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## Numbam

# PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS AND THE SOCLE IN GROUP RINGS OF PERIODIC ABELIAN GROUPS 

J. S. Richardson


#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a periodic abelian group containing no elements of order $p$ if char $K=p>0$. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the group ring $K G$ to contain primitive idempotents. We also characterize the socle of $K G$, and show that when the socle is non-zero the ascending socular series reaches $K G$ after a finite number of steps.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a periodic abelian group containing no elements of order $p$ if char $K=p>0$. We shall investigate the circumstances under which the group ring $K G$ contains primitive idempotents. We find (Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4) that the following three conditions are necessary and sufficient:
(a) $G$ is almost locally cyclic (i.e. has a locally cyclic subgroup of finite index);
(b) $G$ satisfies the minimum condition on subgroups; and
(c) $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$, where $k$ is the prime field of $K$, and $k(G)$ is a certain algebraic extension of $k$, to be defined in Section 2.

Note that (a) and (b) hold if and only if $G$ has the form

$$
G \cong F \times C_{p_{1}^{\infty}} \times \ldots \times C_{p_{m}^{\infty}},
$$

where $F$ is a finite abelian group and the $C_{p_{i}^{\infty}}$ are Prüfer $p_{i}$-groups for distinct primes $p_{i}$. To foreshadow the significance of (c), we remark that (c) always holds if $G$ is finite or $K$ is a finite extension of $k$, but if $K$ is algebraically closed then (c) holds only if $G$ is finite.

For groups $G$ satisfying (a) and (b), we consider the connection between primitive idempotents in $K G$ and irreducible $K G$-modules. When (c) holds, there is a one-to-one onto correspondence between primitive idempotents in $K G$ and isomorphism classes of irreducible $K G$-modules with finite centralizer (i.e. finite kernel in $G$ ); moreover there are only finitely many non-isomorphic such modules having any fixed finite subgroup of $G$ as centralizer (Theorem 3.4). But if (c) fails to hold the situation is quite different: there are no primitive idempotents in $K G$, but given any finite subgroup $C$ of $G$ such that $G / C$ is locally cyclic, there exist $2^{N_{0}}$ non-isomorphic irreducible $K G$-modules with centralizer $C$ (Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4 we characterize the socle of $K G$ : it is zero if (c) fails, and otherwise it is the intersection of certain maximal ideals of $K G$ (Theorem 4.2). When (a), (b) and (c) hold we find that the ascending socular series of $K G$ reaches $K G$ after a finite number of steps, i.e. that $K G$ has a finite series with completely reducible factors. The number of steps is one plus the number of primes involved in the maximal divisible subgroup of $G$ (Theorem 4.3).

When $G$ is a locally cyclic group with Min, it is convenient to consider a condition equivalent both to (c) and to the existence of primitive idempotents in $K G$ : namely, the existence of $K$-inductive subgroups in $G$. We call a finite subgroup $H$ of $G K$-inductive if every irreducible KH module faithful for $H$ remains irreducible when induced up to $G$. It is with the study of $K$-inductive subgroups that we commence.

Special cases of some of the results have been obtained in papers of Hartley [2], Berman [1], and Müller [4]; more detailed references will be given in the sequel. The author is deeply indebted to Dr Brian Hartley for his aid and encouragement in the writing of this paper.

## 2. $K$-Inductive subgroups

Let $G$ be a periodic abelian group, $\pi(G)$ the set of primes $p$ such that $G$ has elements of order $p$, and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. Let $K G$ be the group ring of $G$ over $K$. Let $\bar{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $K$, and $\bar{K}^{*}$ its multiplicative group. We denote by $K(G)$ the $K$-subalgebra of $\bar{K}$ generated by all images of homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*} ; K(G)$ is in fact a subfield of $\bar{K}$. Since the torsion subgroup of $\bar{K}^{*}$ is a direct product of Prüfer groups, one for each prime not equal to char $K$, if $G$ is locally cyclic then $\bar{K}^{*}$ has exactly one subgroup isomorphic to $G$; the elements of this subgroup generate $K(G)$ as a $K$-algebra, for any quotient of $G$ is isomorphic (albeit unnaturally) to a subgroup of $C$.

Lemma (2.1): Let $H$ be a finite cyclic group and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(H)$. Then there exist irreducible $K H$-modules faithful for $H$, and all such modules have dimension $\mid K(H)$ : $K \mid$ over $K$.

Proof: $K(H)^{*}$ has a unique subgroup isomorphic to $H$, so we may choose a monomorphism $\theta: H \rightarrow K(H)^{*}$. Then $K(H)$ becomes a $K H$ module with $H$-action given by

$$
v \cdot h=v h^{\theta}, \quad v \in K(H), h \in H .
$$

If $0 \neq v \in K(H)$ then $v \cdot K H=v K(H)=K(H)$, so $K(H)$ is an irreducible $K H$-module; it is faithful for $H$ as $\theta$ is one-to-one.

Let $V$ be any irreducible $K H$-module faithful for $H$. Then $V$ is isomorphic to $K H / M$ for some maximal ideal $M$ of $K H$. Now $K H / M$ is a field, containing (since $V$ is faithful) a multiplicative subgroup isomorphic to $H$ which generates it over $K$. It follows that $K H / M$ is algebraic over $K$, and thence isomorphic to the field $K(H)$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K} V=\operatorname{dim}_{K} K H / M=|K(H): K|,
$$

completing the proof.
If $G$ is a periodic abelian group, we will denote by $\Omega(G)$ the subgroup generated by all elements of prime order in $G$. This subgroup is finite if and only if $G$ satisfies Min, the minimum condition on subgroups. If $K$ is a field and $V$ a $K G$-module, we write

$$
C_{G}(V)=\{g \in G: v g=v \text { for all } v \in V\} .
$$

Lemma (2.2): Let $G$ be a periodic abelian group, $H$ a subgroup of $G$ containing $\Omega(G)$, and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. Let $V$ be an irreducible KH-module faithful for $H$, and $W$ a non-zero submodule of the induced module $V^{G}=V \otimes_{K H} K G$. Then $W$ is faithful for $G$.

Proof: Since $G$ is abelian, the restriction $\left.V^{G}\right|_{H}$ of $V^{G}$ to $H$ is a direct sum of copies of $V$. As $V$ is irreducible, $W_{H}$ is also a direct sum of copies of $V$. Suppose $1 \neq g \in C_{G}(W)$. There exists an integer $n$ such that $1 \neq g^{n} \in \Omega(G) \leqq H$. But then $1 \neq g^{n} \in C_{H}\left(W_{H}\right)=C_{H}(V)$, a contradiction as $V$ is faithful for $H$. Hence $W$ is faithful for $G$.

Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a locally cyclic group with Min such that char $K \notin \pi(G)$. A finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ will be called $K$-inductive in $G$ if whenever $V$ is an irreducible KH -module faithful for $H$, the induced module $V^{G}$ is an irreducible $K G$-module.

Lemma (2.3): A finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ is $K$-inductive if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(a) $H$ contains $\Omega(G)$;
(b) whenever $L$ is a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H$, we have

$$
|K(L): K(H)|=|L: H|
$$

Proof: Suppose $H$ is $K$-inductive in $G$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists an irreducible $K H$-module $V$ faithful for $H$; then $V^{G}$ is irreducible.
(a) Suppose $H \not \geqq \Omega(G)$; then there exists a finite non-trivial subgroup $L$ of $G$ with $H L=H \times L$. Now $V^{H \times L}$ is reducible: indeed

$$
\left\{\sum_{x \in L} v \otimes x: v \in V\right\}
$$

is a proper submodule. A fortiori $V^{G}$ is reducible, a contradiction. So $H \geqq \Omega(G)$.
(b) Let $L$ be a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. Then $V^{L}$ like $V^{G}$ is irreducible; by (a) and Lemma $2.2 V^{L}$ is faithful for $L$. Hence using Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K(L): K(H)| & =|K(L): K| /|K(H): K| \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{K} V^{L} / \operatorname{dim}_{K} V \\
& =|L: H|,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $V^{L}=V \otimes_{K H} K L$.
Now suppose (a) and (b) hold. We may express $G$ as the union of a chain

$$
H=H_{0} \leqq H_{1} \leqq H_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq G
$$

of finite subgroups. Let $V$ be any irreducible KH -module faithful for $H$. By (a) and Lemma 2.2, any irreducible submodule of $V^{H_{i}}$ is faithful for $H_{i}$, so has dimension $\mid K\left(H_{i}\right)$ : $K \mid$ by Lemma 2.1. But by (b) and Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K\left(H_{i}\right): K\right| & =\left|K\left(H_{i}\right): K(H)\right||K(H): K| \\
& =\left|H_{i}: H\right| \operatorname{dim}_{K} V \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{K} V^{H_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $V^{H_{i}}$ is itself irreducible. Now $V^{G}$ may be regarded as the union of the $V^{H_{i}}$, so is also irreducible. Thus $H$ is $K$-inductive in $G$.

Corollary (2.4): A finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ is $K$-inductive if and only if there exists an irreducible $K H$-module $V$ faithful for $H$ such that $V^{G}$ is irreducible.

Proof: If such a $V$ exists then by the first half of the proof of Lemma 2.3 H satisfies (a) and (b); then by the second half $H$ is $K$-inductive. The converse follows from Lemma 2.1.

Note also that if $H \leqq L \leqq G$ and $L$ is finite then in any case we have

$$
|K(L): K(H)| \leqq|L: H| .
$$

For if $m=|L: H|$ and the subgroup of $K(L)^{*}$ isomorphic to $L$ is generated by $\xi$, then $\xi^{m} \in K(H)$, so the polynomial $f(X)=X^{m}-\xi^{m}$ has degree $m$ over $K(H)$ and $\xi$ as a root. Hence $|K(L): K(H)|=|K(\xi): K(H)| \leqq m$.

Lemma (2.5): Let $F$ and $K$ be subfields of some field. Then

$$
|K F: F| \leqq|K: K \cap F| .
$$

(Here the ring KF may or may not be a field.)

Proof: Any basis of $K$ over $K \cap F$ also spans $K F$ over $F$.

Theorem (2.6): Let G be a locally cyclic group with Min, and K a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. If there exists any $K$-inductive subgroup in $G$, then there exists a unique minimal $K$-inductive subgroup in $G$.

Proof: Since $K$-inductive subgroups are finite, it is sufficient to show that if $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are $K$-inductive in $G$, then so is $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$. But let $H_{1}$ be $K$-inductive, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ any subgroup of $G$. Then

$$
\Omega\left(H_{2}\right) \leqq \Omega(G) \cap H_{2} \leqq H_{1} \cap H_{2} .
$$

Moreover, if $L$ is a finite subgroup of $H_{2}$ containing $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$, then $H_{1} \cap H_{2}=H_{1} \cap L$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K(L): K\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|K(L): K\left(H_{1} \cap L\right)\right| \\
& \geqq\left|K(L): K\left(H_{1}\right) \cap K(L)\right| \\
& \geqq\left|K\left(H_{1}\right) K(L): K\left(H_{1}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.5. Since $L H_{1}$ is cyclic, we have $K\left(H_{1}\right) K(L)=K\left(I . H_{1}\right)$. So as
$H_{1}$ is $K$-inductive in $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K(L): K\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)\right| & \geqq\left|K\left(L H_{1}\right): K\left(H_{1}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|L H_{1}: H_{1}\right| \\
& =\left|L: H_{1} \cap L\right| \\
& =\left|L: H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\left|K(L): K\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right)\right| \leqq\left|L: H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right|$ by the remark following Corollary 2.4, so by Lemma $2.3 H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ is $K$-inductive in $H_{2}$. If now $H_{2}$ is also $K$-inductive in $G$, it easily follows that $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ is $K$-inductive in $G$. This completes the proof.

We shall now investigate more closely the conditions under which a locally cyclic group with Min contains inductive subgroups for various fields.

Lemma (2.7): Let $G$ be a locally cyclic group with Min. Then $\Omega(G)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-inductive in $G$.

Proof: Suppose $L$ is a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H=\Omega(G)$, and let $\varepsilon$ be a primitive $|L|$-th root of unity. Then

$$
|\mathbb{Q}(L): \mathbb{Q}|=|\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon): \mathbb{Q}|=\varphi(|L|),
$$

where $\varphi$ is the Euler function. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{Q}(L): \mathbb{Q}(H)| & =\varphi(|L|) / \varphi(|H|) \\
& =\varphi(|L: H \| H|) / \varphi(|H|) \\
& =|L: H|,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\pi(L)=\pi(H)$ and if $p$ is a prime dividing an integer $m$, then $\varphi(p m)=p \varphi(m)$. Hence $\Omega(G)=H$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-inductive in $G$ by Lemma 2.3.

