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## cedram

# FINITE DETERMINACY OF DICRITICAL SINGULARITIES IN $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ 

by Gabriel CALSAMIGLIA-MENDLEWICZ (*)

Abstract. - For germs of singularities of holomorphic foliations in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ which are regular after one blowing-up we show that there exists a functional analytic invariant (the transverse structure to the exceptional divisor) and a finite number of numerical parameters that allow us to decide whether two such singularities are analytically equivalent. As a result we prove a formal-analytic rigidity theorem for this kind of singularities.

Résumé. - Nous montrons l'existence d'un invariant analytique fonctionnel (la structure transverse au diviseur exceptionnel) et d'un nombre fini de paramètres numériques associés aux germes de feuilletages holomorphes dans ( $\left.\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ qui ne présentent pas de singularités après un éclatement. Ceux-ci permettent de décider si deux telles singularités sont analytiquement équivalentes. On dérive ensuite un théorème de rigidité formelle-analytique pour ce type de singularité.

## 1. Introduction

Given a holomorphic germ of 1-form $\omega$ in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ with an isolated zero at the origin we can define its associated singular foliation by holomorphic curves $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ : the origin 0 is the singular set and its leaves are the integral curves of $\omega$ outside 0 . Let $E: \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ denote the quadratic blow up at the origin expressed in coordinates by $E(t, x)=(x, t x)=(X, Y)$, and $E_{0}$ its exceptional divisor corresponding to the set $\{x=0\}$ in the chart $(t, x)$. It is well known that $E^{*}(\omega)$ defines a regular foliation in the complement of $E_{0}$ which can be uniquely extended to a holomorphic foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ in a neighborhood of $E_{0}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ with a finite set of isolated singularities on $E_{0}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ denote the set of foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ is a regular foliation. We

[^0]are interested in describing the space of analytic equivalence classes of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. The index theorem in ([3], p.592) forces $E_{0}$ to be generically transverse to $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}$, so $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ has a dicritical singularity. However, there is a finite set of points $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}} \subset E_{0}$ corresponding to the points $p \in E_{0}$ where the leaf of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}$ through $p$ is tangent to the curve $E_{0}$ with contact order $r(p)+1$. A result by Klughertz [7] asserts that topologically there aren't any other invariants:

Theorem 1. - Given $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$, there exists a homeomorphism $\Psi$ : $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ sending leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ to leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ if and only if there exists a bijection $\psi: \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ such that $r(\psi(p))=r(p)$ for all $p \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

In other words the partition of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ into subsets whose elements are topologically equivalent can be described as $\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the number of points of tangency, and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ their orders of tangency when $n \neq 0$. The case $n=0$ is solved by Poincaré's linearization theorem: every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}(0)$ is analytically equivalent to the radial foliation $\mathcal{F}_{Y \mathrm{~d} X-X \mathrm{~d} Y}$. Suzuki's example (see Section 2 below or [13]) shows that there are two elements in $\mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; 1)$ which are not analytically equivalent. The obstruction is related to the following analytic invariant: given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$, consider for each $p_{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ a local holomorphic first integral $F_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in a neighborhood $U_{i}$ of $p_{i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Define $f_{i}=F_{i \mid U_{i} \cap E_{0}}$. The group of invariance of $\mathcal{F}$ at $p_{i}$ is

$$
H\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{i}\right)=\left\{h \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(E_{0}, p_{i}\right) \mid f_{i} \circ h=f_{i}\right\} .
$$

It is a cyclic group of germs of order $r\left(p_{i}\right)+1$. We define the transverse structure of $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$
H(\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{p \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} H(\mathcal{F}, p)
$$

Observe that if $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is a biholomorphism then $\Psi^{*}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ and the restriction $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{0}\right)$ of $\Psi$ to $E_{0}$ defines a bijection

$$
h \longmapsto \psi^{-1} \circ h \circ \psi
$$

from $H(\mathcal{F})$ to $H\left(\Psi^{*}(\mathcal{F})\right)$. We thus define the projective class of the transverse structure $H[\mathcal{F}]$ as the conjugacy class of $H(\mathcal{F})$ by holomorphic automorphisms of $E_{0}$, which are just Möbius transformations. The previous argument shows that $H[\mathcal{F}]$ depends only on the analytic class $[\mathcal{F}]$ of $\mathcal{F}$, and also that if $\Psi^{*}(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, then up to linear conjugacy we can suppose $H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=H(\mathcal{F})$. On the other hand, the fact that elements in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ can be constructed using foliated surgery techniques (and Grauert's Theorem)
allows us to realize any finite union of cyclic groups of germs of diffeomorphisms of $\left(E_{0}, p\right)$ at points $p \in E_{0}$ of finite order as the transverse structure of an element of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$.

