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## Numdam

# IMMERSED SURFACES WITH CONSTANT CURVATURE NEAR INFINITY 

David D. Bleecker

## 1. Introduction. The Theorem

In Tilla Klotz Milnor's articles [3], [4] and [5], the following conjecture of John Milnor is discussed. Throughout the present work, we assume $M$ is a connected, complete surface, $C^{4}$ immersed in Euclidean space ( $E^{3}$ ). Consider the following statements:
A. The Gaussian curvature $K$ of $M$ is locally constant outside a compact subset of $M$.
B. The sum of the squares of the principal curvatures of $M$ is bounded away from zero on $M$.
C. $M$ has no umbilic points.
D. $K$ changes sign on $M$ or $K \equiv 0$ on $M$.
E. $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=0$.

Conjecture (John Milnor): B and C imply D.

Theorem: A, B, and C imply E.

Note that E implies D. In fact, by Cohn-Vossen's Theorem ([1] and [2]), namely that $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M \leq 2 \pi X(M)$, D implies $X(M) \geq 0$ and $M$ is homeomorphic to a plane, cylinder or mobius strip if $M$ is noncompact. We need not concern ourselves with the case where $M$ is compact, since both the Conjecture and Theorem are well known in that case. We also have the following:

Corollary: If $X(M)<0$, then A and B imply $M$ has an umbilic point.

Proof: By Cohn-Vossen's Theorem $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M<0$. However, A, B and C imply $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=0$. Hence C is false.

Other corollaries are obtained analogously. We also see that the Theorem is a generalization of Hilbert's Theorem that $K \not \equiv c$ for $c<0$. Unfortunately, while the conclusion of the Theorem is stronger than that of Milnor's Conjecture, so is the hypothesis.

## 2. Lemmas

Because of hypothesis $C$, it is natural to consider the line fields obtained from the principal directions on $M$. A technical difficulty is encountered if these are not orientable (i.e., they are not generated by a global vector field). Hence we prove

Lemma 1: Given a line field $L$ on $M$, there is a double covering $p: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$, such that $\bar{L}$ defined by $p_{*}(\bar{L})=L$ is orientable.

Proof: Let $\bar{M}=\{X \in T M: X \in L$ and $\|X\|=1\}$. If $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ is the tangent bundle projection, then $\pi \mid \bar{M}: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ is a double covering. The evenly covered neighborhoods are just open sets over which $T M$ is trivial. Now $\bar{L}$ on $\bar{M}$ is generated by the vector field $\bar{X}$ defined by $(\pi \mid \bar{M})_{*}\left(\bar{X}_{X}\right)=X$.

First we may assume that $M$ is orientable, for if the Theorem is true for orientable $M$, then for a nonorientable $M$ with (orientable) Riemannian double cover $\tilde{M}, \int_{\tilde{M}} K \mathrm{~d} \tilde{M}=0$ implies $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=0$. So henceforth, $M$ is orientable, and we have well-defined principal curvature functions $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ relative to a choice of unit normal. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be the line fields given by the principal directions associated to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, respectively.

In Lemmas 2 through 9 we assume that $M$ is finitely connected. Then it is well known that $M$ is homeomorphic to $M_{0}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ where $M_{0}$ is a compact surface. Let $\xi: P M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}$ be the circle bundle obtained from the unit circle bundle of $M_{0}$ (relative to some Riemannian metric on $M_{0}$ ) by identifying each unit vector with its antipode. We can define the index of $L_{1}$ about $p_{i}$, denoted $L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)$ in a way analogous to defining indices of vector fields about singularities (or zeros). Thus we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)=2 X\left(M_{0}\right)$, the factor of 2 coming from the antipodal identification. Note that clearly $L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)=L_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)$. We will prove $L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right) \geq 2,1 \leq i \leq n$, using A and B , thus obtaining $2 n \leq$
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)=2 X\left(M_{0}\right)$ or $0 \leq X\left(M_{0}\right)-n=X(M)$ and then we need only consider $M$ which are homeomorphic to the plane if the cylinder.

Lemma 2: A, B and C imply $L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right) \geq 2$.

