## REVUE FRANÇAISE D'AUTOMATIQUE INFORMATIQUE RECHERCHE OPÉRATIONNELLE. MATHÉMATIQUE

# B. D. SHARMA Y. D. MATHUR J. MITTER Bounds on the rate-distortion function for geometric measure of distortion

*Revue française d'automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle. Mathématique*, tome 7, nº R2 (1973), p. 29-38

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=M2AN 1973 7 2 29 0>

### © AFCET, 1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Revue française d'automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle. Mathématique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

### BOUNDS ON THE RATE-DISTORTION FUNCTION FOR GEOMETRIC MEASURE OF DISTORTION

by B. D. SHARMA, Y. D. MATHUR and J. MITTER (1)

Abstract. – Earlier the authors have defined the Geometric Measure of Distortion  $_{\alpha}D_{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha(>0)$  stands for the cost for distorsion per letter for correct transmission. In this paper we calculate the Rate Distortion Function  $R(_{\alpha}D_{\alpha})$ . In Section 3, the Symmetric Measure of Distortion is defined and bounds are obtained on  $R(_{\alpha}D_{\alpha})$  and  $_{\alpha}D_{\alpha}$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a communication process, let  $\begin{cases} x_i \\ x_i \end{cases}_{i=0}^{N-1}$  be the set of symbols transmitted and  $\begin{cases} Y_j \\ y=0 \end{cases}^{m-1}$  be the set of symbols received such that for correct transmission  $x_i$  corresponds to  $y_i$  for every *i*. For an independent letter source, we shall denote by  $p_i$ , the probability of transmitting  $x_i$ ; and by  $q_{j/i}$ , the probability of receiving  $y_j$  when  $x_i$  is sent. The average mutual information is given by

$$I(P;Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} p_{i^{*}} q_{j/i} \log\left(\frac{q_{j/i}}{\sum_{l} p_{l^{*}} q_{j/l}}\right)$$
(1.1)

For convenience, the logarithms are considered to the base e. For a transmission with a fidelity criterion [3], the authors [4] have introduced the geometric measure of distortion given by

$$_{\alpha}D_{G}=\prod_{i,j}\rho_{ij}^{p_{i}\cdot q_{j/i}},\qquad(1.2)$$

where  $\rho_{ii}$  is the distortion (cost) of transmitting  $x_i$  and receiving  $y_i$  so that

$$\rho_{ij} > \alpha \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{ii} = \alpha \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

<sup>(1)</sup> Faculty of Mathematics University of Delhi (India).

Revue Française d'Automatique, Informatique et Recherche Opérationnelle nº août 1973, R-2.

The rate distortion function of the source relative to the given distortion measure is then defined as

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) = \min I(P;Q), \qquad (1.4)$$

where the minimization is done with respect to  $q_{i/i}$  under the condition that

$${}_{\alpha}D_{G} \leqslant {}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}. \tag{1.5}$$

Gallager [2]; Berger [1] and others have investigated noisy channel coding theorems with the Shannon's measure of distortion geven by

$$D_{S} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \cdot d_{ij}, \qquad (1.6)$$

in which  $d_{ij} > 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $d_{ii} = 0$  (1.7)

In this paper, we shall investigate the values of  $R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*})$  and prove theorems on the symmetric measure of distortion with the geometric fidelity criterion.

It is rather obvious that  $R({}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*})$  is non negative and a non increasing function of  ${}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$  for minimization in (1.4) is done over a constraint set which is enlarged as  ${}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$  is increased.

#### 2. CALCULATION OF $R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*})$

**Theorem 2.1** The set  $\{q_{j|i}\}$  which gives  $R({}_{\alpha}D^*_{G})$  i.e. min I(P; Q) subject to the constraint  ${}_{\alpha}D_{G} \leq {}_{\alpha}D^*_{G}$  is given by

$$q_{j/i} = \frac{q_j \cdot c_i}{p_i} \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg} \quad \text{for all } i, j \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\sum_{i} c_{i} \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}} =$ 

1 for all j and 
$$q_j = \sum_i p_i \cdot q_{j/i}$$
. (2.2)

**Proof**: We have to minimize (1.1) under the conditions

$$_{\alpha}D_{G} = \exp\left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j}p_{i}\cdot q_{j/i}\cdot\log \rho_{ij}\right) \leqslant _{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$$

and  $\sum_{j} q_{j/i} = 1$  for all *i*.

