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## Numdam

# FIBERING HILBERT CUBE MANIFOLDS OVER ANRs 

T.A. Chapman and Steve Ferry

## 1. Introduction

By a $Q$-manifold we will mean a separable metric manifold modeled on the Hilbert cube $Q$. Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be a map of a $Q$-manifold to an ANR. In this paper we will be concerned with the following question: Does $f$ fiber, i.e. is $f$ homotopic to the projection map of a fiber bundle $M \rightarrow B$ with fiber a $Q$-manifold? In general it is not true that $f$ fibers. For example, a constant map $Q \rightarrow S^{1}$ does not fiber. In Theorem 1 below we treat the $[0,1)$-stable case in which $f$ always fibers, while Theorems 3-7 indicate some of the problems one encounters in the compact cases.

Theorem 1 is not terribly surprising. It is an extension of the well known result that $Q$ manifolds which have the form $M \times[0,1)$ are homeomorphic if and only if they are homotopy equivalent (see [3, Chapter V]).

Theorem 1: If $f: M \rightarrow B$ is a map of a $Q$-manifold to a locally compact ANR, then the composition $M \times[0,1) \xrightarrow{\text { proj }} M \xrightarrow{f} B$ fibers.

Of course, there is an analogue of this result for $l_{2}$-manifolds, where $l_{2}$ is separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

Theorem 2: If $f: M \rightarrow B$ is a map of an $l_{2}$-manifold to a topologically complete separable metric ANR, then fibers.

In the compact cases below we immediately encounter obstructions to repeating the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. By making enough connectivity assumptions so that these obstructions vanish, we obtain the following result. See $\S 2$ for a review of the undefined terms.

Theorem 3: Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be a map of a compact Q -manifold to a compact, connected ANR $B$ which is simple homotopy equivalent to a finite $n$-complex. If the homotopy fiber $\mathscr{F}(f)$ of $f$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite $n$-connected complex $K$, then there is an obstruction in the Whitehead group $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$ which vanishes iff $f$ fibers. Moreover, if $n=1$ we only need assume that $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K)=0$, and if $n=2$ we only need assume that $K$ is 1 -connected.

As a special case of Theorem 3 we obtain an infinite-dimensional version of Casson's fibering theorem [2].

Corollary: If $M \rightarrow S^{2}$ is a map of a compact $Q$-manifold to $S^{2}$ such that $\mathscr{F}(f)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite 1-connected complex, then $f$ fibers.

In Theorems 4-7 we specialize to the cases in which the base $B$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of 1 -spheres. The main tool is given in Theorem 4 and the main result is given in Theorem 5.

Theorem 4: Let $(\mathscr{E}, p, B)$ be a Hurewicz fibration such that $B$ is a compact ANR homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $n 1$-spheres and the fiber $F$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite connected complex. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is fiber homotopy equivalent to a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle over $B$ iff an obstruction lying in a quotient of the direct sum of $n$ copies of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F)$ vanishes. Given that this obstruction vanishes, there is a 1-1 correspondence between simple equivalence classes of such bundles and a quotient of a subgroup of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F)$.

For an explanation of the last sentence in the above statement we refer the reader to $\S 5$.

Theorem 5: Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be a map of a compact $Q$-manifold to a compact ANR which is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $n$ 1spheres and assume that the homotopy fiber $\mathscr{F}(f)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite connected complex. There are two obstructions to $f$ fibering. The first one lies in a quotient of the direct sum of $n$ copies of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1} \mathscr{F}(f)$. If this obstruction vanishes, the second one is defined and lies in a quotient of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$.

In Theorem 6 we treat the special case of Theorem 5 in which $B$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{1}$. Here the situation is considerably simplified and what we obtain is an infinite-dimensional version of Farrell's fibering theorem [10].

Theorem 6: Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be a map of a compact $Q$-manifold to a compact ANR which is homotopy equivalent to $S^{1}$ and for which the homotopy fiber $\mathscr{F}(f)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite connected complex. There are two obstructions to $f$ fibering. They are independently defined and both lie in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$.

We remark that one of the obstructions obtained here is just Farrell's obstruction for the finite-dimensional case, but the infinitedimensional nature of the problem requires another obstruction.

Finally, in Theorem 7 we classify equivalence classes of $Q$-manifold fiber bundle projections over nice ANRs.

Theorem 7: Let $f, f_{1}: M \rightarrow B$ be homotopic compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle projections, where $B$ is a compact ANR homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $n 1$-spheres, and let $F$ be the connected fiber of $f: M \rightarrow B$. There are two obstructions to finding a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ such that $f h=f_{1}$ and $h$ is homotopic to the identity. The first lies in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F)$, and if it vanishes the second is defined and lies in a quotient of the direct sum of $n$ copies of $\mathscr{P}(F)$.

Here $\mathscr{P}(F)$ is the group of all isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $F$ to itself which are homotopic to the identity. It is a quotient of $\pi_{0}$ of the concordance group of $F$, which has been algebraically investigated by [12]. See $\S 2$ for further details.

We now say a few words about the organization of the material in this paper. §2 contains some preliminary results and in §3 we prove Theorems 1 and 2 . In §§4-8 we prove Theorems $3-7$. In $\S 9$ we prove a result (Theorem 8) which calculates the kernel of a certain map of Whitehead groups. This generalizes a result of Farrell [9]. Theorem 8 may be paraphrased as follows. Let $(\mathscr{E}, p, B)$ be a Hurewicz fibration, where $B$ is a finite wedge of 1 -spheres and the fiber $F$ has the homotopy type of a finite complex. If $i$ is the inclusion map $i: F \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}$, then Theorem 8 computes the kernel of

$$
i_{*}: \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(\mathscr{E}) .
$$

The constructions in $\S 9$ are made more geometric by replacing $\mathscr{E}$ with a finite "wedge" of mapping tori.

## 2. Preliminaries

If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a map and $B_{1} \subset B$, we use $E \mid B_{1}$ to denote $p^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)$ and we let $E_{b}=p^{-1}(b)$, for each $b \in B$. If $p^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is another map,
then $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is said to be fiber preserving (f.p.) provided that $f\left(E_{b}\right)=E_{b}^{\prime}$, for each $b \in B$. The restriction of $f$ to $E_{b}$ is denoted by $f_{b}: E_{b} \rightarrow E_{b}^{\prime}$. A f.p. map $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is said to be a fiber homotopy equivalence (f.h.e.) if there exists a $f . p$. map $g: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ such that $f g$ and $g f$ are f.p. homotopic to their respective identities. We will abbreviate ordinary homotopy equivalence by h.e.

If $f: E \rightarrow B$ is any map, where $B$ is path connected, then we define

$$
\mathscr{E}(f)=\left\{(e, \omega) \in E \times B^{I} \mid f(e)=\omega(0)\right\}
$$

( $B^{I}$ is the space of paths in $B$.) Define $p: \mathscr{E}(f) \rightarrow B$ by $p(e, \omega)=\omega(1)$. $p: \mathscr{E}(f) \rightarrow B$ is the mapping path fibration of $f: E \rightarrow B$. There is a h.e. $g: E \rightarrow \mathscr{E}(f)$ such that $p g \simeq f$. For any $b_{0} \in B$, the fiber of $\mathscr{E}(f)$ over $b_{0}$ is

$$
\mathscr{F}(f)=p^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)=\left\{(e, \omega) \mid f(e)=\omega(0), \omega(1)=b_{0}\right\} .
$$

$\mathscr{F}(f)$ is called the homotopy fiber of $f: E \rightarrow B$.
The following result will be used several times in the sequel. For a proof see [8] for the case in which $B$ is a countable complex and see [14] for the general case.

Theorem 2.1: Let $p: E \rightarrow B, p^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be Hurewicz fibrations, where $B$ is a connected ANR, and let $h: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a f.p. map such that $h_{b_{0}}: E_{b_{0}} \rightarrow E_{b_{0}}^{\prime}$ is a h.e., for some $b_{0} \in B$. Then $h$ is a f.h.e.

The above result gives us the following useful theorem.

Theorem 2.2: Let $p: E \rightarrow B, p^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be Hurewicz fibrations, where $E, B$ and all the fibers have the homotopy types of countable complexes. If $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a h.e. such that $p^{\prime} f \simeq p$, then $f$ is homotopic to a f.h.e.

Proof: Assume that $B$ is connected and choose $b_{0} \in B, e_{0} \in E_{b_{0}}$. The condition $p^{\prime} f \simeq p$ gives us a homotopy $H: E \times I \rightarrow B$ such that $H_{0}=p$ and $H_{1}=p^{\prime} f$. Lifting $H$ we get a homotopy $\tilde{H}: E \times I \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ for which $\tilde{H}_{1}=f$. Then $g=\tilde{H}_{0}: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is homotopic to $f$ and $g$ is $f$.p. The homotopy exact sequences of the two fibrations give us a commutative diagram,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}\left(E, e_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}\left(B, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(E_{b_{0}}, e_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(E, e_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(B, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
\downarrow g_{*} & \downarrow l d & \downarrow g_{b_{0}} & \downarrow g_{*} \\
\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}\left(E^{\prime}, e_{0}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}\left(B, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(E_{b_{0}}^{\prime}, e_{0}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(E^{\prime}, e_{0}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(B, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{array}
$$

Here $e_{0}^{\prime}=g\left(e_{0}\right)$ and by the five lemma $\left(g \mid E_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}$ is a h.e. Then we apply Theorem 2.1.

In the sequel we will need a considerable amount of $Q$-manifold machinery. Our basic reference for this is [3]. It would be time consuming to give a complete description of the material from [3] which we will need, but here is a list of some of the highlights.

1. $Z$-sets and $Z$-set unknotting ([3, Theorem 19.4]).
2. The classification theorem for simple equivalences in terms of homeomorphisms on $Q$-manifolds ([3, Theorem 38.1]).
3. The triangulation theorem for $Q$-manifolds ([3, Theorem 36.2]).
4. The ANR theorem, which says that every locally compact ANR times $Q$ is a $Q$-manifold ([3, Theorem 44.1]).
It will be convenient to know how to change bases in fibering problems.

