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# EVERY COMMUTATIVE QUASIRATIONAL LANGUAGE IS REGULAR (*) 

by Juha Kortelainen ( ${ }^{1}$ )

Communicated by J. Berstel


#### Abstract

A nonregular language $L$ is minimal with respect to a language family $\mathscr{L}$ if, for each nonregular language $L_{1}$ in $\mathscr{L}, L$ is in the trio generated by $L_{1}$. We show that the language $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}=\left\{\left.x \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*}| | x\right|_{a_{1}} \neq|x|_{a_{2}}\right\}$ is minimal with respect to $c(\mathscr{R})$, the family of languages consisting of the commutative closures of all regular languages. This then implies that each commutative quasirational language is regular.


Résumé. - Un langage L non rationnel est minimal dans une famille $\mathscr{L}$ de langages si, pour tout langage $L_{1}$ non rationnel dans $\mathscr{L}, L$ appartient au plus petit cône rationnel fidèle contenant $L_{1}$. Nous montrons que le langage $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}=\left\{\left.x \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*}| | x\right|_{a_{1}} \neq|x|_{a_{2}}\right\}$ est minimal dans $c(\mathscr{R})$ qui est l'ensemble des fermetures commutatives des langages rationnels. Ceci implique que tout langage commutatif quasirationnel est rationnel.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The minimality of languages is studied in several articles, for instance in [1], [3], [9] and [10]. Let $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{L})(\hat{\mathscr{T}}(\mathscr{L}))$ denote the (full) trio generated by the language family $\mathscr{L}$. In [1], [9] and [10] we can find the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1: If $L$ is a nonregular language in $c(\mathscr{R})$, then $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$. We show that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\mathscr{T}(L)$ for each nonregular language $L$ in $c(\mathscr{R})$ thus proving the conjecture. A result of Latteux and Leguy [11] then implies:

Conjecture 2: Every commutative quasirational language is regular.
Conjecture 2 was stated in [8] and [10]. It was partially proved in [5] and [11]; in [5] it was shown that every commutative linear language is regular and in [11] that every commutative quasirational language over a two-letter alphabet is regular.

[^0]
## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A subset $S$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ is linear if:

$$
S=\left\{u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{r} u_{r} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r\right\}
$$

for some $u_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, r$. We say that $s$ is the rank of $S$ if there are exactly $s$ linearly independent elements (over $Q$, the rationals) in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$. The rank of $S$ is denoted by rank $(S)$. Naturally $\operatorname{rank}(S) \leqq n$. If $\operatorname{rank}(S)=r$, then $S$ is a proper linear set. A subset $T$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ is semilinear if it is a finite union of linear sets. The rank of $T$, denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(T)$, is $s$ if $T=S_{1} \cup \ldots \cup S_{m}$ where each $S_{i}$ is a linear set and max rank $\left(\mathrm{S}_{i}\right)=s$. It can be verified that the rank of each semilinear set is uniquely determined. The convex closure conv $(S)$ of the linear set $S$ is defined by:

$$
\operatorname{conv}(S)=\left\{u_{0}+\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{r} u_{r} \mid \alpha_{j} \in Q, \alpha_{j} \geqq 0, j=1, \ldots, r\right\} \cap \mathbb{N}^{n}
$$

Denote

$$
\mathscr{A}(S)=\left\{\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{r} u_{r} \mid \alpha_{j} \in Q \text { for each } j\right\}
$$

Note that $\mathscr{A}(S)$ is a linear subspace of $Q^{n}$. All the linear spaces considered are subspaces of $Q^{n}$ over $Q$, the rationals. Again, both $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ and $\mathscr{A}(S)$ are well-defined. By Lemma 1 , conv $(S)$ is a semilinear set.

A linear set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is fundamental if:
$S=\left\{\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)+k_{1}\left(s_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)+\ldots\right.$

$$
\left.+k_{n}\left(0, \ldots, 0, s_{n}\right) \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

for some $r_{j}, s_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{j}<s_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$. If $S$ is fundamental, then obviously $\operatorname{rank}(S)=n$. A semilinear set is called fundamental if it is a finite union of fundamental linear sets.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$. The complement of $U$ is the set $\bar{U}$ defined by:

$$
\bar{U}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \mid v \notin U\right\} .
$$

Ginsburg proves in [6] that:
(i) the intersection of two semilinear sets is a semilinear set;
(ii) the complement of a semilinear set is a semilinear set; and
(iii) each semilinear set is a finite union of proper linear sets.

These facts are extensively used in our proofs.

Let $V, W \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Then we define:

$$
V+W=\{v+w \mid v \in V, w \in W\} .
$$

Let $e_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be the element in which the $i$-th coordinate is one and all the others are equal to zero, $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\Psi_{\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle}$ be the usual Parikhmapping from $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ onto $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. When $\Psi_{\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle}$ is understood, it is denoted by $\Psi$.