A natural question is to decide whether the projective class of the transverse structure determines the analytic class of the foliation completely. In the case of $\mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; 1)$ the answer is positive:

Theorem 2 (Cerveau). - Given $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; 1)$, there exists a germ of biholomorphism $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ with $\Psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $H[\mathcal{F}]=H\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]$.

In the remaining cases we provide examples showing that there are elements $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ with $H(\mathcal{F})=H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\# H(\mathcal{F})>2$ arbitrary which are not analytically equivalent. Our main result states that, apart from the projective class of the transverse structure, there are at most a finite number of analytic invariants of numerical nature in each topological class $\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ :

Theorem 3. - Let $\omega, \omega^{\prime}$ be two holomorphic 1-forms in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ defining foliations $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in D_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ respectively. Define

$$
N:=r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}, \quad \kappa:=(N+1)+\max \left\{r_{i}\right\}(3 N-2) .
$$

Suppose
(i) $H(\mathcal{F})=H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$;
(ii) the jets of $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ at 0 satisfy $j_{0}^{\kappa}(\omega)=j_{0}^{\kappa}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$.

Then there exists a biholomorphism $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ such that

$$
\Psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{F} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{d} \Psi(0,0)=\mathrm{Id}
$$

As a corollary we get a theorem of formal-analytic rigidity in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ :
Corollary 4. - Two elements in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ are formally equivalent if and only if they are analytically equivalent.

Since the algebraic multiplicity of the elements in $\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ is $N+1$, we can state Theorem 3 in terms of the algebraic multiplicity instead of $\kappa$. This theorem can be reinterpreted in the following way: fix a 1 -form $\omega$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$. Consider the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{0}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\omega}\right]:=\left\{\omega^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{0} \text { and } H\left(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}\right)=H\left(\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assertion is that each fiber of the map

$$
j_{0}^{\kappa}: \mathcal{D}_{0}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\omega}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{M} \quad \text { where } \quad M:=\binom{\kappa+1}{2}-\binom{N+1}{2}
$$

defines a unique equivalence class in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Different fibers might define the same class or not. Nevertheless we have at most $\mathbb{C}^{M}$ different analytic classes with the same transverse structure.

A theorem of finite determinacy of a similar type was proven by Klughertz [7] in her doctoral thesis. Our appoach improves the order of the jet involved (in her statement the dependence is quadratic on $N$ ). On the other hand the methods used for the proof differ. We will geometrically construct a biholomorphism by choosing adequate generically transverse auxiliary foliations, whereas Klughertz used the cohomological methods developped in [8] to find a biholomorphism which is tangent to the identity up to a certain order.

Ortiz-Bobadilla, Rosales-Gonzalez and Voronin [10] have recently proved a formal-analytic rigidity theorem in $\mathcal{D}_{0}(n ; 1, \ldots, 1)$, after what formal normal forms are constructed for the analytic classes in $\mathcal{D}_{0}(n ; 1, \ldots, 1)$, and formal invariants are identified from this normal form. Again, the process lacks of a geometric interpretation, and we hope that our approach will shed some light on the problem of identifying the invariants and giving them a geometrical meaning, eventually enabling us to construct normal forms for every analytic class in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$.

Finally, we want to remark that a finite determinacy theorem for generalized cusps can be proven as a consequence of Theorem 3 and that the proof we present of the latter can be generalized to prove a theorem of finite determinacy for regular germs of holomorphic foliations defined in a neighborhood of the zero section of a Hopf component of negative auto-intersection having the same transverse structure (for a proof of these results see [1]). Similarly, Theorem 2 can be generalized to give the analytic classification of regular germs of foliations in a neighborhood of the zero section of a line bundle $L \rightarrow E$ over a rational or elliptic Riemann surface $E$ having a single simple tangency with the curve $E$, provided that $c_{1}(L)<-1$. Both generalizations, and the method for the proof of these results, are inspired by the paper [2].

The content of the article is organized as follows: in Section 2 we provide examples of singularities in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ that are not analytically equivalent; in Section 3 we prove Theorem 3 and in Section 4 we prove Corollary 4.