Theorem 2.3: Consider $f: M \rightarrow B$, where $M$ is a compact $Q$-manifold, and $B$ is a compact ANR, and let $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be a simple equivalence of $B$ to another compact ANR. Then fibers iff gf fibers.

Proof: Since $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is a simple equivalence we have a homeomorphism $\beta: B \times Q \rightarrow B^{\prime} \times Q$ which is homotopic to $g \times l d$. Choose a homeomorphism $\alpha: M \times Q \rightarrow M$ homotopic to the projection map. Assuming that $f$ fibers we have a fiber bundle projection map $p: M \rightarrow B$. It is easy to check that the composition

$$
M \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} M \times Q \xrightarrow{p \times l d} B \times Q \xrightarrow{\beta} B^{\prime} \times Q \xrightarrow{\text { pros }} B^{\prime}
$$

is a fiber bundle projection homotopic to $g f$.

In a similar fashion we can establish the following [0, 1)-stable result.

Theorem 2.4: Consider $f: M \rightarrow B$, where $M$ is a $Q$-manifold and $B$ is a locally compact ANR, and let $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be a h.e. of $B$ to another locally compact ANR. Then $M \times[0,1) \xrightarrow{\text { pros }} M \xrightarrow{f} B$ fibers iff $M \times[0,1) \xrightarrow{\text { prol }} M \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} B^{\prime}$ fibers.

Here is a mild generalization of Anderson's result [1] to fiber bundles over ANRs. The result is also true for ANR Hurewicz fibrations over ANRs.

Theorem 2.5: Let $p_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow B$ and $p_{2}: E_{2} \rightarrow B$ be compact Q-mani-
fold fiber bundles such that $B$ is a compact connected ANR and let $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ be a f.h.e. If $b_{0} \in B$, then $\tau(f)=i_{*} \chi(B) \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$, where $\chi(B)$ is the Euler characteristic of $B$ and $i$ is the inclusion $\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{2}$, and $\tau$ denotes Whitehead torsion.

Proof: For the moment assume that $B$ is a finite complex. Choose any other basepoint $b_{1} \in B$. We will first prove that $j_{*} \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}}\right)=$ $i_{*} \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$, where $j:\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{1}} \hookrightarrow E_{2}$. Choose a path $\omega: I \rightarrow B$ from $b_{0}$ to $b_{1}$. Over $\omega(I)$ we have trivial bundles. This induces homeomorphisms $\alpha:\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}}$ and $\beta:\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{1}}$ so that $\alpha$ is homotopic to $\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{1}$ and $\beta$ is homotopic to $\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{2}$. Thus we have a homotopy commutative diagram,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \xrightarrow{\alpha}\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}} \\
f \mid \downarrow \\
\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \downarrow f \mid \\
\hline
\end{array} E_{2}\right)_{b_{1}} .
$$

Since $\tau(\alpha)=0$ and $\tau(\beta)=0$ we have $\tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}}\right)=\beta_{*} \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$. Since $j \beta \simeq i$ we get $j_{*} \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}}\right)=i_{*} \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$. Moreover, if $\Delta$ is any simplex in $B$ we can also prove that $\tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$ and $\tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1} \mid \Delta\right)\right)$ have the same image in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{2}\right)$. This follows because if $b_{1} \in \Delta$, then we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{1}} \hookrightarrow E_{1} \mid \Delta \\
f|\downarrow \quad \downarrow f| \\
\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{1}} \hookrightarrow E_{2} \mid \Delta,
\end{gathered}
$$

where the inclusions are simple equivalences.
We now begin the proof. Let $\operatorname{dim} B=n$ and let $B^{\prime}$ be the $(n-1)$ skeleton of $B$, where $b_{0} \in B^{\prime}$. Then we get restricted fiber bundles

$$
p_{1}^{\prime}: E_{1}\left|B^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}, \quad p_{2}^{\prime}: E_{2}\right| B^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime},
$$

and a f.h.e. $f^{\prime}=f\left|\left(E_{1} \mid B^{\prime}\right): E_{1}\right| B^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{2} \mid B^{\prime}$. We inductively assume that $\tau\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}\right)_{*} \chi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$, where $i^{\prime}:\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{2} \mid B^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{\Delta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be the $n$-simplexes of $B$. Using the Sum Theorem [6, p. 76] we have

$$
\tau(f)=\chi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)+k \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi\left(\partial \Delta_{i}\right) \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

where we have omitted obvious inclusion-induced maps. Since

$$
\chi\left(B^{\prime}\right)+k-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi\left(\partial \Delta_{i}\right)=\chi(B)
$$

we are done for the case in which $B$ is a finite complex. For the remainder of the proof we show how to reduce the general case to this case.

Our first observation is that if $B$ is any compact $Q$-manifold, then the above proof goes through. We just replace $B$ by $K \times Q$, for some finite complex $K$, and argue inductively over the skeleta of $K$ times $Q$. More generally, if we multiply everything by $Q$ we obtain $Q$ manifold fiber bundles $E_{i} \times Q \rightarrow B \times Q$, where $B \times Q$ must be a $Q$ manifold. We get a f.h.e. $f \times l d: E_{1} \times Q \rightarrow E_{2} \times Q$. The above special case implies that

$$
\tau(f \times l d)=\left(i^{\prime}\right)_{*} \chi(B) \tau\left((f \times l d) \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \times Q\right)
$$

where $i^{\prime}$ is inclusion. Projecting back to $E_{2}$ we get $\tau(f)=$ $i_{*} \chi(B) \tau\left(f \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$ and we are done.

Corollary 2.6: With $p_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow B$ as above let $g: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ be a map such that $p_{2} g \simeq p_{1}$ and assume that $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)=0$. If $g$ is a h.e., then $g$ is a simple equivalence.

Proof: Using Theorem 2.2 we have $g \simeq g^{\prime}$, where $g^{\prime}$ is a f.h.e. Then

$$
\tau(g)=\tau\left(g^{\prime}\right)=i_{*} \chi(B) \tau\left(g^{\prime} \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)
$$

and $\tau\left(g^{\prime} \mid\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \cong \mathrm{~Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}=0$.
We will also need the notion of a mapping torus. For any compactum $X$ and map $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$, the mapping torus of $\varphi$ is the compactum

$$
T(\varphi)=X \times[0,1] / \sim
$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by $(x, 0) \sim(\varphi(x), 1)$. It is clear that there is a natural map $T(\varphi) \rightarrow S^{1}$ so that each pointinverse is naturally identified with $X$.

Finally we introduce the group $\mathscr{P}(M)$ needed in Theorem 7. For any compact $Q$-manifold $M$ let $\mathscr{P}(M)$ denote the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of $M$ which are homotopic to the identity. Here are some facts about $\mathscr{P}(M)$ which appear either explicitly or implicitly in [4].

1. If $M$ is 1 -connected, then $\mathscr{P}(M)$ is trivial.
2. $\mathscr{P}\left(S^{1} \times Q\right) \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2} \oplus \cdots$,
3. If $M$ is h.e. to $N$, then $\mathscr{P}(M) \cong \mathscr{P}(N)$.
4. $\mathscr{P}(M)$ is always abelian.

If $h: M \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism homotopic to the identity, then $h$ determines an isotopy class of homeomorphisms in $\mathscr{P}(M)$. To save notation we will identify $h$ with this isotopy class in $\mathscr{P}(M)$. Thus in §8 a statement such as $f=g$ actually means that $f$ is isotopic to $g$, where $f$ and $g$ are homeomorphisms homotopic to the identity.

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

We begin with the proof of Theorem 1. The basic step is the following result.

Lemma 3.1: Let $N$ be a $Q$-manifold, $E \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a fiber bundle with fiber $N \times[0,1)$, and let $f: S^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \in E$ be a f.h.e. Then $f$ is fiber homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Proof: Using Theorem 4.1 of [5] there is a $f . p$. embedding $g: S^{n} \times$ $N \times[0,1) \rightarrow E$ such that each $g_{x}: N \times[0,1) \rightarrow E_{x}$ is a $Z$-embedding and such that $g$ is fiber homotopic to $f$. Let $S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)$ be identified with $S^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times\{0\}$ in $S^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I$. Our strategy is to show that we have a $f . p$. homeomorphism of pairs,

$$
\left(E, g\left(S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)\right)\right) \cong\left(S^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I, S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)\right)
$$

This implies that the inclusion $g\left(S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)\right) \hookrightarrow E$ is fiber homotopic to a homeomorphism, thus completing the proof of our lemma. Let $D^{n} \subset S^{n}$ be any $n$-cell.

ASSERTION: There exists a f.p. homeomorphism of $D^{n} \times N \times$ $[0,1) \times I$ onto $E \mid D^{n}$ which agrees with $g$ on $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1)$.

Proof of Assertion: Choose any f.p. homeomorphism $\alpha: D^{n} \times$ $N \times[0,1) \times I \rightarrow E \mid D^{n}$. We must replace $\alpha$ by $\alpha^{\prime}$ so that $\alpha^{\prime} \mid D^{n} \times N \times$ $[0,1)=g$. Consider the f.p. Z-embedding

$$
g_{1}=\alpha^{-1} g: D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I .
$$

It will suffice to construct a $f . p$. homeomorphism of $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1] \times I$ onto itself which extends $g_{1}$.

We now use the fact that $g_{1}$ is a f.h.e. Choose any $b_{0} \in D^{n}$ and consider $\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}: N \times[0,1) \rightarrow N \times[0,1) \times I$, which is a h.e. It follows from [3, Theorem 21.2] that there exists a homeomorphism $u: N \times$ $[0,1) \times I \rightarrow N \times[0,1] \times I$ extending $\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}$. Define $g_{2}: D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow$ $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I$ by $\left(g_{2}\right)_{b}=\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}$, for all $b \in D^{n}$. Then $g_{2}$ is a "con-
stant" f.p. $Z$-embedding. Using the homeomorphism $u$ it is clear that $g_{2}$ extends to a $f . p$. homeomorphism of $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I$ onto itself. So, to finish, all we need is a $f . p$. homeomorphism of $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times$ $I$ onto itself which composes with $g_{1}$ to give $g_{2}$.