Let $\Sigma_{1}$ be an alphabet and $x \in \Sigma_{1}^{*}$. Then $|x|_{a}$ denotes the number of occurrences of the symbol $a$ in $x$ for each $a$ in $\Sigma_{1}$. The empty word is denoted by $\varepsilon$. Let $L \subseteq \Sigma_{1}^{*}$ be a language. Then:

$$
x^{-1} L=\left\{y \in \Sigma_{1}^{*} \mid x y \in L\right\}
$$

and

$$
L-\{\varepsilon\}=L \cap \Sigma_{1}^{+} .
$$

Define $c(x)=\left\{\left.y \in \Sigma_{1}^{*}| | x\right|_{a}=|y|_{a}\right.$ for each $\left.a \in \Sigma_{1}\right\}$. The commutative closure of the language $L$ is the set

$$
c(L)=\bigcup_{x \in L} c(x) .
$$

The language $L$ is commutative if $L=c(L)$.
For a language $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$, let the complement of $L$ with respect to $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ be the language $\bar{L}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ defined by:

$$
\bar{L}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left\{x \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*} \mid x \notin L\right\} .
$$

We denote $\bar{L}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ by $\bar{L}$ when $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is understood.
A language $L \cong\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ is a SLIP-language if $\Psi(L)$ is a semilinear set. If $L$ is commutative and $\Psi(L)$ is a linear set, then the convex closure $\operatorname{conv}(L)$ of $L$ is the following language:

$$
\operatorname{conv}(L)=\Psi^{-1}(\operatorname{conv}(\Psi(L)))
$$

A commutative language $R \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ is fundamental if $\Psi(R)$ is a fundamental semilinear set. Note that if $R$ is fundamental, it is a regular commutative SLIP-language.

It should be clear that $c(\mathscr{R})$ is exactly the family of all commutative SLIP-languages and that $c(\mathscr{R})$ is closed under union, intersection and complementation. Let $D_{1}^{*}=c\left(\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{*}\right)$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

We now prove seven lemmas which imply the main results of this paper.
Lemma 1: For each linear set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}, \operatorname{conv}(S)$ is a semilinear set.
Proof: Assume:

$$
S=\left\{u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{m} u_{m} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, m$. Let:

$$
U_{0}=\left\{u_{0}+\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} u_{m} \mid \alpha_{j} \in Q, 0 \leqq \alpha_{j}<1, j=1, \ldots, m\right\} \cap \mathbb{N}^{n}
$$

and

$$
U_{1}=\left\{k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{m} u_{m} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Obviously $U_{0}$ is finite and thus $U=U_{0}+U_{1}$ is a semilinear set. We show that $\operatorname{conv}(S)=U$.

It should be clear that $U \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(S)$. Assume $u \in \operatorname{conv}(S)$. Then:

$$
u=u_{0}+\beta_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{m} u_{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}
$$

for some nonnegative $\beta_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, m$. Now:

$$
u=u_{0}+\gamma_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{m} u_{m}+r_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{m} u_{m}
$$

for some $\gamma_{j} \in Q, 0 \leqq \gamma_{j}<1, r_{j} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\beta_{j}=\gamma_{j}+r_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$. Thus $u_{0}+\gamma_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{m} u_{m} \in U_{0}$ and $r_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{m} u_{m} \in U_{1}$, so $u \in U$. We can deduce that $\operatorname{conv}(S) \subseteq U$. The proof is now complete.

Lemma 2: For each proper linear set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$, there exists a fundamental semilinear set $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U=S$.

Proof: Assume:

$$
S=\left\{u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{m} u_{m} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, m$, and the elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are linearly independent. Now $m \leqq n$. If $m<n$, there are distinct numbers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-m} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{n-m}}$ are linearly independent. In this case denote $u_{m+j}=e_{i j}, j=1, \ldots, n-m$.

Let $m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$be the smallest number such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} e_{i}=r_{i 1} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{i n} u_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1, \ldots, n, i=1, \ldots, n$. Here $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of all integers. Denote:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{0}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \mid t_{i}<m_{i}\right. & , i=1, \ldots, n\} \\
& \cap\left\{u_{0}+\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} u_{n} \mid \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad U_{1}=\left\{k_{1} m_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} m_{n} e_{n} \mid k_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. The set $U=U_{0}+U_{1}$ is a fundamental semilinear set. We show that $\operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U=S$.

Assume $u \in S$. Then $u=u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{m} u_{m}$ for some $k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, m$. We can write $u$ in the form:

$$
u=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)+l_{1} m_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+l_{n} m_{n} e_{n}
$$

for some $t_{j}, l_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leqq t_{j}<m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$. By (1):

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=u_{0}+s_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+s_{n} u_{n}
$$

for some $s_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1, \ldots, n$. This means that $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in U_{0}$ and $u \in U=U_{0}+U_{1}$. Since $u \in \operatorname{conv}(S), u \in \operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U$. So $S \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U$.

Assume now that $u \in \operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U$. Then, since $u \in \operatorname{conv}(S)$;

$$
u=u_{0}+\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} u_{m}
$$

for some nonnegative $\alpha_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, m$. Since $u \in U$, we have:

$$
u=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)+k_{1} m_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} m_{n} e_{n}
$$

for some $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in U_{0}, k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n$. By (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}+\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} u_{m}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+k_{1}\left(r_{11} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{1 n} u_{n}\right)+\ldots+k_{n}\left(r_{n 1} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{n n} u_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=u_{0}+l_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+l_{n} u_{n}+k_{1}\left(r_{11} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{1 n} u_{n}\right)+\ldots \\
& \\
& \quad+k_{n}\left(r_{n 1} u_{1}+\ldots+r_{n n} u_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $l_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1, \ldots, n$. The equations above imply that:

$$
\alpha_{j}=l_{j}+k_{1} r_{1 j}+\ldots+k_{n} r_{n j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Since $\alpha_{j} \geqq 0$, we have $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $j$. Thus $u \in S$. Since $u$ is arbitrary, $\operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U \cong S$. Thus $S=\operatorname{conv}(S) \cap U$.

Note: A straightforward reasoning shows that (i) the intersection of two fundamental semilinear sets is either empty or a fundamental semilinear set; and (ii) the complement of a fundamental semilinear set is either empty or a fundamental semilinear set.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be a semilinear set. Then $S$ is homogenous if there exist proper
linear sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ and a fundamental semilinear set $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that:
(i) $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}$; and
(ii) $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \cap U=S$.

