tilde{W}] \bullet_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]$ is the $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$-cycle used for this construction. Since $[\tilde{W}] \bullet_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}_{* \mathrm{~V}}\right]{ }_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]$ agree over $\mathrm{M}_{t}-\mathrm{X}_{t}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{* \mathrm{~V}} \subset \mathrm{G}_{* \mathrm{~V}}$, we may use the remark in §2.I to deduce:
(I) $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{M}_{t}} \mathrm{E}_{. t}=\pi_{v_{0} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]\right)\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}_{t}$ (where we identify $\mathrm{G}_{v_{0}}=\mathrm{G}_{t}, \mathrm{X}_{v_{0}}=\mathrm{X}_{t}$ ). Similarly, with $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{X}$, we get
(2) $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\pi_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right)$ in $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$.

Consider the fibre square


Then $j_{v_{0}}^{*}\left(z \bullet_{p}[\mathrm{D}]\right)=z \bullet_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]$, so

$$
\pi_{v_{0} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[v_{0}\right]\right)\right)=\pi_{v_{0} *} j_{v_{0}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}[\mathrm{D}]\right)\right)=i_{v_{0}}^{*} \pi_{\mathrm{D}^{*}}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}[\mathrm{D}]\right)\right)
$$

${ }^{(1)}$ If $v$ is a point on a non-singular curve S on V , then $w \bullet_{p}[v]=\left(w \bullet_{p}[\mathrm{~S}]\right) \quad p_{\mathrm{s}}[v]$ (cf. [App., § 2.2, Lemma 4]).
[App., § 4.2]. Therefore from (1) we get
(3)

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{M}_{t}} \mathrm{E}_{\cdot t}=i_{v_{0}}^{*} \pi_{\mathrm{D} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}[\mathrm{D}]\right)\right) .
$$

The same argument, using $v_{0} \in \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ in place of $v_{0} \in \mathrm{D}$ shows that the right-hand side of ( I ) is also equal to the Gysin pull-back of $\pi_{\mathrm{L}_{1} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]\right)\right)$ under the imbedding $\mathrm{X}_{v_{0}}=\mathrm{X}_{t} \subset \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}_{1}}=\mathrm{X}_{t} \times \mathrm{L}_{1}$. Now if we let $v$ vary in $\mathrm{L}_{1}$, these Gysin pull-backs will not vary [App., §4.3]. We move similarly through the curves $\mathrm{L}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}_{m}$, until we arrive at the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{M}_{t}} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet_{t}}=\pi_{v_{1} *}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi \frown\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[v_{1}\right]\right)\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the same argument applied to $v_{1} \in \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ shows that the right-hand side of (4) is equal to the Gysin pull-back of $\pi_{\mathrm{C}^{* *}}\left(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\left(z \bullet_{p}\left[\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right)$ under the imbedding $i_{t}$ of $\mathrm{X}_{t}=\mathrm{X}_{v_{1}}$ in $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}}$. By (2) this completes the proof.

The claim is a consequence of Grothendieck's construction of the Hilbert schemes. This construction gives us a birational morphism $\rho_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$, isomorphic over $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$, and a subscheme $\widetilde{W}_{1}$ of $G_{v}$ which extends $M \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ and is flat over $V_{1}$. (See $[R$; Chapter 4, § 2] for a discussion of this as well as generalizations to the non-projective case.) If $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{1}$ is taken to resolve the singularities of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$, then the composite

$$
\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

will satisfy the conditions of the claim.
Property (2.6) (Pull-back). - Let $p: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ be a flat morphism, and let $\mathrm{Q}=\boldsymbol{p}^{-1}(\mathrm{X})$, $q: \mathrm{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ the restriction to X . Then $p^{*} \mathrm{E}$. is a complex on P exact off Q , and

$$
q^{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(p^{*} \mathrm{E}_{.}\right)
$$

where $q^{*}: \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Q}$ is the Gysin map [App., § 1.9].
Proof. - We claim that the entire construction for $p^{*} \mathrm{E}$. on P is obtained by pulling back the construction for E . on M . Denote the corresponding spaces for $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} .=p^{*} \mathrm{E}$. by $\widetilde{G}$, etc. We have a fibre square

$\widetilde{\xi}=\widetilde{p}^{*} \xi, \widetilde{\mathrm{~W}}=\widetilde{p}^{-1} \mathrm{~W}$, so $\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{\infty}=p^{*} \widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}_{\infty}$ since rational equivalence pulls back [App., § r.9]. Also $\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{*}=\widetilde{p}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{*}$, so $\widetilde{\mathrm{Z}}=\widetilde{q}^{*} \mathrm{Z}$, where $\widetilde{q}: \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\mathrm{Q}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{X})$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}_{Q}^{\mathrm{P}} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_{.} & =\tilde{\pi}_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\widetilde{p}^{*} \xi\right)-\widetilde{q}^{*} \mathrm{Z}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{\pi}_{*}\left(\tilde{q}^{*}(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\mathrm{Z})\right) \\
& =q^{*} \pi_{*}(\operatorname{ch} \xi-\mathrm{Z}) \\
& =q^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{E} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used [App., § 3.I].

## 3. Proof of Riemann-Roch.

Since $\S \S$ 3-6 of Chapter I used only these six properties of the localized class (together with formal properties of homology and cohomology), we see that the RiemannRoch theorem as stated at the beginning of this chapter is true. The additional condition (3) on restricting to open subschemes follows immediately from the strengthened form of the excision Property (2.4).

## Ghapter III

## UNIQUENESS AND GRADED K

## 1. The Chow Groups and Graded K-Groups.

Let X be a quasi-projective scheme over a field. Consider the filtration on $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathrm{X}$ by dimension of support [SGA 6]. $\mathrm{Filt}_{k} \mathrm{~K}_{0} \mathrm{X}$ is generated by classes of sheaves whose support has dimension $\leq k$, or by the structure sheaves of subvarieties of dimension $\leq k$. The associated graded groups $\mathrm{Gr}_{k} \mathrm{X}$ define a theory closely related to the Chow groups $A_{k} X$. If we assign to a subvariety $Y$ of $X$ the class of its structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ in $K_{0} \mathrm{X}$, we obtain [App., § I.9] a natural surjective transformation

$$
\text { A. } \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{Gr} .
$$

of functors from the category of quasi-projective schemes and proper morphisms to the category of graded abelian groups. Even if X is non-singular, $\varphi$ may not be an isomorphism [SGA 6; XIV, 4.7]. Grothendieck showed in the non-singular case that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism modulo torsion [ibid., 4.2]. Our Riemann-Roch theorem enables us to extend this to the singular case, with a somewhat simpler proof.