If $m$ and $n$ are positive integers, their highest common factor is denoted by $(m, n)$. If $(m, n)=1$, we will denote by $o(m, n)$ the order of $m$ modulo $n$, i.e. the smallest positive integer $r$ such that $n \mid m^{r}-1$. If $G$ is a locally cyclic group with Min, say

$$
G \cong C_{p_{11}^{n}} \times \ldots \times C_{p_{k^{k}}^{n}}
$$

where the $p_{i}$ are distinct primes and $1 \leqq n_{i} \leqq \infty$, then $N=p_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{n_{k}}$
will be called the Steinitz number associated with $G$. Evidently the concepts of divisibility and highest common factor extend to Steinitz numbers.

The following is a slightly strengthened form of Lemma 2.2 in [2].
Lemma (2.8): Let $G$ be a locally cyclic group with Min, and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$ a finite field of order $p^{d}$, with $p \notin \pi(G)$. Let $N$ be the Steinitz number associated with G, and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\left(N, 2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots\right) \\
r & =o\left(p^{d}, n\right) \\
m & =\left(N, p^{d r}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the unique subgroup $H$ of order $m$ in $G$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-inductive in $G$.
Proof: Since $n \mid p^{d r}-1$, we have $n \mid m$, whence $\Omega(G) \leqq H$. Let $L$ be a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. Then $L$ is cyclic and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}(L)$ is the smallest extension $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d t}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$ such that $L$ may be embedded in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d t}}^{*}$, i.e. such that $l=|L|$ divides $\left|\mathbb{F}_{p^{t t}}^{*}\right|=p^{d t}-1$. Hence $t$ is the smallest positive integer such that $l \mid p^{d t}-1$, so we have

$$
\left|\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}(L): \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}\right|=t=o\left(p^{d}, l\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.3, to show that $H$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-inductive in $G$ it is sufficient to prove that $\left|\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}(L): \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}(H)\right|=|L: H|$, i.e. that if $m|l| N$ then

$$
\frac{o\left(p^{d}, l\right)}{o\left(p^{d}, m\right)}=\frac{l}{m}
$$

Note that $o\left(p^{d}, m\right)=r$, for since $n\left|m, r=o\left(p^{d}, n\right)\right| o\left(p^{d}, m\right)$, while as $m\left|p^{d r}-1, o\left(p^{d}, m\right)\right| r$. We will prove by induction on $l / m$ (more precisely, on the sum of the exponents in the prime power factors of $l / m$ ) that if $o\left(p^{d}, l\right)=t$ and $p^{d t}-1=k l$, then $(k, N / m)=1$, and $t / r=l / m$.

Firstly, let $l=m$, so $t=r$. Write $p^{d r}-1=k m$. Then

$$
(k m, N)=\left(p^{d r}-1, N\right)=m
$$

so $(k, N / m)=1$. Also $t / r=1=l / m$.
Now suppose that $m|l| l q \mid N$, where $q$ is a prime. Let $t=o\left(p^{d}, l\right)$ and $p^{d t}-1=k l$. By induction we may assume that $(k, N / m)=1$ and that $t / r=l / m$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{d t q} & =(1+k l)^{q} \\
& =1+q k l+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1)(k l)^{2}+\ldots+(k l)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q_{1} \mid N$ be prime. If $q_{1} \neq q$ then as $q q_{1} \mid l$ we have

$$
p^{d t q} \equiv 1+q k l \quad\left(\bmod l q q_{1}\right) .
$$

If $q_{1}=q$ we have $q \mid l$ so (since $\left.q \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}q \\ s\end{array}\right.\right)$ for $s=2, \ldots, q-1$ )

$$
p^{d t q} \equiv 1+q k l+(k l)^{q} \quad\left(\bmod l q^{2}\right)
$$

whence

$$
p^{d t q} \equiv 1+q k l \quad\left(\bmod l q^{2}\right)
$$

provided $q>2$. But if $q=2$ then $2^{2}|l q| N$ whence $2^{2}|n| m \mid l$, and again we obtain

$$
p^{d t q} \equiv 1+q k l \quad\left(\bmod l q^{2}\right)
$$

In particular we see that $l q \mid p^{d t q}-1$, so $t^{\prime}=o\left(p^{d}, l q\right) \mid t q$. Moreover, $l \mid l q$, so $t=o\left(p^{d}, l\right) \mid t^{\prime}$. If $l q \mid p^{d t}-1=k l$, then $q \mid k$. But $m|l| l q \mid N$, so $q \mid(N / m)$, a contradiction as $(k, N / m)=1$. Hence $l q \nmid p^{d t}-1$. Thus $t\left|t^{\prime}\right| t q$, but $t \neq t^{\prime}$, so $o\left(p^{d}, l q\right)=t^{\prime}=t q$. We have

$$
t^{\prime} / r=t q / r=l q / m
$$

Now write $p^{d t^{\prime}}-1=k^{\prime} l q$. By the above congruences, if $q_{1}$ is any prime divisor of $N$, we have

$$
k^{\prime} l q \equiv k l q \quad\left(\bmod l q q_{1}\right)
$$

whence

$$
k^{\prime} \equiv k \quad\left(\bmod q_{1}\right)
$$

Thus if $q_{1} \mid\left(k^{\prime}, N / m\right)$ then $q_{1} \mid(k, N / m)=1$, a contradiction. Hence $\left(k^{\prime}, N / m\right)=1$. This completes the induction, and the proof.

It can be shown that $H$ is the minimal $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-inductive subgroup of $G$ unless $\left|O_{2}(G)\right|=4$ and $p^{d} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, in which case the subgroup of index 2 in $H$ is minimal inductive.

Lemma (2.9): Let $D$ and $E$ be subfields of some field, and suppose that $E$
is a finite normal extension of $D \cap E$. Then
(a) $D$ and $E$ are linearly disjoint over $D \cap E$;
(b) if $F$ is a subfield of $E$ containing $D \cap E$ then $F D \cap E=F$.

## Proof:

(a) $E$ is the splitting field of some monic irreducible polynomial $f$ over $D \cap E$. In fact $f$ is still irreducible over $D$. For if $f=g h$, where $g$ and $h$ are monic polynomials over $D$, then the roots of $g$ and $h$ are roots of $f$, so all lie in $E$. The coefficients of $g$ and $h$ are (plus or minus) elementary symmetric functions in the roots, so lie in $D \cap E$. But $f$ is irreducible over $D \cap E$, so over $D$ too.