Proof: Since $i$ is fixed, drop the index $i$. Let $\beta$ be a simple, closed noncontractible piecewise smooth curve in the punctured disk $T$ about $p$ (the point at infinity) with non-smooth corners $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ (in cyclic order) so that between consecutive corners $\beta$ is an integral curve of $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$. We assume that $m$, the number of corners, is minimal subject to these conditions on $\beta$. Now $\beta$ bounds a punctured disk about $p$, say $D$. We call $v_{K}$ positive if the exterior angle of the polygon $D$ at $v_{K}$ is positive (i.e., $\pi / 2$ ) and otherwise $v_{K}$ is called negative. Let $P$ be the number of positive corners and $N$ the number of negative corners $(N=m-P)$. One can check that $L_{1}(p)=$ $2+(N-P) / 2$. Thus $L_{1}(p) \geq 2$ if $N \geq P$. Assume $P>N$. Now if $N=0$ then $P$ is even, since $L_{1}(p)$ is an integer. Thus $(P, N)=(2,0)$ is a possibility, but in all other cases $P+N \geq 4$. Let us handle the case $(P, N)=(0,2)$ later. Now $P+N \geq 4$ and $P>N$ implies that there is a string of corners, say $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{4}$ such that $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are positive. Thus, we have the situation in Figure 1.
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Cover the compact segment $v_{2} v_{3}$ with a finite number of coordinate rectangles whose coordinate curves are lines of curvature. For a sufficiently small $\epsilon$, the lines parallel to $v_{2} v_{3}$ and issuing from points on $v_{1} v_{2}$ within $\epsilon$ of $v_{2}$ run through all the rectangles and meet $v_{3} v_{4}$. We therefore obtain a coordinate rectangle with base $v_{2} v_{3}$, by taking $v_{2}$ to correspond to the origin and $v_{2} v_{3}$ to correspond to part of the positive $x$-axis in such a way that $v_{2} v_{3}$ is parameterized by the variable $x$ with unit speed and the subsegment of $v_{2} v_{1}$ of length $\epsilon$ starting at $v_{2}$
corresponding to part of the positive $y$-axis with $y$ parameterizing the subsegment with unit speed. (Here it should be noted that in general not all the coordinate curves are parameterized by arclength since this would imply $K \equiv 0$.) We call such a coordinate rectangle (with base $v_{2} v_{3}$ and left and right sides being subsegments of $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $v_{3} v_{4}$ respectively) a special coordinate system (scs). Let $R$ be the maximal scs, (i.e., $R$ is the union of all the scs's). We shall prove that $v_{1}$ or $v_{4}$ is a top corner of $R$. Thus $D-\bar{R}$ will be a region bounded by a curve $\beta^{\prime}$ of fewer sides than $\beta$, contradicting the minimality assumption on $\beta$.

Let $\ell\left(y_{0}\right)$ be the length of the coordinate curve of $R$ given by $y=y_{0}$. If we assume $\ell(y)$ is bounded (this will be proved in Lemma 3 ), then we can prove that either $v_{1}$ or $v_{4}$ is a top corner of $R$ : Let $b=\sup \{y:(0, y) \in R\}$. Let the sequence $\left(b_{i}\right)$ be chosen such that $b_{i} \geq 0, b_{i} \uparrow b$ and $\ell\left(b_{i}\right) \rightarrow \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ (recall we are assuming $\ell(y)$ is bounded). Let $(0, b)$ denote the point on $v_{1} v_{2}$ which is a distance $b$ from $v_{2}$, and identify the points of $R$ with their coordinates. Define a unit vector field on $M$ equal to $\partial / \partial x$ on $v_{2} v_{3}$ generating the line field which is tangent to $v_{2} v_{3}$ (we may have to lift the whole situation to $\bar{M}$ of Lemma 1). Now since $M$ is complete, this vector field defines a flow $\phi: \quad M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$. Now $\phi$ is continuous and since $\left(b_{i}, 0\right) \rightarrow(b, 0)$ and $\ell\left(b_{i}\right) \rightarrow \delta$, we have $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\ell(0), b_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\left(0, b_{i}\right), \quad \ell\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=$ $\phi\left(\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(0, b_{i}\right), \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \ell\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=\phi((0, b), \delta)$. Now $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\ell(0), b_{i}\right)$ is a point on $v_{3} v_{4}$ and $\phi((0, b), \delta)$ is the endpoint of the line of curvature of length $\delta$ issuing from ( $b, 0$ ) into $D$. By covering this curve by a finite number of small rectangles as before, we get an extension of $R$ (a contradiction) unless $(b, 0)=v_{2}$ or $\phi((0, b), \delta)=v_{4}$ (i.e., $v_{1}$ or $v_{4}$ are corners of $R$ ). In either case the curve $\gamma(t)=\phi((0, b), t) 0 \leq t \leq \delta$ bypasses $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ and introduces at most one new corner, contradicting the minimality of $\beta$. Thus assuming $\ell(y)$ is bounded, our original assumption that $(P, N) \neq(2,0)$ and $P>N$ is false. Before proving $\ell(y)$ is bounded, let us handle the case $(P, N)=(2,0)$. Here $L_{1}(p)$ is 3 . It is clear that for $L_{1}$ to be orientable on $T$ we must have $L_{1}(p)$ even. Thus, $L_{1}$ is not orientable on $T$. Let $\bar{T}$ be the two fold cover of $T$ as in Lemma 1. Then taking $v_{1}$ to be the beginning (and end) of the loop $\beta$, we can lift $\beta+\beta$ to a simple closed noncontractible curve $\bar{\beta}$ (easily verified). Now $\bar{\beta}$ has four positive vertices which by the preceding argument must be vertices of a coordinate rectangle bounded by $\bar{\beta}$ which is impossible since $\bar{\beta}$ is noncontractible. The next lemma completes the proof.