Theorem. - For all quasi-projective schemes X over a field:
(a) $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
(b) The Riemann-Roch map $\tau$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Q}}
$$

Proof. - We show that the associated graded map to the map in (b) gives the inverse to the map in (a). If Y is a subvariety of $\mathrm{X}, i: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ the imbedding, and we regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ as a sheaf on X , then $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=i_{*} \tau(\mathrm{Y})$ is contained in $i_{*}\left(\mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$, by naturality of Riemann-Roch. Therefore $\tau$ maps Filt $_{k} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathrm{X}$ into

$$
\mathrm{Filt}_{k}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\cdot} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}\right)=\sum_{j \leq k} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

Thus $\tau$ induces a mapping $\mathrm{Gr} . \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of associated graded groups. Both (a) and (b) will follow if we show that the composite

$$
\text { A. } \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{Gr} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

is the identity, and this is an immediate consequence of the following lemma, applied to irreducible subvarieties of X .

Lemma. - If X is an irreducible variety, then the top dimensional cycle in $\tau(\mathrm{X})$ is [X].
Proof. - This follows by restricting to the non-singular part $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ of X , where it is clear by (2) of the Riemann-Roch Theorem. Or one may let $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ be a projective closure of X , and apply naturality to a finite map $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n}$ to reduce it to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$.

## 2. Uniqueness Theorems.

We consider only projective varieties over a field. (If $\tau$ is determined on these, it is determined on all quasi-projective varieties by condition (3) of the theorem, and on schemes by applying naturality to injections of irreducible subvarieties.) $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the Chow group with rational coefficients.

In our first uniqueness theorem no mention is made of Todd classes or Chern classes of bundles. We see that the Todd class, and the Riemann-Roch formula for a non-singular variety, are completely determined if we want any kind of natural theorem. The Todd class does, however, naturally enter into the arguments at several points (see Chapter I, Proposition 3.4 and Chapter IV, Proposition 1.3). For an explicit differential-forms approach to the inevitability of the Todd class see [Baum].

Theorem. - There is only one additive natural transformation $\tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$.Q with the property that if P is a projective space, the top dimensional cycle in $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ is $[\mathrm{P}]$.

Proof. - Let $\tau_{Q}: \mathrm{K}_{0 Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{.}$Q be the map induced by $\tau$.
We have constructed one such $\tau$. Suppose $\tau^{\prime}$ were another. Then by § i, we get a natural transformation

$$
\alpha=\tau_{Q}^{\prime} \circ \tau_{Q}^{-1}: \mathrm{A}_{\cdot Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{\cdot Q}
$$

which takes $[\mathrm{P}]$ to $[\mathrm{P}]+$ lower terms, for P a projective space. But the only such natural transformation is the identity [App., § 5].

Remark. - If $\mathscr{F}$ is a sheaf on an irreducible variety X, then the top-dimensional cycle in $\tau(\mathscr{F})$ is $\operatorname{rank}(\mathscr{F}) \cdot[\mathrm{X}]$. Of course, this property also determines $\tau$ uniquely.

If we include compatibility with the Chern character in our conditions for $\tau$, then it only needs to be normalized on a point.

Corollary. - There is a unique additive natural transformation $\tau: \mathrm{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{\text {. }}{ }^{Q}$ satisfying
(1) If E is a vector bundle on X , then $\tau(\mathrm{E})=\operatorname{ch~} \mathrm{E} \frown \tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$.
(2) If X is a point, then $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\mathrm{I}$ in $\mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{A} . \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Proof. - We must show $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}\right)=\left[\mathbf{P}^{n}\right]+$ lower terms. If $p$ is a point in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, the Riemann-Roch theorem for the imbedding $i:\{p\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n}$ gives $\operatorname{ch}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\{p\}}\right) \frown\left[\mathbf{P}^{n}\right]=[p]$.

Since $i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\{p\}} \in \mathrm{K}_{0} \mathbf{P}^{n}$, by (I) we must have $\tau\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\{p\}}\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\{p\}}\right) \simeq \tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}\right)$. By naturality and (2), $\tau\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\{p\}}\right)=i_{*}[p]$. These two equations imply that $\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}\right)=\left[\mathbf{P}^{n}\right]+$ lower terms.

Remark. - The theorem and corollary also hold for complex varieties with values in singular homology with rational coefficients. As in the proof of the theorem, we get a natural transformation

$$
\alpha: \mathrm{A}_{\cdot \mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\cdot}(; \mathbf{Q})
$$

such that $\alpha[\mathrm{P}]=[\mathrm{P}]+$ lower terms for P a projective space. And the only such natural transformation is the one induced by the usual cycle map $\mathrm{A}_{.} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{\prime}}(; \mathbf{Z})$ [App., §5].

## 3. Cartesian Products.

Theorem. - Let X, Y be quasi-projective schemes. Then the diagram

commutes; the vertical maps are the usual Künneth maps.
Corollary. - For any quasi-projective schemes X, Y

$$
\tau(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y})=\tau(\mathrm{X}) \times \tau(\mathrm{Y})
$$

Proof. - By § 1 , the horizontal maps are isomorphisms when tensored with $\mathbf{Q}$. Consider the mapping

$$
\theta: A . X_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes A . Y_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} .(\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y})_{\mathbf{Q}}
$$

obtained by going around the diagram $(\otimes \mathbf{Q})$ from upper right to upper left to lower left to lower right. This $\theta$ is an additive natural transformation of functors from pairs ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) of quasi-projective schemes and morphisms to abelian groups. We must show $\theta$ is the usual Künneth product.

Since $\theta$ is compatible with restriction to open subschemes, we may restrict attention to projective schemes. Note also that $\theta([\mathrm{X}] \otimes[\mathrm{Y}])=[\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y}]+$ lower terms for varieties $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ (§ $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Lemma}$ ). It is not difficult, following Landman's proof for single spaces [App., §5] to show that there is only one such natural transformation $\theta$.