To see this, let $\theta_{t}: D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n}$ be a homotopy such that $\theta_{0}=i d$ and $\theta_{1}\left(D^{n}\right)=\left\{b_{0}\right\}$. Then define a f.p. homotopy

$$
\beta_{t}: D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I
$$

by $\left(\beta_{t}\right)_{b}=\left(g_{1}\right)_{\theta_{t}(b)}$. Clearly $\beta_{0}=g_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}=g_{2}$. Moreover, this is a $f . p$. proper homotopy. By Theorem 5.1 of [5] we conclude that there exists a $f$.p. homeomorphism $r$ of $D^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I$ onto itself such that $r g_{1}=g_{2}$. This completes the proof of the assertion.

Now let $G$ be the homeomorphism group $\mathscr{H}(N \times[0,1) \times I, N \times$ $[0,1)$ ), the space of all homeomorphisms of $N \times[0,1) \times I$ onto itself which are the identity on $N \times[0,1)$. For each $b \in S^{n}$ let $\Phi(b)$ be the space of all homeomorphisms $\varphi: N \times[0,1) \times I \rightarrow E_{b}$ such that $\varphi=g_{b}$ on $N \times[0,1)$. This makes $E \rightarrow S^{n}$ into a fiber bundle with structure group $G$, which we call a $G$-bundle (see [16, p. 90]). We will show that $E$ is trivial as a $G$-bundle. This will imply that there is a f.p. homeomorphism of pairs,

$$
\left(E, g\left(S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)\right)\right) \cong\left(S^{n} \times N \times[0,1) \times I, S^{n} \times N \times[0,1)\right),
$$

as was our strategy. To show that $E$ is trivial for all $n$, all we have to do is prove that $G$ is contractible.

Choose any $h \in G$. If $f:[0,1) \times I \rightarrow[0,1) \times I$ is any homeomorphism which is the identity on $[0,1) \times\{0\}$, then it is easy to isotope $f$ to a homeomorphism $f^{\prime} \operatorname{rel}[0,1) \times\{0\}$, where $f^{\prime}$ is also the identity on $\{0\} \times I$. This same idea easily shows that $h$ is isotopic to $h^{\prime} r e l N \times$ [ 0,1 ), where $h^{\prime}$ is the identity on $N \times\{0\} \times I$. Using a variation of the well known Alexander trick define $h_{1}^{\prime}=l d$ and for $0 \leq t<1$ define

$$
h_{t}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}l d, & \text { on } N \times[0, t] \times I \\ \varphi_{t}^{-1} h^{\prime} \varphi_{t}, & \text { on } N \times[t, 1) \times I,\end{cases}
$$

where $\quad \varphi_{t}: N \times[t, 1) \times I \rightarrow N \times[0,1) \times I \quad$ is defined by linearly homeomorphing $[t, 1)$ to $[0,1)$. Then $h_{t}^{\prime}$ defines an isotopy of $h^{\prime}$ to $l d$ $\operatorname{rel}(N \times\{0\} \times I) \cup(N \times[0,1))$. All of these isotopies depend continuously on $h$. Thus $G$ is contractible.

REMARK: The above method of proof can be used to prove that a $f$.h.e. between any two fiber bundles, with fiber $N \times[0,1)$, is fiber homotopic to a homeomorphism.

We now use Lemma 3.1 to prove the following result.
Lemma 3.2: Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be a Hurewicz fibration over a countable complex and assume that all the fibers are h.e. to countable complexes. Then $\mathscr{E}$ is f.h.e. to a fiber bundle over $B$ with fiber a $Q$ manifold.

Proof: Without loss of generality assume that $B$ is connected and use [3, Theorem 28.1] to choose a $Q$-manifold $N$ which is h.e. to the fibers of $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$. We will induct over the $n$-skeleta of $B, B_{n}$, to inductively build our fiber bundle. For $n=0$ it is clear that $\mathscr{E} \mid B_{0}$ is $f$.h.e. to a fiber bundle over $B_{0}$ with fiber $N \times[0,1)$. Passing to the inductive step assume $n \geq 0$ and let $f_{1}: \mathscr{E} \mid B_{n} \rightarrow E_{1}$ be a f.h.e., where $E_{1} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $N \times[0,1)$. We will extend $f_{1}$ to a f.h.e. $f: \mathscr{E} \mid B_{n+1} \rightarrow E$, where $E \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ is a fiber bundle extending $E_{1} \rightarrow B_{n}$. For simplicity of notation we assume that $B_{n+1}=B_{n} \cup \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is a single $(n+1)$-simplex.

By restriction we get a f.h.e. $f_{0}: \mathscr{E}\left|\partial \Delta \rightarrow E_{1}\right| \partial \Delta$. By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to extend $f_{0}$ to a f.p. map $f_{2}: \mathscr{E} \mid \Delta \rightarrow E_{2}$, where $E_{2} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a fiber bundle extending $E_{1} \mid \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta$. Since $\mathscr{E} \mid \partial \Delta$ is $f$.h.e. to $\partial \Delta \times N \times$ $[0,1)$, we may replace $\mathscr{E} \mid \partial \Delta$ by $\partial \Delta \times N \times[0,1)$ and consider the following reduction of the problem: If $f_{0}: \partial \Delta \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow E_{1} \mid \partial \Delta$ is a f.h.e., then $f_{0}$ extends to a f.p. map $f_{2}: \Delta \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow E_{2}$.

To see how this reduction implies the general case choose a f.h.e. $\alpha: \Delta \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \mid \Delta$, let $\quad \alpha_{0}=\alpha \mid \partial \Delta \times N \times[0,1)$, and let $\beta: \mathscr{E} \mid \partial \Delta \rightarrow \partial \Delta \times N \times[0,1)$ be a fiber homotopy inverse of $\alpha_{0}$. Given a f.h.e. $f_{0}: \mathscr{E}\left|\partial \Delta \rightarrow E_{1}\right| \partial \Delta$, we get a f.h.e. $f_{0} \alpha_{0} \beta: \mathscr{E}\left|\partial \Delta \rightarrow E_{1}\right| \partial \Delta$. The reduction implies that $f_{0} \alpha_{0}$ extends, and since $\beta$ extends it follows that $f_{0} \alpha_{0} \beta$ extends. Since $f_{0}$ is fiber homotopic to $f_{0} \alpha_{0} \beta$ we conclude that $f_{0}$ extends.

To verify the reduction we first use Lemma 3.1 to see that $f_{0}$ is fiber homotopic to a homeomorphism $\alpha: \partial \Delta \times N \times[0,1) \rightarrow E_{1} \mid \partial \Delta$. Thus all we have to do is show how to extend $\alpha$ to a f.p. map $\tilde{\alpha}: \Delta \times N \times$ $[0,1) \rightarrow E_{2}$. Define

$$
E_{2}=\left(E_{1} \mid \partial \Delta\right) \bigcup_{\alpha}(\Delta \times N \times[0,1)),
$$

where the attaching is made by $\alpha$. Then $\alpha$ automatically extends to a f.p. map of $\Delta \times N \times[0,1)$ onto $E_{2}$.

Finally, we will need the following result.

Lemma 3.3: If $f: M \rightarrow B$ is a map between locally compact ANRs, where $B$ is connected, then the homotopy fiber of $f$ has the homotopy type of a countable complex.

Proof: For definiteness choose a basepoint $b_{0} \in B$. Let $\alpha: M \rightarrow$ $Q \times[0,1)$ be any closed embedding and define $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow B \times Q \times[0,1)$ by $f^{\prime}=(f, \alpha)$. Choose the basepoint $b_{0}^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}, 0,0\right)$ in $B \times Q \times[0,1)$ and consider the homotopy fiber $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$.

ASSERTION 1: $\mathscr{F}(f)$ is h.e. to $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: Define $\varphi: \mathscr{F}(f) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ by $\varphi(x, \omega)=\left(x, \omega^{\prime}\right)$, where $\omega^{\prime}$ follows a straight-line path from $(f(x), \alpha(x))$ to $(f(x), 0,0)$ ), for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \omega^{\prime}$ follows the path $\omega$ in $B \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \equiv B$ from $(f(x), 0,0)$ to $b_{0}^{\prime}$. Define $\psi: \mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(f)$ by $\psi(x, \omega)=\left(x, \omega^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\omega^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{proj}^{\circ} \omega($ proj: $B \times Q \times[0,1) \rightarrow B$ ). We leave it as an easy exercise for the reader to prove that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are homotopy inverses.

Assertion 2: $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is an ANR.

Proof: Observe that $f^{\prime}$ is a closed embedding. Consider the space

$$
\Omega=\left(B \times Q \times[0,1), b_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{(I, 1)} \subset(B \times Q \times[0,1))^{I},
$$

the space of paths ending at $b_{0}^{\prime}$. It follows from [13] that $\Omega$ is an ANR. Clearly $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is a closed subset of $M \times \Omega$. Choose $(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega$ which is close to $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. Then we must have $\omega(0)$ close to $f^{\prime}(x)$. If they are sufficiently close, then there is a canonical path in $B \times Q \times[0,1)$ from $f^{\prime}(x)$ to $\omega(0)$. By composing this canonical path with $\omega$ we obtain a new path $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ which starts at $f^{\prime}(x)$ and ends at $b_{0}^{\prime}$. Thus $(x, \omega) \rightarrow\left(x, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ defines a retraction $r: U \rightarrow \mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, for $U$ some suitable neighborhood of $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ in $M \times \Omega$. Therefore $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is an ANR.

Finally, it follows from [15] that the ANR $\mathscr{F}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ has the homotopy type of a countable complex.