Call a language $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ homogenous if $L$ is a commutative SLIP-language such that $\Psi(L)$ is a homogenous semilinear set.

Lemma 3: Let $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be a nonregular commutative SLIPlanguage. Then there exists a nonregular homogenous language $L^{\prime} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ in $\mathscr{T}(L)$.

Proof: Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m} \in c(\mathscr{R})$ be languages such that $\Psi\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a proper linear set for each $i$, and $L=\bigcup L_{i=1}$. By Lemma 2, there exists a fundamental language $R_{i} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ such that $\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right) \cap R_{i}=L_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the greatest number for which there exist $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s^{\prime}} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $L \cap \bar{R}_{i_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{i_{s^{\prime}}}$ is nonregular. Since $L \cap \bar{R}_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m}=\varnothing$, $s^{\prime}<m$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $i_{j}=m-s^{\prime}+j, j=1, \ldots, s^{\prime}$. Denote $s=m-s^{\prime}$. If $s<m$, we have $L \cap \bar{R}_{s+1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m}$ nonregular and the language $L \cap \bar{R}_{s+1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m} \cap \bar{R}_{j}$ regular for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. If $s=m$, then $L \cap \bar{R}_{j}$ is regular for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $s<m$, denote $R=\bar{R}_{s+1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m}$, otherwise $R=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$. Now:

$$
L \cap R=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} L_{i}\right) \cap R
$$

is nonregular and $L \cap R \in c(\mathscr{R})$. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ there are $A_{i 1}, \ldots$, $A_{i r_{i}} \in c(\mathscr{R})$ such that $\Psi\left(A_{i j}\right)$ is a proper linear set, $j=1, \ldots, r_{i}$, and $r_{i}$
$L_{i} \cap R=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\bigcup} A_{i j}$. We prove that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ :

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j}\right) \cap R \cap R_{i}\right)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} A_{i j}
$$

Obviously $A_{i j} \subseteq L_{i} \subseteq R_{i}$ and $A_{i j} \subseteq R$, so the right side of the above equation is a subset of the left side of it. On the other hand, since $\Psi\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a linear
set and $A_{i j} \cong L_{i}$ for each $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\}$, it can be verified that $\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j}\right) \cong \operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j}\right) \cap R_{i} \cong \operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right) \cap R_{i}=L_{i}$, so:

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j}\right) \cap R \cap R_{i} \subseteq L_{i} \cap R=\bigcup_{j=1} A_{i j}
$$

and we can deduce that the equation is right for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Since $L \cap R \cap \bar{R}_{i}$ is regular for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the language $L \cap R \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \bar{R}_{i}\right)$ is regular. Since $L \cap R$ is nonregular, the language:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \cap R \cap\left(\begin{array}{|}
\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \bar{R}_{i}
\end{array}\right)=L \cap R \cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \bar{R}_{i}\right) \\
&=L \cap\left(R_{1} \cap \ldots \cap R_{s} \cap \bar{R}_{s+1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $c(\mathscr{R})$ is nonregular. Denote $R_{0}=R_{1} \cap \ldots \cap R_{s} \cap \bar{R}_{s+1} \cap \ldots \cap \bar{R}_{m}$. By the previous note, $R_{0}$ is fundamental. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\}$, let $A_{i j p} \in c(\mathscr{R}), p=1, \ldots, q_{i j}$, be such that

$$
A_{i j} \cap R_{0}=\bigcup_{p=1}^{q_{i j}} A_{i j p}
$$

and $\Psi\left(A_{i j p}\right)$ is a proper linear set. We prove that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ :
(*)

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \bigcup_{p=1}^{q_{i j}}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j p}\right) \cap R_{0}\right)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \bigcup_{p=1}^{q_{i j}} A_{i j p} .
$$

Obviously the right side of $(*)$ is a subset of the left side of $(*)$. On the other hand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{conv}\left(A_{i j p}\right) \cap R_{0} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}}(\operatorname{conv} & \left.\left(A_{i j p}\right) \cap R_{0}\right) \\
& =\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}}\left(A_{i j} \cap R_{0}\right)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \bigcup_{p=1}^{q_{i j}} A_{i j p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (*) is right. Now:

$$
L^{\prime}=L \cap R_{0}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \bigcup_{p=1}^{q_{i j}} A_{i j p} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}
$$

is a nonregular homogenous language in $\mathscr{T}(L)$.
Lemma 4: Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be proper linear sets such that

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)}\right)=n
$$

Then there exists a proper linear set $T \cong \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that:

$$
\operatorname{conv}(T) \cong \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{rank}(T)=n
$$

Proof: Denote $T=\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)}$. Since $\operatorname{rank}\left(T^{v}\right)=n$, there exists a linear set $T_{1} \subseteq T^{v}$ such that:

$$
T_{1}=\left\{v_{0}+k_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} v_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

where $v_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, and the elements $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are linearly independent. Let:

$$
S_{1}=\left\{u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{s} u_{s} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, s\right\}
$$

where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, s$, and the elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$ are linearly independent. Let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{k_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} v_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
& U=\left\{k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{s} u_{s} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, s\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have two subcases: (i) $s=n$; and (ii) $s<n$.
(i) Assume there is $u \in U$ such that $u=\alpha_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} v_{n}$ for some positive $\alpha_{i} \in Q$. Then, for sufficiently large and well chosen $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$:

$$
p u+q\left(u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}\right)=\beta_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{n} v_{n}
$$

for some $\beta_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, i=1, \ldots, n$. If now $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough:

$$
v_{0}+r\left(\beta_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{n} v_{n}\right)=u_{0}+\gamma_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{n} u_{n}
$$

for some positive $\gamma_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, n$, contradicting the fact that $T_{1} \subseteq T^{v}$. The facts above show that, for sufficiently large $r_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$:

$$
v_{0}+r_{0}\left(v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}\right)+\rho_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\rho_{n} v_{n} \neq u_{0}+\xi_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{n} u_{n}
$$

for each nonnegative $\rho_{j}, \xi_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, n$. Let $w_{0}=v_{0}+r_{0}\left(v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}\right)$. Then $T_{2}=w_{0}+V$ is a proper linear set such that $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{k}$.
(ii) By the construction of Lemma 1, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \leqq s<n$.