This article is based on the main part of my doctoral thesis at IMPA, Brazil. I thank P. Sad and J.F. Mattei for many useful conversations.

## 2. Examples

Let us first establish some definitions that will be used throughout this article. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ we define $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the set of points $p \in E_{0}$ where the leaf $\widetilde{L}_{p}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is tangent to $E_{0}$ at $p$. The order of contact at $p$ will be denoted by $r(p)+1$; remark that $r(p)$ is the order of the zero of the normal component to $E_{0}$ of the local 1-form defining $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ at $p$. Define

$$
\operatorname{Sep}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})=\bigcup_{p \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \widetilde{L}_{p}
$$

as the set of isolated separatrices. The blow down of $\operatorname{Sep}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$ by $E$ will be denoted as $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$. The latter is a union of germs of generalized cusps. Observe that the foliation $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{F}$. Nevertheless we will repeatedly use a different resolution $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ that we will call extended resolution which corresponds to the resolution of $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$ in the sense of (reducible) curves. It is the result of composing $E$ with $S_{(p, r(p)+1)}$ at each point $p \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $S_{(p, r(p)+1)}$ is defined inductively by the rules: $S_{(p, 1)}$ is the blowing-up of the point $p$, and

$$
S_{(p, i)}=S_{(p, i-1)} \circ S_{\left(\hat{p}_{i}, 1\right)} \quad \text { where } \quad \widehat{p}_{i}=S_{(p, i-1)}^{-1}(p) \cap \overline{S_{(p, i-1)}^{-1}\left(E_{0} \backslash p\right)}
$$

For each $p$ we have $r(p)+1$ irreducible components $E_{1}^{p}, \ldots, E_{r(p)+1}^{p}$ of the divisor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ associated to $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. The strict transform of each irreducible component of $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$ by $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ intersects transversely exactly one irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$. We call $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ the pull back of $\mathcal{F}$ by $S_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Next observe that for a point $p \in E_{0}$ we can find a neighborhood $U_{p} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and a local biholomorphism $\Phi_{p}:\left(U_{p}, p\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ which we call normalizing chart of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ at $p$ such that $(u, v)=\Phi_{p}(t, x)=\left(\Phi_{1}(t, x), \Phi_{2}(t, x)\right)$ with $\Phi_{2}(t, 0) \equiv 0$ and such that $\left(\Phi_{p}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mid U_{p}}\right)$ can be described as the levels of the function $f_{p}(u, v)=v-u^{r(p)+1}$. It is important to remark that the change of coordinates is local. With this at hand it is obvious that for a point $p \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ the group of invariance $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$ is cyclic of order $r(p)+1$; in fact $\Phi_{1}(t, 0)$ conjugates it with the group of rotations of order $r(p)+1$. In particular if we choose any two elements $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{n}^{\prime}\right\}, r\left(p_{i}\right)=r\left(p_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we can find germs of biholomorphism $\psi_{i}:\left(E_{0}, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(E_{0}, p_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ conjugating $H\left(\mathcal{F}, p_{i}\right)$ with $H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, p_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. However, in general, there does not exist an automorphism $\psi$ of $E_{0}$ whose restriction to a neighborhood of $p_{i}$ is $\psi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, even in the case $n=1$. In these cases $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ cannot be analytically conjugated, for the existence of an equivalence would imply the existence
of a $\psi$ with the said properties. Suzuki's example is an instance of this phenomenon: define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\left(2 Y^{2}+X^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} X-2 X Y \mathrm{~d} Y \\
\omega^{\prime} & =\left(Y^{3}+Y^{2}-X Y\right) \mathrm{d} X-\left(2 X Y^{2}+X Y-X^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

They have first integrals

$$
f(X, Y)=\frac{Y^{2}-X^{3}}{X^{2}}, \quad f^{\prime}(X, Y)=\frac{X}{Y} \mathrm{e}^{Y(Y+1) / X}
$$

respectively, and define foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, \mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; 1)$. In the $(t, x)$ chart of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ we have $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}=\{(0,0)\}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}}=\{(1,0)\} ; H\left(\mathcal{F}_{\omega},(0,0)\right)=\langle h\rangle$ with $h(t)=-t$ and $H\left(\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}},(1,0)\right)=\left\langle h^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Consider the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
H:(\mathbb{C}, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, & t \longmapsto(t, h(t)), \\
H^{\prime}:(\mathbb{C}, 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, & t \longmapsto\left(t, h^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and define $C=\operatorname{Im} H, C^{\prime}=\operatorname{Im} H^{\prime}$. $C$ is algebraic in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Suppose there exists $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{0}\right)$ such that $H^{\prime}(t+1)=(\psi, \psi) \circ H(t)$. Recall that $\psi$ is a rational function of $t$, so $C^{\prime}$ should also be algebraic. However $C^{\prime}$ is not algebraic (see [6] or [1]). Using this kind of argument it is possible to give necessary and sufficient conditions to decide which elements in $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ admit a meromorphic first integral (see [12]). In fact the conditions depend only on $H[\mathcal{F}]$.