Proof of Theorem 1: We are given a map $f: M \rightarrow B$, where $B$ is a locally compact ANR. It follows from [15] that $B$ is a h.e. to a countable complex, and therefore by Theorem 2.4 we may assume that $B$ is a countable complex. Without loss of generality assume that $B$ is connected. Let $p: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be the mapping path fibration with fiber $\mathscr{F}(f)$, and let $g: M \rightarrow \mathscr{E}$ be a h.e. such that $p g \cong f$. Using Lemma 3.2 there is a fiber bundle $q: E \rightarrow B$, with fiber a $Q$-manifold $N$, which is f.h.e. to $p: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$. We therefore obtain a h.e. $g^{\prime}: M \rightarrow E$ such that
$q g^{\prime} \simeq f . \quad$ Then $g^{\prime} \times i d: M \times[0,1) \rightarrow E \times[0,1)$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism $h: M \times[0,1) \rightarrow E \times[0,1)$ by [3]. Clearly

$$
M \times[0,1) \xrightarrow{h} E \times[0,1) \xrightarrow{\text { proj }} E \longrightarrow B
$$

is a fiber bundle projection homotopic to $f \circ \operatorname{proj}: M \times[0,1) \rightarrow B$.

Proof of Theorem 2: The machinery we have used for the proof of Theorem 1 has analogues for $l_{2}$-manifolds. The knowledgeable reader can easily supply the details.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3 and its Corollary

For the proof of Theorem 3 we will first need the following result.

Lemma 4.1: Let $N$ be a compact $Q$-manifold, $E \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a fiber bundle with fiber $N$, and let $f ; S^{n} \times N \rightarrow E$ be a f.h.e. If $N$ is $(n+1)$ connected, then $f$ is fiber homotopic to a homeomorphism. Moreover, if $n=0$ we only need assume that $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(N)=0$, and if $n=1$ we only need assume that $N$ is 1-connected.

Proof: Following the proof of Lemma 3.1, fis homotopic to a f.p. $Z$-embedding $g: S^{n} \times N \rightarrow E$. It suffices to show that we have a $f . p$. homeomorphism of pairs,

$$
\left(E, g\left(S^{n} \times N\right)\right) \cong\left(S^{n} \times N \times I, S^{n} \times N\right)
$$

If $n=0$ it follows from the assumption $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(N)=0$ that each inclusion $g_{b}(N) \hookrightarrow E_{b}$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism. Since $S^{n}=$ $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ this is all we need for our desired f.p. homeomorphism of pairs.

If $n \geq 1$ we proceed as in Lemma 3.1 and show that $E \rightarrow S^{n}$ may be regarded as a $G$-bundle, where $G$ is the homeomorphism group $\mathscr{H}(N \times I, N)$. All we need to do is show that $E \rightarrow S^{n}$ is trivial as a $G$-bundle. For this it suffices to prove that $G$ is $(n-1)$-connected. It follows from [4] and [11] that $\pi_{0}(G)=0$ for $N 1$-connected, and in general $\pi_{k-1}(G)=0$ for $N(k+1)$-connected.

Lemma 4.2: Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be a Hurewicz fibration over a finite $n$ complex and assume that all the fibers are h.e. to a compact $Q$ manifold $N$. If $N$ is $n$-connected, then $\mathscr{E}$ is f.h.e. to a fiber bundle over $B$ with fiber $N$. Moreover, if $n=1$ we only need assume $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(N)=0$,
and if $n=2$ we only need assume $N$ to be 1-connected.
Proof: Using Lemma 4.1 we can prove Lemma 4.2 just as Lemma 3.2 followed from Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3: We are given a map $f: M \rightarrow B$, of a compact $Q$-manifold to a compact, connected ANR $B$ which is simple equivalent to a finite $n$-complex. By Theorem 2.3 we may assume that $B$ is a finite $n$-complex. Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be the mapping path fibration and use Lemma 4.2 to conclude that $\mathscr{E}$ is $f$.h.e. to a fiber bundle $p: E \rightarrow B$, whose fiber is a compact $Q$-manifold. Thus we have a homotopy equivalence $g: E \rightarrow M$ such that $f g \simeq p$. We define our obstruction to be $\tau(g) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$.

To see that $\tau(g)$ is well-defined we assume that there is another such h.e. $g_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow M$, where $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ is a fiber bundle whose fiber is a compact $Q$-manifold. It follows from Corollary 2.6 that the torsion of the composition $g^{-1} g_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow E$ is zero, thus $\tau(g)=\tau\left(g_{1}\right)$.

If $\tau(g)=0$, then $g$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism $h: E \rightarrow M$, and $f$ is therefore homotopic to the bundle projection $M \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} E \rightarrow B$. On the other hand assume that $f$ is homotopic to a bundle projection $M \rightarrow B$. The h.e. $g: E \rightarrow M$ must have zero torsion by Corollary 2.6.

Proof of the Corollary: The homotopy sequence of $f: M \rightarrow B$ gives us an exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1} \mathscr{F}(f) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{2}\right),
$$

thus $\pi_{1}(M)=0$ and $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)=0$. This implies that our obstruction to fibering is zero.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 4

We first introduce some notation which will be used throughout this section. Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ represent a Hurewicz fibration, where $B$ is a compact ANR h.e. to a wedge of $n 1$-spheres. Choose a basepoint $b_{0} \in B$ and assume that $\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ is h.e. to a finite connected complex. Let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a collection of maps, $\alpha_{i}:\left(S^{1}, *\right) \rightarrow\left(B, b_{0}\right)$, such that $\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}\right]\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ freely generates $\pi_{1}\left(B, b_{0}\right)$. Each map $\alpha_{i}$ may be regarded as a map of $(I, \partial I)$ to ( $B, b_{0}$ ), and the homotopy lifting criterion implies that $\alpha_{i}$ can be covered by a map $\tilde{\alpha}_{i}: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \times I \rightarrow \mathscr{E}$ such that $\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}\right)_{0}=i d$. We call
$\varphi_{i}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}\right)_{1}: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ a characteristic map corresponding to $\alpha_{i}$. It is well-known that $\varphi_{i}$ is a h.e. and its homotopy class is uniquely determined.

## Definition of the Obstruction: Define a homomorphism

$$
\theta: \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right) \quad(n \text { copies })
$$

by sending $\tau$ in Wh $\pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right)$ to $\left(\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \tau, \ldots,\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{*}\right) \tau\right)$, where $*$ as usual indicates induced homomorphisms on Whitehead groups. Choose any h.e. $h$ of $\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ to a finite complex $K$. We define our obstruction, $\mathscr{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E})$, to be the image of

$$
\left(h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{1} h^{-1}\right), \ldots, h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{n} h^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

in Cokernel $(\theta)=\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right) /$ Image $(\theta)$. $\left(\right.$ Here $h^{-1}$ is a homotopy inverse of $h$.)

Lemma 5.1: $\mathcal{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E})$ is well defined.

Proof: Let $g: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow L$ be any other h.e. from $\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ to a finite complex. We must prove that $\left(h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{1} h^{-1}\right), \ldots, h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{n} h^{-1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{1} g^{-1}\right), \ldots, g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{n} g^{-1}\right)\right)$ have the same image in Cokernel $(\theta)$. Let $k: L \rightarrow K$ be a h.e. such that $k g \simeq h$. For each $i$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{i} h^{-1}\right)=(k g)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(k g \varphi_{i} g^{-1} k^{-1}\right) \\
=g_{*}^{-1} k_{*}^{-1} \tau(k)+g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{i} g^{-1}\right)+\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*} g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(k^{-1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the last equality follows from the formula for the torsion of a composition (see [6, p. 72]). The same formula gives us $\tau(k)+$ $k_{*} \tau\left(k^{-1}\right)=0$. Substituting this into the above equation gives us

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{i} h^{-1}\right)=g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{i} g^{-1}\right)-\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(k^{-1}\right) . \\
\left(h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{1} h^{-1}\right), \ldots, h_{*} \tau\left(h \varphi_{n} h^{-1}\right)\right)-\left(g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{1} g^{-1}\right), \ldots, g_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g \varphi_{n} g^{-1}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

lies in Image ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ).
We will need the following classification result.
Lemma 5.2: Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ and $\mathscr{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be Hurewicz fibrations of the type described at the beginning of this section, with characteristic maps $\varphi_{i}: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ and $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}^{\prime}$. Then a h.e. $h: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}^{\prime}$ extends to a f.h.e. of $\mathscr{E}$ onto $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ iff $h$ homotopy commutes with all of the characteristic maps, i.e. $\varphi_{i}^{\prime} h \simeq h \varphi_{i}$ for each $i$.

Proof: This follows immediately from Theorem $C$ of [17].

Proof of Theorem 4: The proof naturally splits into two parts.
I. Existence. First assume that $\mathscr{E}$ is $f$.h.e. to a fiber bundle $E \rightarrow B$ with fiber a compact $Q$-manifold. Let $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the characteristic maps of $E \rightarrow B$. If $f: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E$ is a $f . h . e$. and $h=f \mid \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow E_{b_{0}}$, then by Lemma 5.2 we have $\psi_{i} h \simeq h \varphi_{i}$, for each $i$. Up to simple homotopy type we may regard $E_{b_{0}}$ as a finite complex, so in order to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ it will certainly suffice to prove that $\tau\left(\psi_{i}\right)=0$. Since $E \rightarrow B$ is a fiber bundle its characteristic maps may be chosen to be homeomorphisms. But homeomorphisms of $Q$-manifolds are always simple equivalences.

On the other hand assume that $\mathscr{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$. Then there is a compact $Q$-manifold $N$ and a h.e. $h: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow N$ such that

$$
\theta(\tau)=\left(h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{1} h^{-1}\right), \ldots, h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{n} h^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

for some torsion $\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right)$. Thus $\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \tau=h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h \varphi_{i} h^{-1}\right)$. Choose a compact $Q$-manifold $M$ and a h.e. $f: N \rightarrow M$ such that $\tau(f)=-f_{*} h_{*}(\tau)$. Then we calculate (again using the composition formula):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(f h \varphi_{i}(f h)^{-1}\right) & =\tau(f)+f_{*} \tau\left(h \varphi_{i} h^{-1}\right)+f_{*} h_{*}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*} h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(f^{-1}\right) \\
& =-f_{*} h_{*}(\tau)+f_{*} h_{*}\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \tau+f_{*} h_{*}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*} h_{*}^{-1} f_{*}^{-1}\left(f_{*} h_{*}(\tau)\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\psi_{i}=f h \varphi_{i}(f h)^{-1}: M \rightarrow M$ and let $g_{i}: M \rightarrow M$ be any homeomorphism homotopic to $\psi_{i}$ (which exists since $\psi_{i}$ has zero torsion).