Consider the function

$$\Phi = I(P; Q) + \lambda \cdot {}_{\alpha}D_G + \sum_i \mu_i \cdot \sum_j q_{j/i}$$
(2.3)

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu_i$  are Lagrange's constants.

For a suitable choice let 
$$\mu_i = -p_i \log \frac{c_i}{p_i}$$
. (2.4)

Replacing the set  $\mu = \left\{ \mu_i \right\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$  by  $c = \left\{ c_i \right\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$  (2.3) becomes

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} p_i \cdot q_{j/i} \left( \log \frac{q_{j/i}}{\sum_i p_i \cdot q_{j/i}} - \log \frac{c_i}{p_i} \right) + \lambda \cdot \exp \left( \sum_i \sum_j p_{ij} \cdot \log \rho_{ij} \right)$$
(2.5)

Thus the condition for  $q_{j/i}$  to yield a stationary point for  $\Phi$  is

$$\log \frac{q_{j/i}}{q_j} + \lambda \cdot \exp\left(\sum_i \sum_j p_i q_{j/i} \log \rho_{ij}\right) \log \rho_{ij} - \log \frac{c_i}{p_i} = 0 \qquad (2.6)$$

for every i and jwhere

$$q_j = \sum_i p_i \cdot q_{j/i} \tag{2.7}$$

Next (2.6) gives

$$q_{j/i} = \frac{c_i}{p_i} \cdot q_j \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg}.$$
 (2.8)

Multiphying (2.8) by  $p_i$  and summing over i, we get

$$\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot a D g} = 1 \quad \text{for all } j.$$
 (2.9)

Again summing up (2.8) over j and using the constraint  $\sum_{j} q_{j/i} = 1$  for every i, we obtain

$$\frac{c_i}{p_i} \cdot \sum_j q_j \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot a D_g} = 1 \quad \text{for all } i.$$
 (2.10)

From (2.9) we get a set of M-linear equations in the unknowns  $c_i$  and another set of M-linear equations in  $q_j$  obtained from (2.10). If N = M, we can usually solve the equations and then find  $q_{j/i}$  from (2.8). Since I(P; Q) is convex U in  $Q, \Phi$  is also convex U and therefore the solution is a minimum.

The above approach does not take into account the non-negativity of quantities  $q_{j/i}$  and the resulting values of  $q_{j/i}$ , giving minimum of I(P; Q) may become negative, leading to a non-feasible solution.

In the next theorem we follow an approach which always gives a feasible solution.

Now we define a function

$$\psi = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \left[ \log \frac{q_{j/i}}{\sum_{i} p \cdot q_{j/i}} \right] + \lambda \cdot {}_{\alpha} D_{G}$$
(2.11)

where  $q_{j|j} > 0$ .

nº août 1973, R-2.

It would be noted that since  $_{\alpha}D_{G} \leq _{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$ 

$$\min_{q_{1/4}} \psi - \lambda \cdot {}_{\alpha} D_G^* \leqslant R({}_{\alpha} D_G^*).$$
(2.12)

**Theorem 2.2** For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\min_{q_{i/i}} \psi = H(U) + \max_{c} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} p_{i} \cdot \log c_{i}; c_{i} > 0, \qquad (2.13)$$

where H(U) is the entropy of the source and  $C = \begin{cases} c_i \\ c_i \end{cases}^{N-1}$  is such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_i \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot a D g} \leq 1 \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_{ij} \geq e.$$
 (2.14)

Also  $\psi$  is minimized for values of  $c_i$  given by (2.8) in terms of  $q_{j/i}$  and the necessary and sufficient conditions on  $c_i$  to achieve the maximum in (2.13) are that there exists an output distribution satisfying (2.10) and (2.14) with equality.

Proof : Consider the function

$$\Phi = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \cdot \log \frac{q_{j/i}}{q_{j}} + \lambda \cdot {}_{\alpha} D_{G} - \sum_{i} p_{i} \log \frac{c_{i}}{p_{i}} \sum_{j} q_{j/i} \qquad (2.15)$$

then

$$\Phi = \psi - H(U) - \sum_{i} p_{i} \cdot \log c_{i}$$
(2.16)

(2.15) can be put as

$$-\Phi = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} q_{j/i} \log \frac{q_{j} \cdot c_{i}}{q_{j/i} \cdot p_{i}} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \cdot \log \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg} \cdot \log \rho_{ij} e$$

$$\leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \log \frac{q_{j} \cdot c_{i}}{q_{j/i} \cdot p_{i}} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} \cdot q_{j/i} \cdot \log \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg}$$

$$as \rho_{ij} \geq e.$$

Using the inequality  $\log x \leq x - 1$ , we obtain

$$-\Phi \leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i} q_{j/i} \left[ \frac{q_{j} \cdot c_{i} \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg}}{q_{j/i} \cdot p_{i}} - 1 \right]$$
  