Let $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{p} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be linear sets such that $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} W_{i}$ and

$$
W_{i}=\left\{v_{i 0}+k_{1} v_{i 1}+\ldots+k_{r_{i}} v_{i r_{i}} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\}
$$

where $v_{i j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, j=0,1, \ldots, r_{i}, i=1, i=1, \ldots, p$. Since each $v_{i j}$ is obviously a linear combination of the elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$, there are at most $s$ linearly independent elements in $v_{11}, \ldots, v_{1 r_{1}}, \ldots, v_{p 1}, \ldots, v_{p r_{p}}$. Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{q}$ be a maximal number of linearly independent elements in the above sequence, $q \leqq s$. Thus $q<n$. Let $w_{q+1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ be elements in $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ such that $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ are linearly independent. Let $r_{0}$ be such that $v_{0}-v_{i 0}+r_{0}\left(w_{1}+\ldots+w_{n}\right)$ is a linear combination of $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ with positive rational coefficients for each $i=1, \ldots, p$ :

$$
w_{0}=v_{0}+r_{0}\left(w_{1}+\ldots+w_{n}\right),
$$

and

$$
T_{2}=\left\{w_{0}+k_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} w_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

We show that $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)=\varnothing$. Assume the contrary. Since $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$ which means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}+r_{0}\left(w_{1}+\ldots+w_{n}\right)+\alpha_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} w_{n}=v_{i 0}+\beta_{1} v_{i 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{i}} v_{i r_{i} ;} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}, \quad \alpha_{j} \in Q, \quad \alpha_{j} \geqq 0, \quad \beta_{l} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n, l=1, \ldots, r_{i}$. Obviously:

$$
\beta_{1} v_{i 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{i}} v_{i r_{i}}=\lambda_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{q} w_{q}
$$

for some $\lambda_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, q$. Then (1) implies that $\xi_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{n} w_{n}=\overline{0}$ for some $\xi_{j} \in Q, j=1, \ldots, n$, where $\xi_{q+1} \neq 0, \ldots, \xi_{n} \neq 0$. Since $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ are linearly independent, we have a contradiction. Thus $T_{2} \cong \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is a proper linear set such that $T_{2} \subseteq T$ and $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)=\varnothing$.

Continuing like this for each $S_{j}, j=2, \ldots, m$, we can find a proper linear set $T_{m+1}$ such that $T_{m+1} \subseteq T^{\nu}$ and

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{m+1}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)\right)=\varnothing
$$

thus:

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{m+1}\right) \subseteq T^{v}=\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)}
$$

Lemma 5: Let $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be a homogenous language containing $\varepsilon$. Assume $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ are proper linear sets and $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is a fundamental semilinear set such that:

$$
\Psi(L)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \cap U=\Psi(L) .
$$

If $\operatorname{rank}(\Psi(L))=\operatorname{rank}\left(\overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)} \cap \ldots \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)}\right)=n$, then the language $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\mathscr{T}(L)$.

Proof: There must be $S_{i}$, say $S_{1}$, such that:

$$
S_{1}=\left\{u_{0}+k_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} u_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

where $u_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, j=0,1, \ldots, n$, with $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ linearly independent. Denote $T=\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)$. By the previous lemma, there exists:

$$
T_{1}=\left\{v_{0}+k_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} v_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

where $v_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, with $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ linearly independent such that $\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right) \subseteq T$. Naturally $\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right)=\varnothing$. Now there must be $U_{1} \subseteq U$ such that:

$$
U_{1}=\left\{w_{0}+k_{1} s_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+k_{n} s_{n} e_{n} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

for some $w_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, s_{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, j=1, \ldots, n$. Denote:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s=s_{1} \ldots s_{n}, \quad u=u_{1}+\ldots+u_{n}, \quad v=v_{1}+\ldots+v_{n}, \\
& w_{1}=s u, \quad w_{2}=s v .
\end{array}
$$

Obviously the set $U_{2}=\left\{w_{0}+k_{1} w_{1}+k_{2} w_{2} \mid k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a subset of $U_{1} \subseteq U$. Now $w_{2}=\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} u_{n}$ for some $\alpha_{i} \in Q, i=1, \ldots, n$. Since $\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right)=\varnothing$, there is at least one $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\alpha_{j}<0$. Let:

$$
\alpha=\max \left\{\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \mid \alpha_{j}<0, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Let $m_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $w_{0}+m_{1} w_{1} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Such a number $m_{1}$ clearly exists. Consider the statemant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+k_{1} w_{1}+k_{2} w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right), \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1) is equivalent with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+m_{1} w_{1}+\left(k_{1}-m_{1}\right) w_{1}+k_{2} w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right), \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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If $(s / \alpha)\left(k_{1}-m_{1}\right)>k_{2}$, then (1) is true. Now $(s / \alpha)\left(k_{1}-m_{1}\right)>\mathrm{k}_{2}$ is equivalent with $k_{1}>(\alpha / s) k_{2}+m_{1}$. It is obvious that there are arbitrarily large $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_{1}>(\alpha / s) k_{2}+m_{1}$ and $k_{1} / k_{2}$ is arbitrarily near to $\alpha / s$.