Let $B^{\prime}$ be a wedge of $n 1$-spheres and let $b_{0}^{\prime}$ be the wedge point. For each $i$ let $T\left(g_{i}\right)$ be the mapping torus of $g_{i}$ and let $E^{\prime}$ be the space formed by sewing the $T\left(g_{i}\right)$ together along their common base, $M$. Then we have a natural projection $p^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ so that
(1) $E^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $M$,
(2) $E_{b_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is the common base of the $T\left(g_{i}\right)$,
(3) the characteristic maps of $E^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ are $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ (corresponding to loops $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ ).
Let $u: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be a h.e. such that $u\left(b_{0}\right)=b_{0}^{\prime}$ and $u \alpha_{i} \simeq \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$, for each $i$. Form the pull-back, $E=\left\{\left(b, e^{\prime}\right) \mid u(b)=p^{\prime}(e)\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
E \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \\
p \downarrow \\
\\
\\
B \xrightarrow{u} p^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $M$. Since $g_{i} \simeq f h \varphi_{i}(f h)^{-1}$ and since the $g_{i}$ are the characteristic maps of $E \rightarrow B$ we conclude by Lemma 5.2 that $\mathscr{E}$ is $f$.h.e. to $E$.
II. Classification. Define $G$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right)$ consisting of all elements $\tau$ such that $(n-1) \tau=$ $\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{n}$, for torsions $\tau_{i} \in \mathrm{~Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right)$. We prove that the simple equivalence classes of compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundles over $B$ which are f.h.e. to $\mathscr{E}$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the quotient group $H=\operatorname{Kernel}(\theta) /(\operatorname{Kernel}(\theta) \cap G)$, where two $Q$-manifold fiber bundles, $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ and $E_{2} \rightarrow B$, are in the same simple equivalence class if there exists a simple homotopy equivalence from $E_{1}$ to $E_{2}$ which is also a f.h.e. Choose a fixed compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle $E \rightarrow B$ and a f.h.e. $f: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E$. Choose any other compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ and f.h.e. $f_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E_{1}$. Put $h=f \mid \mathscr{C}_{b_{0}}$ and $h_{1}=f_{1} \mid \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$. Then we get a h.e. $h h_{1}^{-1}:\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow E_{b_{0}}$ and a torsion $\tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{b_{0}}\right)$.

Assertion 1: $h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta)$.
Proof: It follows from Lemma 5.2 that $\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right) \psi_{i}^{1} \simeq \psi_{i}\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)$, for each $i$, where the $\psi_{i}$ are the characteristic maps for $E \rightarrow B$ and the $\psi_{i}^{\prime}$ are the characteristic maps for $E_{1} \rightarrow B$. Since $E \rightarrow B$ and $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ are compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundles we must have $\tau\left(\psi_{i}\right)=\tau\left(\psi_{i}^{1}\right)=0$. Thus

$$
\tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)=\tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1} \psi_{i}^{1}\right)=\tau\left(\psi_{i} h h_{1}^{-1}\right)=\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right),
$$

or $\left(l d-\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)=0$. Since $h \varphi_{i} \simeq \psi_{i} h$ we can easily check that $\left.l d-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)=0$. This proves Assertion 1.

We then define $R\left(h_{1}\right)$ to be the image of $h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)$ in $H$. Thus $R$ is a function from the collection of f.h.e.'s $f_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E_{1}$ to the group $H$. There are several properties of $R$ which need to be established in order to finish the proof of Theorem 4.

## Assertion 2: $R$ is onto.

Proof: Choose any $\tau \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta)$. Thus $\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \tau=0$ for each $i$. Choose a h.e. $g$ of $E_{b_{0}}$ to a compact $Q$-manifold $N$ such that $\tau(g)=-g_{*} h_{*}(\tau)$. (Recall that $g: E_{b_{0}} \rightarrow N$ can be chosen so that $\tau\left(g^{-1}\right)=-g_{*}^{-1} \tau(g) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{b_{0}}\right)$ realizes any torsion in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}\left(E_{b_{0}}\right)$.) A simple torsion calculation gives us $\tau\left(g h \varphi_{i}(g h)^{-1}\right)=0$. Just as in the proof of Theorem 4 (Part I) we can construct a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ such that $\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}=N$ and a f.h.e. $f_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E_{1}$ such that $h_{1}=f_{1} \mid \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}=g h$. Then $R\left(f_{1}\right)$ is the image of $h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)$ in $H$.

Computing, we have

$$
h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)=h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h(g h)^{-1}\right)=h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(g^{-1}\right)=-h_{*}^{-1} g_{*}^{-1} \tau(g)=\tau .
$$

This completes Assertion 2.
ASSERTION 3: If $f_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E_{1}$ and $f_{2}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E_{2}$ are f.h.e.'s of $\mathscr{E}$ to compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundles, then $f_{2} f_{1}^{-1}: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ is a simple equivalence iff $R\left(f_{1}\right)=R\left(f_{2}\right)$.

Proof: Assume that $f_{2} f_{1}^{-1}$ is a simple equivalence. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that $0=\tau\left(f_{2} f_{1}^{-1}\right)=j_{*}(1-n) \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right)$, where $j$ is the inclusion $\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{2}$. Using Theorem 8 we have

$$
\left(h_{2}\right)_{*}^{-1}(n-1) \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right)=\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{n},
$$

for torsions $\tau_{i} \in W h \pi_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}\right)$. Thus $\left(h_{2}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta) \cap G$. Computing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{1}^{-1}\right)-h_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h h_{2}^{-1}\right) & =\tau\left(h_{1}^{-1}\right)-\tau\left(h_{2}^{-1}\right)=\left(h_{2}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h_{2}\right)+\tau\left(h_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\left(h_{2}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta) \cap G .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $R\left(h_{1}\right)=R\left(h_{2}\right)$.
On the other hand assume that $R\left(f_{1}\right)=R\left(f_{2}\right)$. From the above calculations we see that $\left(h_{2}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta) \cap G$. This implies that there are torsions $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n} \in \mathrm{~Wh} \pi_{1}\left(\left(E_{2}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)$ such that

$$
(n-1) \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right)=\left(l d-\left(\psi_{1}^{2}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\psi_{n}^{2}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{n}
$$

where the $\psi_{i}^{2}$ are the characteristic maps for $E_{2} \rightarrow B$. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that $\tau\left(f_{2} f_{1}^{-1}\right)=j_{*}(1-n) \tau\left(h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}\right)$ and it follows from Theorem 9.1 that

$$
j_{*}\left(\left(l d-\left(\psi_{1}^{2}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\psi_{n}^{2}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{n}\right)=0
$$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 5

We will need some general notation. Let $f: M \rightarrow B$ be the map given in the statement of Theorem 4. Let $p: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be the mapping path fibration of $f: M \rightarrow B$ which has fiber $\mathscr{F}(f)=\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ and let $g: M \rightarrow \mathscr{E}$ be a h.e. such that $p g \simeq f$.

The First Obstruction. We define our first obstruction to be

$$
\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)=\mathscr{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E}) \in \operatorname{Cokernel}(\theta)
$$

where $\mathscr{O}_{1}(\mathscr{E})$ was defined in $\S 5$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{1}(f)$ vanishes iff $\mathscr{E}$ is f.h.e. to a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle.

Proof of Theorem 5 (Part I). We show that the vanishing of $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)$ is a necessary condition for $f$ to fiber. Assume that $f \simeq f^{\prime}$, where $f^{\prime}$ is the projection map of a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle. Then by Theorem 2.2 we must have $g$ homotopic to a f.h.e. from the bundle $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow B$ to the fibration $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$. Thus $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)=0$.

The Second Obstruction. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_{1}(f)=0$ and let $h: M \rightarrow E$ be a h.e. such that $q h \simeq f$, where $q: E \rightarrow B$ is a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle. Let $i$ be the inclusion map $\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}$ and define $\mathscr{O}_{2}(f)$ to be the image of the torsion $h_{*}^{-1} \tau(h)$ in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M) /(1-n) g_{*}^{-1} i_{*} \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta)$.

Lemma 6.1: $\mathcal{O}_{2}(f)$ is well-defined.
Proof: Let $h_{1}: M \rightarrow E_{1}$ be an alternate choice for $h$. We must prove that

$$
g_{*} h_{*}^{-1} \tau(h)-g_{*}\left(h_{1}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(h_{1}\right) \in(1-n) i_{*} \text { Kernel }(\theta)
$$

Using Theorem 2.2 we see that $h_{1} h^{-1}$ is homotopic to a f.h.e. $\alpha: E \rightarrow$ $E_{1}$. Thus by Theorem 2.5 we calculate

$$
\tau\left(h_{1} h^{-1}\right)=\tau\left(h_{1}\right)-\left(h_{1}\right)_{*} h_{*}^{-1} \tau(h)=(1-n) \tau,
$$

where $\tau$ is the torsion of the h.e. $h_{1} h^{-1} \mid E_{b_{0}}$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4 (Part II) that $\left(l d-\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \tau=0$, for each $i$, where the $\psi_{i}$ are the characteristic maps for $E_{1} \rightarrow B$. So, multiplying both sides of the above equation by $g_{*}\left(h_{1}\right)_{*}^{-1}$ we get what we need.

Proof of Theorem 5 (Part II): Assume that $f \simeq f^{\prime}$, where $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow$ $B$ is a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle. Since $\mathcal{O}_{2}(f)$ is well-defined we may choose $E=M$ and $h=i d$. Clearly $\mathcal{O}_{2}(f)=0$.

