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# Graded Lie Algebras of Maximal Class IV 

A. CARANTI - M. R. VAUGHAN-LEE


#### Abstract

We describe the isomorphism classes of certain infinite-dimensional graded Lie algebras of maximal class, generated by an element of weight one and an element of weight two, over fields of odd characteristic.


Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 7B70 (primary), 17B65, 17B05, 17B30 (secondary).

## 1. - Introduction

Let $M$ be a Lie algebra over the field $\mathbf{F}$. Suppose $M$ is nilpotent of nilpotency class $c$, so that $c$ is the smallest number such that $M^{c+1}=0$. If $M$ has finite dimension $n \geq 2$, it is well-known that $c \leq n-1$. When $c=n-1$, $M$ is said to be a Lie algebra of maximal class.

Consider the Lie powers $M^{i}$. Then $M$ is of maximal class when the codimension of $M^{i}$ is exactly $i$, for $i \leq c+1$. It is natural to extend the definition to an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $M$ by saying that $M$ is of maximal class when the codimension of $M^{i}$ is $i$ for all $i$ (see [6]).

One can grade $M$ with respect to the filtration of the $M^{i}$ : let

$$
L_{i}=M^{i} / M^{i+1}
$$

and consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural way of defining a Lie product on $L$, and the graded Lie algebra $L$ has the following properties: $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{1}\right)=2, \operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $i \geq 2$, and $L$ is generated by $L_{1}$. Note that here too we allow all $L_{i}$ to be nonzero, thereby including infinite-dimensional algebras. A graded Lie algebra $L$
satisfying these conditions is called a graded Lie algebra of maximal class in [2], [3], [5]. However, this definition does not capture all possibilities. One of the other possibilities for a graded Lie algebra $L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i}$ to be of maximal class is to have $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$, with $L$ generated by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. We call a graded Lie algebra of this form an algebra of type 2 , whereas we refer to a graded Lie algebra of maximal class in the sense of [2], [3], [5] as an algebra of type 1 .

In studying algebras of type 2 , we will mainly deal with the infinite dimensional ones (as in [6], [2], [3], [5]). However, our arguments also provide fairly complete information about finite dimensional algebras.

If the characteristic of the underlying field $\mathbf{F}$ is zero, it is well-known that there is only one infinite dimensional algebra of type 1 . This is the algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left\langle x, y:\left[y x^{i} y\right]=0, \text { for all } i \geq 1\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $y$ have weight 1 . The ideal generated by $y$ is an abelian maximal ideal here. However, if $\mathbf{F}$ has prime characteristic $p$ there are uncountably many algebras of type 1 [6], [2]; these algebras were classified in [3], [5].

Over a field $\mathbf{F}$ of characteristic zero there are three infinite-dimensional algebras of type 2 [7], [4], called $m, m_{2}$ and $W$, and these are defined over the integers. The first one is a close analogue to $a$. It is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}:\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{i} e_{2}\right]=0, \text { for all } i \geq 1\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}$ has weight 1 and $e_{2}$ has weight 2 . The ideal generated by $e_{2}$ is an abelian maximal ideal here. The second one is defined as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{2}=\left\langle e_{i}, i \geq 1:\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \text { for all } i \geq 2,\right. \\
{\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=e_{i+2}, \text { for all } i \geq 3}  \tag{4}\\
\left.\left[e_{i} e_{j}\right]=0, \text { for all } i, j \geq 3\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

where $e_{i}$ has weight $i$. Here $m_{2}^{2}=\left\langle e_{i}: i \geq 3\right\rangle$ is a maximal abelian ideal. The third algebra is the positive part of the Witt algebra:

$$
W=\left\langle e_{i}, i \geq 1:\left[e_{i} e_{j}\right]=(i-j) e_{i+j}\right\rangle
$$

and is not soluble.
When one considers these algebras over a field $\mathbf{F}$ of prime characteristic $p>2, m$ and $m_{2}$ give algebras of type 2 , but $W$ does not.

We will show in the next section that there is a natural way to obtain an algebra of type 2 from an uncovered algebra of type 1 . (See the next section for the relevant definition.) In particular, $m$ arises from $a$ in this way. We will show that for prime characteristic $p>2$ the algebras of type 2 consist of

- algebras arising in this natural way from algebras of type 1 ,
- $m_{2}$,
- one further family of soluble algebras,
- in the case $p=3$, one additional family of soluble algebras.

This yields a classification of algebras of type 2 over fields of characteristic $p>2$. We believe the case of characteristic two to be considerably more complicated.
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## 2. - Preliminaries

Let $L$ be an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{F}$ that is graded over the positive integers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{1}\right)=2, \operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right)=1$ for $i>1$, and $L$ is generated by $L_{1}$, we say that $L$ is an algebra of type 1 . These are the algebras that are called algebras of maximal class in [2], [3], [5]. In these papers these algebras are classified over fields of prime characteristic $p$.

As mentioned in the Introduction, over a field of characteristic zero there is only one isomorphism class of algebras of type 1 . This is the algebra $a$ of (2) generated by two elements $x$ and $y$ of weight 1 , subject to the relations [ $\left.y x^{i} y\right]=0$, for all $i \geq 1$. This algebra is metabelian, and the graded maximal ideal containing $y$ is abelian. Here we use the notation

$$
\left[y x^{i} y\right]=[y \underbrace{x \ldots x}_{i} y] .
$$

If in the algebra (5) we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i \geq 1$, and if $L$ is generated by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, we say that $L$ is an algebra of type 2 . Choose nonzero elements $e_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $e_{2} \in L_{2}$. Since $L$ is of maximal class, for each $i \geq 2$ we have $\left[L_{i} L_{1}\right]=L_{i+1}$. Therefore we can recursively define $e_{i+1}=\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]$, for $i \geq 2$, and we have $L_{i}=\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle$ for all $i$. We keep this notation fixed for the rest of the paper, allowing ourselves to rescale $e_{2}$ when needed.

In [2], [3], to which we refer the reader for all details, a theory of constituents has been developed for algebras of type 1 over fields of positive characteristic $p$. If $L$ is such an algebra, define its $i$-th two-step centralizer as

$$
C_{i}=C_{L_{1}}\left(L_{i}\right)=\left\{v \in L_{1}:[u v]=0 \text { for } u \in L_{i}\right\}
$$

for $i>1$. Each $C_{i}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $L_{1}$. A special role is played by the first two-step centralizer $C_{2}$. In fact, the sequence of the two-step centralizers consists of patterns, called constituents, of the following type

$$
C_{i} \neq C_{2}, \quad C_{i+1}=C_{i+2}=\cdots=C_{i+l}=C_{2}, \quad C_{i+l+1} \neq C_{2}
$$

Here $l$ is called the length of the constituent. (We are following the definition of [3], which differs from that of [2].) The first constituent requires a special treatment: its length is defined as the smallest $f$ such that $C_{f} \neq C_{2}$, and turns out to be of the form $f=2 q$, where $q=p^{h}$, for some $h$. It is proved in [2] that if the first constituent has length $2 q$, then the constituents of $L$ can have lengths of the form

$$
2 q, \quad \text { or } \quad 2 q-p^{t}, \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq h
$$

An algebra of type 1 is said to be uncovered if the union of the $C_{i}$ does not exhaust all of $M_{1}$. It is proved in [2] that over any field of positive characteristic there are uncontably many uncovered algebras of type 1. (On the other hand, if the field is at most countable, there are algebras of type 1 that are not uncovered.) If $M=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} M_{i}$ is uncovered, there is an element $z \in M_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M_{i} z\right]=M_{i+1} \text { for all } i \geq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the maximal graded subalgebra

$$
L=\langle z\rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} M_{i}
$$

of $M$. Because of (6), $L$ is an algebra of type 2 . In addition, the algebra $L$ inherits some kind of constituent pattern from $M$, as we will see in the following. From now on we will assume $p>2$.

If we apply this procedure to the unique algebra $M=a$ of (2) of type 1 in characteristic zero, which is clearly uncovered, we get the algebra $L$ of type 2 generated by an element $e_{1}$ of weight one and an element $e_{2}$ of weight two subject to the relations $\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{i} e_{2}\right.$ ] $=0$, for all $i \geq 1$. This is the algebra $m$ of (3).

In positive characteristic, note first of all that in $L$ we may take $e_{1}=z$, $e_{2}=[y z]$ where $0 \neq y \in C_{2}$, and take $e_{k}=\left[e_{k-1} e_{1}\right]$ for $k>2$. Suppose that in $M$ we have a segment of the sequence of two-step centralizers of the form

$$
C_{2}=C_{n-2}=C_{n-1}, C_{n}=\langle y+\lambda z\rangle \neq C_{2}, C_{n+1}=C_{n+2}=C_{2}
$$

so that $\lambda \neq 0$. Note that the first constituent has length $2 q \geq 6$ so that, in particular,

$$
\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=[[y z z][y z]]=[y z z y z]-[y z z z y]=0
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right] } & =\left[e_{n-1}[y z]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n-1} y z\right]-\left[e_{n-1} z y\right] \quad \text { as } C_{n-1}=C_{2}=\langle y\rangle \\
& =-\left[e_{n-1} z y\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{n-1} e_{1} y\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{n} y\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n}, \lambda z\right]-\left[e_{n}, y+\lambda z\right] \\
& =\lambda e_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right] } & =\left[e_{n}[y z]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n} y z\right]-\left[e_{n} z y\right] \quad \text { as } C_{n+1}=C_{2}=\langle y\rangle \\
& =\left[e_{n} y z\right] \quad \\
& =\left[e_{n} y e_{1}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n},-\lambda z, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{n}, y+\lambda z, e_{1}\right] \\
& =-\lambda e_{n+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

as $C_{n+1}=C_{n+2}=C_{2}$.
In view of this, we introduce a definition of constituents for algebras of type 2 that is compatible with the definition for algebras of type 1 . Let $L$ be an arbitrary algebra of type 2 . If $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$, we have no theory of constituents for $L$. Algebras of this type are dealt with in Section 3 and Section 7. If $\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]=0$, and for some $n$ we have $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=0$, but $\left\lfloor e_{n} e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{n+2} \neq 0$, for some $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{n-1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right]+2\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{2} e_{1}\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{n-1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{n} e_{2} e_{2}\right] \\
& =-\lambda\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]=0$. We are therefore led to the following definition. Le be an algebra of type 1 in which $\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]=0$. Suppose there are integers $n$
such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{m-1} e_{2}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[e_{m} e_{2}\right]=\eta e_{m+2},} \\
& {\left[e_{m+1} e_{2}\right]=\vartheta e_{m+2},} \\
& {\left[e_{m+2} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{n+2},} \\
& {\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{n+3} .}
\end{aligned} \text { with } \eta \neq 0, \quad \text { with } \lambda \neq 0,
$$

We call this pattern a constituent of length $l=n-m$ and type $(\lambda, \mu)$. Note that $\vartheta$ and $\mu$ might well be zero.