## Ghapter IV

## THE TODD CLASS AND GYSIN MAPS

For a quasi-projective scheme X , let $\tau(\mathrm{X})=\tau\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$ be its Todd class. Write $\tau(\mathrm{X})=\sum_{i} \tau_{i}(\mathrm{X}), \quad \tau_{i}(\mathrm{X}) \in \mathrm{A}_{i}(\mathrm{X})_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

## 1. Mappings.

If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a morphism, it is natural to compare the Todd classes of X and Y in terms of properties of $f$. This section contains four facts of this type. All of these are special cases of a conjectured formula, which will be stated in § 3. From part (3) of the Riemann-Roch Theorem in Chapter II we obtain the following fact:

Proposition (1.1). - If X is an open subscheme of Y , then the Todd class of Y restricts to the Todd class of $\mathbf{X}$.

This determines $\tau_{k}(\mathrm{X})$ for all $k$ bigger than the dimension of the singularities of $X$. For example, if $X$ is a projective normal surface, then $\operatorname{deg} \tau_{0} X=\chi\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, $\tau_{1}(X)=-K / 2$ where $K$ is a canonical divisor on $X$, and $\tau_{2}(X)=[X]$.

Corollary. - Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a birational proper morphism, and let Z be closed in Y such that f maps $\mathrm{X}-f^{-1}(\mathrm{Z})$ isomorphically onto $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$. Then $f_{*} \tau_{k} \mathrm{X}=\tau_{k} \mathrm{Y}$ for all $k>\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Z}$.

Proof. - In fact, $f_{*} \tau \mathrm{X}$ and $\tau \mathrm{Y}$ agree in $\mathrm{A} .(\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z})$, and $\mathrm{A}_{k}(\mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{k}(\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z})$ is an isomorphism for $k>\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{Z}$ [App., § 1.9].

Proposition (1.2). - Let $g: \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ be a smooth morphism of non-singular varieties, Y a closed subvariety of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{X}=g^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}), f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the restriction of $g$ to X . Then

$$
\tau(\mathrm{X})=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right) \frown f^{*} \tau(\mathrm{Y})
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{f}$ is the relative tangent bundle of $f$.
Proof. - From property (2.6) of Chapter II, we deduce $f^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mathrm{X})=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}\right) \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}} & =\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right) \cdot g^{*}\left(\operatorname{td~}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \frown f^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}} \\
& =\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right) \frown f^{*}\left(\operatorname{td~}_{\mathrm{N}} \frown \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right) \frown f^{*} \tau(\mathrm{Y})
\end{aligned}
$$

This applies for example if $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E})$ is a projectivized vector-bundle over Y , giving the Todd class of $X$ in terms of the Todd class of $Y$ and the Chern classes of $E$.

Proposition (1.3) (Adjunction formula). - Let X be an effective Cartier divisor on Y , $i: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the inclusion. Let $x=c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{X})) \in \mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{Y}$ be the class determined by X . Then

$$
i_{*} \tau(\mathrm{X})=\left(\mathrm{I}-e^{-x}\right)-\tau(\mathrm{Y}) \quad \text { in A. } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathbf{Q}} .
$$

Proof. - From the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}} \rightarrow 0
$$

we see that $\operatorname{ch}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\mathrm{I}-e^{-x}$. Therefore

$$
i_{*} \tau(\mathrm{X})=\tau\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}-e^{-x}\right) \frown \tau(\mathrm{Y}) .
$$

Proposition (1.4). - Let X be a local complete intersection in a non-singular variety Y , $i: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ the inclusion, N the normal bundle, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ the tangent bundle to Y . Let

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}}=i^{*} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{Y}}-\mathrm{N} \in \mathrm{~K}^{0} \mathrm{X}
$$

be the virtual tangent bundle of X . Then $\tau(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)-[\mathrm{X}]$.
Proof. - To prove this it is enough to show $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1} \simeq[\mathrm{X}]$. This follows from Proposition (5.3) of Chapter I (with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{M}, \mathscr{F}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{P}$ ). Note that the non-singularity of M was not used in Chapter I, §5. In fact, the results of Chapter I, § 5 hold for any local complete intersection $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y}$. In § 3 we will discuss this case in more detail.

Remark. - The virtual tangent bundle is independent of the imbedding in Y [SGA 6; VIII].

## 2. Families.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a smooth (geometrically), connected curve, and let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be a flat morphism. (If X is an irreducible variety, flatness means only that $f$ does not map $\mathbf{X}$ to a point.)

Theorem. - For each (closed) point $t \in \mathrm{C}$, let $i_{t}: \mathrm{X}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the inclusion of the fibre $f^{-1}(t)$ in X . Then

$$
\tau\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}\right)=i_{t}^{*} \tau(\mathrm{X})
$$

where $i_{t}^{*}: \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{t \mathbb{Q}}$ is the Gysin map [App., § 4].
In particular, the Todd class of the general fibre specializes to the Todd class of the special fibres [App., § 4.4].

Proof. - Factor $f$ into an imbedding $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{C}$, where P is smooth, followed by the projection to C . Let E . resolve $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}$ on $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{C}$. Then, for all $t \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ resolves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}$ on $\mathrm{P}_{t}=\mathrm{P} \times\{t\}$. Therefore by the homotopy property (2.5) of Chapter II

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}^{\mathrm{P}_{t}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}_{t}}=i_{t}^{*} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}} .
$$

Since $i_{t}^{*} \operatorname{Td}(\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{Td}\left(\mathrm{P}_{t}\right)$, the theorem follows.

It follows that if $z \in \mathrm{~A}^{k} \mathrm{X}$, the numerical function $\operatorname{deg}\left(z \frown \tau_{k}\left(\mathrm{X}_{t}\right)\right)$ is a constant function of $t$.

## 3. Local Complete Intersections.

Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ imbed a scheme X as a local complete intersection in a quasiprojective scheme Y , with normal bundle N . Let F be a vector bundle on X , and E. $\rightarrow i_{*}(\mathbf{F})$ a resolution by vector bundles on Y.