The element $w_{1}$ can be written in the form $w_{1}=\beta_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{n} v_{n}$ for some $\beta_{i} \in Q, i=1, \ldots, n$. Since $\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right)=\varnothing$, there is at least one $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\beta_{j}<0$. Define:

$$
\beta=\max \left\{\left|\beta_{j}\right| \mid \beta_{j}<0, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $w_{0}+m_{2} w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right)$. Consider the statement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+k_{1} w_{1}+k_{2} w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right), \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (3) is equivalent with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+m_{2} w_{2}+k_{1} w_{1}+\left(k_{2}-m_{2}\right) w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{1}\right), \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true if $k_{1}<(s / \beta) k_{2}-(s / \beta) m_{2}$.
Let $x_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be words such that $\Psi\left(x_{i}\right)=w_{i}, i=0,1,2$. It should be clear that the language $x_{0}^{-1} L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ is a commutative SLIPlanguage in $\mathscr{T}(L)$. Let $h:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*} \rightarrow\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be a morphism defined by $h\left(a_{i}\right)=x_{i}, i=1,2$. Then $L_{1}=h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right) \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*}$ is a commutative SLIP-language by the results in [7]. Obviously $L_{1} \in \mathscr{T}(L)$. By the results of [4] and [9] it suffices to show that $L_{1}$ is nonregular.

Assume that $x \in L_{1}$. Then $x \in c\left(a_{1}^{p} a_{2}^{q}\right) \subseteq h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $h\left(a_{1}^{p} a_{2}^{q}\right) \in x_{0}^{-1} L$ which implies that $x_{0} h\left(a_{1}^{p} a_{2}^{q}\right)=x_{0} x_{1}^{p} x_{2}^{q} \in L$. Now:

$$
\Psi\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{p} x_{2}^{q}\right)=w_{0}+p w_{1}+q w_{2} \in \Psi(L) .
$$

Since $\quad\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup \ldots \cup \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{m}\right)\right) \cap T=\varnothing$, we must have $p \geqq(s / \beta) q-(s / \beta) m_{2}$.

On the other hand we can find arbitrarily large $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}$ is arbitrarily near to $\alpha / s$ and

$$
w_{3}=w_{0}+p^{\prime} w_{1}+q^{\prime} w_{2} \in \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{1}\right) .
$$

Since $w_{3} \in U, w_{3} \in \Psi(L)$. Obviously $c\left(x_{1}^{p^{\prime}} x_{2}^{q^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq x_{0}^{-1} L$ and thus:

$$
c\left(a_{1}^{p^{\prime}} a_{2}^{q^{\prime}}\right) \cong L_{1}=h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right) .
$$

Now, if $L_{1}$ were regular, then we could find (by the pumping properties of regular languages), $\quad r_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j=1,2,3,4, \quad r_{2}, \quad r_{3} \neq 0, \quad$ such that $a_{1}^{r_{1}}\left(a_{1}^{r_{2}}\right)^{*}\left(a_{2}^{r_{3}}\right)^{*} a_{2}^{r_{4}} \subseteq L_{1}$. This contradicts the fact that $p \geqq(s / \beta) q-(s / \beta) m_{2}$ for each $a_{1}^{p} a_{2}^{q} \in L_{1}$.

A semilinear set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is unlimited if for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in S$ such that $m_{j}>m, j=1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 6: Assume $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ is a commutative SLIP-language containing $\varepsilon$ such that the rank of $S=\Psi(L)$ is $s, s<n$, and $S$ is unlimited. Then $D_{1}^{*} \in \mathscr{T}(L)$.

Proof: Assume $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ are proper linear sets such that $S=\bigcup_{i=1} S_{i}$ and:

$$
S_{i}=\left\{u_{i 0}+k_{1} u_{i 1}+\ldots+k_{r_{i}} u_{i r_{i}} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\}
$$

$u_{i_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, j=0,1, \ldots, r_{i}$, with the vectors $u_{i 1}, \ldots, u_{i r_{i}}$ linearly independent, $r_{i} \leqq s, i=1, \ldots, m$. Since $S$ is unlimited, there exists $q \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}^{n}$. Choose $q$ in such $a$ way that $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$ is not a proper subset of $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{j}\right)$ for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let $K$ be the set of all $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that either:
(i) $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)$ is not a subset of $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$; or
(ii) $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right) \cong \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$ and $u_{k 0} \notin u_{q 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$.

Now there must be $w_{0} \in S_{q}$ such that $w_{0} \notin u_{k 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)$ for any $k \in K$. For $S_{k}$ satisfying (ii) this is certainly true since $\left(u_{q 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)\right) \cap\left(u_{k 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)\right)=\varnothing$. Let $K^{\prime} \subseteq K$ be the set of all $k$ such that $S_{k}$ satisfies (i). Assume for each $w_{0} \in S_{q}, \quad w_{0} \in u_{k 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)$ for some $k \in K^{\prime}$. Then $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in K^{\prime}} \mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)$ and $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)=\bigcup_{k \in K^{\prime}}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right) \cap \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)\right)$. The elementary results of linear algebra then imply that there exists $k^{\prime} \in K^{\prime}$ such that:

$$
\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)=\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cap \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{A}\left(S_{k^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Then $\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right) \nsubseteq \mathscr{A}\left(S_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ contradicting the choice of $q$.
Let $k \in K$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$be fixed. Consider the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+\alpha_{1} t e_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} t e_{n}=u_{k 0}+\beta_{1} u_{k 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{k}} u_{k r_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, r_{k}$. The equation (1) is equivalent with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k 0}-w_{0}+\beta_{1} u_{k 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{k}} u_{k r_{k}}=\left(\alpha_{1} t, \ldots, \alpha_{n} t\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{0} \notin u_{k 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{k}\right)$, the elements $u_{k 0}-w_{0}, u_{k 1}, \ldots, u_{k r_{k}}$ are linearly independent. Denote $r=r_{k}$ and:

$$
\rho_{0}=\left(\rho_{01}, \ldots, \rho_{0 n}\right)=u_{k 0}-w_{0}, \quad \rho_{j}=\left(\rho_{j 1}, \ldots, \rho_{j n}\right)=u_{k j}, j=1, \ldots, r
$$

Then (2) is equivalent with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}+\beta_{1} \rho_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{r} \rho_{r}=\left(\alpha_{1} t, \ldots, \alpha_{n} t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent with:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\rho_{01}+\beta_{1} \rho_{11}+\ldots+\beta_{r} \rho_{r 1}=\alpha_{1} t  \tag{4}\\
\ldots, \\
\rho_{0 n}+\beta_{1} \rho_{1 n}+\ldots+\beta_{r} \rho_{r n}=\alpha_{n} t
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, r$. Since the elements $\rho_{0}, \ldots, \rho_{r}$ are linearly independent, there are exactly $r+1$ linearly independent elements in ( $\left.\rho_{01}, \ldots, \rho_{r 1}\right), \ldots,\left(\rho_{0 n}, \ldots, \rho_{r n}\right)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the elements:

$$
\xi_{1}=\left(\rho_{01}, \ldots, \rho_{r 1}\right), \ldots, \xi_{r+1}=\left(\rho_{0, r+1}, \ldots, \rho_{r, r+1}\right)
$$

are such for which $d_{0}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\xi_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \xi_{r+1}^{T}\right)\right|>0$ is the greatest ( $x^{T}$ meaning the vector transpose of $x$ ). Then (4) implies a new system of equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\rho_{01}+\beta_{1} \rho_{11}+\ldots+\beta_{r} \rho_{r 1}=\alpha_{1} t  \tag{5}\\
\ldots \\
\rho_{0, r+1}+\beta_{1} \rho_{1, r+1}+\ldots+\beta_{r} \rho_{r, r+1}=\alpha_{r+1} t
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now (5) implies that:
$1=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cccc}\alpha_{1} t & \rho_{11} & \ldots & \rho_{r 1} \\ \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots . & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \\ \alpha_{r+1} t & \rho_{1, r+1} & \ldots & \rho_{r, r+1}\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cccc}\rho_{01} & \rho_{11} & \ldots & \rho_{r 1} \\ \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \ldots \\ \rho_{0, r+1} & \rho_{1, r+1} & \ldots & \rho_{r, r+1}\end{array}\right|}$
If we choose $t>d_{0}$, we see that (1) is not true for any $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \ldots, n$, $j=1, \ldots, r_{k}$. Thus there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $t \geqq t_{0}$, then for any $k \in K$, the inequality:

$$
w_{0}+\alpha_{1} t e_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} t e_{n} \neq u_{k 0}+\beta_{1} u_{k 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{k}} u_{k r_{k}}
$$

Remember that $r_{q} \leqq s<n$ and $u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}^{n}$. Since $r_{q}<n$, there must be $d \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $e_{d} \notin \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$. Let $x_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}, i=0,1,2$, be such that $\Psi\left(x_{0}\right)=w_{0}, \Psi\left(x_{1}\right)=t_{0}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(x_{2}\right)=t_{0} e_{d}$. Of course $x_{2}=a_{d}^{t_{0}}$. Let $h:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*} \rightarrow\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be the morphism defined by $h\left(a_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $h\left(a_{2}\right)=x_{2}$. Obviously $x_{0}^{-1} L$ is a commutative language in $\mathscr{T}(L)$. We finish the proof by showing that $D_{1}^{*}=h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$.

Assume $x \in D_{1}^{*}$. Then $x \in c\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i}\right)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ is commutative, it suffices to show that $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$. Now $x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{i} \in x_{0}^{-1} L$ since:

$$
\Psi\left(x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{i}\right)=i t_{0}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)+i t_{0} e_{d}=i t_{0} u_{q 1}+\ldots+i t_{0} u_{q r_{q}} \in \Psi\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)
$$

On the other hand, the word $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{i}\right)$.
Let $x \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$. Then $x \in c\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j}\right) \subseteq h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $D_{1}^{*}$ is commutative, it suffices to show that $i=j$. Now $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ which implies that $h\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j}\right) \in x_{0}^{-1} L$ and $x_{0} h\left(a^{i} a_{2}^{j}\right)=x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j} \in L$. Thus:
$\Psi\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j}\right)=w_{0}+i t_{0}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)+j t_{0} e_{d}=w_{0}+t_{0} \alpha_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+t_{0} \alpha_{n} e_{n}$, for some $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n$. By the choice of $t_{0}, \Psi\left(x_{0} x^{i} x_{2}^{j}\right)$ cannot be in $S_{k}$ for any $k \in K$. For each $l \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $l \notin K$, $u_{l 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{l}\right) \cong u_{q 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$. This implies that:

$$
w_{0}+i t_{0}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}\right)+j t_{0} e_{d}=u_{q 0}+\beta_{1} u_{q 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{q}} u_{q r_{q}}
$$

for some $\beta_{j^{\prime}} \in Q, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, r_{q}$. Since $w_{0} \in u_{q 0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$, we have:

$$
i t_{0}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}\right)-j t_{0} e_{d} \in \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right) .
$$

Then $i=j$ since otherwise $e_{d} \in \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$, which is a contradiction. The proof is now complete.