Let $n$ be the degree of $f$, and $\xi$ one of its roots. Then $\left\{1, \xi, \ldots, \xi^{n-1}\right\}$ is a basis of $E$ over $D \cap E$, consisting of elements which are linearly independent over $D$. So $D$ and $E$ are linearly disjoint over $D \cap E$.
(b) Let $\omega_{i}$ be a basis of $D$ over $D \cap E$, with $\omega_{1}=1$. Then $F D=\sum F \omega_{i}$. By (a), the $\omega_{i}$ are linearly independent over $E$ (see Chapter IV Section 5 of [3]). Suppose

$$
\beta=\sum \alpha_{i} \omega_{i} \in F D \cap E \quad\left(\alpha_{i} \in F\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta\right) \omega_{1}+\sum_{i \neq 1} \alpha_{i} \omega_{i}=0 \quad\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta, \alpha_{i} \in E\right)
$$

so $\beta=\alpha_{1} \in F$. Thus $F D \cap E=F$.
Theorem (2.10): Let $K$ be any field, $k$ its prime field, and $G$ a locally cyclic group satisfying Min with char $k \notin \pi(G)$. Then $G$ has a K-inductive subgroup if and only if

$$
|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty .
$$

(Here $k(G) \cap K$ is a subfield of $\bar{K}$, in which $\bar{k}$ and $k(G)$ are embedded.)

Proof: Suppose $H$ is a $K$-inductive subgroup of $G$, and that $L$ is a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. Then by the remark following Corollary 2.4 we have $|k(L): k(H)| \leqq|L: H|=|K(L): K(H)|$ (as $H$ is $K$-inductive). Now $K(L)=k(L) \cdot K(H)$, so by Lemma 2.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K(L): K(H)| & =|k(L) \cdot K(H): K(H)| \\
& \leqq|k(L): k(L) \cap K(H)| \\
& \leqq|k(L): k(H)|
\end{aligned}
$$

(as $k(H) \leqq k(L) \cap K(H)$ ). We now have $|k(L): k(L) \cap K(H)|=|k(L): k(H)|$, whence

$$
k(L) \cap K \leqq k(L) \cap K(H)=k(H)
$$

As $G$ is locally finite it follows that $k(G) \cap K \leqq k(H)$. Hence

$$
|k(G) \cap K: k| \leqq|k(H): k| \leqq|H|<\infty
$$

Conversely, suppose that $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$ : say $k(G) \cap K=k(\gamma)$. By Lemma 2.7 or 2.8 , as $k$ is a prime field, $G$ contains a $k$-inductive subgroup $H_{1}$. Since $G$ is locally finite, there exists a finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ containing $H_{1}$ and such that $\gamma \in k(H)$. Then

$$
k(G) \cap K=k(\gamma) \leqq k(H)
$$

We will show that $H$ is $K$-inductive in $G$. Note first that $H \geqq H_{1} \geqq \Omega(G)$ by Lemma 2.3.

Let $L$ be a finite subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. Then the cyclotomic field $k(L)$ is a finite normal extension of $k(L) \cap K$; moreover

$$
k(L) \cap K \leqq k(G) \cap K \leqq k(H)
$$

Hence by Lemma 2.9 (b), with $D=K, E=k(L)$, and $F=k(H)$, we have

$$
K(H) \cap k(L)=(K \cdot k(H)) \cap k(L)=k(H) .
$$

By Lemma 2.9(a), $K(H)(=D)$ and $k(L)(=E)$ are linearly disjoint over their intersection $k(H)$. Hence a basis for $k(L)$ over $k(H)$ also constitutes a basis for $K(L)=K(H) \cdot k(L)$ over $K(H)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K(L): K(H)| & =|k(L): k(H)| \\
& =\left|k(L): k\left(H_{1}\right)\right| /\left|k(H): k\left(H_{1}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|L: H_{1}\right| /\left|H: H_{1}\right| \\
& =|L: H|
\end{aligned}
$$

as $H_{1}$ is $k$-inductive. By Lemma 2.3, $H$ is $K$-inductive in $G$.
Corollary (2.11): Let $K$ be any field, $k$ its prime field, and $G$ a periodic abelian group with char $k \notin \pi(G)$. Suppose that

$$
|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty
$$

Then every locally cyclic quotient of $G$ satisfying Min contains a $K$-inductive subgroup.

Proof: If $\bar{G}$ is any quotient of $G$, every image of $\bar{G}$ in $\bar{k}^{*}$ is also an image of $G$, and therefore $k(\bar{G}) \leqq k(G)$. Now apply Theorem 2.10.

## 3. Primitive idempotents in $K \boldsymbol{G}$

Let $G$ be an abelian group and $K$ a field. If $\alpha=\sum \alpha_{g} g \in K G$, we denote by supp $\alpha$ the finite set $\left\{g \in G: \alpha_{g} \neq 0\right\}$. We will write

$$
C_{G}(\alpha)=\{g \in G: \alpha g=\alpha\} .
$$

Since $G$ is abelian, $C_{G}(\alpha)$ is in fact the centralizer $C_{G}(\alpha K G)$ in $G$ of $\alpha K G$ considered as a $K G$-module. If $e$ is an idempotent in $K G$, we say $e$ is faithful (for $G$ ) if $C_{G}(e)=1$.

Lemma (3.1): Let $G$ be a periodic abelian group and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. Suppose $K G$ contains a primitive idempotent e. Then $G$ satisfies Min and is almost locally cyclic (i.e. has a locally cyclic subgroup of finite index). If $e$ is faithful, $G$ is locally cyclic, and〈suppe〉 is $K$-inductive in $G$.

Proof: Let $H=\langle\operatorname{supp} e\rangle$, a finite subgroup of $G$. Then $e K H$ is an irreducible $K H$-module, and $\left.e K H\right|^{G}=e K G$ is an irreducible $K G$-module (for otherwise $G$ would contain a finite subgroup $L \geqq H$ with $e K L$ reducible; but $e$ is primitive in $K L$ ). As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, it follows that $H \geqq \Omega(G)$, whence $\Omega(G)$ is finite and $G$ satisfies Min. If $e$ is faithful for $G$ so for $H$, then $H$ is $K$-inductive in $G$ by Corollary 2.4.
The group $C=C_{G}(e)$ is finite, since it acts as a group of permutations on the finite set supp $e$. The irreducible $K G$-module $e K G$, considered as a ring, is actually a field $F$. The homomorphism $G \rightarrow F^{*}, g \mapsto e g$ has kernel $C$. Hence $G / C$ embeds in $F^{*}$ so is locally cyclic. Let $|C|=m$. Since $G$ is abelian, $G^{m}=\left\{g^{m}: g \in G\right\}$ is a quotient of $G$ and indeed of $G / C$, as $C^{m}=1$. Thus $G^{m}$ is locally cyclic. But $G / G^{m}$ has finite exponent and satisfies Min, so is finite. Hence $G$ is almost locally cyclic. If $e$ is faithful then $m=1$ and $G$ itself is locally cyclic. This completes the proof.