We will compute explicitly all the cycles and bundles involved in the Grassmannian graph construction (Chapter II, § I). This will show how in this case $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}$. lifts canonically to a "cohomology" class $\widetilde{c h}_{X}^{Y} \mathrm{E}$. From this we will be able to prove some "cohomology" Riemann-Roch theorems (cf. [SGA 6]) for quasi-projective schemes.

Here we take $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathrm{Gr}_{.} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (cf. [App., § 3]); or, for complex varieties, $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q}), \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})$, ordinary singular homology and cohomology.

Let $\pi: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}, \xi, \varphi: \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}}$ be as in the construction of Chapter II, § i for the complex E . on $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{M}$. In this section, however, we let $\mathrm{Z}_{\lambda}$ be the scheme-theoretic fibre $\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)$; we regard $Z_{\lambda}$ as a Cartier divisor on $W$, instead of a Weil divisor (cycle). (If Y is not reduced, the scheme W is not defined by its underlying set; the local equations for W will appear in the proof of the following proposition.)

Proposition. - (1) The Cartier divisor $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ has a unique decomposition $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}=\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{Y}_{*}$ where Z and $\mathrm{Y}_{*}$ are Cartier divisors on $\mathrm{W}, \pi$ maps $\mathrm{Y}_{*}$ birationally onto $\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{*}\right.$ is the blow-up of Y along X$)$, and $\pi(\mathrm{Z})=\mathrm{X}$.
(2) There is a commutative diagram

where $j$ maps P isomorphically onto Z , and $j^{*} \xi=\sum_{i}(-\mathrm{I})^{i} \wedge^{i} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{P}$, with H as in the proof of Proposition (3.4) in Chapter I.
(3) $\mathrm{Z} \times_{W} \mathrm{Y}_{*}$ is a Cartier divisor on Z and $\mathrm{Y}_{*} ; \mathrm{W}$ is a local complete intersection in $\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$.
(4) $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathrm{E}_{0} \frown[\mathrm{X}]$, where $\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathrm{E}_{0}=p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{\cdot} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)\right)=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1} \smile \operatorname{ch}(\mathrm{~F})$ and $p_{*}=\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}$ is the Gysin map (cf. § 4 and [App., § 3.4]).

Proof. - We first construct the map $j$ of (2). The restriction E.|X of E. to X is a complex whose homology sheaves $\mathscr{H}_{i}=\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{F}\right)$ are canonically isomorphic to $\wedge^{i} \mathrm{~N} \otimes \mathrm{~F}$ ([B-S, § 15$]$, [SGA 6; VII]). The inclusion $\mathrm{H} \subset p^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}$ of bundles on $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I})$ gives rise to an inclusion

$$
\bigwedge^{i} \mathrm{H} \subset \wedge^{i}\left(p^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}\right)=\bigwedge^{i} p^{*} \mathrm{~N} \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} p^{*} \check{\mathrm{~N}}
$$

Tensoring this with $p^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ gives

$$
\wedge^{i} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F} \subset p^{*} \mathscr{H}_{i} \oplus p^{*} \mathscr{H}_{i-1} .
$$

By the universal property of Grassmannians, this induces a morphism

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~N} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow{\underset{i=0}{\in}}_{\operatorname{X}}^{\operatorname{Grass}\left({ }_{i}^{e}\right) f}\left(\mathscr{H}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{i-1}\right)
$$

where $e=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{N}, f=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{F}$.
Let $\mathscr{K}_{i}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right), \quad \mathscr{S}_{i}=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$. Since the $\mathscr{H}_{i}$ are locally free on X , so are the $\mathscr{K}_{i}$ and $\mathscr{\mathscr { R }}_{i}$, and the surjections $\mathscr{K}_{i} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{i}$ give an imbedding

$$
\underset{i}{X \operatorname{Xrass}\left({ }_{i}^{e}\right) f}\left(\mathscr{H}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{i-1}\right) \rightarrow{\underset{i}{ } \operatorname{Xrass}_{e_{i}}\left(\mathscr{K}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{K}_{i-1}\right) .}
$$

(Note that the tautological bundles in the $i$-th factor differ by $\mathscr{B}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{B}_{i-1}$.)
The imbedding $\mathscr{K}_{i} \subset \mathrm{E}_{i} \mid \mathrm{X}$ gives

$$
\underset{i}{X} \operatorname{Grass}_{e_{i}}\left(\mathscr{K}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{K}_{i-1}\right) \subset \mathrm{X}_{i} \operatorname{Grass}_{e_{i}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}\left|\mathrm{X} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}\right| \mathrm{X}\right)=\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{X} .
$$

The composition of these maps is the morphism $j: \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{X}$. By construction $j^{*} \xi=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \wedge^{i} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{P}$. (Note that the extra factors $\mathscr{B}_{i} \oplus \mathscr{B}_{i-1}$ cancel when we take the alternating sum on $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I})$.)

The other assertions in (1)-(3) are local on Y.
We assume that Y is affine and small enough so F and N extend to (trivial) bundles $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$ on Y , and that there is a section $s: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$ whose zeros define X schemetheoretically. (In terms of coordinates for $\widetilde{\mathrm{N}}, s$ is given by a regular sequence of functions defining X.) Let $\wedge^{\wedge} \tilde{N}^{\smile}$ be the Koszul complex defined by the section $s$. By the local uniqueness of resolutions (cf. [S; IV, App. I]) we may assume $\mathrm{E}_{.}=\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, where $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime}=\wedge^{\wedge} \widetilde{N}^{\sim} \otimes \widetilde{\mathrm{F}}$, and $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is exact on all of Y .

We first define a morphism

$$
\tilde{j}: \mathbf{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

which restricts to $j$ over $\mathrm{X} \times\{\infty\}$. Corresponding to the decomposition $\mathrm{E}_{.}=\mathrm{E}_{\cdot}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ we have an inclusion $G^{\prime} \times G^{\prime \prime} \subset G$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{X}_{i} \operatorname{Grass}_{e_{i}-\left({ }_{i}^{e}\right) f}\left(\mathrm{E}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{j}$ will factor through $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Thus $\tilde{j}$ will be determined by constructing two mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{j}_{1}=\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \\
& \tilde{j_{2}}=\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\tilde{j}(x, y)=\tilde{j_{1}}(x) \times \tilde{j_{2}}(x, y)$.

