



ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Bruno KAHN

On the universal regular homomorphism in codimension 2

Tome 71, n° 2 (2021), p. 843-848.

http://aif.centre-mersenne.org/item/AIF_2021__71_2_843_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2021,

Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>



ON THE UNIVERSAL REGULAR HOMOMORPHISM IN CODIMENSION 2

by Bruno KAHN

ABSTRACT. — We point out a gap in Murre’s proof of the existence of a universal regular homomorphism for codimension 2 cycles on a smooth projective variety, and offer two arguments to fill this gap.

RÉSUMÉ. — On signale une lacune dans la preuve de l’existence d’un homomorphisme régulier universel pour les cycles de codimension 2 sur une variété projective lisse par Murre, et on donne deux arguments différents pour combler cette lacune.

In [11], Jacob Murre shows the existence of a universal regular homomorphism for algebraically trivial cycles of codimension 2 on a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field. This theorem has been largely used in the literature, most lately in [1, 7] and [3]; for example, it is essential in [3] for descending the method of Clemens and Griffiths [6] to non-algebraically closed fields, thus allowing Benoist and Wittenberg to obtain new examples of geometrically rational nonrational 3-folds.

Unfortunately its proof contains a gap, but fortunately this gap can be filled, actually by two different methods. This is the purpose of this note, which is a slight modification of a letter to Murre on December 5, 2018.

Recall the set-up, with the notation of [11]: V is a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k and $A^n(V)$ denotes the group of codimension n cycles algebraically equivalent to 0 on V , modulo rational equivalence. Following Samuel, given an abelian k -variety A , a homomorphism

$$\phi : A^n(V) \rightarrow A(k)$$

is said to be *regular* if, for any pointed smooth projective k -variety (T, t_0) and any correspondence $Z \in CH^n(T \times V)$, the composition

$$(0.1) \quad T(k) \xrightarrow{w_Z} A^n(V) \xrightarrow{\phi} A(k)$$

Keywords: Algebraic cycles, abelian varieties.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14C25, 14K30.

is induced by a morphism $f : T \rightarrow A$; here w_Z is the composition

$$(0.2) \quad T(k) \rightarrow A_0(T) \xrightarrow{Z_*} A^n(V)$$

where the first map sends t to $[t] - [t_0]$. (Note that f is then unique, by Zariski density of the rational points in T .)

Using fancy language, regular homomorphisms from $A^n(V)$ form a category and a *universal regular homomorphism* is an initial object of this category, if it exists. This initial object is well-known to exist when $n = 0$, $n = 1$ (the Picard variety) and $n = \dim X$ (the Albanese variety). Murre's theorem is:

THEOREM 0.1 ([11, Theorem 1.9]). — *A universal regular homomorphism ϕ_0 exists when $n = 2$ for any V (of dimension ≥ 2).*

Recall the main steps of his proof. First, given a regular homomorphism ϕ , its image in $A(k)$ is given by the points of some sub-abelian variety $A' \subseteq A$ [11, Lemma 1.6.2(i)]. From this, one deduces [11, Proposition 2.1] that ϕ_0 exists if and only if $\dim A$ is bounded when ϕ runs through the *surjective* regular homomorphisms. Now, Murre's key idea is to bound $\dim A$ by the torsion of $A^2(V)$, which is controlled by the Merkurjev–Suslin theorem (Bloch's observation).

Let us elaborate a little on this point, to avoid the l -adic argument of loc. cit.: it suffices to prove that ϕ induces a surjection

$$(0.3) \quad A^2(V)\{l\} \twoheadrightarrow A(k)\{l\}$$

for some prime $l \neq \text{char } k$, where $M\{l\}$ denotes the l -primary torsion of an abelian group M : indeed, $\text{corank } A(k)\{l\} = 2 \dim A$. Mainly by Merkurjev–Suslin (Diagram in [11, Proposition 6.1])⁽¹⁾,

$$\text{corank } CH^2(V)\{l\} \leq \text{corank } H_{\text{ét}}^3(V, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) (= b_3(V))$$

so the same holds *a fortiori* for $\text{corank } A^2(V)\{l\}$.

Now, in [11, Lemma 1.6.2(ii)], Murre constructs an abelian variety B (pointed at 0) and a correspondence $Z \in CH^2(B \times V)$ such that (0.1) is surjective for $T = B$. Since this map is induced by a morphism of abelian varieties sending 0 to 0 (hence a homomorphism), it restricts to a surjection

$$(0.4) \quad B\{l\} \twoheadrightarrow A\{l\}.$$

This allows me to explain

⁽¹⁾One could replace this diagram by the injection $CH^2(V) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^4(V, \Gamma(2))$ of [9, Theorem 2.13(c)], together with the surjection $H_{\text{ét}}^3(V, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(2)) \twoheadrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^4(V, \Gamma(2))\{l\}$, cf. loc. cit., proof of Theorem 2.15; here, $\Gamma(2)$ is Lichtenbaum's complex.