We shall now investigate the circumstances under which $K G$ contains primitive idempotents faithful for $G$, given that $G$ is locally cyclic and satisfies Min. We shall need:

Lemma (3.2): Let $G$ be a periodic abelian group, $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$, and $H_{0} \leqq H_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq G$ a chain of finite subgroups with union $G$. For each $i$, let $e_{i}$ be a primitive idempotent in $K H_{i}$, such that $e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}$. Then there exists a maximal ideal $M$ of $K G$ such that:
(a) for each $i, 1-e_{i} \in M$ and $e_{i} \notin M$;
(b) $C_{G}(K G / M)=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$.

Proof: For each $i$, write

$$
K H_{i}=e_{i} K H_{i} \oplus M_{i},
$$

where $M_{i}=\left(1-e_{i}\right) K H_{i}$ is a maximal ideal of $K H_{i}$. We have

$$
\left(1-e_{i+1}\right)\left(1-e_{i}\right)=1-e_{i}
$$

whence

$$
M_{i}=\left(1-e_{i}\right) K H_{i} \leqq\left(1-e_{i}\right) K H_{i+1} \leqq\left(1-e_{i+1}\right) K H_{i+1}=M_{i+1}
$$

Since $G=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}, M=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_{i}$ is an ideal of $K G$. Moreover $e_{0} \notin M$, for if $e_{0} \in M_{i}$ then $e_{0} e_{i}=0$, but then $e_{i}=e_{i} e_{i-1} \ldots e_{1} e_{0}=0$. Thus $M$ is a proper ideal of $K G$; furthermore it is maximal since $M \cap K H_{i}=M_{i}$ for each $i$. For each $i, 1-e_{i} \in M_{i} \subseteq M$, so as $1 \notin M, e_{i} \notin M$. Thus we have (a).

Let $x \in C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha \in K G$. Choose $j \geqq i$ such that $x, \alpha \in K H_{j}$. Since $e_{j}=e_{j} e_{j-1} \ldots e_{i}$, we have $x \in C_{G}\left(e_{j}\right)$. Thus $(\alpha x-\alpha) e_{j}=0$, whence $\alpha x-\alpha \in\left(1-e_{j}\left(K H_{j}=M_{j} \subseteq M\right.\right.$, i.e. $(\alpha+M) x=\alpha+M$. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right) \leqq C_{G}(K G / M)$.

Conversely let $x \in C_{G}(K G / M)$, so that $x-1 \in M$. Choose $i$ so that $x \in H_{i}$. Then $x-1 \in M \cap K H_{i}=M_{i}$ (as $M_{i}$ is maximal in $K H_{i}$ ). Thus $e_{i}(x-1)=0$, so $e_{i} x=e_{i}$ and $x \in C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$. This completes the proof of (b).

Theorem (3.3): Let $G$ be a locally cyclic group with Min and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $K G$ contains a faithful primitive idempotent;
(b) $G$ contains a K-inductive subgroup;
(c) there are only finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible $K G$-modules faithful for $G$;
(d) there do not exist $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ non-isomorphic irreducible $K G$-modules faithful for $G$;
(e) $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$, where $k$ is the prime field of $K$.

Furthermore, when (a)-(e) hold, there is a one-to-one onto correspondence between faithful primitive idempotents of $K G$ and isomorphism classes of irreducible KG-modules faithful for $G$.

Proof: (a) implies (b) by Lemma 3.1, and (b) is equivalent to (e) by Theorem 2.10.

Now suppose $H$ is a $K$-inductive subgroup of $G$, and $V$ is an irreducible $K G$-module faithful for $G$. Since $H$ is finite, $V_{H}$ is completely reducible, so it contains an irreducible $K H$-submodule $W$ say. Then $V_{H}=\sum_{x \in G} W x$, and $W x \cong W$ as $K H$-modules since $G$ is abelian. Hence

$$
C_{H}(W)=C_{H}\left(V_{H}\right)=1 .
$$

So as $H$ is $K$-inductive, $W^{G}$ is irreducible. But there is a non-zero $K G$-map $W^{G} \rightarrow V, w \otimes x \mapsto w x$, so $V \cong W^{G}$. Thus every irreducible $K G$-module faithful for $G$ is isomorphic to $W^{G}$ for some irreducible $K H$-module $W$ faithful for $H$. (Note that $W \cong e K H$ and $V \cong e K G$ for some idempotent $e$ in $K H$ which is faithful and primitive in $K G$.) There are only finitely many non-isomorphic such $W$, and therefore only finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible $K G$-modules faithful for $G$. Hence (b) implies (c). Trivially (c) implies (d).

The last part of the Theorem now also follows. For if $e$ is a faithful primitive idempotent in $K G$, then $e K G$ is an irreducible $K G$-module faithful for $G$; as we have just shown, every such module arises in this way. If $e$ and $f$ are idempotents in $K G$ and $e K G \cong f K G$, then if $\theta: e K G \rightarrow f K G$ is an isomorphism, we have $\theta(e)=f \theta(e)=\theta(e) f$; applying $\theta^{-1}$ we obtain $e=e f$. Similarly $f=f e$, so $e=f$.

To prove that (d) implies (a), we shall assume that $K G$ contains no faithful primitive idempotent, and exhibit $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ non-isomorphic irreducible $K G$-modules faithful for $G$. Let

$$
\Omega(G)=L_{0} \leqq L_{1} \leqq L_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq G
$$

be a chain of finite subgroups with union $G$.
For $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ let $T_{n}$ denote the set of all $n$-tuples with each entry either 0 or 1 . By induction we will construct for each integer $n$ a finite subgroup $H_{n}$ of $G$ and for each $\varphi \in T_{n}$ a faithful primitive idempotent $e_{\varphi}$ in $K H_{n}$. Firstly, let $H_{0}=L_{0}=\Omega(G)$. By Lemma 2.1, $K H_{0}$ contains a faithful primitive idempotent $e$.