$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} q_{j} \cdot c_{i} \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aDg} - \sum_{j} q_{j}$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{j} q_{j} - \sum_{j} q_{j} = 0 \qquad (2.17)$$
  
(using (2.14))

Combining (2.17) and (2.16), we get

$$\psi \ge H(U) + \sum_{i} p_i \log c_i \tag{2.18}$$

(2.18) is satisfied with equality if and only if the inequalities  $\log x \leq x - 1$ and (2.14) are satisfied with equality, or if and only if

• -

$$\frac{q_j \cdot c_i \cdot \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot aD_{\theta}}}{q_{j/i} \cdot p_i} = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad q_{j/i} > 0 \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\sum_{i} c_{i} \rho_{ij}^{-\lambda \cdot a D g} = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad q_{j} > 0 \quad (2.20)$$

The conditions in the theorem are necessary for equality in (2.18) as we obtain (2.10) from (2.19) after multiplying by  $q_{i/i}$  and summing over *j*. Again if the output probabilities satisfy (2.10) and if (2.20) is satisfied then as already seen  $q_{i/i}$  given by (2.8) is a transition assignment with output probabilities  $q_i$ . By (2.10), the choice satisfies (2.19) so that the conditions of the theorem are sufficient for equality in (2.18).

#### 3. SYMMETRIC MEASURE OF DISTORTION

If the number of input and output symbols are same and if the cost of correct transmission is  $\alpha$  and the cost of any incorrect transmission is  $\beta$ (obviously  $\alpha < \beta$ ) so that the distortion is

$$\rho_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } i = j \\ \beta & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

then we refer to this as Symmetric Measure of Distortion.

Theorem 3.1. Under symmetric measure of Distortion, we have

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) \geq H(\mathsf{U}) - \hat{H}\left(\frac{_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) - \left(\frac{_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right)\log(N-1)$$
(3.2)

wł

here 
$$H\left(\frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) = -\left(\frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right)$$
$$\log\left(\frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right)$$
$$\log\left(1 - \frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) \quad (3.3)$$

with equality it

$$_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} \leq \alpha \log \alpha + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)(N-1) p_{\min}$$

where  $p_{\min}$  is the minimum of all  $p_i$ 's.

nº août 1973, R-2.

Proof: The constraint equations (2.14) under symmetric measure of distortion take the form

$$c_{j} \cdot \alpha^{-\lambda \alpha} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{i} - c_{j}\right) \beta^{-\lambda \beta} \leq 1 \qquad (3.5)$$
$$0 \leq j \leq M - 1.$$

These are all symmetric and can be made to hold with equality by taking  $c_i = c_0$  for each *i*. Then,

$$c_0 = \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot [1 + (N-1) \cdot \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \beta^{-\lambda \beta}]^{-1}$$
(3.6)

From (2.13) and (3.6), we have

$$\min_{q \neq \ell} \psi \ge H(U) + \lambda \cdot \alpha \log \alpha - \log \left[1 + (N-1) \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}\right] \quad (3.7)$$

Invoking the relation (2.12) we get for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) \geq -\lambda \cdot _{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} + H(U) + \lambda \cdot \alpha \log \alpha - \log \left[1 + (N-1) \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}\right].$$
(3.8)

Now if we maximize the right hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ , we get

$${}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} = \alpha \log \alpha + \frac{(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)(N-1)}{\beta^{\lambda\beta} \cdot \alpha^{-\lambda\alpha} + (N-1)}$$
(3.9)

therefore

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha} \log \left( \frac{\beta \log \beta - \alpha D_G^*}{\alpha D_G^* - \alpha \log \alpha} \right) (N - 1).$$
(3.10)

(3.2) follows by substituting (3.10) into (3.8).

Now by theorem 2.2 (3.7) would hold with equality if we can find a solution of (2.10) such that  $q_j \ge 0$ . Under the symmetric measure of distortion defined by (3.1), (2.10) gives

$$q_{j} = \frac{(p_{i/ci}) \, \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{\lambda \beta} - \alpha^{\lambda \alpha}}{\beta^{\lambda \beta} - \alpha^{\lambda \alpha}}$$
(3.11)

$$=\frac{p_{i}[\beta^{\lambda\beta}+(N-1)\,\alpha^{\lambda\alpha}]-\alpha^{\lambda\alpha}}{\beta^{\lambda\beta}-\alpha^{\lambda\alpha}}$$
(3.12)

for values of  $c_i = c_0$  given in (3.6).