When $\# H(\mathcal{F})=2$ the correspondence $[\mathcal{F}] \mapsto H[\mathcal{F}]$ is injective (see Theorem 2). In the remaining cases this is no longer true. Mattei [8] showed that locally (in the sense of unfoldings) there exists a vector space of dimension $\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$ of analytic classes once we have fixed a transverse structure. In next paragraph we construct some explicit families of counterexamples which give a clear idea of the kind of obstructions that appear.

The first family is related to the fact that $H(\mathcal{F})$ does not determine the analytic class of $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$. Fix $n \geqslant 2$. In the $(t, x)$ chart of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ choose points $p_{i}=\left(t_{i}, 0\right)$ and $r_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Define

$$
P(t)=\int\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{r_{1}} \cdots\left(t-t_{n}\right)^{r_{n}} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

with $P(0)=0$. In the same chart consider foliations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{P(t)+x=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{te}}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=\left\{P(t)+x\left(1+\left(t-t_{1}\right)\right)=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They extend to regular holomorphic foliations in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, and we call $\mathcal{F}=$ $E^{-1 *}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=E^{-1 *}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)$ the singular foliations they define in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ after implosion. They admit meromorphic first integrals in $(X, Y)$ with common denominator $X^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}+1}$. From (2.1) we deduce that $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in$
$\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}=\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$, and by the definition of the transverse structure $H(\mathcal{F})=H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 2.1. - One has $[\mathcal{F}] \neq\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]$ for a generic choice of $p_{i}$ 's.
Proof. - If $n>2$, generically in the choice of $p_{i}$ 's we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Aut}(H(\mathcal{F})):=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{0}\right) \mid \varphi H(\mathcal{F}) \varphi^{-1}=H(\mathcal{F})\right\}=\{\operatorname{Id}\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is a biholomorphism such that $\Psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{F}$. From $H(\mathcal{F})=H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and (2.2) we deduce that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $\mathrm{d} \Psi(0,0)=\lambda \mathrm{Id}$. We also have that $\Psi(\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F}))=\operatorname{Sep}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$, but by the choices made in (2.1), it is easily seen by studying the action of $\Psi$ on the divisor of the extended resolution of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and the position of the points of intersection of $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$ with it that there is no possible value for $\lambda$. In the case $n=2, \operatorname{Aut}(H(\mathcal{F}))$ consists of two elements, but generically the case where $\widehat{\Psi}_{0} \neq \mathrm{Id}$ is excluded by a similar argument.

Motivated by this proof we establish the following definition:
Definition 2.2. - Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ and two families $P, Q$ of $n$ points in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ we say that $P \sim Q$ if and only if there exists a biholomorphism $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ whose lifting $\widehat{\Psi}$ to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfies $\widehat{\Psi}_{\mid E_{0}}=\mathrm{Id}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}(P)=Q$. Define $Q_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the set of $n$ singularities of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ which are not corners of $\mathcal{D}_{F}$ and $q(\mathcal{F}):=\left[Q_{\mathcal{F}}\right]$ its class by the equivalence relation $\sim$.

Observe that, although $q(\mathcal{F})$ is not an analytic invariant of $\mathcal{F}$, it is invariant by the subgroup of biholomorphisms which fix every point in $E_{0}$. By using coordinates it is easily seen that the space of classes of points of type $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}$. On the other hand, $q(\mathcal{F})$ depends only on $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$.