Now suppose inductively that we have constructed $H_{n}$ and $\left\{e_{\varphi}: \varphi \in T_{n}\right\}$. By Lemma 2.2 each $e_{\varphi}$ is faithful for $G$, so by hypothesis is not primitive in $K G$. Hence we may choose a finite subgroup $H_{n+1}$ of $G$ containing $L_{n+1}$ and such that for each $\varphi \in T_{n}, e_{\varphi}$ decomposes in $K H_{n+1}$; say

$$
e_{\varphi} K H_{n+1}=e_{(\varphi, 0)} K H_{n+1} \oplus e_{(\varphi, 1)} K H_{n+1} \oplus \ldots,
$$

where $e_{(\varphi, 0)}$ and $e_{(\varphi, 1)}$ are primitive idempotents in $K H_{n+1}$. By Lemma 2.2, since $e_{\varphi} K H_{n+1}=\left.e_{\varphi} K H_{n}\right|^{H_{n+1}}, e_{(\varphi, 0)}$ and $e_{(\varphi, 1)}$ are faithful for $H_{n+1}$. Thus we have chosen $e_{\varphi^{\prime}}$, for each $\varphi^{\prime} \in T_{n+1}$. This completes the inductive construction. Note that

$$
\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_{i}=G .
$$

Let $\varphi=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right)$ be an infinite sequence of 0 's and 1's. Write $e_{0}(\varphi)=e$ and $e_{n}(\varphi)=e_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$. By Lemma 3.2 there is a maximal ideal $M=M(\varphi)$ of $K G$ with $1-e_{n}(\varphi) \in M(\varphi)$ and $e_{n}(\varphi) \notin M(\varphi)$ for all $n$, and

$$
C_{G}(K G / M(\varphi))=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{n}(\varphi)\right)=1 .
$$

Thus $V(\varphi)=K G / M(\varphi)$ is an irreducible $K G$-module faithful for $G$.
If $\varphi \neq \psi$ then $V(\varphi)$ and $V(\psi)$ are not $K G$-isomorphic. For if $\varphi$ and $\psi$ differ first in the $n$-th place, then $e_{n}(\varphi) e_{n}(\psi)=0$; hence

$$
e_{n}(\psi)=e_{n}(\psi)\left(1-e_{n}(\varphi)\right) \in M(\varphi),
$$

so $e_{n}(\psi)$ annihilates $V(\varphi)$. But $1-e_{n}(\psi) \in M(\psi)$, so $e_{n}(\psi)$ acts as the identity on $V(\psi)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

In Lemma 2.12 of [1], S. D. Berman proves a result related to part of Theorem 3.3, for the special case of abelian $p$-groups. Note that a field $K$ with prime field $k$ is "of the first kind for $p$ ", in Berman's terminology, if and only if $\left|k\left(C_{p^{\infty}}\right) \cap K: k\right|<\infty$.
The following corollary to Theorem 3.3 generalizes Lemma 2.5 of [2].
Theorem (3.4): Let $K$ be a field, $k$ its prime field, and $G$ an abelian almost locally cyclic group with Min such that char $k \notin \pi(G)$. If $|k(G) \cap K: k|=\infty$, then $K G$ contains no primitive idempotents. Suppose that $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$. If C is any finite subgroup of $G$ such that $G / C$ is locally cyclic, then $K G$ contains a non-zero finite number of primitive idempotents $e$ with $C_{G}(e)=C$, and there is a one-to-one onto correspondence between such idempotents and isomorphism classes of irreducible KGmodules $V$ with $C_{G}(V)=C$.

Proof: Let $C$ be any finite subgroup of $G$. We may write

$$
K G=\mathfrak{c} G \oplus v K G
$$

where $\mathfrak{c} G$ is the ideal of $K G$ generated by the augmentation ideal c of $K C$, and $v$ is the idempotent

$$
\frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{x \in C} x
$$

It is easily deduced that the canonical group ring projection

$$
K G \rightarrow K[G / C] \quad(\cong K G / \mathfrak{c} G \cong \nu K G)
$$

determines a one-to-one map from the set of primitive idempotents $e$ in $K G$ with $C_{G}(e)=C$ onto the set of faithful primitive idempotents in $K[G / C]$. (Both these sets might be empty.)

Suppose $K G$ contains a primitive idempotent $e$; we will show that $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$. Let $C=C_{G}(e)$. By the above the image of $e$ in $K[G / C]$ is a primitive idempotent faithful for $G / C$. Thus $G / C$ is locally cyclic, and by Theorem $3.3|k(G / C) \cap K: k|<\infty$.

Since every image of $G / C$ is an image of $G$, we have $k(G / C) \leqq k(G)$. Now let

$$
F=k\left(\prod O_{p}(G)\right)
$$

where the product is taken over those primes $p$ such that $O_{p}(G)$ is finite. Then $|F: k|<\infty$ since $G$ satisfies Min. Moreover $k(G)=F \cdot k(G / C)$. For $k(G)$ is determined by the exponents of the primary components of $G$, and since $C$ is finite, if $\exp O_{p}(G)=\infty$ then $\exp O_{p}(G / C)=\infty$. Hence by Lemma 2.5 ,

$$
|k(G): k(G / C)|=|F \cdot k(G / C): k(G / C)| \leqq|F: k|<\infty .
$$

Now $k(G / C)$ is a union of finite normal extensions of $k$, so also of $k(G / C) \cap K$; Lemma 2.9(a) together with a local argument shows that $k(G / C)$ and $K$ are linearly disjoint over $k(G / C) \cap K$. In particular, any subset of $k(G) \cap K$ which is lineărly independent over $k(G / C) \cap K$ is a subset of $k(G)$ which is linearly independent over $k(G / C)$. Hence

$$
|k(G) \cap K: k(G / C) \cap K| \leqq|k(G): k(G / C)|<\infty .
$$

We now have


$$
|k(G) \cap K: k|=|k(G) \cap K: k(G / C) \cap K \| k(G / C) \cap K: k|<\infty
$$

Now suppose that $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$, and that $C$ is a finite subgroup of $G$ such that $G / C$ is locally cyclic. Since $k(G / C) \leqq k(G)$ we also have $|k(G / C) \cap K: k|<\infty$. In view of the one-to-one correspondence mentioned in the first paragraph of this proof, an application of Theorem 3.3 to $K[G / C]$ yields the remaining statements of Theorem 3.4.

## 4. The socular series of $K \boldsymbol{G}$

If $V$ is a module recall that the socle $\operatorname{So}(V)$ of $V$ is the sum of all irreducible submodules of $V$. We define the ascending socular series of $V$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S o_{0}(V) & =0 \\
S o_{1}(V) & =S o(V) \\
\frac{S o_{n+1}(V)}{S o_{n}(V)} & =S o\left(\frac{V}{S o_{n}(V)}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular if $A$ is a commutative ring, we obtain an ascending socular series of $A$ considered as an $A$-module.

Lemma (4.1): Let $G$ be a locally finite group and $K$ a field with char $K \notin \pi(G)$. Then the socle of $K G$ (considered as left or right $K G$ module) contains and is generated by all primitive idempotents in $K G$.