Lemma 3: The function $\ell(y)$ in the proof of Lemma 2 is bounded.

Proof: First note that $\alpha$ (the constant Gaussian curvature of $M$ in $T$ ) is not positive, since if $q \in D$ is a point of distance greater than $\pi \alpha^{-1 / 2}$ from $\beta$, then by a familiar result there is no length minimizing geodesic from $q$ to $\beta$, contradicting the completeness of $M$. Now in the coordinate rectangle $R$ the metric of $M$ is given by $E \mathrm{~d} x^{2}+G \mathrm{~d} y^{2}$. Let $K_{1}$ be the principal curvature for the $y=$ constant curves, etc. Then, the Codazzi-Mainardi equations of embedding and Gauss equation are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial K_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{1}{2 E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y}\left(K_{2}-K_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{2 G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\left(K_{1}-K_{2}\right) \\
& K_{1} K_{2}=K=\alpha \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then these equations yield the explicit expressions for $E$ and $G$ :

$$
E=C(x)\left(K_{1}^{2}-\alpha\right)^{-1} \quad G=D(y)\left(K_{2}^{2}-\alpha\right)^{-1}
$$

where $C(x)=\left(K_{1}^{2}(x, 0)-\alpha\right)$ and $D(y)=\left(K_{2}^{2}(0, y)-\alpha\right)$ are chosen in order that $E(x, 0)=G(0, y)=1$.

Let $C_{0}=\max \{C(x): 0 \leq x \leq \ell(0)\}$. We compute a bound for $\ell(y)$ as follows:

$$
\ell(y)=\int_{0}^{\ell(0)} \sqrt{E(x, y)} \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{0}^{\ell(0)} \sqrt{C_{0}}\left[K_{1}^{2}-\alpha\right]^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \ell(0)\left[C_{0} /-\alpha\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

If $\alpha=0$ and $K_{1} \neq 0$, then we have $E=C(x) K_{1}^{-2}$ and $\ell(y) \leq$ $\ell(0)\left[C_{0} / b\right]^{1 / 2}$ since $K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}=K_{1}^{2}>b>0$. If $\alpha=0$ and $K_{1}=0$, then $E \equiv 1$, and $\ell(y) \equiv \ell(0)$. In any case $\ell(y)$ is bounded. This concludes the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3.

By the remarks preceding the statement of Lemma 2, we now have that $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C imply $X(M) \geq 0$ and hence $M$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, or a cylinder.

Going back to the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3, one might wonder whether $\beta$ can have any positive corners at all. In fact we have

Lemma 4: The curve $\beta$ defined in the proof of Lemma 2 has no positive corners unless $N=P=1$.

Proof: We know from the proof of Lemma 2, that $N \geq P$ and no two positive corners occur consecutively. Thus if there is a positive corner, it is flanked by two negative corners or $N=P=1$. In the first case we have the following situation illustrated in Figure 2.