Lemma 7: Let $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be a homogenous language containing $\varepsilon$. Assume $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{p} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ are proper linear sets and $U \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is a fundamental semilinear set such that $\Psi(L)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} T_{i}$ and $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \cap U=\Psi(L)$. If the rank of the set $\left.S=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right)\right) \cap U$ is smaller than $n$, and $S$ is unlimited, then $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\mathscr{T}(L)$.

Proof: Assume $\operatorname{rank}(S)=s, s<n$. The beginning of the proof is an exact copy of the proof for Lemma 6 . Assume $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ are proper linear

[^1]$\begin{aligned} \text { sets such that } S & =\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i} \text { and for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}: \\$$$
S_{i}
$$$& =\left\{u_{i 0}+k_{1} u_{i 1}+\ldots+k_{r_{i}} u_{i r_{i}} \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r_{i}\right\},\end{aligned}$

where $u_{i j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, j=0,1, \ldots, r_{i}$, and the elements $u_{i 1}, \ldots, u_{i r_{i}}$ are linearly independent. Let $q \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, K \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $w_{0} \in S_{q}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 6. By an analogous reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6 we can find $t_{0}$ with the following property. If $t \geqq t_{0}$, then for each $k \in K$, the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}+\alpha_{1} t e_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} t e_{n} \neq u_{k 0}+\beta_{1} u_{k 1}+\ldots+\beta_{r_{k}} u_{k r_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, r_{k}$. Since $U$ is fundamental, there exists $U_{1} \subseteq U$ such that $w_{0}$ is in $U_{1}$ and:

$$
U_{1}=\left\{v_{0}+k_{1}\left(m_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)+\ldots+k_{n}\left(\dot{0}, \ldots, 0, m_{n}\right) \mid k_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

for some $v_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, m_{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, j=1, \ldots, n$. Let $t^{\prime}=t_{0} m_{1} \ldots m_{n}$.
Now $r_{q} \leqq s<n$ and $u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}^{n}$. Since $r_{q}<n$, there must be $d \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $e_{d} \notin \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$. Let $x_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}, i=0,1,2$, be such that $\Psi\left(x_{0}\right)=w_{0}, \Psi\left(x_{1}\right)=t^{\prime}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(x_{2}\right)=t^{\prime} e_{d}$. Obviously $x_{2}=a_{d}^{t^{\prime}}$. Let $h:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}^{*} \rightarrow\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ be the morphism defined by $h\left(a_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $h\left(a_{2}\right)=x_{2}$. Clearly $x_{0}^{-1} L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$ is a commutative language in $\mathscr{T}(L)$. We show that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}=h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$.

Assume $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $h\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i}\right) \in x_{0}^{-1} L$ which implies that $x_{0} h\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{i}\right)=x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{i} \in L$. This means that:

$$
\Psi\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{i}\right)=w_{0}+i t^{\prime}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)+i t^{\prime} e_{d}=w_{0}+i t^{\prime}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}\right)
$$

is in $\Psi(L)$, a contradiction, since the above element is clearly in $S_{q} \subseteq \overline{\Psi(L)}$. Since $h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$ is commutative, we may deduce that $h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right) \subseteq \bar{D}_{1}^{*}$.

Let $x \in \bar{D}_{1}^{*}$. Then $x \in c\left(a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j}\right)$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq j$. To prove that $x \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$, it suffices to show that $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$. Consider the element:

$$
w_{1}=w_{0}+i t^{\prime}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}-e_{d}\right)+j t^{\prime} e_{d} .
$$

Let $w_{2}=w_{0}+i t^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{q 1}+\ldots+u_{q r_{q}}\right)$. Now $w_{1} \notin w_{0}+\mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$, since otherwise $w_{1}-w_{2}=(i-j) e_{d} \in \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$ and (since $\left.i \neq j\right) e_{d} \in \mathscr{A}\left(S_{q}\right)$, a contradiction. By the
choice of $t^{\prime}, w_{1} \notin S_{k}$ for any $k \in K$. This means that:

$$
w_{1} \notin S=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right) \cap U .
$$

Since $w_{1} \in U_{1} \subseteq U, \quad w_{1}$ must be in $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{conv}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \cap U=\Psi(L)$. Now $\Psi\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j}\right)=w_{1}$. Since $L$ is commutative, the word $x_{0} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j} \in L$, so $x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j} \in x_{0}^{-1} L$. Obviously $a_{1}^{i} a_{2}^{j} \in h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$. We deduce that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is a subset of $h^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} L\right)$.

We are now able to give a proof to Conjecture 1.
Theorem 1: Let $L \in c(\mathscr{R})$ be nonregular. Then $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$.
Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that $L \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}^{*}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We first note that $k \geqq 2$ since each SLIP-language over one symbol is regular. The proof is by induction on $k$.

Using the results of Berstel and Boasson ([2], [4]) Latteux proves in [9] that the theorem is true when $k=2$.