The gap

A priori (0.4) does not imply (0.3), because w_Z is in general only a set-theoretic map, not a group homomorphism (see e.g. [4, Theorem 3.1(a)]).

We now fix a surjective regular homomorphism ϕ as above. We shall give two ways to fill this gap:

- (A) construct (B, Z) such that w_Z is a homomorphism;
 - (B) prove that w_Z always sends torsion to torsion.
- (A) was my initial idea, and (B) was inspired by a discussion with Murre.

1. Explanation of (A)

We have

LEMMA 1.1. — Take (T, t_0, z) with T of dimension 1 and $z \in CH^2(T \times V)$. Let $J = J(T)$ be the jacobian of T . Then the homomorphism $z_* : A_0(T) = J(k) \rightarrow A^2(V)$ is of the form w_α for some correspondence $\alpha \in CH^2(J \times V)$ (using $0 \in J(k)$ as base point).

Proof. — Let g be the genus of T . Recall from [10, Example 3.12] the universal relative Cartier divisor D_{can} on $T \times T^{(g)}/T^{(g)}$, parametrising the effective divisors of degree g on T . It defines a correspondence $D_{\text{can}} : T^{(g)} \rightarrow T$. Composing with the graph of the birational map $J \dashrightarrow T^{(g)}$ inverse to $(t_1, \dots, t_g) \mapsto \sum t_i - gt_0$, we find a (Chow) correspondence $D : J \rightarrow T$. I claim that $\alpha = z \circ D$ answers the question. Indeed, one checks immediately that the homomorphism

$$D_* : A_0(J) \rightarrow A_0(T)$$

is the Albanese morphism for J ; hence the composition

$$J(k) \rightarrow A_0(J) \xrightarrow{D_*} A_0(T)$$

is the identity. □

Remark 1.2. — On the other hand, the morphism $T \rightarrow A$ given by the regularity of ϕ factors through a homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad J(T) \rightarrow A.$$

This homomorphism coincides with the one underlying $\phi \circ z_*$ in view of Lemma 1.1. Indeed, by uniqueness, it suffices to see that (1.1) induces $\phi \circ z_*$ on k -points; this is clear since $T(k)$ generates $J(T)(k)$ as an abelian group.

Consider all triples (T, t_0, z) with $\dim T = 1$. The homomorphism $\bigoplus A_0(T) \xrightarrow{(z_*)} A^2(V)$ is surjective, hence so is $\bigoplus A_0(T) \xrightarrow{(z_*)} A^2(V) \twoheadrightarrow A(k)$. As in Remark 1.2, each summand of this homomorphism is induced by a homomorphism $\rho_{T, t_0, z} : J(T) \rightarrow A$, so

$$B := \prod_{(T, t_0, z) \in S} J(T) \xrightarrow{(\rho_{T, t_0, z})} A$$

is surjective (faithfully flat) for a suitable finite set S . For each (T, t_0, z) , let $\alpha = \alpha_z$ be a correspondence given by Lemma 1.1. Write $\pi_{T, t_0, z} : B \rightarrow J(T)$ for the canonical projection, viewed as an algebraic correspondence. The pair given by B and $Z = \sum_{(T, t_0, z)} \alpha_z \circ \pi_{T, t_0, z}$ yields (A).

2. Explanation of (B)

It suffices to show that the map

$$f : B(k) \rightarrow A_0(B)$$

sends l -primary torsion to l -primary torsion. Let $d = \dim B$. By Bloch's theorem [4, Theorem (0.1)], we have $A_0(B)^{* (d+1)} = 0$, where $*$ denotes Pontrjagin product. In other words, f has "degree $\leq d$ " in the sense that its $(d + 1)^{\text{st}}$ deviation [8, Section 8] is identically 0. It remains to show:

LEMMA 2.1. — *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a map of degree $\leq d$ between two abelian groups, such that $f(0) = 0$. Let $m_0 \in M$ be an element such that $am_0 = 0$ for some integer $a > 0$. Then*

$$a^{\binom{d+1}{2}} f(m_0) = 0.$$

Proof. — Induction on d . The case $d = 1$ is trivial. Assume $d > 1$. By hypothesis, the d^{th} deviation of f is multilinear, which implies that the map

$$g_a(m) = f(am) - a^d f(m)$$

is of degree $\leq d - 1$. By induction, $a^{\binom{d}{2}} g_a(m_0) = 0$, hence the conclusion. \square

Remark 2.2. — Of course, either argument proves more generally the following: the map $\phi : A^n(V)\{l\} \rightarrow A(k)\{l\}$ is surjective for any integer n , any surjective regular homomorphism $\phi : A^n(V) \rightarrow A(k)$ and any prime $l \neq \text{char } k$.