A.
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The integral curve $\omega$ (dashed) is the extension of the side of $\beta$ containing $v_{1}$ as shown. We prove that $\omega$ stays in $D$. If $\omega$ leaves $D, \bar{\omega}$ (the part of $\omega$ between $v_{1}$ and the next time it meets $\beta$ ) will divide $D$ into two subregions, one of which "contains" $p$ say $D^{\prime}$. Now $D$ ' has no more sides than $D$. In fact $D^{\prime}$ will have fewer sides than $D$ if the end of $\bar{\omega}$ does not lie on $v_{1} v_{2}$ (the end of $\bar{\omega}$ cannot lie on the remaining side of $\beta$ containing $v_{1}$, since then $\omega$ would have a self intersection whence $\omega$ would be a closed orbit and would have to circle $p$ and give a contradiction to $\beta$ minimality). Now the end of $\bar{\omega}$ cannot lie on $v_{1} v_{2}$, say at $q$, since $q v_{1}+\bar{\omega}$ would circle $p$ because integral curves of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ cannot intersect twice in a simply connected region (readily verified). Now $q v_{1}+\bar{\omega}$ has only two corners and $\beta$ has at least two corners by assumption. Thus $q v_{1}+\bar{\omega}$ is minimal and has two positive corners at $v_{1}$ and $q$ which is impossible. Thus $D^{\prime}$ has fewer sides than $D$ which is a contradiction and so $\omega$ stays in $D$. The same reasoning applies to $\alpha$ shown in Figure 2. Consider special coordinate systems with base $v_{1} v_{2}$ and sides being subsegments of $\alpha$ and $\omega$. Using the same reasoning as in Lemmas 2 and 3 and the fact that $\omega$ has infinite length, we get a maximal scs, $R$, with $v_{3}$ as an upper corner. However the top edge of $R$ is $\alpha$, so $\alpha$ intersects $\omega$ and $D-R$ is bounded by a curve with fewer sides than $\beta$.

Lemma 5: Let the punctured disk $T$ (in $M$ ) about $p$ (a point at infinity) have constant negative curvature $\alpha$. Then $T$ has finite area.

Proof: It is convenient to introduce coordinate systems with the lines $x=$ constant and $y=$ constant being asymptotic lines. These systems are the classical Tchebycheff nets. If the curvature is constant the metric in a suitable coordinate system of this type is $\mathrm{d} x^{2}+$ $2 \cos \rho(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{d} y^{2}$ where $\rho(x, y)$ is a function ranging between 0 and $\pi$, and is in fact the angle between the asymptotic directions. The Gaussian curvature $\alpha$ is given by $-\partial^{2} \rho / \partial x \partial y=\alpha \sin \rho$. Hence the total curvature of a rectangle satisfies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} \alpha \sin \rho \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b}-\partial^{2} \rho / \partial x \partial y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\rho(b, c)+\rho(a, d)-\rho(b, d)-\rho(a, c)>-2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

This says any rectangle in asymptotic coordinates has total curvature less than $2 \pi$ in magnitude. Taking limits, an asymptotic half-plane or quarter-plane has finite total curvature, and since $K=\alpha$ is constant, finite area. Now, we can find a simple closed noncontractible piecewise smooth curve $\beta$ in $T$ such that each smooth piece of $\beta$ is an asymptotic segment. Let us assume $\beta$ has a minimal number of corners. Again it is possible to prove that there do not exist consecutive positive corners as in the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3. In fact here $\ell(y)$ is constant. The only difficulty in making the proofs go through in this case is that the unit vector field generating the flow $\varphi$ on $M$ does not exist in general since the asymptotic lines are not global if $M$ has points of non-negative curvature. However, inside $T$ (or $\bar{T}$ of Lemma 1) there is no difficulty and we simply extend the vector field to the rest of $M$ and note that the integral curves of the vector field are asymptotic lines while they are in $T$, which is really all we need. Now we cover the open region $D$, bounded by $\beta$, by a finite number of closed asymptotic half or quarter planes in the following fashion: Each side of $\beta$ is flanked by two negative corners or a negative and a positive. In the former case we take as an element of our cover, the half-plane with the side contained in the boundary of the half-plane and extending into $D$. In the latter case, we take the quarter-plane with corner at the positive vertex and extending into $D$. Thus we have a finite set $U$ of plane sections-one for each side of $\beta$. We wish to show $U$ is a cover of $D$. Now $(\cup U) \cap D$ is closed since $U$ consists of a finite number of closed sets, and $(\cup U) \cap D$ is open since $(\cup U) \cap D=\left(\cup U^{\prime}\right) \cap D$, where $U^{\prime}$ consists of the plane sections in $U$ without their boundaries, since we note that each bounding ray or line of a plane section once it enters $D$ is contained in the interior of a flanking section. Thus, $(\cup U) \cap D$ is open and closed in $D$, and hence
$D$ connected implies $U U=D$. Since each element of $U$ has a finite area, $D$ has finite area and $T$ has finite area.