The first morphism

$$
\tilde{j_{1}}: \mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime}
$$

comes from the "Koszul complex " $\wedge^{\bullet} \tilde{H} \otimes p^{*} \widetilde{F}$ on $\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I})$, where $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow p^{*}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}}^{\sim} \oplus \mathrm{I}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

(cf. the construction of $j$ ). The second mapping $\widetilde{j_{2}}$ factors:

$$
\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{p \times 1} \mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

where the second is the map constructed in the proof of Chapter II, Lemma (i.r), for a complex $E_{.}^{\prime \prime}$ exact on all of Y.

If we define finally

$$
\mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

by $(y, \lambda) \rightarrow(\lambda s(y),(I ; \lambda))$, the composite

$$
\mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{A}^{1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \subset \mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

is exactly the morphism constructed in the Grassmannian-graph construction for $\mathbf{E}$ on Y . It follows that W is the closure of $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{A}^{1}$ in $\mathrm{P}(\widetilde{\mathrm{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. We have studied this closure in Chapter I , $\S 5$ (here $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{M}, \lambda=\mathrm{I} / t$ ). If we choose coordinates $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{e}$ which trivialize $\tilde{\mathrm{N}}$, so $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{e}$ are homogeneous coordinates for the fibre of $\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I})$, and $s(x)=\sum_{i} f_{i}(x) y_{i}$, then local equations for W in $\mathrm{P}(\tilde{\mathrm{N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \times \mathbf{P}^{1}=\mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{P}^{e} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\lambda_{0} y_{i} & =\lambda_{1} f_{i}(x) y_{0} & i & =\mathrm{r}, \ldots, e \\
y_{i} f_{j}(x) & =y_{j} f_{i}(x) & i, j & =\mathrm{r}, \ldots, e
\end{array}
$$

Then $\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}$ is defined by adding the equation $\lambda_{0}=0$, which is the sum of the two divisors $\mathrm{Z}=\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{P}^{e}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{*} \subset \mathrm{Y} \times \mathbf{P}^{e-1}$ defined by the equations $y_{i} f_{j}=y_{j} f_{i}$, i.e. $\mathrm{Y}_{*}$ is the blow-up of Y along X . And $\mathrm{Z} \times_{W} \mathrm{Y}_{*}=\mathrm{X} \times \mathbf{P}^{e-1}$. The remaining assertions of ( I )-(3) can be verified by looking at the local equations; we leave this to the reader.

The assertion (4) follows from the identification of $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\xi \mid \mathbf{Z}$ in (3), and the formal fact that $p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} \wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}\right)=\operatorname{td}(\mathrm{N})^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{X}$, which was proved in Chapter I, Proposition (3.4).

Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a projective complete intersection morphism of quasi-projective schemes. This means [SGA 6; VIII] that $f$ factors

$$
\mathrm{X} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{P} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Y},
$$

where P is a projective space, $i$ imbeds X as a local complete intersection in Y , and $p$ is the projection. If N is the normal bundle of the imbedding $i$, and $\mathrm{T}_{p}$ is the relative tangent bundle of $p$, then the "virtual tangent bundle of $f$ "

$$
\mathrm{T}_{f}=i^{*} \mathrm{~T}_{p}-\mathrm{N} \quad \text { in } \mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X}
$$

is independent of the factorization [SGA 6; VIII, Cor. 2.5]. (Our $\mathrm{T}_{f}$ is dual to that in SGA 6.)

Corollary 1 (Berthelot, Grothendieck, Illusie et al.). - If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is a complete intersection morphism as above, and $x \in \mathrm{~K}^{0} \mathrm{X}$, then

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(f_{*} x\right)=f_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}(x) \cdot \operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right)\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{Y}$, where $f_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{Y}$ is the Gysin map (cf. § 4 and [App., § 3.3]).
Proof. - The case of a projection is quite formal (cf. [B-S], [SGA 6]), so we confine ourselves to the case where $f=i$ is an imbedding. We may assume $x$ is the class of a bundle $F$ on $X$. Since $\operatorname{ch}\left(i_{*} F\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(E_{.}\right)$, where $\mathrm{E}_{.}$is as at the beginning of this section, we are reduced by (4) of the proposition to showing

$$
i_{*}\left({\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}}}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E}_{\bullet} .
$$

This is a cohomology version of our localization property (2.I) (b) of Chapter II. We prove it as follows. In the notation of the proposition

$$
i_{*} \widetilde{\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{Y}}} \mathrm{E}_{*}=i_{*} p_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} j^{*} \xi\right)=\pi_{*} j_{*} \operatorname{ch}\left(j^{*} \xi\right)
$$

Let $j_{\lambda}: Z_{\lambda} \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion. Since $\pi j_{0}$ is an isomorphism of $Z_{0}$ with $Y$, under which $j_{0}^{*} \xi$ corresponds to $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \mathrm{E}_{i}$, we get $\operatorname{ch} \mathrm{E}_{.}=\pi_{*} j_{0 *}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(j_{0}^{*} \xi\right)\right)$. So it suffices to show that

$$
j_{*} \operatorname{ch}\left(j^{*} \xi\right)=j_{0 *} \operatorname{ch}\left(j_{0}^{*} \xi\right) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{G}
$$

We claim first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0 *}(\mathrm{I})=j_{\infty *}(\mathrm{I}) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{G} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is enough to show that all $Z_{\lambda}$ define the same cohomology class in $H^{\bullet} W$, since $j_{\lambda}$ factors: $\mathrm{Z}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathrm{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$. In the Chow theory $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}=\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\cdot}$ this follows from the fact that the $Z_{\lambda}$ are all linearly equivalent Cartier divisors on W. For the singular theory see $\S 4$, Proposition (4.2) c).