Here, too, the first constituent requires an ad hoc treatment. If in the algebra $L$ one has $\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]=0$, and $n$ is the smallest integer greater than 1 such that $\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$, we say that the first constituent has length $n+1$. If there is no such $n$, then $L$ is isomorphic to the algebra $m$ above.

We will see in Section 4 that the first constituent of an algebra of type 2 can have length $q+1$ or $2 q$, where $q$ is a power of the characteristic of the underlying field. If the first constituent has length $2 q$, we will see in Section 5 that $L$ comes from an algebra of type 1 via the procedure described above. If the first constituent has length $q+1$, we will see in Sections 6-9 that we obtain one soluble algebra of type 2 for $q>3$, and a family of soluble algebras for $q=3$.

We have just seen that an algebra of type 2 that comes from an algebra of type 1 has constituents of type $(\lambda,-\lambda)$. We now prove that the converse also holds.

Suppose all constituents of the algebra $L$ of type 2 are of type $(\lambda,-\lambda)$. Consider the following partial linear map

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{1} \mapsto-e_{2} \\
e_{2} \mapsto 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We show that we can extend this to a unique derivation $D$ of weight 1 on the whole of $L$. In the extension $M$ of $L$ by $D$, we have [ $D e_{1}$ ] $=-e_{1} D=e_{2}$. Thus $M$ is generated by the elements $e_{1}$ and $D$ of weight 1 , and it is an uncovered algebra of type 1 .

We begin with $e_{3} D=\left[e_{2} e_{1}\right] D=\left[e_{2} D, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{2}, e_{1} D\right]=0$. Suppose now we come to the end of a constituent in $L$, so that we have

$$
\left[e_{i-2} e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{i-1} e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{i+1},\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=-\lambda e_{i+2}
$$

We have so far, proceeding by induction, $e_{i-2} D=0$. Now 1

ก.in. $e_{i-1} D=\left[e_{i-2} e_{1}\right] D=\left[e_{i-2} D, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{i-2}, e_{1} D\right]=-\left[e_{i-2} e_{2}\right]=0$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i} D & =\left[e_{i-1} e_{1}\right] D=\left[e_{i-1} D, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{i-1}, e_{1} D\right]=-\left[e_{i-1} e_{2}\right]=-\lambda e_{i+1}, \\
e_{i+1} D & =\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right] D=\left[e_{i} D, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{i}, e_{1} D\right]=-\lambda\left[e_{i+1} e_{1}\right]-\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
e_{i+2} D=\left[e_{i+1} e_{1}\right] D=\left[e_{i+1} D, e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{i+1}, e_{1} D\right]=-\left[e_{i+1} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

so that we can continue by induction.
This definition of $D$ is compatible with the relations $\left[e_{i-2}, e_{2}\right]=0$, $\left[e_{i-1}, e_{2}\right]=-\lambda e_{i+1},\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{i+2},\left[e_{i+1}, e_{2}\right]=0$. This is clear for all but the third one. For this we have

$$
\left[e_{i} D, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{i}, e_{2} D\right]=-\lambda\left[e_{i+1}, e_{2}\right]=0=e_{i+2} D
$$

In [2] a device for studying algebras of type 1 called deflation has been introduced. We now show that this can be applied also to algebras of type 2, and the result will be an algebra of type 1 . This is useful in simplifying some proofs later on.

Let $L$ be an algebra of type 2 as in (5). Consider its subalgebra

$$
S=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{i p}
$$

Grade $S$ by assigning weight $i$ to $L_{i p}$. Now $S$ admits the derivation $D=\operatorname{ad}\left(e_{1}\right)^{p}$ which, in the new grading, has weight 1 . We have

$$
L_{i p} \operatorname{ad}\left(e_{1}\right)^{p}=\left[L_{i p} e_{1}^{p}\right]=L_{(i+1) p}
$$

It follows that the extension of $S$ by $D$ is a graded Lie algebra of maximal class, and it is generated by the two elements $e_{p}$ and $D$ of weight 1 . Therefore it is an algebra of type 1.

In this section we have used several times the Jacobi identity $[z[y x]]=$ $[z y x]-[z x y]$, and its consequence

$$
\left[z\left[y x^{n}\right]\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\left[z x^{i} y x^{n-i}\right]
$$

In such a formula, to evaluate binomial coefficients modulo a prime we will make use of Lucas' theorem, in the following form. Suppose $a, b$ are nonnegative integers, and $q>1$ is a power of a prime $p$. Write $a=a_{0}+a_{1} q$, and $b=b_{0}+b_{1} q$, where the $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are non-negative integers, and $a_{0}, b_{0}<q$. Then

$$
\binom{a}{b} \equiv\binom{a_{0}}{b_{0}} \cdot\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

## 3. - Characterizing $m_{2}$

In this section we start dealing with algebras of type 2 that do not admit a theory of constituents, that is, in which $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right] \neq 0$. We may thus assume without loss of generality $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{3} e_{3}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{3}\left[e_{2} e_{1}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{3} e_{2} e_{1}\right]-\left[e_{3} e_{1} e_{2}\right] \\
& =e_{6}-\left[e_{4} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1} \text { for } i>1} \\
{\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=a e_{7},\left[e_{6}, e_{2}\right]=b e_{8}} \\
{\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]=c e_{9},\left[e_{8}, e_{2}\right]=d e_{10},\left[e_{9}, e_{2}\right]=f e_{11},\left[e_{10}, e_{2}\right]=g e_{12}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $a, b, c, d, f, g$, are parameters.
$\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=0$ gives $1-2 a+b=0$, so $b=-1+2 a$.
$\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=0$ gives $a-3 b+3 c-d=0$.
Now $a-3 b+3 c-d=-5 a+3+3 c-d$, and so $d=3-5 a+3 c$.
$\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]\right]=0$ gives $b-4 c+6 d-4 f+g=0$.

$$
b-4 c+6 d-4 f+g=17-28 a+14 c-4 f+g
$$

so $g=-17+28 a-14 c+4 f$.
Note that $\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]=-\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]$.
$\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0$ gives $b d-2 a d+a c=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b d-2 a d+a c \\
& =(-1+2 a)(3-5 a+3 c)-2 a(3-5 a+3 c)+a c \\
& =-3+5 a-3 c+a c
\end{aligned}
$$

so either $a=3$ (which gives $12=0$ ), or $c=\frac{3-5 a}{a-3}$.

$$
\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]\right]+\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

gives

$$
b-3 c+3 d-f+c f-2 b f+b d=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b-3 c+3 d-f+c f- & 2 b f+b d \\
= & 8-13 a+6 \frac{3-5 a}{a-3}-f+\frac{3-5 a}{a-3} f \\
& -2(-1+2 a) f+(-1+2 a)\left(3-5 a+3 \frac{3-5 a}{a-3}\right) \\
= & -2 \frac{-7 a+3+a^{2}-4 f a+2 f a^{2}+5 a^{3}}{a-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

So provided the characteristic is not 2 , and provided $a \neq 3$,

$$
-7 a+3+a^{2}+5 a^{3}+\left(2 a^{2}-4 a\right) f=0
$$

$$
\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right]\right]+\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{1}\right],\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

gives

$$
c-3 d+3 f-g+d g-2 c g+c f=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c-3 d+3 f-g+d g-2 c g+c f \\
& =-2 \frac{18-27 f-123 a+280 a^{2}+78 f a-53 f a^{2}-253 a^{3}+10 f a^{3}+70 a^{4}}{(a-3)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So provided the characteristic is not 2 , and provided $a \neq 3$,

$$
18-123 a+280 a^{2}-253 a^{3}+70 a^{4}+\left(-27+78 a-53 a^{2}+10 a^{3}\right) f=0
$$

Combining these two equations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-27+78 a-53 a^{2}+10 a^{3}\right)\left(-7 a+3+a^{2}+5 a^{3}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(2 a^{2}-4 a\right)\left(18-123 a+280 a^{2}-253 a^{3}+70 a^{4}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =495 a-81-1260 a^{2}+1710 a^{3}-1305 a^{4}+531 a^{5}-90 a^{6} \\
& =-9 \times(10 a-9)(a-1)^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

So if the characteristic is not 2 or 3 or 5 then $a=1$ or $a=\frac{9}{10}$. If the characteristic is 5 then $a=1$. The cases when the characteristic is 2 or 3 have to be dealt with separately. We deal with the latter in Section 7.

When $a=\frac{9}{10}$, it is proved in [1] that the algebras one obtains are quotients of a certain central extension of the positive part of the infinite-dimensional Witt algebra. In any case, there are no infinite-dimensional algebras of maximal class here.

The choice $a=1$ uniquely determines the following metabelian Lie algebra [4], [7]:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{2}=\left\langle e_{i}, i \geq 1:\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}, \text { for } i \geq 2\right. \\
{\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=e_{i+2}, \text { for } i \geq 3} \\
\left.\left[e_{i} e_{j}\right]=0, \text { for } i, j \geq 3\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{ad}\left(e_{2}\right)$ is the square of $\operatorname{ad}\left(e_{1}\right)$ on $L^{2}$.
In fact, we have to show that $m_{2}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}:\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right],\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{3} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{5}\right]\right\rangle
$$

We use the notation $\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$, so that the two defining relations can be rewritten as $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=e_{5}$ and $\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]=e_{7}$. We have already seen that the first one implies $\left[e_{4} e_{2}\right]=e_{6}$. Suppose now we have proved

$$
\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4} e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]=e_{7}, \ldots,\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1}
$$

for some $n>5$, and want to prove $\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+2}$. We work out the expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[e_{n-3},\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]-e_{5}\right]=\left[e_{n-3},\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right]\right] } \\
= & {\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{2} e_{1}\right] e_{2}\right] } \\
& -\left[e_{n-3} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}\right]\right] \\
& -\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right]\right. \\
= & (1-1)\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right] \\
& -e_{n+2}+\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right] \\
& -(1-3+3) e_{n+2}+\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right] \\
= & 2\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]-2 e_{n+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this does not work for $n=5$. From this it is straightforward to see that the algebra is metabelian, and thus is isomorphic to $m_{2}$. In fact we have for $i, j \geq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{i} e_{j}\right] } & =\left[e_{i}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{j-2}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(-1)^{k}\binom{j-2}{k}\left[e_{i} e_{1}^{k} e_{2} e_{1}^{j-2-k}\right] \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}(-1)^{k}\binom{j-2}{k}\right) \cdot e_{i+j} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. - The length of the first constituent

Suppose now $L$ is an algebra of type 2 over a field of positive characteristic $p$. Suppose $L$ admits a theory of constituents. Therefore $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]=0$. If $\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right.$ ] $=0$ for all $i \geq 3$, then $L$ is isomorphic to $m$ of (3). Suppose thus there is an $n>3$ such that $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{4} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n-2} e_{2}\right]=0$, but $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$.