Corollary: If $M$ is finitely connected and has locally constant negative curvature outside a compact set, then $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=2 \pi X(M)$.

Proof: We have shown that $M$ must have finite area, and Huber [2] has shown that the Gauss-Bonnet formula holds in this case.

Thus we have the Theorem in the case where $M$ is homeomorphic to a cylinder with locally constant negative curvature outside a compact. We must prove the following Lemma 5, to handle the case of $M$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Lemma 6: If $M$ is homeomorphic to the plane and has constant negative curvature outside a compact set, then $M$ has an umbilic point.

Proof: There is only one point $p$ at infinity, and so the index of $L_{1}$ about $p$ is four. Thus $N-P=4$ by the formula before Lemma 2. Now the index of an asymptotic line field $A$ defined in $T$ about $p$ is also four, since $A$ is homotopic to $L_{1}$. Now Lemma 4 is also valid if the line fields on $T$ are the asymptotic line fields (the proof goes through easily). Hence $N=4, P=0$. Consider the compact region $R \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ bounded by a minimal, four-sided curve $\beta$ with sides being asymptotic line segments. By the previous corollary, we know $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=2 \pi$. Since $K$ is negative outside $R$, we then have $\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M>2 \pi$. Let us assume that $R$ is chosen large enough so that there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\beta$ in which the curvature is a negative constant. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be the asymptotic line fields in $U$ and let $\rho$, $(0<p<\pi)$, be the positive oriented angle from $A_{1}$ to $A_{2}$. Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{4}$ be the oriented sides of $\beta$ (i.e., $\beta=\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{4}$ where we parameterize $\beta$ so that $R$ lies to the left as we traverse $\beta$ ). Suppose that $A_{1}$ is tangent to $\beta_{1}$. If we select a Tchebycheff net in $U$ about some point of $\beta_{1}$ with $\beta_{1}$ being the $y=0$ curve parameterized with unit speed by $x$, the metric takes the form $\mathrm{d} x^{2}+2 \cos \rho \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{d} y^{2}$, and after some computation we find that the geodesic curvature of $\beta_{1}$ is $-\partial \rho(x, 0) / \partial x$ inside the net. Since this can be done at each point of $\beta_{1}$ we get that the geodesic curvature function along $\beta_{1}$ is $k_{g}(s)=$ $-\mathrm{d} \rho\left(\beta_{1}(s)\right) / \mathrm{d} s$ where " $s$ " denotes arclength. Thus the total geodesic curvature of $\beta_{1}$ is $\rho\left(x_{1}\right)-\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$ where $x_{1}$ is the initial point of $\beta_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is the end point of $\beta_{1}$. The geodesic curvature along $\beta_{2}$ is given by
$\mathrm{d} \rho\left(\beta_{2}(s)\right) / \mathrm{d} s$. Here, there is no minus sign since the positive oriented angle from $L_{2}$ to $L_{1}$ is $\pi-\rho$. Thus the total geodesic curvature of $\beta_{2}$ is $\rho\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$, and we find that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\beta_{i}} k_{g} \mathrm{~d} s=2\left(\rho\left(x_{1}\right)+\rho\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{2}\right)-\rho\left(x_{4}\right)\right)
$$

where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ are corners of $\beta$ as shown in Figure 3.
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The sum of the exterior angles of $\beta$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \epsilon_{i}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)+\rho\left(x_{4}\right)-\rho\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{1}\right)+2 \pi .
$$

Let us define $\delta=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)+\rho\left(x_{4}\right)-\rho\left(x_{3}\right)-\rho\left(x_{1}\right)$. Then:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{\beta_{i}} k_{g} \mathrm{~d} s=-2 \delta \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{4} \epsilon_{i}=\delta+2 \pi
$$

Hence by the Gauss-Bonnet formula $\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M-2 \delta+\delta+2 \pi=2 \pi$ and so $\delta=\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M>2 \pi$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \epsilon_{i}>4 \pi$, but $\epsilon_{i}<\pi$ so $\sum_{i=1}^{4} \epsilon_{i}<4 \pi$, a contradiction.