Proof: We consider the right module case; the proof for the left module case is analogous. If $e$ is a primitive idempotent in $K G$ then $e K G$ is irreducible, for otherwise as $G$ is locally finite there exists a finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $e \in K H$ such that $e K H$ is reducible, a contradiction as $K H$ is completely reducible and $e$ is primitive in $K H$. Hence $e \in e K G \leqq S o\left(K G_{K G}\right)$.

Let $N$ be a minimal right ideal of $K G$. Since $G$ is locally finite there exists a finite subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $K H \cap N \neq 0$. As $K H$ is completely reducible, $K H \cap N$ contains an idempotent $e$. Then $N=e K G$, so $e$ is primitive in $K G$. Hence $\operatorname{So}\left(K G_{K G}\right)$ is generated as a right ideal by the primitive idempotents of $K G$.

Theorem (4.2): Let $K$ be a field with prime field $k$, and $G$ a periodic abelian group such that char $k \notin \pi(G)$. If $|k(G) \cap K: k|=\infty$, then the socle of $K G$ is zero. If $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$, then the socle of $K G$ is the intersection $T$ of the maximal ideals $M$ of $K G$ such that $C_{G}(K G / M)$ is infinite.

Proof: If $|k(G) \cap K: k|=\infty$, then by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4, $K G$ contains no primitive idempotents. Hence $\operatorname{So}(K G)=0$ by Lemma 4.1. Now assume that $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$.

Suppose that $N$ is a minimal ideal of $K G, M$ is a maximal ideal, and $N \nsubseteq M$. Then $K G=N \oplus M$, so $C_{G}(K G / M)=C_{G}(N)$. Let $0 \neq \alpha \in N$; then $C_{G}(N)$ is contained in $C_{G}(\alpha)$, which is finite since it acts as a group of permutations on $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$. Hence $C_{G}(K G / M)$ is finite. It follows that $S o(K G) \leqq T$.

To show that $T \leqq \operatorname{So}(K G)$, suppose $0 \neq \alpha \in T$. Let. $H=\langle\operatorname{supp} \alpha\rangle$, and write

$$
\alpha=\alpha e_{1}+\ldots+\alpha e_{m}
$$

where the $e_{i}$ are orthogonal primitive idempotents in $K H$, and $\alpha e_{i} \neq 0$ for each $i$. Since $e_{i} K H$ is irreducible, $\alpha e_{i} K H=e_{i} K H$, so there exists $\beta_{i} \in K H$ such that $e_{i}=\alpha e_{i} \beta_{i}$; thus $e_{i} \in T$. Hence it is sufficient to show that if $H$ is a finite subgroup of $G, e$ is a primitive idempotent in $K H$, and $e \in T$, then $e \in \operatorname{So}(K G)$, i.e. if $e \notin \operatorname{So}(K G)$ then $e \notin T$.

If $C_{G}(K G / M)$ is infinite for all maximal ideals $M$ of $K G$, then $T$ is the Jacobson radical of $K G$. But $K G$ is semisimple (see Theorem 18.7 of [5]), so $T=0 \leqq \operatorname{So}(K G)$ as required. Hence we may assume that there exists a maximal ideal $M$ of $K G$ with $C=C_{G}(K G / M)$ finite. Then $G / C$ embeds in the multiplicative subgroup of the field $K G / M$, so is locally cyclic whence countable. Thus $G$ is also countable. Hence there
exists a chain

$$
H=H_{0} \leqq H_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq G
$$

of finite subgroups with union $G$.
Assume first that $G$ does not satisfy Min. Then by Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1 $\operatorname{So}(K G)=0$, so the condition that $e \notin \operatorname{So}(K G)$ is vacuous; in effect we must show that $T$ is also zero. We shall construct by induction a subchain $H_{n_{0}} \leqq H_{n_{1}} \leqq \ldots$ of $H_{0} \leqq H_{1} \leqq \ldots$ and for each $i$ a primitive idempotent $e_{i}$ in $K H_{n_{i}}$ such that $e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}$. Firstly, let $n_{0}=0$ and $e_{0}=e$. Suppose we have already found $n_{i}$ and $e_{i}$. Since $G$ does not satisfy Min and $C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$ is finite, $\Omega(G)$ is not contained in $C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$, so there exists a non-trivial finite subgroup $L_{i}$ of $G$ with $C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right) \cap L_{i}=1$. Choose $n_{i+1}$ such that $H_{n_{i}+1} \geqq L_{i} H_{n_{i}}$. Let

$$
v_{i}=\frac{1}{\left|L_{i}\right|} \sum_{x \in L_{i}} x
$$

be the trivial primitive idempotent in $K L_{i}$, and choose a primitive idempotent $e_{i+1}$ in $K H_{n_{i+1}}$ such that $\left(e_{i} v_{i}\right) e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}$; then also $e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}$. Now $L_{i} \leqq C_{G}\left(e_{i+1}\right)$, so $C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right) \nsupseteq C_{G}\left(e_{i+1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a maximal ideal $M$ of $K G$ such that $e=e_{0} \notin M$, and

$$
C_{G}(K G / M)=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right),
$$

which by construction is infinite. Thus $e \notin T$ as required. Hence we may assume that $G$ satisfies Min.

If $f$ is a primitive idempotent in $K H_{n}$ for some $n \geqq 0$, consider the set of all sequences $\left(f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots\right)$ such that
(i) $f_{i}$ is a primitive idempotent in $K H_{i}$ for all $i \geqq n$;
(ii) $f_{n}=f$;
(iii) $f_{i} f_{i+1}=f_{i+1}$ for all $i \geqq n$.

If $m \geqq 0$ we shall say that $f$ is $m$-stationary if for all such sequences $\left(f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots\right)$ and all $i \geqq 0$ we have $f_{n+m}=f_{n+m+i}$. Note that if

$$
f=f_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+f_{t}^{\prime}
$$

where the $f_{j}^{\prime}$ are orthogonal primitive idempotents in $K H_{n+1}$, then $f$ is $m$-stationary (for $m \geqq 1$ ) if and only if each $f_{j}^{\prime}$ is ( $m-1$ )-stationary. Moreover $f$ is 0 -stationary if and only if it is primitive in $K G$. Hence if $f$ is $m$-stationary and we write $f$ as a sum of orthogonal primitive
idempotents in $\mathrm{KH}_{n+m}$, then each such idempotent will be 0 -stationary; thus by Lemma 4.1 we have $f \in \operatorname{So}(K G)$.