We intend to show that $n$, the length of the first constituent, can only assume the values

$$
\begin{cases}2 q, & \text { for some power } q \text { of } p, \text { or } \\ q+1, & \text { for some power } q>3 \text { of } p\end{cases}
$$

We may assume, rescaling $e_{2}$, that $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1}$. We first prove that $n$ is even, with a simple argument similar to one of [2]. In fact, if $n=2 k-1$ is odd, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{k} e_{k}\right]=\left[e_{k}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{k-2}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{i}\binom{k-2}{i}\left[e_{k} e_{1}^{i} e_{2} e_{1}^{k-2-i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{i}\binom{k-2}{i}\left[e_{k+i} e_{2} e_{1}^{k-2-i}\right] \approx\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Here and in the following we write $a \approx b$ to mean that $a$ is either $b$ or $-b$. Write $n=2 k$. We aim at proving that the only possible values for $k$ are $q$ and $(q+1) / 2$.

We first compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{k+1} e_{k+1}\right]=\left[e_{k+1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{k-1}\right]\right] \\
& \approx\binom{k-1}{k-2}\left[e_{2 k-1} e_{2} e_{1}\right]-\binom{k-1}{k-1}\left[e_{2 k} e_{2}\right] \\
& =(k-1) e_{2 k+2}-\left[e_{2 k} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

to show

$$
\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=(k-1) e_{n+2}
$$

We now have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left[e_{n-2}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \approx\left[e_{n-2} e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right]  \tag{7}\\
& =\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \approx\left[e_{n-1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right]-2\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{2} e_{1}\right] \\
& =(k-1-2)\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]=0$, except when $k \equiv 3(\bmod p)$.
Suppose first we have $n=6$, or $k=3$. We have here

$$
\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]=e_{7}, \quad\left[e_{6} e_{2}\right]=2 e_{8}, \quad\left[e_{7} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

We want to show that $p=3$ or 5 here, so that this fits into the $n=2 q$ or $q+1$ pattern above. Suppose $p>5$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{5}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{5} e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right]-3\left[e_{6} e_{2} e_{1}^{2}\right]+3\left[e_{7} e_{2} e_{1}\right]-\left[e_{8} e_{2}\right] \\
& =-5 e_{10}-\left[e_{8} e_{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left[e_{8} e_{2}\right]=-5 e_{10}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{6}\left[e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{7} e_{2} e_{2}\right]-2\left[e_{6} e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{6} e_{2} e_{2} e_{1}\right] \\
& =-4\left[e_{9} e_{2}\right]+2(-5) e_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left[e_{9} e_{2}\right]=-\frac{5}{2} e_{11}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{6}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{4}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{6} e_{2} e_{1}^{4}\right]-4\left[e_{7} e_{2} e_{1}^{3}\right]+6\left[e_{8} e_{2} e_{1}^{2}\right]-4\left[e_{9} e_{2} e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{10} e_{2}\right] \\
& =18 e_{12}+\left[e_{10} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{7}\left[e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{8} e_{2} e_{2}\right]-2\left[e_{7} e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{7} e_{2} e_{2} e_{1}\right] \\
& =-5\left[e_{10} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

yield $e_{12}=0$, a contradiction.
Suppose then $k>3$, that is, $n>6$. We have thus $\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]=0$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{1} e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \left.=\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{1} e_{1}\right] e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =3\left[e_{n-3} e_{1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{n-3} e_{1} e_{1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{2}\right] \\
& =(3-(k-1))\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]=0$, except when $k \equiv 4(\bmod p)$, which was covered by (8).

To find out what the possible values of $k$ are, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{k+2} e_{k+2}\right]=\left[e_{k+2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{k}\right]\right] \\
& \approx\binom{k}{k-3}\left[e_{2 k-1} e_{2} e_{1} e_{1} e_{1}\right]-\binom{k}{k-2}\left[e_{2 k} e_{2} e_{1} e_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
0=\left(\binom{k}{3}-\binom{k}{2}(k-1)\right) e_{2 k+4}=\frac{k(k-1)(-2 k+1)}{6} e_{2 k+4}
$$

This shows that the only possibilities for $k$ are

$$
k \in\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

for $p>3$, whereas for $p=3$ one has

$$
k \in\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad(\bmod 9)
$$

When $k \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, we show that $k=q$, a power of $p$. (The case when $p=3$ is not special here, as we have already dealt with $k=3$ for $p=3$ above.) This we do by exploiting the deflation procedure, as described in Section 2. Suppose in fact $k=q m$, with $q$ a power of $p$, and $m \not \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$. Thus $n=2 q m$ here. We have $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1}$ and $\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=-e_{n+2}$. We have also proved in (7) that $\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=0$. We first extend this to

$$
\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n+p-2} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

We proceed by induction on $l$, for $1<l \leq p-2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left[e_{n-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1}\right] e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1} e_{2}\right]-(l-1)\left[e_{n-1} e_{1} e_{2} e_{1}^{l-2} e_{2}\right]-(-1)^{l-1}\left[e_{n-1} e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1} e_{2}\right]  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(1+l-1-(-1)^{l-1}\right) \cdot\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
1+l-1-(-1)^{l-1}=l+(-1)^{l}= \begin{cases}l-1 \quad \text { when } l \text { is odd } \\ l+1 \quad \text { when } l \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

In any case the coefficient of $\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right]$ is less than $p$ for $l<p-1$, so that it is non-zero.

In the deflated algebra, we thus have

$$
\left[e_{2 q m-p} e_{p}\right]=\left[e_{2 q m-p}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{p-2}\right]\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\left[e_{2 q m} e_{p}\right]=\left[e_{2 q m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{p-2}\right]\right]=-e_{2 q m+p}
$$

In the deflated algebra the first constituent has thus length $2 q m$. It follows from the theory of algebras of type 1 that $m=1$. We will show in Section 5 that algebras of type 2 with $k=q$ come from algebras of type 1.

When $k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\bmod p)$, write

$$
k=\frac{q m+1}{2}
$$

where $p$ does not divide $m$. Thus $n=q m+1$. We want to show that $m=1$. Suppose otherwise. We have

$$
\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{n+2}
$$

We begin with proving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{n+2} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n+q-1} e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{k} e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k \leq n-4$. Note that $n-4=q m-3 \geq 2 q-3$, as $m>1$.
Let $l<q-1$. Write $l+1=\beta p^{t}$, where $\beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Note that $p^{t}<q$, so that

$$
l+p^{t} \leq q-2+p^{t} \leq 2 q-3
$$

and $\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}} e_{2}\right]=0$, by (11).
Suppose first $t>0$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-1-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n-1-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}}\right] e_{2}\right] \\
& \approx\left(\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}+1}\right)\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right] \\
& =\left(\binom{\beta p^{t}+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{\beta p^{t}+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}+1}\right)\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right] \\
& =\left(\beta+\frac{1}{2}(\beta \cdot(-1))\right)\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{\beta}{2} \cdot\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right]=0$.

Now consider the case when $p^{t}=1$, so that $l+1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. An analogous calculation yields

$$
0=\frac{(l+1) \cdot(l+4)}{4} \cdot\left[e_{n} \mathbf{\wedge}_{l+1} e_{2}\right] .
$$

We obtain $\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right]=0$, except when $l+4$ is divisible by $p$. Note that we may assume $p>3$ here, since we have already dealt with the case when $l+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-3}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+2} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& \approx\left(\binom{l+2}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot\binom{l+2}{3}\right)\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n+l+1} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as $p>3$.
We now reach a contradiction by proving $e_{n+q+1}=e_{q m+q+2}=0$. Since $n=q m+1$ is even, $m$ is odd, and $q m+q+2$ is even. Consider the integer

$$
\frac{q m+q+2}{2}=q \cdot \frac{m+1}{2}+1 .
$$

Note that

$$
q \cdot \frac{m+1}{2}+1-2=q \cdot \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1
$$

We obtain, using (10),

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[e_{q \cdot \frac{m+1}{2}+1} e_{q \cdot \frac{m+1}{2}+1}\right]=\left[e_{q \cdot \frac{m+1}{2}+1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}\right]\right] } \\
= & \left((-1)^{q \frac{m-1}{2}-1}\binom{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}{q \frac{m-1}{2}-1}\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left.+(-1)^{q \frac{m-1}{2}}\binom{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}{q \frac{m-1}{2}} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \cdot e_{q m+q+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we have

$$
\binom{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}{q \frac{m-1}{2}-1} \equiv\binom{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}{q \frac{m-3}{2}+q-1} \equiv \frac{m-1}{2} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

while

$$
\binom{q \frac{m-1}{2}+q-1}{q \frac{m-1}{2}}=1
$$

Therefore, up to a sign, the overall coefficient of $e_{q m+q+2}$ in (12) is

$$
\frac{m-1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{m}{2} \not \equiv 0
$$

This disposes of the case $m>1$, so we obtain

$$
k=\frac{q+1}{2}, \quad n=q+1
$$

We will deal with this case in Sections 6 and 8. Remember that when $p=3$ we are taking $q \geq 9$ here. In fact when $q=3$ we get $k=2$, so that $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$, and the algebra does not admit a theory of constituents.

We now deal with the case $k \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, so $k=1+q m$, where $q$ is a power of $p$, and $m \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Thus $n=2 q m+2$. We have thus $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{n+1}$ and $\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=0$. We want to show that this case does not occur.

Let $1 \leq l<q$. Assume by induction

$$
\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n+l-1} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left[e_{n-2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l} e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{n-2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l}\right] e_{2}\right]=-l\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain $\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right]=0$ for $l<p$. We can use this and deflation to show that $m=1$. Because of

$$
\left[e_{n-2} e_{p}\right]=\left[e_{n-2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{p-2}\right]\right]=2 e_{n+p-2}
$$

the length of the first constituent in the deflated algebra (which is of type 1) is $2 q m / p$. If $m>1$, this is not twice a power of $p$. It follows that $m=1$, and $n=2 q+2$.

We now show that $\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right]=0$ holds in fact for all $l<q$. Because of the argument of (13), we have to deal with the case $l \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. If $p^{t}$ is the highest power of $p$ that divides $l$, and $l=\beta p^{t}$, with $\beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{n-p^{t}-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}-1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{n-p^{t}-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}-1}\right] e_{2}\right] \\
& = \pm\binom{ l+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}}\left[e_{n+l} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\binom{l+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}}=\binom{\beta p^{t}+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}} \equiv \beta \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

We can perform this calculation when $l+r-1<2 q-1$. Note that this holds for $l<q$. We have thus proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{n} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{n+1} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{n+q-1} e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the relation $\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]-e_{n+1}=0$ to prove $e_{3 q+3}=e_{n+q+1}=0$, a contradiction. We evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{q},\left[e_{n-1} e_{2}\right]-e_{n+1}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{q}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]\right]-\left[e_{q}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q+1}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note first that $2 q+1$ is the only value $i$ in the range $2 \leq i \leq 3 q+1$ for which $\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$. Now [ $e_{q}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]$ ] expands as a combination of commutators of the form $\left[e_{i} e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q+1-i}\right]$, for some $q+2 \leq i \leq 3 q+1$, so that it vanishes. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{q}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q+1}\right]\right] \\
& =(-1)^{q+1}\binom{2 q+1}{q+1} e_{3 q+3} \\
& =2 e_{3 q+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. - First constituent of length $2 q$

This is the case $k=q$ of the previous section. Suppose we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=0, \quad \text { for } i<2 q-1} \\
{\left[e_{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]=e_{2 q+1}, \quad\left[e_{2 q} e_{2}\right]=-e_{2 q+2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We want to show that the algebra comes from an algebra of type 1 via the procedure described in Section 2, by proving that all constituents have type ( $\lambda,-\lambda$ ).