On the other hand assume that $\mathcal{O}_{2}(f)=0$. This means that $h_{*}^{-1} \tau(h)=$ $g_{*}^{-1}(1-n) i_{*}(\tau)$, for some $\tau \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta)$. We may write $h$ as $g_{1} g$, where $g_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E$ is a f.h.e. Choose a compact $Q$-manifold $N$ and a h.e. $\alpha: E_{b_{0}} \rightarrow N$ such that $\tau(\alpha)=-\alpha_{*}\left(\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}(\tau)$. Calculating we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\alpha \psi_{i} \alpha^{-1}\right) & =\tau(\alpha)+\alpha_{*}\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*} \tau\left(\alpha^{-1}\right) \\
& =\tau(\alpha)-\alpha_{*}\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*} \alpha_{*}^{-1} \tau(\alpha) \\
& =-\alpha_{*}\left(\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}(\tau)+\alpha_{*}\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*} \alpha_{*}^{-1} \alpha_{*}\left(\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}(\tau) \\
& =-\alpha_{*}\left(l d-\left(\psi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\left(\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is zero because $\tau \in \operatorname{Kernel}(\theta)$. (Recall that $\psi_{i}$ is a characteristic map for $E \rightarrow B$, which must have 0 torsion because it can be chosen to be a homeomorphism.) Using the proof of Theorem 4 (Part
II) we can construct a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle $E_{1} \rightarrow B$ such that $\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}=N$ and a f.h.e. $\tilde{\alpha}: E \rightarrow E_{1}$ extending $\alpha$. Put $j:\left(E_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \hookrightarrow E_{1}$ and calculate to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\tilde{\alpha} g_{1} g\right) & =\tau(\tilde{\alpha})+(\tilde{\alpha})_{*} \tau\left(g_{1} g\right) \\
& =j_{*}(1-n) \tau(\alpha)+(\tilde{\alpha})_{*} h_{*} g_{*}^{-1}(1-n) i_{*}(\tau) \\
& =-j_{*}(1-n) \alpha_{*}\left(\left(g_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)_{*}(\tau)+(\tilde{\alpha})_{*}\left(g_{1}\right)_{*}(1-n) i_{*}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is easily seen to be zero. Thus $\tilde{\alpha} g_{1} g: M \rightarrow E_{1}$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism which implies that $f$ is homotopic to a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle projection.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 6

We first introduce some notation for this section. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that we may replace $B$ by $S^{1}$. Let $p: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be the mapping path fibration of $f: M \rightarrow S^{1}$, where $\mathscr{F}(f)=\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$, and let $h: M \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{E}$ be a fixed h.e. so that $p h \simeq f$.

We use $\varphi: \mathscr{F}(f) \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(f)$ for a characteristic map corresponding to a choice of a generator for $\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

The First Obstruction. The first obstruction is just the obstruction $\mathcal{O}_{1}(f)$ of Theorem 5 . We must show that the group in which $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)$ lies is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$. This is the group

$$
\text { Cokernel }(\theta)=\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1} \mathscr{F}(f) /\left(l d-\varphi_{*}\right) \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1} \mathscr{F}(f)
$$

If $i$ is the inclusion map $\mathscr{F}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}$, then it is shown in Theorem 8 that Kernel $\left(i_{*}\right)=\left(l d-\varphi_{*}\right) \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1} \mathscr{F}(f)$. Thus Cokernel $(\theta)$ is isomorphic with a subgroup of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(\mathscr{E}) \cong \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M)$.

The Second Obstruction. We will need some more notation. Choose a finite complex $K$ and a h.e. $g: \mathscr{F}(f) \rightarrow K$, and let $\psi: K \rightarrow K$ be the map $g \varphi g^{-1}$. Represent $S^{1}$ by $\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$, where $b_{0}=1$, and let $T(\psi) \rightarrow S^{1}$ be the natural map of the mapping torus to $S^{1}$. The fibers of $T(\psi) \rightarrow S^{1}$ are all naturally identified with $K$.

We leave it as a manageable exercise for the reader to construct a h.e. $\alpha: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow T(\psi)$ such that $\alpha \mid \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}=g, \alpha$ takes $\mathscr{E} \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\}\right.$ to $T(\psi) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\}\right.$, and $\alpha$ is f.p. over $\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}$. We then define our second obstruction to be

$$
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)=h_{*}^{-1} \alpha_{*}^{-1} \tau(\alpha h) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(M),
$$

where $h: M \rightarrow \mathscr{E}$ is as chosen above.

Lemma 7.1: $\mathscr{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)$ is well-defined.
Proof: Let $g_{1}: \mathscr{F}(f) \rightarrow K_{1}, \psi_{1}=g_{1} \varphi g_{1}^{-1}, \alpha_{1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow T\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ be alternate choices. We must prove that

$$
h_{*}^{-1} \alpha_{*}^{-1} \tau(\alpha h)=h_{*}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{*}^{-1} \tau\left(\alpha_{1} h\right)
$$

and for this it suffices to prove that $\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1}\right)=0$. (Just use the formula for the torsion of a composition.)

We may choose $\alpha^{-1}$ so that $\alpha^{-1}$ takes $T(\psi) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\}\right.$ to $\mathscr{E} \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\}\right.$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ is $f$.p. over $\left(e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}$. Write $T(\psi)=$ $A \cup B$ and $T\left(\psi_{1}\right)=A_{1} \cup B_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=T(\psi) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}\right., & A_{1}=T\left(\psi_{1}\right) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}\right., \\
B=T(\psi) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\}\right., & B_{1}=T\left(\psi_{1}\right) \left\lvert\,\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\right.\right\} .\right.
\end{array}
$$

Then $\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1}$ restricts to give h.e.'s of $A$ to $A_{1}, B$ to $B_{1}$ and $A \cap B$ to $A_{1} \cap B_{1}$. Using the Sum Theorem for torsion we have

$$
\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1}\right)=a \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid A\right)+b \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid B\right)-c \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid A \cap B\right),
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are inclusion-induced homomorphisms into Wh $\pi_{1} T\left(\psi_{1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $a \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid A\right)=b \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid B\right)$. Clearly $A \cap B=K^{\prime} \cup K^{\prime \prime}$ (two disjoint copies of $K$ ) and $A_{1} \cap B_{1}=$ $K_{1}^{\prime} \cup K_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t w o\right.$ disjoint copies of $K_{1}$ ). Computing torsions we get

$$
\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid A \cap B\right)=\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid K^{\prime}\right)+\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid K^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where we have omitted the necessary inclusion-induced homomorphisms. It is easy to see that

$$
c \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid K^{\prime}\right)=c \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid K^{\prime \prime}\right)=a \tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1} \mid A\right)
$$

and therefore $\tau\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha^{-1}\right)=0$ by the above formula.
Proof of Theorem 6: We first assume that $f \simeq f^{\prime}$, where $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow$ $S^{1}$ is the projection map of a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle. It follows from the proof of Theorem 5 (Part I) that $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)=0$. By Theorem 2.2 we have $h \approx h^{\prime}: M \rightarrow \mathscr{E}$, where $h^{\prime}$ is a $f$.h.e. Since $\mathscr{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)$ is well-defined we may choose $\alpha: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow T(\psi)=M$.to be $\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{-1}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow M$, where $\psi$ is a characteristic homeomorphism of the bundle $f: M \rightarrow S^{1}$. Then $\tau(\alpha h)=0$ and consequently $\mathscr{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$.

On the other hand assume that $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)=0$ and $\mathscr{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$. Since $\mathscr{O}_{1}(f)=$ 0 we have a f.h.e. $\alpha: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow E$, where $E \rightarrow S^{1}$ is a compact $Q$-manifold fiber bundle. In the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)$ we may take $T(\psi)=E$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$ implies that we have $\tau(\alpha h)=0$. Thus $\alpha h$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 7

We will first need some preliminary results on homotopies. Our main result is Corollary 8.3.

Lemma 8.1: With $M$ and $B$ as in the statement of Theorem 7, let $F: M \times I \rightarrow B$ be a map such that $F_{0}=F_{1}$. Then $F \simeq G$ rel $M \times\{0,1\}$, where $G: M \times I \rightarrow B$ is of the form $G(m, t)=r_{t} F_{0}(m)$, for some homotopy $r: B \times I \rightarrow B$ satisfying $r_{0}=r_{1}=l d$.

Proof: Let $\Delta \subset B^{I}$ be the set of maps $\alpha: I \rightarrow B$ such that $\alpha(0)=$ $\alpha(1)$. There is a natural map $p: \Delta \rightarrow B$ given by $p(\alpha)=\alpha(0)$. This map is a fibration. The fiber is a disjoint union of contractible open subsets ( $B$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ and the fiber is $\Omega B$.)

Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be the space obtained from $\Delta$ by identifying $\alpha \sim \alpha^{\prime}$ iff $\alpha$ is homotopic to $\alpha^{\prime}$ rel $\{0,1\}$. Certainly $\bar{\Delta}$ is a covering space of $B$ where the components of $\bar{\Delta}$ correspond to free homotopy classes of loops and the sheets in a component correspond to $\pi_{1}$ acting on based loops.

There is a natural map (the quotient) $q: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$ covering the identity on $B$. This map takes components in the fiber of $\Delta$ to points in the fiber of $\bar{\Delta}$ in a $1-1$ fashion. By Theorem $2.1, q$ is a f.h.e. and has a fiber homotopy inverse, $q_{1}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$. We can therefore find a $f . p$. deformation retraction $s: \Delta \times I \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $s_{0}=l d$ and $s_{1}(\Delta)=$ $q_{1}(\bar{\Delta})$.