We now consider the case where $T$ about $p$ (at infinity) has curvature 0 .

Lemma 7: If $T$ about $p$ has curvature 0 , then B and C imply that the sides of $\beta$ are geodesics.

Proof: Suppose $K_{1}\left(K_{1}>0\right)$ is the non-zero principle curvature, and $L_{1}$ is the line field associated to $K_{1}$. Consider a coordinate system with $L_{1}$ tangent to $y=$ constant curves and $L_{2}$ tangent to $x=$ constant
curves. In general, the metric will be given by $E \mathrm{~d} x^{2}+G \mathrm{~d} y^{2}$ where $E=C(x) K_{1}^{-2}$ and $G \equiv D(y)$ (see proof of Lemma 3). Let us choose $C(x) \equiv D(x) \equiv 1$. The Gauss equation says (where $H=\sqrt{\overline{E G}}$ )

$$
0=K_{1} K_{2}=K=\frac{-1}{2 H}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \div H\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y} \div H\right)\right)
$$

or

$$
0=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial\left(K_{1}^{-2}\right)}{\partial y} \div K_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

or

$$
2 f(x)=\frac{\partial\left(K_{1}^{-2}\right)}{\partial y} \cdot K_{1}=\frac{\partial\left(K_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}}{\partial y} \cdot K_{1}=2 K_{1}^{-1} \frac{\partial\left(K_{1}^{-1}\right)}{\partial y} K_{1}=2 \frac{\partial\left(K_{1}^{-1}\right)}{\partial y} .
$$

Thus $K_{1}^{-1}=f(x) y+g(x)$ or $K_{1}=(f(x) y+g(x))^{-1}$ for some functions $f$ and $g$. Now consider a minimal curve $\beta$ in $T$, and an integral curve $\gamma$ of $L_{2}$ entering $D$ (the punctured disk bounded by $\beta$ ) from a point on a side of $\beta$ which is an integral curve of $L_{1}$. We prove $\gamma$ cannot leave $D$. If $\beta$ is a closed orbit of $L_{1}$, then if $\gamma$ leaves $D, \gamma$ divides $D$ into two components, one of which is simply connected, but integral curves of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ cannot intersect twice in a simply connected set. Thus $\gamma$ cannot leave $D$ in this case. Since $L_{1}(p)$ is an integer, if $\beta$ is not a closed orbit, $\beta$ must have at least two sides. Now if $\gamma$ leaves $D$ through the side where it $(\gamma)$ started, then either $\gamma$ plus the asymptotic segment between the endpoints of $\gamma$ forms a minimal $\beta$ with two positive corners (a contradiction) or we have two integral curves intersecting twice in a simply connected set (a contradiction). Now $\gamma$ cannot leave through any side of $\beta$ adjacent to the side it enters since these are also integral curves of $L_{2}$. Thus $\gamma$ bypasses at least two corners of $\beta$ and hence $\gamma$ divides $D$ into $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$, both having boundaries with no more sides than $D$. One of these, say $D^{\prime}$, "contains" $p$, and has a positive corner at the initial point of $\gamma$. Thus $D^{\prime}$ has only two corners by Lemma 4 , and so $\beta$ has two sides, but we have shown that $\gamma$ cannot leave through either of these. So we have in general that $\gamma$ cannot leave $D$.

From the relation $K_{1}=(f(x) y+g(x))^{-1}$ we get that the limit of $K_{1}$ along $\gamma$ (parameterized by $y$ ) is 0 if $f(x) \neq 0$. However, $K_{1}>\sqrt{b}>0$ by $B$, thus $f(x)=0$ on any side of $\beta$ which is an integral curve of $L_{1}$. Thus $K_{1}$ is constant on $\gamma$, and $\partial K_{1} / \partial y=0$ along a side with $L_{1}$ tangents. A simple calculation now reveals that the sides of $\beta$ are geodesics.