Assume that the theorem is true for each $k=2,3, \ldots, n-1, n>2$.
Consider the case $k=n$. By Lemma 3 we may assume that $L$ is homogenous. Since $\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)=\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L \cup\{\varepsilon\})$, we may also assume that $L$ contains $\varepsilon$. Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ be linear sets and $U$ a fundamental semilinear set such that:

$$
\Psi(L)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \cap U=\Psi(L) .
$$

Let $T=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)}$. If If $\operatorname{rank}(\Psi(L))=\operatorname{rank}(T)=n$, then $\bar{D}_{1}^{*} \in \hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$ by

## Lemma 5.

Assume first that $\operatorname{rank}(\Psi(L))=s, s<n$. If $\Psi(L)$ is unlimited, then, by Lemma $6, D_{1}^{*} \in \mathscr{\mathscr { T }}(L)$ which implies that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*} \in \mathscr{\mathscr { T }}(L)$. So assume that $\Psi(L)$ is not unlimited. Then for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists $j_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that:

$$
S_{i} \subseteq w_{i}+\mathbb{N}^{j_{i}-1} \times\{0\} \times \mathbb{N}^{n-j_{i}}
$$

for some $w_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Let $x_{1} \in \Psi^{-1}\left(w_{i}\right)$ and $L_{i}=\Psi^{-1}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then:

$$
L=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{i} \subseteq c\left(x_{i} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{i}-1}^{*} a_{j_{i}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

Denote $R_{i}=c\left(x_{i} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{i}-1}^{*} a_{j_{i}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right), i=1, \ldots, m$. Obviously, for each $i$, $R_{i}$ is regular. Since $L \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1} R_{i}$ and $L$ is nonregular, there must be $q \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $L \cap R_{q}$ is nonregular. Now:

$$
L \cap R_{q} \subseteq c\left(x_{q} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{q}-1}^{*} a_{j_{q}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

The language $L \cap R_{q}$ above is obviously commutative. Then $L^{\prime}=$ $x_{q}^{-1}\left(L \cap R_{q}\right)$ is a nonregular commutative SLIP-language in $\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$. On the other hand $L^{\prime} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j_{q}-1}, a_{j_{q}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$. By induction, $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\hat{\mathscr{T}}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)$.

Let now $\operatorname{rank}(T)=s^{\prime}, s^{\prime}<n$. Let $L_{i}$ be as above and $R=\Psi^{-1}(U)$. Obviously $R \in c(\mathscr{R})$ is regular. Since:

$$
\Psi(L)=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)\right) \cap U
$$

and $L$ is commutative, we have $L=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)\right) \cap R$. Since $L$ is nonregular, the language $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)}\right) \cap R$ is nonregular. Now:

$$
\Psi\left(\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)}\right) \cap R\right)=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{i}\right)}\right) \cap U=T \cap U
$$

Since $T \cap U \subseteq T$, $\operatorname{rank}(T \cap U) \leqq s^{\prime}$. If $T \cap U$ is unlimited, the theorem is true by Lemma 7. Assume $T \cap U$ is not unlimited. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be proper linear sets such that $T \cap U=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} T_{i}$. Then for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ there exists $j_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that:

$$
T_{i} \subseteq v_{i}+\mathbb{N}^{j_{i}-1} \times\{0\} \times \mathbb{N}^{n-j_{i}}
$$

for some $v_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. Let $y_{i} \in \Psi^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Then:

$$
\Psi^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right) \subseteq c\left(y_{i} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{i}-1}^{*} a_{j_{i}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

Denote $R_{i}^{\prime}=c\left(y_{i} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{i}-1}^{*} a_{j_{i}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right)$. Now:

$$
\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)}\right) \cap R \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Since $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)}\right) \cap R$ is nonregular, there must be $t \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)}\right) \cap R \cap R_{t}$ is nonregular. This implies that the language $L \cap R_{t}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{conv}\left(L_{i}\right)\right) \cap R \cap R_{t}$ is nonregular (and commutative). Then:

$$
L \cap R_{t} \subseteq c\left(y_{t} a_{1}^{*} \ldots a_{j_{t}-1}^{*} a_{j_{t}+1}^{*} \ldots a_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that the language $L^{\prime \prime}=y^{-1}\left(L \cap R_{t}\right)$ is a nonregular commutative SLIP-language in $\mathscr{\mathscr { T }}(L)$ and $L^{\prime \prime} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j_{t}-1}, a_{j_{t}+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}^{*}$. By induction, $\bar{D}_{1}^{*} \in \hat{\mathscr{T}}\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \hat{\mathscr{T}}(L) . \square$

Corollary: Let $L \in c(\mathscr{R})$ be nonregular. Then $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is in $\mathscr{T}(L)$.
Proof: By the results of Latteux [9], $\hat{\mathscr{T}}(L)=\mathscr{T}(L \cup\{\varepsilon\})$. If $\varepsilon \in L$, then the corollary is clearly true. Assume $L$ does not contain $\varepsilon$. The fact that $\mathscr{T}\left(L^{\prime} \cup\{\varepsilon\}\right)=\left\{L^{\prime \prime}, L^{\prime \prime} \cup\{\varepsilon\} \mid L^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{T}\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ for each $\varepsilon$-free language $L^{\prime}$ then implies that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*} \in \mathscr{T}(L)$.

Note: Using the techniques of the previous corollary it is easy to see that the assumption that $L$ contains $\varepsilon$ in Lemma 5 and Lemma 7 can be removed.

The family $Q R$ of quasirational languages is the substitution closure of linear languages. The family $Q R$ is also called "derivation bounded languages" and "standard matching choice languages". Let $L \in Q R$ be commutative. Since $L$ is a context-free language, $L \in c(\mathscr{R})$. Latteux and Leguy prove in [11] that $\bar{D}_{1}^{*}$ is not in $Q R$. By the previous theorem, $L$ must be regular. We can thus state:

Theorem 2: Every commutative quasirational language is regular.
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