Proceeding by induction, assume we have already proved this up to a certain constituent, that ends as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{m} e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{m+2}, \quad\left[e_{m+1} e_{2}\right]=-\lambda e_{m+3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$. We first show, also by induction, that $2 q$ is an upper bound for the length of the next constituent, and $q$ is a lower bound.

Suppose the next constituent has length greater than $2 q$, so that

$$
\left[e_{m+k} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

for $2 \leq k \leq 2 q$. We obtain immediately

$$
\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2 q} e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-2} e_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

as this is a multiple of $\left[e_{m+2 q} e_{2}\right]=0$. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m},\left[e_{2 q} e_{2}\right]+e_{2 q+2}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m} e_{2 q+2}\right]=\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q}\right]\right]=\left[e_{m} e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q}\right] \\
& =\lambda e_{m+2+2 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
We now prove that the next constituent has length at least $q$, that is,

$$
\left[e_{m+2} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{m+3} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{m+q-1} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

This we do more generally for the case when the current constituent is of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{m} e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{m+2}, \quad\left[e_{m+1} e_{2}\right]=v e_{m+3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as this will be useful later in this section. Recall that $\mu \neq 0$ here, but $\nu$ might be zero.

If $v=0$ in (16), we compute, proceeding by induction on $l$, for $0<l<$ $q-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l}\right] e_{2}\right] \\
& =-l \mu\left[e_{m+l+1} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient vanishes when $l \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. In this case, write $l=\beta p^{t}$, with $\beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Note that $p^{t}<q$ here, so that $l+p^{t}-1<q-2+q-1<2 q-3$ and $\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}-1} e_{2}\right]=0$. Also, $\left[e_{m-p^{t}} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{m-1} e_{2}\right]=0$, since we are assuming by induction that constituents have length at least $q$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}-1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& -\binom{l+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}} \mu\left[e_{m+l+1} e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\binom{l+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}}=\binom{\beta p^{t}+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}} \equiv \beta \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Suppose now $v \neq 0$. We have first

$$
0=\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1} e_{2}\right]\right]=-\mu\left[e_{m+2} e_{2}\right]
$$

so that $\left[e_{m+2} e_{2}\right]=0$. We proceed now by induction on $l$, for $0<l<q-2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l}\right] e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{m} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1}\right]\right] \\
& =\left(\mu-l v-\mu(-1)^{l}\right)\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right] . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For $l$ even, the coefficient is $-l v \neq 0$, so we get $\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right]=0$, unless $l \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$. In this case, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m+1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m+1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1}\right] e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{m+1} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l-1}\right]\right] \\
& =\left(v-(-1)^{l-1} v\right)\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As $l$ is even here, the coefficient is $2 v \neq 0$.
For $l$ odd, the coefficient in (17) is $2 \mu-l \nu$. Suppose this vanishes. As $1 \leq l<q-2$, we have $q>3$ here, so that $\left[e_{m-2} e_{2}\right]=0$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{m-2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+2} e_{2}\right]\right] } & =\left(\binom{l+2}{2} \mu-\binom{l+2}{3} v\right) \cdot\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{(l+2)(l+1)}{6} \mu\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $l v=2 \mu$ here. The coefficient vanishes when $l+2 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, or $l+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. (Except possibly when $p=3$, and $l+1$ or $l+2$ are divisible by 3 but not by 9 - in this case the rest of the discussion is superfluous. Note that $l \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ here, otherwise $\mu=\frac{1}{2} l v=0$. Therefore $l \equiv-1,-2(\bmod 3)$ when $p=3$, so that $(l+2)(l+1) / 6$ is an integer.)

When $l+2 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, we have $0=2 \mu-l v=2(\mu+\nu)$, so that we are in the case of (15), with $\mu=\lambda$ and $\nu=-\lambda$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. Write $l+2=\beta p^{t}$, with $\beta \not \equiv 0$. It is easy to see, with an argument we have employed before, that $l+p^{t}<2 q-3$, so that $\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}} e_{2}\right]=0$. We have then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}}\right] e_{2}\right] \\
& =\left(-\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}} \lambda+\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}+1}(-\lambda)\right) \cdot \cdot\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right] \\
& =-\lambda \cdot\binom{l+p^{t}+1}{p^{t}+1} \cdot\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As

$$
-\lambda \cdot\binom{l+p^{t}+1}{p^{t}+1}=-\lambda \cdot\binom{\beta p^{t}+p^{t}-1}{p^{t}+1} \equiv \lambda \beta \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

we get $\left[e_{m+l+2} e_{2}\right]=0$.
When $l+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, write $l+1=\beta p^{t}$, with $\beta \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m-p^{t}}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{l+p^{t}} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left(-\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}} \mu+\binom{l+p^{t}}{p^{t}+1} v\right) \cdot\left[e_{m+l+1} e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient here is, up to a sign, $\beta(\mu+v)$. This cannot vanish, otherwise the two relations $\mu+\nu=0$ and $0=2 \mu-l \nu=2 \mu+\nu$ would yield $\mu=\nu=0$, a contradiction.

We now provide the induction step for our assumption that all constituents are of the form $(\lambda,-\lambda)$.

Suppose first the following constituent is of length $q$. Let

$$
\left[e_{m+q} e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{m+q+2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left[e_{m+q+1} e_{2}\right]=v e_{m+q+3}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m-1},\left[e_{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]-e_{2 q+1}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m-1},\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-3} e_{2}\right]\right]-\left[e_{m-1}, e_{2 q+1}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-3}\right] e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{m-1} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-3}\right]\right]-\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-1}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term vanishes because $\left[e_{m-1} e_{2}\right]=0$. The first term is a multiple of $\left[e_{m+2 q-2} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{(m+q)+q-2} e_{2}\right]$. If this is non-zero, it exhibits a constituent of length $q-2$ or $q-1$, whereas we have shown $q$ to be a lower bound for the length of a constituent. Therefore the first term also vanishes.

We are left with

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[e_{m-1}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-1}\right]\right] } \\
\approx & (-1)^{1}\binom{2 q-1}{1}\left[e_{m} e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-2}\right]+(-1)^{2}\binom{2 q-1}{2}\left[e_{m+1} e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-3}\right] \\
& +(-1)^{1+q}\binom{2 q-1}{1+q}\left[e_{m+q} e_{2} e_{1}^{q-2}\right]+(-1)^{1+q+1}\binom{2 q-1}{1+q+1}\left[e_{m+q+1} e_{2} e_{1}^{q-3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the first two binomial coefficients readily evaluate to 1 , while for the last two we have, for $l \geq q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{1+l}\binom{2 q-1}{1+l} & =(-1)^{l+1}\binom{q+q-1}{q+l-q+1} \\
& \equiv-(-1)^{l-q+1}\binom{q-1}{l-q+1} \\
& =-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
0=(\lambda-\lambda-\mu-v) \cdot e_{m+2 q}
$$

so that $\nu=-\mu$, as requested.
Suppose now the next constituent has length $l>q$, so that in particular

$$
\left[e_{m+2} e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{m+3} e_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[e_{m+q} e_{2}\right]=0
$$

We first extend this to show $\left[e_{m+q+1} e_{2}\right]=0$, so that $l>q+1$. This follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{q-1} e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{q-1}\right] e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{m} e_{2}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{q-1}\right]\right] \\
& =(\lambda-\lambda-\lambda) \cdot\left[e_{m+q+1} e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose now $\left[e_{m+l} e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{m+l+2}$ and $\left[e_{m+l+1} e_{2}\right]=v e_{m+l+3}$. We compute

$$
0=\left[e_{m+l-q},\left[e_{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]-e_{2 q+1}\right]=\left[e_{m+l-q}\left[e_{2 q-1} e_{2}\right]\right]-\left[e_{m+l-q} e_{2 q+1}\right]
$$

Keeping in mind that $m+l-q \geq m+2$, the first term is immediately seen to vanish. We are left with

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m+l-q} e_{2 q+1}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{m+l-q}\left[e_{2} e_{1}^{2 q-1}\right]\right] \\
& =(-1)^{q}\binom{2 q-1}{q}\left[e_{m+l-q} e_{2} e_{1}^{q-1}\right]+(-1)^{q+1}\binom{2 q-1}{q+1}\left[e_{m+l-q+1} e_{2} e_{1}^{q-2}\right] \\
& =(-\mu-v) \cdot e_{m+l+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, too, we obtain $v=-\mu$. This completes the induction step.

## 6. - First constituent of length $q$

Let $q$ be a power of $p(q>3)$, and suppose that $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=$ $3,4, \ldots, q-1$, and that $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right] \neq 0$. By scaling $e_{2}$ we may suppose that $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2}$. We show that there is a unique infinite dimensional Lie algebra $L$ of type 2 satisfying this condition. The Lie algebra $L$ is defined by the following:

- $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, q-1$,
- $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2},\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}$,
- $\left[e_{k q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+2},\left[e_{k q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+3}$ for $k=2,3, \ldots$,
- $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $k>q+1$ unless $k=0(\bmod q)$ or $k=1(\bmod q)$.

Note that in this Lie algebra, if $m>q$ and $n \geq 1$ then

$$
\left[\left[e_{m}, e_{n}, e_{1}^{q}\right]=\left[e_{m}, e_{1}^{q}, e_{n}\right]\right.
$$

so that

$$
\left[\left[e_{m}, e_{n+q}\right]=\left[e_{m},\left[e_{n}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]=0\right.
$$

It follows that if $m, n>q$ then $\left[e_{m}, e_{n}\right]=0$, so that the Lie algebra is soluble. We give a construction of $L$ in Section 8, and we make use of the existence of $L$ in the following way. In $L$ we have $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$ for $n>2$, where $\mu_{n}=0,1, \frac{1}{2}$, or $-\frac{1}{2}$ as described above. Suppose that we have a Lie algebra $M$ of type 2 , where $M$ is spanned by $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \geq 1\right\}$, with $\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$ for $i>1$ and $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right.$ ] $=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$ for $2<n<2 m-2$. Then the relation [ $e_{m}, e_{m}$ ] $=0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-2}(-1)^{k}\binom{m-2}{k}\left[e_{m}, e_{1}^{k}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2-k}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-3}(-1)^{k}\binom{m-2}{k} \mu_{m+k} e_{2 m}+(-1)^{m-2}\left[e_{2 m-2}, e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left[e_{2 m-2}, e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{2 m}$ for some $\mu$ which is uniquely determined by $\left\{\mu_{k} \mid m \leq\right.$ $k<2 m-2\}$. The existence of $L$ implies that $\mu=\mu_{2 m-2}$.