All  $q_i$ 's will be non negative if

$$p_i \ge \frac{1}{\beta^{\lambda\beta} \cdot \alpha^{-\lambda\alpha} + (N-1)}$$
 for every *i* (3.13)

If  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large (3.13) holds normally and (3.7) would hold with equality.

Now combining (3.9) and (3.13), we get

$$R({}_{\alpha}D^{*}_{G}) = H(U) - \hat{H}\left(\frac{{}_{\alpha}D^{*}_{G} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) - \left(\frac{{}_{\alpha}D^{*}_{G} - \alpha \log \alpha}{\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha}\right) \log (N-1)$$
for

 $_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} \leq \alpha \log \alpha + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)(N-1)p_{\min}.$ 

Hence the theorem.

### An Extension of Theorem 3.1

We shall now calculate  $R(_{\alpha}D_G^*)$  for large values of  $_{\alpha}D_G^*$  Without any loss of generality we can assume that the source letters are ordered in decreasing order of probabilities that is

$$p_0 \ge p_1 \ge \dots \ge p_{N-1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Next suppose that there is an integer m, 0 < m < N - 1 such that

$$q_{j} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } j \ge m \\ > 0 & \text{if } j \le m - 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

For  $j \leq m$ , (3.11) then gives

$$p_i = c_i \,\beta^{-\lambda\beta}.\tag{3.16}$$

(3.5) must be satisfied with equality for  $j \le m - 1$ , therefore for all  $j \le m - 1$ , all the  $c_j$  must be the same say  $c_0$  and  $c_j \le c_0$  for  $j \ge m$ .

The constraint equations (2.14) for j = 0 gives

$$c_0 \alpha^{-\lambda\alpha} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i + \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} c_i - c_0\right) \cdot \beta^{-\lambda\beta} = 1,$$
  
or 
$$c_0 \alpha^{-\lambda\alpha} + (mc_0 - c_0) \beta^{-\lambda\beta} + \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} c_i \cdot \beta^{-\lambda\beta} = 1,$$
 (3.17)

or 
$$c_0\left[\alpha^{-\lambda\alpha} + (m-1)\beta^{-\lambda\beta}\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i = \sigma_m(say)$$
  
(using (3.16))

or 
$$c_{l} = c_{0} = \frac{\sigma_{m} \cdot \alpha^{\lambda \alpha}}{1 + (m - 1) \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}}$$
 (3.18)

nº août 1973, R-2.

.. . ..

It is clear from (3.16) that  $c_m \ge c_{m+1} \ge ... \ge c_{N-1}$  and for  $j \ge m$ ;  $c_j \le c_0$  will hold if

$$p_m \leq \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}}{1 + (m - 1) \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}}$$
(3.19)

Now  $\sum_{i} p_i \log c_i$  will be maximized for c given by (3.16) and (3.18), if all the  $q'_j s$  given by (3.11) are non negative. This requires from (3.11) that

$$p_{m-1} \ge \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}}{1 + (m-1) \, \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}} \tag{3.20}$$

since from (3.11) and (3.14) it is obvious that

$$q_0 \ge q_1 \ge \dots \ge q_{m-1}.$$

Thus for the values of  $\lambda$  for which (3.19) and (3.20) are satisfied, the given c yields

$$\min_{q_{ij}/4} \psi = H(U) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i \log \frac{\sigma_m \cdot \alpha^{\lambda \alpha}}{1 + (m-1) \alpha^{\lambda \alpha} \cdot \beta^{-\lambda \beta}} + \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} p_i \log (p_i \cdot \beta^{\lambda \beta}).$$
(3.21)

The min  $\psi$  over a range of  $\lambda$  specifies  $R({}_{\alpha}D^*_{G})$  over the corresponding range of  $\lambda$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  is related to  ${}_{\alpha}D^*_{G}$  by

$${}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}[\min\psi] = \sigma_{m}\left[\frac{\alpha\log\alpha + (\beta\log\beta)(m-1)\,\alpha^{\lambda\alpha}\,\beta^{-\,\lambda\beta}}{1 + (m-1)\,\alpha^{\lambda\alpha}\cdot\beta^{-\,\lambda\beta}}\right] + (\beta\log\beta)(1 - \sigma_{m}).$$
(3.22)

Therefore

$$\lambda = \log \left[ \frac{(m-1)(\beta \log \beta - {}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*})}{{}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*} - \beta \log \beta + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_{m}} \right]^{1/(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)}$$
(3.23)

For  $\lambda$  and  $_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$  related by (3.22).