Let $k$ be the inclusion of $\mathrm{Y}_{*}$ in G . We claim secondly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\infty *}(\mathrm{I})=j_{*}(\mathrm{I})+k_{*}(\mathrm{I}) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{G} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Chow theory this follows from the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}_{\infty}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Y}_{*}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Z} \times \mathbf{w} \mathrm{Y}_{*}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the fact that the Gysin maps are determined by the corresponding sheaves; note that $\mathrm{Z} \times_{W} \mathrm{Y}_{*}$ is a local complete intersection of lower dimension, so it does not contribute
[SGA 6; VII, 4.6]. For the singular case see § 4, Proposition (4.2) e).
Since $j_{*} \operatorname{ch}\left(j^{*} \xi\right)=\operatorname{ch} \xi_{\cdot} j_{*}(\mathrm{r})$, and similarly for $j_{\infty *}$ and $k_{*}$, we deduce

$$
j_{\infty *} \operatorname{ch}\left(j_{\infty}^{*} \xi\right)=j_{*} \operatorname{ch}\left(j^{*} \xi\right)+k_{*}\left(\operatorname{ch} k^{*} \xi\right) ;
$$

but $k^{*} \xi=0$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{Y}_{*}$ (cf. proof of property (2.I) in Chapter II) which concludes the proof.
Corollary 2. - Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a complete intersection morphism as above. Then

$$
f_{*} \tau(\mathrm{X})=f_{*}\left(\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{f}\right)\right) \frown \tau(\mathrm{Y})
$$

Proof. - Set $x=1$ in Corollary 1, and cap both sides with $\tau(\mathrm{Y})$ to get $\operatorname{ch}\left(f_{*} \mathrm{I}\right) \frown \tau(\mathrm{Y})=f_{*}\left(\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{f}\right)\right) \frown \tau(\mathrm{Y})$. By the module property and naturality
$\operatorname{ch}\left(f_{*} \mathrm{I}\right) \frown \tau(\mathrm{Y})=\tau\left(f_{*} \mathrm{I}\right)=f_{*} \tau(\mathrm{X})$,
as desired.
This contains Proposition 3 of § 1 as a special case. When one has a Gysin map $f^{*}:$ H.Y $\rightarrow$ H.X for a complete intersection morphism $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, one expects the stronger

Conjecture. $-\tau(\mathrm{X})=\operatorname{td}\left(\mathrm{T}_{f}\right)-f^{*} \tau(\mathrm{Y})$.
We proved some cases of this in § i; see also Chapter III, § 3.
In the singular homology theory for complex varieties we will construct such Gysin maps in the next section, but the conjectured formula has not been proved in this context ( ${ }^{1}$ ).

## 4. Gysin Maps in the Classical Case.

Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a proper complete intersection morphism of possibly singular quasi-projective schemes over the complex numbers. In this section we define a cohomology push-forward map $f_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z})$ which generalizes what in various cases has been called the Gysin homomorphism, the Umkehrhomomorphism, or integration over the fiber. We also define a dual homology pull-back

$$
f^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H} .(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z}) .
$$

The definitions and proofs apply to any pair of extraordinary cohomology and homology theories in which a complex vector bundle E has a canonical orientation (or Thom class in $H^{\cdot}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}-\{0\})$, where $\{0\}$ is the zero section). For example topological K-theory provides such a pair [B-F-M].

The main tool is an appropriate definition of a generalized Thom class

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}} \in \mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{X})
$$

where X is included in Y as a local complete intersection and $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}+n$. Note that the pair ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}$ ) will not in general be locally homeomorphic to ( $\mathrm{A} \times \mathbf{R}^{2 n}, \mathrm{~A} \times o$ ) for any A. Even when it is, $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ may not be the classical Thom class if X is not reduced.

Let $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y}$ be a local complete intersection. Choose an algebraic section $s: \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ of a vector bundle E over Y such that $\mathrm{X}=s^{-1}(\{0\})$ as a scheme. This can be done similarly to the construction of Chapter I, § 5. Then as in Chapter I, § 5 the normal bundle N to X in Y sits naturally in the restriction of E to X . Choose a classical neighborhood V of X in Y and choose a topological complex vector bundle C over V

[^3]contained in E such that C restricted to X is a complement to N in E . This can be done by an argument using Urysohn's lemma. Let $Q$ be the quotient topological vector bundle $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{C}$ over V . Note that Q identifies canonically with N over X . Let $\bar{s}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ be $s$ followed by the quotient map.

Lemma (4.1). - Shrinking V to a smaller neighborhood of X if necessary, $\bar{s}$ maps the pair $(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{X})$ to the pair $(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}-\{\mathrm{o}\})$.

Proof. - We may work locally in Y. Locally as in Chapter IV, § 3, N extends (algebraically) to a subbundle $\widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$ of E so that $s$ maps V to $\widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$. Since being a complement is an open condition, G is a complement to $\widetilde{\mathrm{N}}$ in E on a possibly smaller neighborhood V of X . Then over V , the quotient map $q: \widetilde{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ is an isomorphism of topological vector bundles. Since $s$ takes $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{X}$ to $\tilde{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}, \bar{s}$ takes $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{X}$ to $\mathrm{Q}-\{0\}$.

Definition. - The generalized Thom class $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}} \in \mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{X})$ (which is $\mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{X})$ by excision) is given by

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}=\bar{s}^{*}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{U}_{Q} \in \mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}-\{0\})$ is the Thom class determined by the complex structure on the vector bundle Q .

The pullback of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ to Y will be $\{\mathrm{X}\}$, the cohomology class "carried by " X , or $i_{*} \mathrm{I}$ where $i$ is the inclusion of X into Y .

We will sometimes use the subscript XY on objects ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Q}, s, \bar{s}$ ) relating to the construction of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}$. In particular $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ denotes an arbitrarily small classical neighborhood of X in Y .

Proposition (4.2):
a) $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ is independent of the choices.
b) For $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y} \subset \mathrm{Z}$, if $r: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XZ}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ is a retraction and $j: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XZ}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{YZ}}$ is an inclusion, then

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XZ}}=j^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{YZ}} \smile r^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xY}} .
$$

c) If $\quad \tilde{\mathrm{X}} \longleftrightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{Y}}$


$$
\mathrm{X} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Y}
$$

is a fiber square such that $\pi$ is flat and the inclusions are local complete intersections, then $\pi^{*} U_{X Y}=U_{\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}}$.
d) If M is non-singular and $g^{\prime}: \mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{M}$ is the graph of $g: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$ and $h: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{XY}} \rightarrow g^{-1} \mathrm{TM}$ is a tubular neighborhood homeomorphism sending $g^{\prime}(\mathrm{X})$ to the zero section, then

$$
h^{*} \mathrm{U}_{g^{-1} \mathrm{TM}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}
$$

where $\mathrm{U}_{g^{-1} \text { TM }}$ is the classical Thom class.
e) The generalized Thom class of the sum of two Cartier divisors is the sum of their Thom classes. In particular, if X and Y are of codimension one in W and have no component in common, then