So we assume that $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, q-1$, and that $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=$ $e_{q+2}$. The argument just given implies that

$$
\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{q+1} e_{q+3}=-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}
$$

Since $q>3,\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]=0$, and so

$$
0=\left[e_{q-1},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{q}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{q+2}, e_{2}\right] .
$$

It follows that $\left[e_{q+3}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{q+3} e_{q+5}=0$.
We now show by induction that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $k=q+2, q+3, \ldots, 2 q-1$. We have established the cases $k=q+2$ and $q+3$. So suppose that $q+3<$ $m<2 q$, and suppose that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $k=q+2, q+3, \ldots, m-1$.

Using the argument above, it is only necessary to consider the case when $m$ is odd. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-q-3}, e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-q-3}\right], e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-q-3}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-q-3}, e_{2}\right]-(-1)^{m-q-3}\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-q-3}, e_{2}\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $k=q+2, q+3, \ldots, 2 q-1$, as claimed. Also

$$
\left[e_{2 q}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{2 q} e_{2 q+2}=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 q+2}
$$

The equations obtained so far leave $\left[e_{2 q+1}, e_{2}\right]$ undetermined, and so we suppose that

$$
\left[e_{2 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{2 q+3}
$$

for some $\lambda$. We will show below that $\lambda$ must equal $-\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{4}$, but first we show that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 q+1<k<3 q$. It is convenient to subdivide the proof of this into the case when $\lambda=0$ and the case when $\lambda \neq 0$.

First consider the case when $\lambda=0$.
The equation $\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]=0$ gives

$$
\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{2 q}, e_{2}\right]
$$

which implies that $\left[e_{2 q+2}, e_{2}\right]=0$. And

$$
\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

gives

$$
-\left[e_{2 q+3}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

So we assume that $2 q+3<m<3 q$, and that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 q<k<m$. If $m$ is odd then

$$
0=\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2 q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]
$$

If $m$ is even and $m<3 q-1$ then $\left[e_{2 q-1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2 q-1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-2 q-1)\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also if $2 q+3<m<3 q$ then $\left[e_{m-q}, e_{2}\right]=0$, and so the equation

$$
\left[e_{m-q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{m-q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((3 q-m+1) \frac{1}{2}+1\right)\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (18) we see that if $m$ is even and $2 q+3<m<3 q-1$ then $\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $m=1(\bmod p)$. But (19) shows that $\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ in the case when $m=1(\bmod p)$, as well as in the case when $m=3 q-1$. So $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 q+1<k<3 q$ in the case when $\lambda=0$.

So suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$. As above, we want to show that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 q+1<k<3 q$. Since we need the following argument several times, it is convenient to put it in the form of a lemma.

Lemma. Let $t \geq 1$ and let $q=p^{s}>3$. Suppose that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<2$ tq unless $k=0(\bmod q)$ or $k=1(\bmod q)$, that $\left[e_{2 t q}, e_{2}\right]=\alpha e_{2 t q+2}$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$, and that $\left[e_{2 t q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{2 t q+3}$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\left[e_{2 t q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$.

Proof. The case $k=2$ follows from

$$
0=\left[e_{2 t q-1},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\alpha\left[e_{2 t q+2}, e_{2}\right]
$$

Now suppose by induction that $m$ is odd, that $3 \leq m \leq q-2$, and that $\left[e_{2 t q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for all $k$ such that $1<k<m$. We show that $\left[e_{2 t q+m}, e_{2}\right]=$ $\left[e_{2 t q+m+1}, e_{2}\right]=0$, and this establishes the lemma by induction on (odd) $m$.

First we have
(20) $0=\left[e_{2 t q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=2 \lambda\left[e_{2 t q+m+1}, e_{2}\right]-\lambda(m-2)\left[e_{2 t q+m}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]$.

If we let $d=\frac{m+3}{2}$, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{t q+d}, e_{t q+d}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{t q+d},\left[e_{d}, e_{1}^{t q}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{t}(-1)^{r}\binom{t}{r}\left[e_{(t+r) q+d}, e_{d}, e_{1}^{(t-r) q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now our hypotheses imply that $\left[e_{(t+r) q+d}, e_{d}\right]=0$ if $r<t$. So this equation implies that $\left[e_{2 t q+d}, e_{d}\right]=0$ also. Since $e_{d}=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{d-2}\right]$ this gives

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{r}\binom{d-2}{r}\left[e_{2 t q+d+r}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{d-2-r}\right]=0
$$

But our inductive hypothesis implies that $\left[e_{2 t q+d+r}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $r<d-3$. So we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{2 t q+m+1}, e_{2}\right]=(d-2)\left[e_{2 t q+m}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d-2=\frac{m-1}{2}$ (20) and (21) imply that $\left[e_{2 t q+m+1}, e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{2 t q+m}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]=0$, which also implies that $\left[e_{2 t q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

So $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 q+1<k<3 q$, whatever the value of $\lambda$.
Now consider the equation

$$
\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}\right], e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{2 q}, e_{2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}\right]\right]-\left[e_{2 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]+\left[e_{2 q}, e_{1}^{q}, e_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]+2 \lambda\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+2}+\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right] \\
& =(2+2 \lambda)\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{3 q+3}=(4+4 \lambda)\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\left[e_{2 q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]-\lambda(q-2)\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]=\lambda e_{3 q+3}-\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the equation

$$
\left[\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}\right],\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}\right]\right]=0
$$

gives

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left((-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}\binom{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-3}{2}} \frac{1}{2}+(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\binom{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-1}{2}} \lambda\right) e_{3 q+3}  \tag{24}\\
& +(-1)^{\frac{3 q-3}{2}} \frac{3 q-1}{2}\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]+(-1)^{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\binom{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-3}{2}}=\binom{q+\frac{q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-1}{2}-1}=\frac{q-1}{2} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and

$$
\binom{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-1}{2}}=\binom{q+\frac{q-1}{2}}{\frac{q-1}{2}}=1 \bmod p
$$

So (24) gives

$$
(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda\right) e_{3 q+3}-(-1)^{\frac{3 q-3}{2}} \frac{1}{2}\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]+(-1)^{\frac{3 q-1}{2}}\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda\right) e_{3 q+3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]-\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (22) and (25) we obtain

$$
\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\left((4+4 \lambda)\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]
$$

So (23) gives

$$
(2 \lambda+1)\left((4+4 \lambda)\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)+2 \lambda=\lambda(4+4 \lambda)
$$

which implies that $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{4}$.
If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ then $\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+2}$, and $\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+3}$. If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ and $p=3$, then (22) gives $e_{3 q+3}=0$, so $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ is the only possibility when $p=3$. If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ and $p \neq 3$, then we have $\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{3} e_{3 q+2}$ and $\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{6} e_{3 q+3}$.

Thus we have established that if $q$ is a power of $p(q>3)$, and $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, q-1$, and $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right] } & =-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}, \\
{\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right] } & =0 \text { for } q+1<k<2 q, \\
{\left[e_{2 q}, e_{2}\right] } & =\frac{1}{2} e_{2 q+2}, \\
{\left[e_{2 q+1}, e_{2}\right] } & =\lambda e_{2 q+3} \text { where } \lambda=-\frac{1}{2} \text { or } \lambda=-\frac{1}{4}, \\
{\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right] } & =0 \text { for } 2 q+1<k<3 q, \\
{\left[e_{3 q}, e_{2}\right] } & =\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+2} & \text { when } \lambda=-\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{3} e_{3 q+2} & \text { when } \lambda=-\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}\right. \\
{\left[e_{3 q+1}, e_{2}\right] } & =\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2} e_{3 q+3} & \text { when } \lambda=-\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{6} e_{3 q+3} & \text { when } \lambda=-\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the case $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ can only arise when $p \neq 3$.

## 6.1. - Generic step for $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$.

We assume that $q$ is a power of $p(q>3)$ and we assume that - $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, q-1$,

- $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2},\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}$,
- $\left[e_{k q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+2},\left[e_{k q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+3}$ for $k=2,3, \ldots, 2 n-1(n \geq 2)$,
- $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $q+1<k<(2 n-1) q$ unless $k=0(\bmod q)$ or $k=1$ $(\bmod q)$.

We show that

- $\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$,
- $\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 n q+2},\left[e_{2 n q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{2 n q+3}$ where $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{4}$,
- $\left[e_{2 n q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$,
- if $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ then $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}$ and $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+3}$,
- if $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ then $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{3} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}$ and $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{6} e_{(2 n+1) q+3}$.

First we show that $\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$. Since $\left[e_{(2 n-2) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$, the equation

$$
\left[e_{(2 n-2) q+k},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n-2) q+k},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

gives

$$
(-1)^{q-k}\left(\binom{q-2}{q-k}+\binom{q-2}{q-k+1}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=-\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+k}, e_{2}\right] .
$$

This implies that $\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q-2}{q-k} \frac{1}{2} & +(-1)^{q-k}\binom{q-2}{q-k+1} \frac{1}{2} \\
& =1+(q-k+1) \frac{1}{2}-(q-k+2) \frac{1}{2}(\bmod p) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next consider the equation

$$
\left[e_{(2 n-1) q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n-1) q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left[e_{(2 n-1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]+2\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+1}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-3}, e_{2}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[e_{(2 n-1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]-\left[e_{(2 n-1) q}, e_{1}^{q}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 n q+2}
$$

The equations obtained so far leave $\left[e_{2 n q+1}, e_{2}\right]$ undetermined, and so we suppose that

$$
\left[e_{2 n q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{2 n q+3}
$$

for some $\lambda$. We will show below that $\lambda$ must equal $-\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{4}$.
First note that the lemma implies that if $\lambda \neq 0$ then $\left[e_{2 n q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$. We show that $\left[e_{2 n q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$ in the case $\lambda=0$ also. So suppose that $\lambda=0$.

$$
0=\left[e_{2 n q-1},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{2 n q+2}, e_{2}\right] .
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{2 n q},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]-2\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{2}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \\
& =-\left[e_{2 n q+3}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\left[e_{2 n q+3}, e_{2}\right]=0$.
We assume that $3<m<q$, and that $\left[e_{2 n q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<m$. If $m$ is odd then

$$
0=\left[e_{2 n q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 n q+m}, e_{2}\right]
$$

If $m$ is even and $m<q-1$ then $\left[e_{2 n q-1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-1)\left[e_{2 n q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also if $3<m<q$ then $\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$, and so the equation

$$
\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+m},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n-1) q+m},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((q-m+1) \frac{1}{2}+1\right)\left[e_{2 n q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (26) we see that if $m$ is even and $3<m<q-1$ then $\left[e_{2 n q+m}, e_{2}\right.$ ] $=0$ unless $m=1(\bmod p)$. But (27) shows that $\left[e_{2 n q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ in the case when $m=1(\bmod p)$, as well as in the case when $m=q-1$. So $\left[e_{2 n q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$ in the case when $\lambda=0$, as well as in the case $\lambda \neq 0$.