Each $m \in M$ determines a loop in $B$ by $m \rightarrow F_{t}(m), 0 \leq t \leq 1$. This defines a map $k: M \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $F_{t}(m)=k(m)(t)$. Define $\bar{G}: M \times I \rightarrow$ $\Delta$ by $\bar{G}_{u}(m)=s_{u} k(m)$. Then $\bar{G}_{0}(m)[t]=F_{t}(m), \bar{G}_{u}(m)[0]=\bar{G}_{u}(m)[1]=$ $f(m)$ and $\bar{G}_{1}(m)$ is a path depending only on $f(m)$. Defining $G_{t}(m)=$ $\bar{G}_{1}(m)[t]$ we have a homotopy from $F_{0}$ to $F_{1}$. Because $G_{t}(m)$ depends only on $f(m)$, we can write $G_{t}(m)=r_{t} F_{0}(m)$, for some $r: B \times I \rightarrow B$ satisfying $r_{0}=r_{1}=l d$.

Remark: The above result is true (with the same proof) for $B$ any $K(\pi, 1)$.

Lemma 8.2: Let us choose $B$ as in Theorem 7 and let $r: B \times I \rightarrow B$ be a homotopy such that $r_{0}=r_{1}=l d$.
(1) If $n \geq 2$, then $r$ is homotopic to the constant identity homotopy rel $B \times\{0,1\}$.
(2) If $n=1$, then $r$ is homotopic (rel $B \times\{0,1\}$ ) to a "standard rotation."

Proof: Let $\tilde{B}$ be the universal cover of $B$ and cover $r$ by $\tilde{r}: \tilde{B} \times$ $I \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ so that $\tilde{r}_{0}=l d . \tilde{r}_{1}$ is a deck transformation properly homotopic to $l d$. It is therefore the identity if $n \geq 2$. Thus, all loops $r_{t}(b)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, are null-homotopic for $n \geq 2$. The component of $\bar{\Delta}$ containing the null-homotopic loops covers $B$ trivially. The cover $\bar{\Delta}$ consists of disjoint trivial sheets for $n=1$. Thus an argument similar to Lemma 8.1 homotopes $r$ to a constant for $n \geq 2$ and to a "standard rotation" for $n=1$. (If $B=S^{1}$, a "standard rotation" is a rotation through an integral multiple of $360^{\circ}$. For $B \simeq S^{\prime}$, the homotopy equivalence defines a standard rotation.)

Corollary 8.3 Let us choose $M, B$ as in Theorem 7 and let $g_{1}, g_{2}: M \rightarrow B$ be homotopic maps. Then any two homotopies from $g_{1}$ to $g_{2}$
(1) are homotopic (rel $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ ) for $n \geq 2$, and
(2) differ by a "standard rotation" of $B$ for $n=1$.

The First Obstruction. For convenience we will henceforth refer to the fiber bundle $f_{1}: M \rightarrow B$ as $f_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow B$. By Theorem 2.2 we see that $I d: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to a f.h.e. $g: M_{1} \rightarrow M$. Choose $b_{0} \in B$ so that $F=M_{b_{0}}$. The first obstruction is $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right) \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F)$, where $g_{b_{0}}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$.

Lemma 8.4: $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)$ is well defined.

Proof: Let $g^{\prime}: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ be another f.h.e. homotopic to ld. Both $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are obtained by lifting homotopies from $f_{1}$ to $f$. Thus $g$ and $g_{1}$ depend only on the homotopy class (rel $f_{1}$ and $f$ ) of the homotopy from $f_{1}$ to $f$. If $n \geq 2$ we conclude by Corollary 8.3 that $g^{\prime} \simeq g$ and therefore $\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right)=\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$. For $n=1$ choose a characteristic map $\varphi: F \rightarrow$ $F$ which is a homeomorphism. By Corollary 8.3 we have $g_{b_{0}}^{\prime}=\varphi^{k} g_{b_{0}}$, for some $k \geq 0$. Computing we get

$$
\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(\varphi^{k}\right)+\left(\varphi^{k}\right)_{*} \tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right)=\left(\varphi^{k}\right)_{*} \tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right) .
$$

We showed in the proof of Theorem 4 (Part II) that $\left(l d-\varphi_{*}\right) \tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right)=0$. Thus $\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right)$.

The Second Obstruction. Assume that $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$. We have $\tau\left(g_{b_{0}}\right)=$ 0 and therefore $g_{b_{0}}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism $g_{1}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$. Choose characteristic maps $\varphi_{i}: F \rightarrow F, 1 \leq i \leq n$, where each $\varphi_{1}$ is a homeomorphism. Similarly, choose characteristic maps
$\psi_{i}: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{1}$, where $F_{1}=\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}$. Define $\theta: \mathscr{P}(F) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{P}(F)$ by

$$
\theta(h)=\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1} h \varphi_{1} h^{-1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{-1} h \varphi_{n} h^{-1}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that $\theta$ is a homomorphism since $\mathscr{P}(F)$ is abelian. We define $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Cokernel}(\theta)$ to be the image of $\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{1} g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{n} g_{1}^{-1}\right)$ In Cokernel ( $\theta$ ).

Lemma 4: $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)$ is well-defined.
Proof: First assume that $n \geq 2$. Then all we have to do is show that if $g_{2}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$ is another homeomorphism homotopic to $g_{b_{0}}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$, then $\alpha=\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{1} g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{n} g_{1}^{-1}\right) \quad$ and $\quad \beta=$ $\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1} g_{2} \psi_{1} g_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{-1} g_{2} \psi_{n} g_{2}^{-1}\right)$ have the same image in Cokernel $(\theta)$. Since $\mathscr{P}(F)$ is abelian it is easy to see that

$$
\varphi_{i}^{-1} g_{2} \psi_{i} g_{2}^{-1}=\left(\varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\varphi_{i}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{i} g_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

which implies that $\beta \alpha^{-1}=\theta\left(g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\right)$.
For $n=1$ let $g_{2}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$ be any homeomorphism homotopic to $\varphi^{k} g_{b_{0}}$. Then we must show that $\varphi^{-1} g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}$ and $\varphi^{-1} g_{2} \psi g_{2}^{-1}$ have the same image in Cokernel ( $\theta$ ). We have just shown above that $\varphi^{-1} g_{2} \psi g_{2}^{-1}$ and $\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right) \psi\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right)^{-1}$ have the same image. But

$$
\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right) \psi\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right)^{-1}=\varphi^{k}\left(\varphi^{-1} g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}\right) \varphi^{-k}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right) \psi\left(\varphi^{k} g_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\varphi^{-1} g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}=\varphi^{k}\left(\varphi^{-1} g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}\right) \varphi^{-k}\left(\varphi^{-1} g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

So it remains to be shown that any element of the form $\varphi^{k} h \varphi^{-k} h^{-1}$ lies in Image $(\theta)$, for $h \in \mathscr{P}(F)$. But this follows from interated use of the formula

$$
\varphi^{k} h \varphi^{-k} h^{-1}=\left[\varphi\left(\varphi^{k-1} h \varphi^{-(k-1)}\right) \varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi^{k-1} h \varphi^{-(k-1)}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\varphi^{k-1} h \varphi^{-(k-1)} h^{-1}\right] .
$$

Proof of Theorem 7: First assume that there is a $f$. $p$. homeomorphism $h: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ such that $h \simeq l d$. Then $g_{1}=h \mid\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$ is a homeomorphism and $\tau\left(h \mid\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}\right)=0$. This proves that $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$. For the second obstruction it can easily be argued from the existence of $h$ that $g_{1} \psi g_{1}^{-1}$ is isotopic to $\varphi_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. (Or we can refer to [7].) Therefore $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$.

On the other hand assume that $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$ and $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(f_{2}\right)=0$. Now $\mathscr{P}_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$ implies that there is a homeomorphism $g_{1}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$ which is homotopic to $g \mid\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}}:\left(M_{1}\right)_{b_{0}} \rightarrow F$, where $g: M_{1} \rightarrow M$ is a f.h.e.
homotopic to $l d$. Now $\mathscr{P}_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)=0$ implies that

$$
\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{1} g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{n} g_{1}^{-1}\right)=\theta(\alpha)
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathscr{P}(F)$. Thus $\varphi_{i}^{-1} g_{1} \psi_{i} g_{1}^{-1}$ is isotopic to $\varphi_{i}^{-1} \alpha \varphi_{i} \alpha^{-1}$, which implies that $\left(\alpha^{-1} g_{1}\right) \psi_{i}\left(\alpha^{-1} g_{1}\right)^{-1}$ is isotopic to $\varphi_{i}$, for each $i$. By [7] this implies that $\alpha^{-1} g_{1}$ extends to a $f . p$. homeomorphism of $M_{1}$ onto M.

## 9. Computation of a Kernel

Our main result is Theorem 8. We will first need the general construction of Lemma 9.1 below. For notation let $X \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a map and let $\tilde{B} \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a covering space. Form the pull-back,

where $\tilde{X}=\{(x, e) \mid f(x)=p(e)\}$. Each deck transformation $\varphi: \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ induces a deck transformation $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi}(x, e)=(x, \varphi(e))$.

Lemma 9.1: Let $X_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} B$ and $X_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} B$ be maps, $\tilde{B} \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a covering space, and let $h: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ be a homeomorphism such that $f_{2} h \simeq f_{1}$. If the pull-back $\tilde{X}_{1}$ is connected, then there exists a homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X}_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{2}$ such that $\tilde{h}$ covers $h$ and $\tilde{h}$ commutes with the deck transformations of $\tilde{X}_{1}$ and $\tilde{X}_{2}$ which are induced by the deck transformations of $\tilde{B}$.

Proof: Since $f_{2} h \simeq f_{1}$ there is a homotopy $F: \tilde{X}_{1} \times I \rightarrow B$ so that $F_{0}$ is the composition $\tilde{X}_{1} \xrightarrow{q_{1}} X_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} B$ and $F_{1}$ is the composition $\tilde{X}_{1} \xrightarrow{q_{1}} X_{1} \xrightarrow{h} X_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} B$. Note that $F_{0}$ can be lifted to $\tilde{X}_{1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{1}} \tilde{B}$. Therefore $F: \tilde{X}_{1} \times I \rightarrow B$ can be lifted to $\tilde{F}: \tilde{X}_{1} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ so that $\tilde{F}_{0}=\tilde{f}_{1}$. This induces a map $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X}_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{2}$ defined by $\tilde{h}(x, e)=\left(h(x), \tilde{F}_{1}(x, e)\right)$. We leave it as an exercise for the reader to check that $\tilde{h}$ fulfills our requirements.