Remark 2.3. — In [2, Section 6, Lemma and Proposition 11], Beauville gives a different proof that f sends torsion to torsion. Moreover, he observes that Roïtman’s theorem [13] then implies that the restriction of f to torsion is *actually an isomorphism, hence a homomorphism*.

If we apply Roïtman’s theorem together with Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following stronger result: *if $m, m_0 \in B(k)$ and m_0 is torsion, then $f(m + m_0) = f(m) + f(m_0)$. (Fixing m , the map $f_m : m' \mapsto f(m + m') - f(m) - f(m')$ is of degree $< d$, hence $a^{\binom{d}{2}} f_m(m_0) = 0$ if $am_0 = 0$ by Lemma 2.1, and therefore $f_m(m_0) = 0$ by Roïtman’s theorem.)*

3. Some expectation

The landmark work of Bloch and Esnault [5] yields the existence of 4-folds V over fields k of characteristic 0 such that the l -torsion of $A^3(V)$ is infinite (hence its l -primary torsion has infinite corank). One example, used by Rosenschon–Srinivas [14] and Totaro [16] and relying on Nori’s theorem [12] and Schoen’s results [15], is the following: start from the generic abelian 3-fold A , whose field of constants k_0 is finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} ; choose an elliptic curve $E/k_0(t)$, not isotrivial with respect to k_0 , and take $V = A_{k_0(t)} \times E$, $k =$ algebraic closure of $k_0(t)$.

CONJECTURE 3.1. — *For this V , a universal regular homomorphism on $A^3(V)$ does not exist.*

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to Jacob Murre for discussions around this problem, and for his encouragement to publish this note. I am also indebted to the referee for a careful reading, pointing out an incorrect earlier formulation of Lemma 1.1, as well as the reference to [2]. (The referee credits in turn Charles Vial for this reference.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. D. ACHTER, S. CASALAINA-MARTIN & C. VIAL, “On descending cohomology geometrically”, *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), no. 7, p. 1446-1478.
- [2] A. BEAUVILLE, “Quelques remarques sur la transformation de Fourier dans l’anneau de Chow d’une variété abélienne”, in *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1016, Springer, 1982, p. 238-260.

- [3] O. BENOIST & O. WITTENBERG, “The Clemens-Griffiths method over non-closed fields”, *Algebr. Geom.* **7** (2020), no. 6, p. 696-721.
- [4] S. BLOCH, “Some elementary theorems about algebraic cycles on Abelian varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **37** (1976), no. 3, p. 215-228.
- [5] S. BLOCH & H. ESNAULT, “The coniveau filtration and non-divisibility for algebraic cycles”, *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), no. 2, p. 303-314.
- [6] C. H. CLEMENS & P. A. GRIFFITHS, “The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold”, *Ann. Math.* **95** (1972), p. 281-356.
- [7] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE & A. PIRUTKA, “Troisième groupe de cohomologie non ramifiée d’un solide cubique sur un corps de fonctions d’une variable”, *Épjournal de Géom. Algèbr., EPIGA* **2** (2018), article no. 13 (13 pages).
- [8] S. EILENBERG & S. MAC LANE, “On the groups $H(\Pi, n)$, Π ; methods of computation”, *Ann. Math.* **60** (1954), p. 49-139.
- [9] S. LICHTENBAUM, “New results on weight-two motivic cohomology”, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 88, Birkhäuser, 1990, p. 35-55.
- [10] J. S. MILNE, “Jacobian varieties”, in *Arithmetic Geometry* (G. Cornell & S. J. H., eds.), Springer, revised and second ed., 1998, p. 167-212.
- [11] J. P. MURRE, “Applications of algebraic K -theory to the theory of algebraic cycles”, in *Algebraic geometry, Proc. Conf., Sitges (Barcelona)/Spain 1983*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1124, Springer, 1985, p. 216-261.
- [12] M. V. NORI, “Cycles on the generic abelian threefold”, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* **99** (1989), no. 3, p. 191-196.
- [13] A. A. ROĬTMAN, “The torsion of the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence”, *Ann. Math.* **111** (1980), no. 3, p. 553-569.
- [14] A. ROSENSCHON & V. SRINIVAS, “The Griffiths group of the generic abelian 3-fold”, in *Cycles, motives and Shimura varieties. Proceedings of the international colloquium, Mumbai, India, January 3–12, 2008*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, vol. 21, Narosa Publishing House / Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 2010, p. 449-467.
- [15] C. SCHOEN, “On certain exterior product maps of Chow groups”, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), no. 2-3, p. 177-194.
- [16] B. TOTARO, “Complex varieties with infinite Chow groups modulo 2”, *Ann. Math.* **183** (2016), no. 1, p. 363-375.

Manuscrit reçu le 21 juin 2019,
révisé le 7 janvier 2020,
accepté le 13 mai 2020.

Bruno KAHN
IMJ-PRG
Case 247
4 place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05
France
bruno.kahn@imj-prg.fr