Now consider the equation

$$
\left[e_{2 n q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 n q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{(2 n+1) q+3}=(4+4 \lambda)\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in exactly the same way as (22) was obtained from $\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=$ $\left[e_{2 q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]$. And

$$
\left[e_{2 n q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 n q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

gives
(29) $2 \lambda\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]-\lambda(q-2)\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]=\lambda e_{(2 n+1) q+3}-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]$.

Now consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[e_{n q+2}, e_{1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right],\left[e_{n q+2}, e_{1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right]\right]=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we expand $\left[\left[e_{n q+2}, e_{1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right],\left[e_{n q+2}, e_{1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}}\right]\right]$ we obtain a sum of the form

$$
\sum_{r=\frac{q-1}{2}}^{q-1} \alpha_{r}\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{n q+2}, e_{1}^{q-1-r}\right]
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{n q+2}\right]} \\
& \quad=\left[e_{n q+2+r},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{n q}\right]\right] \\
& \quad=\sum_{s=0}^{n}(-1)^{s}\binom{n}{s}\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r<q-2$, then $\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right]=0$ for all $s$. If $r=q-2$ then

$$
\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}
$$

for $s<n$, and

$$
\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right]=\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]
$$

for $s=n$. It follows that if $r=q-2$ then
$\sum_{s=0}^{n}(-1)^{s}\binom{n}{s}\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right]=(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]\right)$.
Similarly, if $r=q-1$ then

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n}(-1)^{s}\binom{n}{s}\left[e_{n q+2+r}, e_{1}^{s q}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{(n-s) q}\right]=(-1)^{n}\left(\lambda e_{(2 n+1) q+3}-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]\right)
$$

So (30) gives

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+3}-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]\right)+\lambda e_{(2 n+1) q+3}-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda\right) e_{(2 n+1) q+3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right]-\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=0 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (28), (29) and (31) imply that $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{4}$ in exactly the same way as equations (22), (23) and (25) do. They similarly imply that if $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ then $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}$, and $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{(2 n+1) q+3}$. If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ and $p=3$, then (28) gives $e_{(2 n+1) q+3}=0$, so $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ is the only possibility when $p=3$. If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ and $p \neq 3$, then we have $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{3} e_{(2 n+1) q+2}$ and $\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{6} e_{(2 n+1) q+3}$.

This establishes the generic step for $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$.

## 6.2. - Generic step for $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$.

We assume that $q$ is a power of $p(p>3)$ and we assume that

- $\left[e_{i}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, q-1$,
- $\left[e_{q}, e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2},\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}$,
- $\left[e_{k q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+2},\left[e_{k q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+3}$ for $k=2,3, \ldots, 2 n-1(n \geq 2)$,
- $\left[e_{2 n q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 n q+2},\left[e_{2 n q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{4} e_{2 n q+3}$,
- There exists $s$ with $1 \leq s<p-2$ such that $\left[e_{k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $q+1<k<$ $(2 n+s) q$ unless $k=0(\bmod q)$ or $k=1(\bmod q)$,
- $\left[e_{(2 n+k) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{k+2} e_{(2 n+k) q+2}$ and $\left[e_{(2 n+k) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2(k+2)} e_{(2 n+k) q+3}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, s$.
Note that this situation arises from the case $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ of the last section, with $s=1$.

We show that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$. In addition we show that if $s<p-3$ then $\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{s+3} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+2},\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=$ $-\frac{1}{2(s+3)} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+3}$, and we show that if $s=p-3$ then $e_{(2 n+s+1) q+2}=0$. This contradiction shows that the case $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4}$ cannot arise in an infinite dimensional Lie algebra of type 2.

For the moment we suppose that $s<p-3$.
First we show that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$. The case $k=2$ follows from

$$
0=\left[e_{(2 n+s) q-1},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\frac{1}{s+2}\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+2}, e_{2}\right]
$$

For $k=3$ we have

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s-1) q+3},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n+s-1) q+3},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\left((q-2) \frac{1}{s+2}-(q-1) \frac{1}{2(s+2)}\right)\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]=-\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]
$$

and so implies that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $2 s+1=0(\bmod p)$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{(2 n+s) q},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{2(s+2)}-\frac{2}{s+2}\right)\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $p=5$. Now if $p=5$ then our assumption that $1 \leq s<p-3$ implies that $s=1$ so that $2 s+1 \neq 0 \bmod 5$. So $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+3}, e_{2}\right]=0$ in every case.

Now suppose that $3<m<q$ and that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for all $k$ such that $1<k<m$. If $m$ is even then

$$
0=\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-3}, e_{2}\right]\right]=-\frac{1}{s+2}\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+m}, e_{2}\right]
$$

So we may assume that $m$ is odd. In this case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{(2 n+s) q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-2}, e_{2}\right]\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{s+2}-(m-2) \frac{-1}{2(s+2)}\right)\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+m}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $m=-2(\bmod p)$. We also have

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s-1) q+m},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n+s-1) q+m},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This implies that

$$
\left((q-m+1) \frac{1}{s+2}-(q-m+2) \frac{1}{2(s+2)}+1\right)\left[e_{(2 n+1) q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

which implies that $\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $m=2(s+2)(\bmod p)$. Since $s<p-3,\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+m}, e_{2}\right]=0$ in every case.

Next consider the equation

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s) q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n+s) q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(\frac{2}{s+2}-\frac{2}{2(s+2)}+1\right)\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{s+2} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+2}
$$

and hence that

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{s+3} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+2}
$$

And the equation

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{(2 n+s) q+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-\frac{2}{2(s+2)}+1\right)\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2(s+2)}(q-2)\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2(s+2)} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left[e_{(2 n+s+1) q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2(s+3)} e_{(2 n+s+1) q+3} .
$$

Finally we consider the case when $s=p-3$. Let $2 t=2 n+s=2 n+p-3$. Then $\left[e_{2 t q}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{2 t q+2}$ and $\left[e_{2 t q+1}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 t q+3}$.

The lemma implies that $\left[e_{2 t q+k}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $1<k<q$.
Consider the equation

$$
\left[e_{2 t q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{2 t q},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{q}\right]\right]
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(-2-\frac{q-2}{2}+1\right)\left[e_{(2 t+1) q}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{(2 t+1) q+2}
$$

and hence that

$$
e_{(2 t+1) q+2}=0
$$

## 7. - The case $\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right] \neq 0$ and $p=3$

Let $L$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded Lie algebra of maximal class over a field $\mathbf{F}$ of characteristic 3 , where $L$ has basis $\left\{e_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, with $\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$ for $i>1$. We consider the case when $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right] \neq 0$. By rescaling $e_{2}$ we may assume that $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5}$, which implies that $\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6}$ but leaves [ $e_{5}, e_{2}$ ] undetermined. We show that for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ there is a unique infinite dimensional soluble algebra $L(\lambda)$ of type 2 satisfying these relations, together
with the relation $\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{7}$. The algebra $L(\lambda)$ has basis $\left\{e_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, and satisfies the following relations:

$$
\begin{cases}{\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1},} & \text { for } i>1,  \tag{32}\\ {\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},} & \\ {\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{3 k+4},} & \\ \quad\left[e_{3 k+3}, e_{2}\right]=(-1-\lambda) e_{3 k+5}, & \text { for } k \geq 1, \\ {\left[e_{k}, e_{3}\right]=(1-\lambda) e_{k+3},} & \text { for } k \geq 4, \\ {\left[e_{k}, e_{m}\right]=0,} & \text { for } k, m \geq 4\end{cases}
$$

We give a construction of $L(\lambda)$ in Section 9, but in fact it is easy to show directly that these relations (together with the relations $\left[e_{i}, e_{i}\right]=0,\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]+$ [ $\left.e_{j}, e_{i}\right]=0$ ) imply the Jacobi relations

$$
\left[e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}\right]+\left[e_{j}, e_{k}, e_{i}\right]+\left[e_{k}, e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=0
$$

Note that $L(1) \cong m_{2}$ and that $L(-1)$ is the analogue for $q=3$ of the algebra constructed in Section 6.

So we suppose that $L$ has basis $\left\{e_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, with $\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$ for $i>1,\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda e_{7}$. We show that if $n \geq 4$ then $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$, where

$$
\mu_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=1(\bmod 3) \\ \lambda & \text { if } n=2(\bmod 3) \\ -1-\lambda & \text { if } n=0(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

The fact that $\left[e_{k}, e_{3}\right]=(1-\lambda) e_{k+3}$ for $k \geq 4$, and that $\left[e_{k}, e_{m}\right]=0$ for $k, m \geq 4$, follows easily from this.

We will make use of the following argument. Suppose that we have shown that $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$ for all $n$ with $4 \leq n<2 m$. Then the relation $\left[e_{m+1}, e_{m+1}\right]=0$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[e_{m+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-1}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m-1}{k}\left[e_{m+1}, e_{1}^{k}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{m-1-k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left[e_{2 m}, e_{2}\right.$ ] is determined by the values of $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right.$ ] for $m+1 \leq n<2 m$. So [ $\left.e_{2 m}, e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{2 m+2}$, for some $\mu$ which is uniquely determined by $\left\{\mu_{n} \mid m+1 \leq\right.$ $n<2 m\}$. But $L(\lambda)$ is a Lie algebra which satisfies $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$ for all $n \geq 4$. So $\mu=\mu_{2 m}$. In particular, this argument implies that $\left[e_{6}, e_{2}\right]=$ $(-1-\lambda) e_{8}$.

Now suppose that $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{n} e_{n+2}$ for $4 \leq n<m$ for some $m \geq 7$. We show that this implies that $\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{m} e_{m+2}$. By the argument above,
we only need to consider the case when $m$ is odd. We use the fact that $\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]+\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]=0$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[e_{m-3},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]+\left[e_{m-3},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right] } \\
= & {\left[e_{m-3}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]-\left[e_{m-3}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right] } \\
& +\left[e_{m-3}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{m-3}, e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{m-3}, e_{2}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \\
= & \mu_{m-3}\left(1+\mu_{m-1}\right) e_{m+2}-\left(1-\mu_{m-2}-\mu_{m-3}\right)\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right] \\
= & \mu_{m-3}\left(1+\mu_{m-1}\right) e_{m+2}-\left(1+\mu_{m-1}\right)\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Provided $1+\mu_{m-1} \neq 0$, this gives $\left[e_{m}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{m-3} e_{m+2}=\mu_{m} e_{m+2}$, as required. Note that $1+\mu_{m-1}=0$ can only occur when $\lambda=0$ and $m=1(\bmod 3)$, or when $\lambda=-1$ and $m=0(\bmod 3)$. So the uniqueness of $L(\lambda)$ is established except in the cases when $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=-1$. We deal with these two cases separately.