Lemma 9.2: Let $K$ be a finite complex and let $\varphi: K \rightarrow K$ be a homotopy equivalence. If $T(\varphi)$ is the mapping torus of $\varphi$ and $i$ is the natural inclusion $K \hookrightarrow T(\varphi)$, then $i_{*}\left(l d-\varphi_{*}\right)=0$, where $i_{*}$ and $\varphi_{*}$ are the induced homomorphisms on the Whitehead groups of $K$ and $T(\varphi)$.

Proof: Choose any torsion $\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K)$. We must prove that $i_{*}(\tau)=i_{*} \varphi_{*}(\tau)$. By [6] we may represent $\tau$ by a pair [ $L, K$ ], where $L$ is a finite complex containing $K$ as a deformation retract. This means that $\tau=\tau(f)$, where $f: L \rightarrow K$ is any deformation retraction. It then follows that $\varphi_{*} \tau(f)$ may be represented by $\left[L \bigcup_{\varphi} K, K\right]$ (we assume that $\varphi$ is a $P L$ map). Applying $i_{*}$ we observe that $i_{*} \tau(f)$ may be represented by $[L \cup T(\varphi), T(\varphi)]$ and $i_{*} \varphi_{*} \tau(f)$ may be represented by $\left[L \cup{ }_{\varphi} T(\varphi), T(\varphi)\right]$. But if $\simeq i \varphi f$, and this implies that $[L \cup$ $T(\varphi), T(\varphi)$ ] and $\left[L \bigcup_{\varphi} T(\varphi), T(\varphi)\right.$ ] represent the same torsion in $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(T(\varphi))$.

Lemma 9.3: Let $K$ be a finite connected complex and let $\varphi_{i}: K \rightarrow K$ be a homotopy equivalence, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $X$ to be the space formed by sewing the mapping tori $T\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ together along $K \equiv K \times\{0\} \equiv$ $K \times\{1\}$ in $T\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$. Then the kernel of the inclusion-induced map $i_{*}: \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(X)$ is

$$
G=\left\{\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K) \mid \tau=\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{*} \tau_{n}\right\} .\right.
$$

Proof: It follows from Lemma 9.2 that each element of $G$ lies in the kernel of $i_{*}$. For the other half we will assume $n=2$. The other cases can be treated similarly.

Choose any torsion $\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K)$ for which $i_{*}(\tau)=0$. As in Lemma 9.2 we may represent $\tau$ by a pair $[L, K]$. The condition $i_{*}(\tau)=0$ implies that the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X \cup L$ is simple. Multiplying by $Q$ and

applying [3, Theorem 29.4] there is a homeomorphism $h: X \times Q \rightarrow$ $(X \cup L) \times Q$ which is homotopic to the inclusion. Using $Z$-set unknotting we may assume that $h \mid X \times\{0\}=i d$. There is a natural map $f: X \rightarrow B=S_{1}^{1} \cup S_{2}^{1}$ so that $K$ is sent to the wedge point of $B$ and $T\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ is wrapped once around $S_{i}^{1}$. We choose notation so that $f^{-1}(b)$ is a copy of $K$, for each $b \in B$, and passing down the "rays" of $T\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ covers a path wrapping counterclockwise around $S_{i}^{1}$. That is, in the representation $T\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=K \times[0,1] / \sim$, passing from 0 to 1 corresponds to going counterclockwise around $S^{1}$. Let $X_{1}=X \cup L$ and define $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow B$ by the composition $X_{1} \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} B$, where the first map is obtained by taking a deformation retraction of $L$ onto $K$. Above is a picture of $X_{1}$, where $L$ is represented by a segment added to $K=$ $T\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \cap T\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$.

Form the pull-backs as in Lemma 9.1,

where $\tilde{B}$ is the universal covering space of $B$. The homeomorphism $h$ lifts to a homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X} \times Q \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{1} \times Q$ for which $\tilde{h} \mid \tilde{X} \times\{0\}=$ id and $\tilde{h}$ commutes with the deck transformations of $\tilde{X} \times Q$ and $\tilde{X}_{1} \times Q$ which are induced by the deck transformations of $\tilde{B}$.
$\tilde{B}$ is a 1 -complex such that $p$ takes each vertex to the wedge point of $B$ and $p$ wraps each 1 -simplex once around $S_{1}^{1}$ or $S_{2}^{1}$. Let $A_{1}$ be the following subset of the plane.


We may identify $A_{1}$ with a subcomplex of $\tilde{B}$ so that $p$ wraps the horizontal 1-simplexes in $A_{1}$ around $S_{1}^{1}$ and the vertical 1-simplexes around $S_{2}^{1}$. Choose notation so that the positive directions on $A_{1}$ correspond to the clockwise directions on $S_{1}^{1}$ and $S_{2}^{1}$. Let $T_{1}$ be the
deck transformation of $\tilde{B}$ taking $(0,0)$ to $(1,0)$ and let $T_{2}$ be the deck transformation taking $(0,0)$ to $(0,1)$.

Let

$$
A_{1 / 2}=\left(\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\{0\}\right) \cup\left(\{0\} \times\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right) \subset A_{1}
$$

and choose a finite connected subcomplex $A_{n}$ of $\tilde{B}$ so large that

$$
A^{\prime}=\tilde{h}^{-1}\left(\tilde{f}_{1}^{-1}\left(A_{1 / 2}\right) \times Q\right) \subset \operatorname{Int} \tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q
$$

Let $A=\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{1 / 2}\right) \times Q$. Then $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ divide $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q$ into components as pictured.

$\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q$

$\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q$

The components are named so that $B_{i} \cap(\tilde{X} \times\{0\})=B_{i}^{\prime} \cap(\tilde{X} \times\{0\})$, $\left.A \cap B_{1}=\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\left\{-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right\}\right) \times Q, \quad A \cap B_{2}=\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\right\}\right) \times Q, \quad A \cap B_{3}=$ $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\left\{\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}\right) \times Q$, and $A \cap B_{4}=\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(\left\{\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}\right) \times Q$. Additionally, define $\left.K_{i}=A \cap B_{i} \cap(\tilde{X} \times\{0\})\right)$ and note that each $K_{i}$ has a standard identification with $K$. We observe that the pair [ $A, K_{\text {I }}$ ] represents the 0 torsion of $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K)$ and $\left[A^{\prime}, K_{1}\right]$ represents the given torsion $\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(K)$.

An easy torsion calculation gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*)\left[f^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q, K_{1}\right]=\left[B_{1}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left(A^{\prime}, K\right]+\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\left[B_{2}^{\prime},\right. & K]+\left[B_{3}^{\prime}, K\right] \\
& +\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*}\left[B_{4}^{\prime}, K\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S_{i}: \tilde{X} \times Q \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times Q$ be the deck transformation induced by $T_{i}$. Since $\tilde{h}$ commutes with the induced deck transformations we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)=B_{1} \cup S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right), \\
& B_{3}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right)=B_{3} \cup S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[B_{1} \cup S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{1}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left[S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right), K\right],} \\
& {\left[B_{3} \cup S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{3}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left[S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right), K\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left[S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{2}^{\prime}, K\right]$ and $\left[S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}^{\prime}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{4}^{\prime}, K\right]$. Substituting all this in $\left(^{*}\right)$ above we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(* *)\left[\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q,\right. & \left.K_{1}\right]-\left[B_{1} \cup S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right), K\right]-\left[B_{3} \cup S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}\right), K\right] \\
& =\left(\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{2}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left(\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{4}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left[A^{\prime}, K_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We now compute the left-hand side of (**). Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[B_{1} \cup S_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{1}, K\right]+\left[B_{2}, K\right],} \\
{\left[B_{3} \cup S_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{4}\right), K\right]=\left[B_{3}, K\right]+\left[B_{4}, K\right],} \\
{\left[\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(A_{n}\right) \times Q, K_{1}\right]=\left[B_{1}, K\right]+\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\left[B_{2}, K\right]+\left[B_{3}, K\right]+\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*}\left[B_{4}, K\right] .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting this into (**) above we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{2}, K\right]+ & \left(\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{4}, K\right]=\left(\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{2}^{\prime}, K\right] \\
& +\left(\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*}-l d\right)\left[B_{4}^{\prime}, K\right]+\left[A^{\prime}, K_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is all we need.

Theorem 8: Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow B$ be a Hurewicz fibration, where $B$ is h.e. to $a$ wedge of $n 1$-spheres and the fiber $F=\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ is h.e. to a finite connected complex. If $i$ is the inclusion map $F \hookrightarrow \mathscr{E}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is the collection of characteristic maps $\varphi_{i}: F \rightarrow F$, then the kernel of $i_{*}: \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(\mathscr{E})$ is

$$
\left\{\tau \in \mathrm{Wh} \pi_{1}(F) \mid \tau=\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{1}+\cdots+\left(l d-\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{*}\right) \tau_{n}\right\} .
$$

Proof: By taking a h.e. of a wedge of $n 1$-spheres to $B$ and forming the pull-back, we may assume that $B$ is a wedge of $n$ 1-spheres, $B=S_{1}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{n}^{1}$. Choose $b_{0} \in B$ to be the wedge point and let $\varphi_{i}: F \rightarrow F$ be the characteristic maps. Let $\alpha: \mathscr{E}_{b_{0}} \rightarrow K$ be a h.e. of $\mathscr{E}_{b_{0}}$ to a finite complex. Define $\psi_{i}=\alpha \varphi_{i} \alpha^{-1}: K \rightarrow K$ and form the space $X \rightarrow B$ of Lemma 9.3. We leave it as a manageable exercise for the reader to construct a h.e. $\beta: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\beta} X
\end{aligned}
$$

homotopy commutes. Then Kernel $\left(i_{*}\right)=\operatorname{Kernel}\left(j_{*} \alpha_{*}\right)$ and all we need is Lemma 9.3.
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