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) = \min_{q_{j/4}} \psi - \lambda \cdot {}_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$$
(3.24)

using (3.21) and (3.23); simplifying and rearranging the terms, (3.24) becomes

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) = \sigma_{m} \left[ H(U_{m}) + \left\{ \frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \beta \log \beta + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_{m}}{(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_{m}} \right\} \right. \\ \left. \times \log \left\{ \frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*} - \beta \log \beta + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_{m}}{(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_{m}} \right\} \right]$$

BOUNDS ON THE RATE-DISTORSION FUNCTION

$$+\left\{\frac{\beta\log\beta-\alpha D_{G}^{*}}{(\beta\log\beta-\alpha\log\alpha)\sigma_{m}}\right\}\log\left\{\frac{\beta\log\beta-\alpha D_{G}}{(\beta\log\beta-\alpha\log\alpha)\sigma_{m}}\right\}\\-\left\{\frac{\alpha D_{G}^{*}-\beta\log\beta+(\beta\log\beta-\alpha\log\alpha)\sigma_{m}}{(\beta\log\beta-\alpha\log\alpha)\sigma_{m}}\right\}\log(m-1)\right]$$

This can be equivalently expressed as

$$R(_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}) = \sigma_{m}[H(U_{m}) - \hat{H}(\Delta) - \Delta \log (m-1)]$$

where  $H(U_m)$  is the entropy of a reduced ensemble with probabilities

$$\Delta = \frac{p_0/\sigma_m}{(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha)\sigma_m}, \quad p_1/\sigma_m, \dots, p_{m-1}/\sigma_m,$$

and

$$\hat{H}(\Delta) = -\Delta \log \Delta - (1 - \Delta) \log (1 - \Delta).$$

Substituting (3.23) into (3.19) and (3.20) we obtain the bounds of  $_{\alpha}D_{G}^{*}$  given by

$$(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha \left( mp_m - \sum_{i=0}^m p_i \right) + \beta \log \beta \leq {}_{\alpha} D_G^* \leq (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha) \\ \times \left[ (m-1)p_{m-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i \right] + \beta \log \beta.$$
When  $m = N - 1$ 

$$(\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha) \left( m p_m - \sum_{i=0}^m p_i \right)$$
  
=  $\alpha \log \alpha + (\beta \log \beta - \alpha \log \alpha) (N - 1) p_{\min}.$ 

which is the same as upper limt in (3.4).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to Prof. U. N. Singh, Dean, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Delhi, and the third author is thankful to C.S.I.R. (India) for financial assistance.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BERGER T., Rate Distortion Theory, Prentice Hall (1971), New Jersey.
- [2] GALLAGER R. G., Information Theory and Reliable Communication (1968), Wiley, New York.
- [3] SHANNON C. E., Information and Decision Processes, R. E. Machol, Ed. McGraw-Hill, New York (1960).
- [4]\* SHARMA B. D., MITTER J. & MATHUR Y. D., Geometric Measure of Distortion. To appear in Statistica, 1973.

#### APPENDIX

Shannon introduced  $\rho_{ij}$  as the single letter distortion when  $x_i$  is sent and  $y_j$  is received. As there is always some cost even for correct transmission, we take  $\rho_{ij} > \alpha$  for  $i \neq j$ ;  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho_{ij} = \alpha$  (where  $\alpha$  is zero in Shannon's case). Since any measure of distortion is an average of per letter distorsions  $\rho_{ij}$ 's, the measure in its most-generalized form is taken as

$${}_{x}D_{\psi}^{f} = \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} f(p_{ij}) \psi(\rho_{ij})}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} f(p_{ij})}\right)$$

where (i)  $\psi$  is strictly monotonic and continuous function defined for non negative values.

and (ii) f is positive valued and bounded weight function in [0, 1]

By setting f(x) = x and  $\psi(x) = \log x$  in (A) we get

$${}_{\alpha}D_{G} = \exp\left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j}p_{ij} \cdot \log \rho_{ij}\right) = \prod_{i,j}\rho_{ij}^{p_{ij}/i} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i}\sum_{j}p_{ij} = 1$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> For relevant matter of [4] refer to Appendix.

Revue Française d'Automatique, Informatique et Recherche Opérationnelle nº août 1973, R-2.