Lemma 8: If $M$ has zero curvature outside a compact set, then B and C imply $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=0$.

Proof: Let the punctured disks, with curvature zero, about the points at infinity by $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$. In each $T_{i}$ we choose a minimal curve $\beta_{i}$. Now the $\beta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ bound a compact region $R$ of $M$, outside of which the curvature is zero, thus $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M$. The GaussBonnet formula yields $\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M+\Sigma_{R}=2 \pi X(M)$ where $\Sigma_{R}$ is the sum of all the exterior angles of $R$. Note that the geodesic curvature term is zero by Lemma 7 . However, $\Sigma_{R}=\frac{1}{2} \pi(N-P)$ where $N$ is the total number of negative corners of the punctured $D_{i}$ bounded by the $\beta_{i}$, etc. Thus, as in the proof of Lemma 2,

$$
\Sigma_{R}=\frac{1}{2} \pi(N-P)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)-2 n\right) \pi=2\left(X\left(M_{0}\right)-n\right) \pi=2 \pi X(M)
$$

Hence, $0=\int_{R} K \mathrm{~d} M=\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M$.
Lemma 9: If $M$ is finitely connected, then $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C imply $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=0$.

Proof: We know from the remark after the proof of Lemma 3, that $M$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ or a cylinder. Now $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has only one point at infinity, and so $M$ has constant non-positive outside a compact set in this case, and the Corollary to Lemma 5, Lemma 6 and Lemma 8 imply Lemma 9 for $M \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$, or $M$ homeomorphic to a cylinder with negative or zero curvature outside a compact set, but not both.

Remaining is the case where $M$ is homeomorphic to a cylinder where the curvature is zero in $T_{1}$ and negative in $T_{2}$. We know $L\left(p_{1}\right) \geq 2$ and $L\left(p_{2}\right) \geq 2$, by Lemma 2 , and $L\left(p_{1}\right)+L\left(p_{2}\right)=4$. Hence $L\left(p_{1}\right)=L\left(p_{2}\right)=2$. Since $T_{2}$ has finite area by Lemma 5 , we can use the strong form of Huber's Theorem ([2]), that $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=2 \pi X(M)$ for $M$ of finite area, which says that we can find a sequence of simple non-contractible closed curves in $T_{2}$ tending to $p_{2}$ such that the total geodesic curvature and exterior angle contributions go to 0 . Now in $T_{1}$ of zero curvature, a minimal curve $\beta$ has geodesic sides by Lemma 7, and the sum of the exterior angle contribution is $\frac{1}{2} \pi(N-P)$ as in Lemma 8. However, $L\left(p_{1}\right)=2$ implies $N-P=0$. Thus combining this result for $T_{1}$ and Huber's result for $T_{2}$, we get $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} A=0$ for $M$ homeomorphic to a cylinder.

To conclude, we have the following to handle the case where $M$ is infinitely connected.

Lemma 10: A and C imply that $M$ is not infinitely connected.

Proof: We can exhaust $M$ by an increasing sequence of compact subsurfaces $S_{n}$ with a finite number, depending on $n$, of smooth closed bounding curves each bounding one component of $M-S_{n}$. Now $n$ may be chosen large enough so that $M-S_{n}$ has constant curvature in each component $T_{i}$. In each $T_{i}$ we define a minimal curve $\beta_{i}$ as before, only we require that $\beta_{i}$ be homotopic to the boundary of $T_{i}$. In this case we can still prove that $\beta_{i}$ cannot have consecutive positive corners (see proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3). Hence as in the proof of Lemma 5 we can show that if $T_{i}$ has constant negative curvature, then the total curvature of $T_{i}$ is finite. Now since each of the $T_{i}$ (finite in number) has either negative or zero constant curvature, we get that $M$ has finite total curvature. However, Huber [2] proves that for $M$ infinitely connected $\int_{M} K \mathrm{~d} M=-\infty$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

## 3. Conclusion

Now, although Milnor's conjecture in its full generality seems difficult enough to deal with, I would like to introduce the following stronger conjecture:

Conjecture: C and D imply that $M$ can be exhausted by an increasing sequence of compact subsurfaces with boundaries such that the total curvatures of the subsurfaces tend to 0 .
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