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~W}}=\varphi^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{w}}+\psi^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~W}}
$$

where $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the evident inclusion of pairs.
Proof:
a) Let $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$, be different choices and let $\eta: \mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ be a topological isomorphism extending the identification of Q with N with $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ over X . (Here as always, shrink V when necessary.) We show that $t(\bar{s})+(\mathrm{I}-t) \eta^{\bar{s}^{\prime}} \operatorname{maps}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{X})$ to $(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}-\{\mathrm{o}\})$ and thus provides a homotopy from one situation to the other. Working locally as in the proof of the lemma above, we have the diagram


V
and we must show that

$$
t . s+(\mathrm{I}-t)\left(q^{-1} \eta q^{\prime}\right) \cdot s^{\prime} \neq 0
$$

off X. Introduce a norm $\left\|\|\right.$ on $\tilde{N}$. Since $s-s^{\prime}$ is given by functions in the square of the ideal of X , for any $\varepsilon>0$, V can be shrunk so that $\left\|s-s^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|$. Since $q^{-1} \eta q$ is the identity on X , we can also have $\left\|q^{-1} \eta q^{\prime} s^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|$. With $\varepsilon \leq \mathrm{I} / 2$ the result follows.
b) By adroit choices, we can arrange things so that over $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ we have the following commutative diagram with an exact sequence of topological vector bundles accross the top


Now our equality for the triple of spaces ( $Z, Y, X$ ) can be pulled back from the corresponding known equality for the triple ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{xz}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XY}},\{o\}$ ).
c) We can make choices so that $Q_{\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}}=\pi^{-1} Q_{X Y}$ and the following diagram commutes

d) Since all classes in $\mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{X})$ are multiples of $h^{*} \mathrm{U}_{f^{-1}{ }_{\mathrm{TM}}}$ by the Thom isomorphism, we can use $c$ ) to reduce to the case where X is a zero dimensional scheme. Here one checks that the following diagram can be made to commute:

e) Since we are dealing with divisors, we have the global algebraic commutative diagram

where $t$ takes $x \oplus y$ to $x \otimes y$. Our equality is then the pullback by $s$ of the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\mathrm{X}}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}}}+p_{\mathrm{Y}}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{QxW}}=t^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \otimes \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}-t^{-1}\{o\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

in
But this relation is true because both sides agree when restricted to

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}-\{0\} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}-t^{-1}\{0\}\right)
$$

and this implies that they are equal by the long exact sequence of the triple

$$
\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{YW}}-\{o\}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{XW}} \oplus \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Yw}}-t^{-1}\{o\}\right)
$$

If $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is an arbitrary proper complete intersection morphism, i.e. $f$ lifts to an inclusion as a local complete intersection in $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}$ for some smooth M , construct the following diagram:


Here V is a neighborhood of X in $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}$ that retracts by $r$ onto X . (For example V could be a regular neighborhood with respect to a triangulation of the pair ( $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{X}$ ).) D is a disk of dimension at least $4 \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}+4$ in which M is differentiably embedded; W is a tubular neighborhood of V in $\mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{D} ; r$ is the retraction. Orient D and the fiber of $r^{\prime}$ so that the orientations add in the natural decomposition

$$
\mathrm{T}_{m} \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{T}_{m} \mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{m} r^{\prime-1}(m) \quad \text { for } \quad m \in \mathrm{M}
$$

let $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}}$ be the corresponding Thom classes.
Definition. - The cohomology Gysin homomorphism

$$
f_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

is the composition


Two special cases of this map are more classically known. If Y is non-singular and X is reduced then this is the Umkehrhomomorphism $f_{*}(c)=$ Poincaré Dual $f_{*}(c \frown[x])$. If $f$ is a fibration, then this is integration over the fiber [Borel and Hirzebruch, Characteristic Classes and Homogeneous Spaces, I, Am. J. Math., 8o (1958), p. 482].

Proposition (4.3). - The homomorphism $f_{*}$ is independent of the choices involved.
Proof. - The homomorphism is independent: of the imbedding of M in D since for D this large all embeddings are isotopic; of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{W}}$ since to change it would produce a cancelling change in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{D}}$; of the map $r \circ r^{\prime}$ since all such are homotopy inverses to the inclusion of X . It remains to show independence of the factorization of $f$ through
$\mathrm{Y} \times$ M. Since any two such factorizations are dominated by the product, we reduce to the special case


By applying the fact that the Thom class of a direct sum vector bundle is the product of the Thom classes pulled up, we reduce to showing the following fact. Let

$$
r: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{M}}
$$

be a retraction and $p: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}}$ be the projection and $h: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{M}} \rightarrow g^{-1} \mathrm{TM}$ be as in Proposition (4.2) d). Then

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y} \times \mathrm{M}}=(h \circ r)^{*} \mathrm{U}_{g^{-1} \mathrm{TM}}-p^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}} .
$$

But this follows easily from Proposition (4.2) b), c) and d).

## Proposition (4.4):

a) The Gysin homomorphism is functorial, $(f \circ g)_{*}=f_{*} g_{*}$.
b) The projection formula holds

$$
f_{*}\left(x \smile f^{*} y\right)=f_{*} x \smile y .
$$

Using Proposition (4.2), the proof is entirely parallel to that for the classical Umkehrhomomorphism as in [Dyer, Cohomology Theories, Benjamin, 1969, p. 47].

Definition. - The homology Gysin homomorphism

$$
f^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{Y} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{.}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\text {. }}$ is homology with closed support (Borel-Moore homology) is the composition


It has similarly proved independence of choices and functoriality.

## 5. Riemann-Roch Without Denominators.

In this section we work in either of the following contexts:
(1) Complex quasi-projective schemes; $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}$ denotes singular cohomology with integer coefficients.
(2) Smooth quasi-projective varieties over an arbitrary field; $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}$ denotes the Chow ring with integer coefficients.