## 7.1. - The case $\lambda=0$.

Let $L$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded Lie algebra spanned by $\left\{e_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, with $\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$ for $i>1$. Let $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=0$. As above, we suppose that for some $n \geq 1$ we have $\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=0$, $\left[e_{3 k+3}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+5}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and we suppose that $\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{3 n+6}$ for some $\mu \neq 1$. As above, we may assume that $n$ is odd. We prove that $L(0)$ is the unique infinite dimensional algebra over $\mathbf{F}$ of type 2 satisfying $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=0$ by showing that this implies that $L$ is nilpotent.

First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]+\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]\right] } \\
= & {\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]-\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right] } \\
& +\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{2}, e_{2}, e_{1}\right] \\
= & {\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have $\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right]=0$ which implies that $\left[e_{3 n+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0$. This gives $(1+\mu)\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 n+8}$. If $\mu=-1$ then we have $e_{3 n+8}=0$ and $L$ is nilpotent (as claimed). So we assume that $\mu \neq-1$ and that $\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=$ $\frac{1}{1+\mu} e_{3 n+8}$. Next,

$$
\left[e_{3 n+4},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+4},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

implies that $\left[e_{3 n+7}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{\mu}{1+\mu} e_{3 n+9}$. And since $\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]$ we have

$$
\left[e_{3 n+3},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+3},\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\mu+\frac{1}{1+\mu}=-1-\mu-\frac{1}{1+\mu}+\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}
$$

But this implies that $\mu=0$, and so $\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=$ $e_{3 n+8}$, and $\left[e_{3 n+7}, e_{2}\right]=0$.

Now let $m=\frac{n+1}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right],\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+3}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+3+3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Our inductive hypothesis implies that $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{3}\right]=e_{3 k+3}$ for $m+1 \leq k \leq n$. And $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{3 n+6},\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{3}\right]=e_{3 n+9}$. So this equation gives $m e_{3 n+9}=0$. It follows that $m=0(\bmod 3)$, and hence that $n=-1(\bmod 3)$.

Since $n=-1(\bmod 3), n>1$, and so $\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]=e_{9}$. Hence

$$
\left[e_{3 n+1},\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{9}\right]
$$

Since $e_{9}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{9}\right] } & =\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{3}\right] \\
& =e_{3 n+10}-\left[e_{3 n+8}, e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

And since $\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{3 n+1},\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]\right]=} & {\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}\right]-\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{4}, e_{2}\right] } \\
& -\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{2}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{3}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{4}\right] \\
= & -e_{3 n+10}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left[e_{3 n+8}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 n+10}$.
To summarize, we may assume that $n$ is odd and $n=-1(\bmod 3)$, and that

- $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+2},\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$,
- $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right]=\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$,
- $\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 n+8},\left[e_{3 n+7}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 n+8}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 n+10}$.

We let $n=2 c q-1$, where $q$ is a power of 3 and where $c$ is coprime to 3 . Then we make a further inductive assumption that for some $t$ with $n+2 \leq t \leq n+q$ we have

- $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+2},\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+4}$ for $n+2 \leq k \leq t$.

We show that this implies that $\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+5},\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=$ $-e_{3 t+7}$. We have to divide the proof that $\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+5}$ into two cases depending on whether $t$ is odd or even.

If $t$ is odd let $m=\frac{t-1}{2}$. Then, since $e_{3 m+4}=\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right]$, we see that the equation $\left[e_{3 m+4}, e_{3 m+4}\right]=0$ gives

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+4}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right]=0
$$

Now $\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{4}\right]=0$ for $m<k \leq n$ and for $n+1<k<t$, $\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3 n+8}$, $\left[e_{3 t+1}, e_{4}\right]=-e_{3 t+5}+\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]$. So we obtain

$$
(-1)^{n-m}\binom{m}{n-m} e_{3 t+5}-(-1)^{m} e_{3 t+5}+(-1)^{m}\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=\left(1+\binom{m}{n-m}\right) e_{3 t+5}
$$

Now we can write $t=n+2 s$ for some $s$ with $2 \leq 2 s<q$. So

$$
\binom{m}{n-m}=\binom{m}{2 m-n}=\binom{c q+s-1}{2 s-1}=0 \quad(\bmod 3)
$$

and $\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+5}$.
Now consider the case when $t$ is even. We have $e_{3(t-n)}=\left[e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right]$, and so

$$
\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3(t-n)}\right]
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3(t-n)}\right] } & =\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(t-n-1)}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{t-n-1}(-1)^{r}\binom{t-n-1}{r}\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(t-n-1-r)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{3 n+8},\left[e_{3 k+5}, e_{3}\right]=e_{3 k+8}$ for $n<k<t-1,\left[e_{3 t+2}, e_{3}\right]=$ $-e_{3 t+5}-\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]$, and since $t-n-1$ is even, this implies that

$$
\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3(t-n)}\right]=-e_{3 t+5}-\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right]\right] } & =\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right] \\
& =\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3(t-n-2)}\right], e_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{4}\right]=e_{3 n+9}$ and $\left[e_{3 k+5}, e_{4}\right]=0$ for $n<k \leq t-2$, this implies that

$$
\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]
$$

So the equation

$$
\left[e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3(t-n)-2}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{3(t-n)}\right]
$$

implies that $\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+5}$.
So $\left[e_{3 t+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+5}$ whether $t$ is odd or even.
Next note that the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e_{3 t+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 t+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]} \\
& {\left[e_{3 t+2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 t+2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

give $\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 t+7}$.
So, by induction, we may assume that

- $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+2},\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+4}$ for $n+2 \leq k \leq$ $n+q+1$.
Finally, let $m=\frac{n+q}{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right],\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+3}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{3}\right]=e_{3 k+3}$ for $m+1 \leq k \leq n$ and for $n+1<k \leq n+q+1$, $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{3 n+6}$. Since $\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}=0$, we obtain $\binom{m}{q} e_{3 n+3 q+6}=0$. Since $m=c q+\frac{q-1}{2},\binom{m}{q}=c \neq 0(\bmod 3)$, and so $e_{3 n+3 q+6}=0$.

Thus the assumption that $\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right] \neq e_{3 n+6}$ implies that $L$ is nilpotent in every case. This completes our analysis of the case when $\lambda=0$.
7.2. - The case $\lambda=-1$.

Let $L$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded Lie algebra spanned by $\left\{e_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, with $\left[e_{i}, e_{1}\right]=e_{i+1}$ for $i>1$. Let $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{7}$. Repeating the argument above, we have $\left[e_{6}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{6} e_{8}=0$ (since 6 is even), $\left[e_{7}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{7} e_{9}=e_{9}($ since $7 \neq 0(\bmod 3))$, and $\left[e_{8}, e_{2}\right]=\mu_{8} e_{10}=-e_{10}$ (since 8 is even). And we may suppose that for some even $n \geq 2$ we have $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+4}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, and that $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{2}\right]=\mu e_{3 n+6}$ for some $\mu \neq 0$. We prove that $L(-1)$ is the unique infinite dimensional algebra over $\mathbf{F}$ of type 2 satisfying $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{7}$ by showing that this implies that $L$ is nilpotent.

The relation

$$
\left[e_{3 n+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

gives $\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right]=(1+\mu) e_{3 n+6}$. And the relation

$$
\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

gives

$$
-(1+\mu)\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right]=(1-\mu) e_{3 n+7}
$$

If $\mu=-1$ then this gives $e_{3 n+7}=0$, and $L$ is nilpotent. So we may suppose that $\mu \neq-1$, and that $\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1} e_{3 n+7}$.

Since $\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]$ we obtain

$$
\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

This gives
$(1-\mu)\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]+\left(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1}+\mu+1\right) e_{3 n+8}=\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]-\left(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1}+\mu+1\right) e_{3 n+8}$.
Since $\mu \neq 0$ we have $\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{\mu}{\mu+1} e_{3 n+8}$.
Let $n=2 m$. Then, since $e_{3 m+4}=\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right]$, the equation $\left[e_{3 m+4}, e_{3 m+4}\right]=0$ gives

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{m}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+4}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right]=0
$$

Now $\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{4}\right]=0$ for $1 \leq k<n$, and $\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{4}\right]=\mu e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{4}\right]=$ $\frac{\mu(\mu-1)}{\mu+1}$. So we obtain

$$
\left(m \mu-\frac{\mu(\mu-1)}{\mu+1}\right) e_{3 n+8}=0 .
$$

So either $e_{3 n+8}=0$ (and $L$ is nilpotent), or $m \mu(\mu+1)=\mu(\mu-1)$. But since $\mu \neq 0$, the only solution of $m \mu(\mu+1)=\mu(\mu-1)$ is $\mu=1$ and $m=0$ $(\bmod 3)$.

So we may assume that $n=2 c q$ where $q$ is a power of 3 and where $c$ is coprime to 3 , and we may assume that

- $\left[e_{3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{5},\left[e_{4}, e_{2}\right]=e_{6},\left[e_{5}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{7}$,
- $\left[e_{3 k}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+4}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$,
- $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 n+6},\left[e_{3 n+5}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 n+6}, e_{2}\right]=$ $e_{3 n+8}$.
We make the further inductive hypothesis that for some $t$ with $n+1 \leq t<$ $n+q$ we have
- $\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+5}$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq t$.

We show that this implies that $\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 t+6},\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$, $\left[e_{3 t+6}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+8}$.

The equation

$$
\left[e_{3 t+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 t+1},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

gives $\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 t+6}$.

We have to divide the proof that $\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$ into two cases depending on whether $t$ is odd or even. First suppose that $t$ is odd and let $m=\frac{t+1}{2}$. Then $e_{3 m+2}=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3 m}\right]$ and so the equation $\left[e_{3 m+2}, e_{3 m+2}\right]=0$ gives

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}\left[e_{3 m+2}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{3(m-r)}\right]=0
$$

Now $\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+4}$ for $m \leq k \leq n,\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=0$ for $n<k \leq t$, and so we obtain

$$
-\sum_{r=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r} e_{3 t+7}+(-1)^{m}\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0
$$

We can write $t=n+2 s-1=2 c q+2 s-1$ where $1 \leq s<\frac{q+1}{2}$ so that $m=c q+s$ and $n-m=c q-s$. So

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{c q-s}(-1)^{r}\binom{c q+s}{r}= \pm \sum_{r=0}^{2 s-1}(-1)^{r}\binom{c q+s}{r}
$$

But $2 s-1<q$ and so $\binom{c q+s}{r}=0(\bmod 3)$ for $s<r \leq 2 s-1$. So, working modulo 3,

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{r}\binom{m}{r}= \pm \sum_{r=0}^{s}(-1)^{r}\binom{c q+s}{r}= \pm \sum_{r=0}^{s}(-1)^{r}\binom{s}{r}=0
$$

and hence $\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$.
Next suppose that $t$ is even. The equation

$$
\left[e_{3 n+2},\left[e_{3(t-n+1)}, e_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

gives

$$
\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{3(t-n+1)}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{3(t-n+1)}\right]=0
$$

Since $e_{3(t-n+1)}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(t-n)}\right]$, this implies that
$\sum_{r=0}^{t-n}(-1)^{r}\binom{t-n}{r}\left(\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(t-n-r)}, e_{2}\right]+\left[e_{3 n+4}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{3}, e_{1}^{3(t-n-r)}\right]\right)=0$.
Now $\left[e_{3 n+2}, e_{3}\right]=e_{3 n+5},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{3 k+5}$ for $n<k \leq t,\left[e_{3 k+4}, e_{3}\right]=$ $-e_{3 k+7}$ for $n \leq k<t$, and $\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{3 t+7}-\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]$. Since $t-n$ is even this equation implies that $\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$.