Now let $e$ be a primitive idempotent in $K H$ with $e \notin S o(K G)$. Then $e=e_{0}$ is not $m$-stationary for any $m$. Hence among the finitely many orthogonal primitive idempotents in $K H_{1}$ whose sum is $e_{0}$, there must exist one, say $e_{1}$, which is not $m$-stationary for any $m$. Similarly we may choose a primitive idempotent $e_{2}$ in $K H_{2}$ which satisfies $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2}$ and is not $m$-stationary for any $m$, and so on. In this way we obtain a sequence $e_{0}=e, e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$ such that $e_{i}$ is a primitive idempotent in $K H_{i}$, and $e_{i} e_{i+1}=e_{i+1}$.

Consider the chain of subgroups $C_{G}\left(e_{0}\right) \leqq C_{G}\left(e_{1}\right) \leqq \ldots$, and suppose that $C=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$ is finite; then $C=C_{G}\left(e_{n}\right)$ for some $n$. For $i \geqq n$, $e_{i} K H_{i}$ is an irreducible module faithful for $H_{i} / C$, so $H_{i} / C$ is cyclic; hence $G / C$ is locally cyclic. Also $|k(G / C) \cap K: k| \leqq|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$, so by Theorem $2.10 G / C$ contains a $K$-inductive subgroup. Thus we may choose $s \geqq n$ so that $H_{s} / C$ is $K$-inductive in $G / C$. But $e_{s}$ is a primitive idempotent in $K H_{s}$ with $C_{G}\left(e_{s}\right)=C$, so $e_{s}$ is primitive in $K G$, i.e. 0 -stationary, a contradiction. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$ is infinite, whence by Lemma 3.2 there is a maximal ideal $M$ of $K G$ such that $e=e_{0} \notin M$ and $C_{G}(K G / M)=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_{G}\left(e_{i}\right)$ is infinite. Hence $e \notin T$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

As an example we may take $G$ to be a Prüfer group and $K$ any field satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2. Then the augmentation ideal $\mathfrak{g}$ of $K G$ is the only maximal ideal $M$ such that $C_{G}(K G / M)$ is infinite. Hence $S o(K G)=g$, a result obtained by W. Müller in [4] in the case where $K$ is a subfield of the field of complex numbers. But $K G / \mathrm{g}$ is the trivial irreducible $K G$-module, so $\mathrm{So}_{2}(K G)=K G$. The next theorem generalizes this observation.

Theorem (4.3): Let $K$ be a field with prime field $k$, and $G$ an abelian almost locally cyclic group with Min such that char $k \notin \pi(G)$ and $|k(G) \cap K: k|<\infty$. Let $m$ be the number of factors in a decomposition of the maximal divisible subgroup of $G$ as a direct product of Prüfer groups. Then the ascending socular series of $K G$ reaches $K G$ after exactly $m+1$ steps, i.e. $S o_{m}(K G) \neq K G=S o_{m+1}(K G)$.

Proof: We may write

$$
G=F \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}
$$

where $F$ is finite and for $i=1, \ldots, m P_{i}$ is a Prüfer $p_{i}$-group, where the
$p_{i}$ are distinct primes. We proceed by induction on $m$. If $r_{\iota}=0$ then $G$ is finite, so $K G$ is completely reducible and $\operatorname{So}(K G)=K G$.
Suppose $m \geqq 1$. Let $\varphi_{i}: K G \rightarrow K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ be the canonical projection of group rings, and define a $K G$-homomorphism $\theta$ by the commutativity of the diagrams


Then

$$
\operatorname{ker} \theta=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{i}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p}_{i} G
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{i} G$ is the ideal of $K G$ generated by the augmentation ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $K P_{i}$.

Since $K G / \mathfrak{p}_{i} G \cong K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ and $K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ is semisimple, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}_{i} G$ is the intersection of the maximal ideals $M$ of $K G$ containing it. But if $M \geqq \mathfrak{p}_{i} G$ then $C_{G}(K G / M)$ contains $P_{i}$ so is infinite. Thus ker $\theta$ is the intersection of certain maximal ideals $M$ with $C_{G}(K G / M)$ infinite, so by Theorem $4.2 \operatorname{ker} \theta \geqq S o(K G)$. On the other hand if $M$ is any maximal ideal of $K G$ with $C_{G}(K G / M)$ infinite, then $C_{G}(K G / M)$ contains $P_{i}$ for some $i$, whence $\operatorname{ker} \theta \leqq \mathfrak{p}_{i} G \leqq M$. Thus by Theorem 4.2 again we have $\operatorname{ker} \theta \leqq S o(K G)$. Therefore $\operatorname{ker} \theta=\operatorname{So}(K G)$.

Hence $\theta$ induces a $K G$-monomorphism

$$
\frac{K G}{S o(K G)} \rightarrow B=\underset{i=1}{m} K\left[G / P_{i}\right]
$$

By induction, the ascending socular series of $K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ (as $K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ module) reaches $K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ after exactly $m$ steps. Thus the ascending socular series of $B$ (as $K G$-module) reaches $B$ after $m$ steps, i.e. $S o_{m}\left(B_{K G}\right)=B$. Hence

$$
\frac{S o_{m+1}(K G)}{S o(K G)}=S o_{m}\left(\left(\frac{K G}{S o(K G)}\right)_{K G}\right)=\frac{K G}{\operatorname{So}(K G)}
$$

whence $S o_{m+1}(K G)=K G$. If $S o_{m}(K G)=K G$ then we would have

$$
S o_{m-1}\left(\frac{K G}{S o(K G)}\right)=\frac{K G}{S o(K G)},
$$

a contradiction as $K\left[G / P_{i}\right]$ is a quotient of $K G / \operatorname{So}(K G)$ but

$$
S o_{m-1}\left(K\left[G / P_{i}\right]\right) \neq K\left[G / P_{i}\right] .
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Despite Theorem 4.3 the group rings we have been studying do not seem to satisfy any form of the Jordan-Hölder Theorem. In fact, if $K$ and $G$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 and $G$ is infinite, we may enumerate the primitive idempotents of $K G$, say as $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots$. Then $K G$ has a descending composition series

$$
K G=V_{0}>V_{1}>V_{2}>\ldots
$$

of type $\omega$, where for $n \geqq 1$

$$
V_{n}=\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\right) K G .
$$

(Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{n}$ contains no primitive idempotents it is disjoint from $S o(K G)$ by Lemma 4.1, whence zero by Theorem 4.3.) For each $n \geqq 0$ the factor $V_{n} / V_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to $e_{n+1} K G$, so $C_{G}\left(V_{n} / V_{n+1}\right)$ is finite. Hence for example the trivial irreducible $K G$-module does not occur as a factor in the composition series.
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