If N is a vector-bundle of $\mathrm{rank} d$ on X , let $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} \oplus \mathrm{I}), p: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the projective completion, and let

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} \rightarrow p^{*}(\check{\mathrm{~N}} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

be the universal exact sequence on P .
For any bundle F of $\operatorname{rank} f$ on X let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N})=p_{*}\left(c\left(\wedge^{\cdot} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot} \mathrm{X}$. (For a complex $\mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}$, its Chern class $c\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right)$ is $\left.\prod_{i} c\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{(-1)^{i}}\right)$. The calculation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N})$ is purely formal. The component $P_{q}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~N})=p_{*}\left(c_{q}\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)\right)$ in $\mathrm{H}^{q-d} \mathrm{X}$ may be written

$$
\mathrm{P}_{q}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{P}_{q}\left(f, c_{1}(\mathrm{~F}), \ldots, c_{q-d}(\mathrm{~F}) ; c_{1}(\mathrm{~N}), \ldots, c_{q-d}(\mathrm{~N})\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{q}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{~T}_{q-d} ; \mathrm{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{U}_{q-d}\right)$ is a universal polynomial with integer coefficients. This may be extended to any $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{X}$ with $f=\varepsilon(\mathrm{F})$.

Theorem. - Let i: $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ imbed X as a local complete intersection in Y , with normal bundle N of rank d. Then for $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{K}^{0} \mathbf{X}$

$$
c_{q}\left(i_{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)=i_{*}\left(\mathrm{P}_{q}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~N})\right) \quad \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{q} \mathrm{Y}
$$

where $i_{*}=\mathrm{H}^{q-d} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{q} \mathrm{Y}$ is the Gysin map.
Proof. - We may assume F is a bundle. Let E . be a resolution of $i_{*} \mathrm{~F}$ by bundles on $Y$, and let

be the diagram constructed in §3, Proposition (2), for E. on Y. Then $c\left(i_{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)=c\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {. }}\right)$, and $i_{*} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N})=\pi_{*} j_{*} c\left(\wedge^{\bullet} \mathrm{H} \otimes p^{*} \mathrm{~F}\right)=\pi_{*} j_{*} c\left(j^{*} \xi\right)$. Then the proof proceeds exactly as in the corollary in § 3 , replacing " ch " by " $c$ ".

Remark. - A formal calculation shows that $\mathrm{P}_{a}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N})=(-\mathrm{I})^{d-1}(d-\mathrm{I})!\in \mathrm{H}^{0} \mathrm{X}$. It follows that $c_{d}\left(i_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=(-\mathrm{I})^{d-1}(d-\mathrm{I})!i_{*}(\mathrm{I})$ in $\mathrm{H}^{d} \mathrm{Y}$. In the classical case, even for X a point on a three-dimensional Y , this was unknown before [SGA 6; XIV, § 6].

## 6. Examples.

(I) We first give an example to show that the Todd class is not always in the image of the "Poincaré duality" mapping $\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{X}$ given by $a \mapsto a \frown[\mathrm{X}]$. We construct a three-dimensional normal variety X with one singular point, such that $\tau_{2}(\mathrm{X}) \in \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})$ is not in $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q})-[\mathrm{X}]$.

Let $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ be non-singular projective curves of genus I , o respectively, and let $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ be negative line bundles on $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ of degrees $-d_{1},-d_{2}$. Let $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \times \mathrm{C}_{2}$, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ (a negative line bundle on S$), \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{I})$ the projective completion of L , $f: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ the projection. Regard $\mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{P}$ as usual, and $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{L}$ by the zero section. By Grauert's criterion (cf. [EGA II, 8.9.1]) we may form the variety $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ obtained by blowing S down to a (singular) point; let $\pi: \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be the collapsing map.

Let $z=c_{1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{I}) \in \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{P})$. The standard formula $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{S} \oplus \mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \mathrm{S} . z$, and the split exact homology and cohomology sequences of the pair ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}$ ) allow us to compute the homology and cohomology of X . In particular $z$ gives a basis for $\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{X}$, and

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=\pi_{*}\left(f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \times\{\text { pt. }\}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{T}_{2}=\pi_{*} f^{-1}\left(\{\text { pt. }\} \times \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)
$$

give a basis for $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}$. The relation [ S$]^{\text {dual }}=z+f^{*} c_{1}(\mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{P}$ [ G ; § 5, Lemma 3] implies that $z \frown[\mathrm{X}]=d_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}+d_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$.

From the standard formula for the tangent bundle to a projectivized bundle we see that $c\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=c\left(f^{*}(\mathrm{~L} \oplus \mathrm{I}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{I})\right) \cdot f^{*} c\left(\mathrm{~T}_{s}\right)$, i.e. $c\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}-d_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}-d_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{I}+z)\left(\mathrm{I}+2 \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)$ Since $\tau_{2} \mathrm{X}=\pi_{*}\left(\tau_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$ (Cor. to Proposition I.1), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2} \mathrm{X} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(-d_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}-d_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}+2 z \frown[\mathrm{X}]+2 \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} z \frown[\mathrm{X}]+\mathrm{T}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is not in $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathbf{Q}) \frown[\mathrm{X}]=\mathbf{Q} \cdot(z \frown[\mathrm{X}])$.
(2) In the above example $\tau_{2} \mathrm{X}=\frac{1}{2} c_{2} \mathrm{X}$, where $c_{2} \mathrm{X}$ is the homology Chern class of $X$ [ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ], since the singularities of X have dimension $<2$; but such a relation cannot be expected in general. To see this, fix a curve C of genus $g>2$, and an integer $d$ between $g$ and $2 g$. For each line bundle L on C of degree $-d$, let $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ be obtained by blowing C down to a point in $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{I})$. Then the arithmetic genus

$$
\tau_{0}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)=g+\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~L}^{\smile}\right),
$$

which varies with $L$, but the Chern classes depend only on the degree of $L$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ In this context "smooth" means a holomorphic mapping such that for each $p \in \mathrm{M}$ the induced map of tangent spaces $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\pi(p)} \mathrm{C}$ is surjective. For general algebraic varieties we refer to [EGA IV, 17.5].

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Note added in proof. S. Kleiman and I. Vainsencher have pointed out that this construction may be done intrinsically, as in [M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras: II, Annals of Math., 84 (1966), 1-19].

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Note added in proof. - J.-L. Verdier has constructed these Gysin maps for the Chow homology and proved the conjecture in general [Séminaire Bourbaki, n ${ }^{0} 4^{64}$, Feb. 1975].