So $\left[e_{3 t+5}, e_{2}\right]=0$ whether $t$ is odd or even.
Finally

$$
\left[e_{3 t+3},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\right]=\left[e_{3 t+3},\left[e_{2}, e_{1}^{3}\right]\right]
$$

gives $\left[e_{3 t+6}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 t+8}$. So we may assume by induction that

- $\left[e_{3 k+1}, e_{2}\right]=-e_{3 k+3},\left[e_{3 k+2}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{3 k+3}, e_{2}\right]=e_{3 k+5}$ for $n+1 \leq k \leq$ $n+q$.
To complete our analysis of case 3 we let $t=\frac{n+q-1}{2}$, and we consider the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3 t}\right],\left[e_{4}, e_{1}^{3 t}\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r}\binom{t}{r}\left[e_{3 t+4}, e_{1}^{3 r}, e_{4}, e_{1}^{3(t-r)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[e_{3 k+4}, e_{4}\right]=0$ for $t \leq k<n-1$ and for $n \leq k \leq 2 t$, and $\left[e_{3 n+1}, e_{4}\right]=$ $e_{3 n+5}$ this implies $\binom{t}{q} e_{3 n+3 q+5}=0$. Since $t=c q+\frac{q-1}{2},\binom{t}{q}=c \neq 0(\bmod 3)$, and hence $e_{3 n+3 q+5}=0$.

Thus the assumption that $\left[e_{3 n+3}, e_{2}\right] \neq 0$ implies that $L$ is nilpotent in every case. This completes our analysis of the case when $\lambda=-1$.

## 8. - Constructing the algebra with first constituent of length $q$

In this section we construct the algebra $L$ with first constituent of length $q$ which is described in Section 6. If $q=3$, this construction gives the algebra $L(-1)$ of Section 7.

Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $q$ be a power of $p$. Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $q$ over the field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t})$ of rational functions over the field $\mathbf{F}$ with $p$ elements. We grade $V$ over the cyclic group of order $q$,

$$
V=\left\langle v_{0}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle v_{q-1}\right\rangle
$$

Consider the following endomorphisms $D$ and $E$, of $V$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{i} \mapsto v_{i+1} \quad \text { if } i \neq q-1 \\
v_{q-1} \mapsto t v_{0} .
\end{array}\right. \\
& D=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{0} \mapsto v_{2} \\
v_{q-1} \mapsto-t v_{1} \\
v_{i} \mapsto 0
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $E$ has weight 1 , and $D$ has weight 2.
We construct the Lie algebra $A$ spanned by $E$ and $D$ in the endomorphism algebra of $V$.

Consider $\left[D E^{q-2}\right.$ ], which has weight $q \equiv 0(\bmod q)$. For $0 \leq j<q$ we have

$$
v_{j}\left[D E^{q-2}\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{q-2}(-1)^{i}\binom{q-2}{i} v_{j} E^{i} D E^{q-2-i}
$$

If $j>0$ then $v_{j} E^{i} D=0$ unless $i=q-j-1$ or $q-j$. For $i=q-j$ we have $v_{j} E^{i}=t v_{0}$, and thus

$$
(-1)^{i}\binom{q-2}{i} v_{j} E^{i} D E^{q-2-i}=(-1)^{q-j}\binom{q-2}{q-j} t v_{j}
$$

while for $i=q-1-j$ we have $v_{j} E^{i}=v_{q-1}$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{i}\binom{q-2}{i} v_{j} E^{i} D E^{q-2-i} & =-(-1)^{q-1-j}\binom{q-2}{q-1-j} t v_{j} \\
& =(-1)^{q-j}\binom{q-2}{q-1-j} t v_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
v_{j}\left[D E^{q-2}\right]=v_{j}(-1)^{q-j}\binom{q-1}{q-j} t v_{j}=t v_{j}
$$

Similarly (for $0 \leq i \leq q-2$ ) we have $v_{0} E^{i} D=0$ unless $i=0$, and so $v_{0}\left[D E^{q-2}\right]=t v_{0}$. So $\left[D E^{q-2}\right]=t \cdot 1$ is scalar multiplication by $t$. It follows that all the $\left[D E^{i}\right]$, for $0 \leq i \leq q-2$, are non-zero, and thus linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}$, as they have distinct weights $2, \ldots, q$. We claim that $\left[D E^{i} D\right]=0$ for $0 \leq i<q-2$. To see this, consider the associative expansion of $\left[D E^{i} D\right]$, which is a linear combination of monomials of the form $E^{\alpha} D E^{\beta} D, D E^{\beta} D E^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha+\beta=i$. Note that if $E^{\alpha} D E^{\beta} D$ or $D E^{\beta} D E^{\alpha}$ is a monomial which occurs in any of these expansions then $\beta<q-3$. This is trivially true, except in the expansion of $\left[D E^{q-3} D\right]$. However in the expansion of $\left[D E^{q-3} D\right], D E^{q-3} D$ appears twice, but with opposite signs. So it is sufficient to show that if $\beta<q-3$ then $v_{j} D E^{\beta} D=0$ for all $j$. But $v_{j} D=0$ unless $j=0$ or $q-1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0} D E^{\beta} D & =v_{\beta+2} D=0, \\
v_{q-1} D E^{\beta} D & =-t v_{\beta+1} D=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0<\beta+1, \beta+2<q-2$.
Therefore

$$
A=\left\langle E,\left[D E^{i}\right]: 0 \leq i \leq q-2\right\rangle
$$

is $q$-dimensional.
Let us now consider the semidirect product $V+\operatorname{End}(V)$, and in it the Lie algebra $L$ over $\mathbf{F}$ generated by

$$
e_{1}=E, \quad e_{2}=-\frac{1}{2 t} \cdot v_{1}-\frac{1}{2} D
$$

Recursively define $e_{i+1}=\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]$, for $i \geq 2$. Note that for $2 \leq i \leq q$ we have by induction

$$
e_{i}=-\frac{1}{2 t} v_{i-1}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D E^{i-2}\right]
$$

In particular for $i=q$ we have

$$
e_{q}=-\frac{1}{2 t} \cdot v_{q-1}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D E^{q-2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2 t} v_{q-1}-\frac{t}{2} \cdot 1
$$

Therefore

$$
e_{q+1}=-\frac{1}{2} v_{0}, \quad e_{q+2}=-\frac{1}{2} v_{1}
$$

and we are in $V$ from now on, and further commutation with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is straightforward. In particular, if $0 \leq r<q$ and $k \geq 1$, then $e_{k q+r+1}=$ $\left[e_{q+1} e_{1}^{(k-1) q+r}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} t^{k-1} v_{r}$, and

$$
\left[e_{k q+r+1}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4} t^{k-1} v_{r} D= \begin{cases}0 \text { unless } r=0 & \text { or } q-1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+3} & \text { if } r=0 \\ \frac{1}{2} e_{(k+1) q+2} & \text { if } r=q-1\end{cases}
$$

For $2 \leq i \leq q-1$ we have

$$
\left[e_{i} e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{t} v_{i-1} D-\frac{1}{t} v_{1}\left[D E^{i-2}\right]+\left[D E^{i-2} D\right]\right)=0
$$

because of the above, and the easy fact that $v_{1}\left[D E^{i-2}\right]=0$. And

$$
\left[e_{q} e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{t} v_{q-1} D-\frac{1}{t} v_{1}\left[D E^{q-2}\right]+\left[D E^{q-2} D\right]\right)=-\frac{1}{2} v_{1}=e_{q+2}
$$

So $L$ is of maximal class, graded as we want it to be. We have seen that the first constituent has length $q$, and that $\left[e_{q} e_{2}\right]=e_{q+2},\left[e_{q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{q+3}$. For $n>q$ we have $\left[e_{n}, e_{2}\right]=0$ unless $n$ is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo $q$, and for $k \geq 2$ we have $\left[e_{k q}, e_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+2},\left[e_{k q+1}, e_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} e_{k q+3}$.

## 9. - Constructing the extra algebras for $q=3$

In this section we construct the algebras $L(\lambda)$ of Section 7. These are defined over a field $\mathbf{F}$ of characteristic 3 , for $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$.

The construction is similar to the one of the previous section. We rephrase it here in terms of matrices.

Let $t$ be an indeterminate over $K$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ be the standard basis of the space of row vectors $K(t)^{3}$. Consider the $3 \times 3$ matrices over $K(t)$

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& 1 & \\
& & 1 \\
t & &
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda t & & 1 \\
& -(1+\lambda) t &
\end{array}\right] .
$$

where as usual zero entries are omitted. We have

$$
[D E]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(1-\lambda) t & & \\
& (1-\lambda) t & \\
& & (1-\lambda) t
\end{array}\right]
$$

a scalar matrix, so that the Lie algebra spanned by $D$ and $E$ has dimension 3 . Now consider the block $4 \times 4$ matrices

$$
e_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad e_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
v & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here

$$
v=\frac{1}{t} v_{2}=\left[0, \frac{1}{t}, 0\right] \in K(t)^{3}
$$

Consider the Lie algebra $S$ spanned by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. We compute

$$
e_{3}=\left[e_{2} e_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{[D E]} & 0 \\
v E & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad e_{4}=\left[e_{3} e_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
v E^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here $v E=1 / t \cdot v_{3}$, and $v E^{2}=[1,0,0]=v_{1}$. If we define $e_{i+1}=\left[e_{i} e_{1}\right]$, for $i \geq 2$, we find thus that for $i \geq 4$ we have

$$
e_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
t^{j} v_{k} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq 3$, and $i=3(j+1)+k$. It follows that the algebra $S$ is infinite-dimensional over $K$, with basis $e_{i}$, for $i \geq 1$.

We have

$$
\left[e_{3} e_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
v_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]=e_{5}
$$

and

$$
\left[e_{5} e_{2}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\lambda t v_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]=\lambda e_{7}
$$

It is now straightforward to see that all identities (32) are satisfied in $S$, so that $S$ is isomorphic to the algebra $L(\lambda)$ of Section 7.
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