The second family of examples shows that even fixing $H(\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$ there are analytically different elements in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Fix $r \geqslant 3$ and consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & =\left\{f:=\left(X^{r+1}+Y^{r}\right) / X^{r}=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{te}}\right\}, \\
\mathcal{F}^{\prime} & =\left\{f(X, Y) \cdot(1+X)=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{te}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

contained in $\mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; r-1)$. After one blowing up we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} & =\left\{\tilde{f}:=x+t^{r}=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime} & =\left\{\tilde{f}^{\prime}:=\left(x+t^{r}\right)(1+x)=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $H(\mathcal{F})=H(\mathcal{F}), \operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{Sep}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(H(\mathcal{F}))$ is the set of nonzero homotetias in the $t$ variable. Suppose there exists a biholomorphism $\Psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ such that $\Psi^{*}(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. From the previous
facts we get that after blowing up $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ at $0, \Psi$ lifts to

$$
\widetilde{\Psi}(t, x)=\left(\lambda t+x \phi_{1}(t, x), x\left(\mu+\phi_{2}(t, x)\right)\right)
$$

for some holomorphic functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ defined in a neighborhood of $E_{0} \backslash \infty$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Since $\Psi$ conjugates the foliations we have

$$
\tilde{f}(\widetilde{\Psi}(t, x))=\lambda^{r} \cdot \tilde{f}^{\prime}(t, x)
$$

From this last equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \phi_{2}(t, x)=\lambda^{r}\left(x+t^{r}\right)(1+x)-\left(\lambda t+x \phi_{1}(t, x)\right)^{r}-\mu x . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from the fact that $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is the lifting of $\Psi$ we have that the left hand side of equation (2.3) must be a series of the form $\sum_{i \geqslant 1} A_{i}(t) x^{i}$ where $A_{i}$ are polynomials in $t$ and $\operatorname{deg} A_{i} \leqslant i$. Thus, to eliminate the $t^{r} x$ term we need $\phi_{1}(t, 0)=\lambda t$, but this will produce a nonzero term in the $t^{r} x^{2}$ monomial which cannot be cancelled with any other term of the right hand side of equation (2.3), producing a contradiction. Hence $[\mathcal{F}] \neq\left[\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right]$.

We are thus interested in determining other analytic invariants. This is quite a difficult problem even for the reducible curves $\operatorname{Sep}(\mathcal{F})$ associated to $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$, for which, except in some cases (see [15], [14]), a complete list of analytic invariants is unknown.

In the examples above a short calculation shows that, denoting by $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ the forms defining the foliations $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ we have that

$$
j^{N+1}(\omega)=j^{N+1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { but } \quad j^{N+2}(\omega) \neq j^{N+2}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

In the case of germs of curves we know that there is finite determinacy (see [6]): if two equations of such germs coincide up to a sufficiently high order, they are analytically equivalent. Our approach is to prove a theorem of finite determinacy in $\mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ (see Theorem 3) whose proof is given in the following section.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Consider $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ and suppose, without loss of generality, that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ is contained in the $(t, x)$ chart of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. Denote by $p_{\infty}=(\infty, 0)$ the point at infinity in this chart. Let $C_{i}$ be the separatrix whose strict transform $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ passes through $p_{i}$. Take irreducible Weierstrass polynomials in $Y, f_{i}(X, Y)$ such that $C_{i}=\left\{f_{i}=0\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, \infty$, and a unit $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}^{*}$. Define

$$
N=r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}, \quad F:=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}
$$

and the meromorphic function in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$

$$
g=\frac{f_{\infty}^{N+n+1}}{F} \cdot \phi
$$

It defines a germ of holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{G}=\left\{g=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}$ with a dicritical singularity at 0 whose blowing up

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}:=E^{*}(\mathcal{G})=\left\{\tilde{g}:=g \circ E=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}
$$

has the following properties:
Lemma 3.1. - (i) $E_{0}, \widetilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{C}_{n}, \widetilde{C}_{\infty}$ are invariant by $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Sing}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, p_{\infty}\right\}$;
(iii) $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is dicritic at $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and has a saddle with local holomorphic first integral and index $-1 /(N+n+1)$ at $p_{\infty}$;
(iv) the holonomy of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ at $p_{i}$ along $E_{0}$ is trivial.

Moreover, for a generic choice of unit $\phi$, we have that the set $\operatorname{tang}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ of tangencies between $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tang}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})=\widetilde{C}_{\infty}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\widetilde{C}_{i}+\widetilde{T}_{i}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{T}_{i}$ is a regular irreducible analytic set tangent to $E_{0}$ at $p_{i}$ with order $r_{i}=r\left(p_{i}\right)$ of contact, when $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ and $E_{0}$ are tangent with contact $r_{i}+1$ at $p_{i}$. In this case we will say that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})($ or $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}))$ are companion foliations (see Figure 3.1 for diagrams).


Figure 3.1. Companion foliations $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ with $r\left(p_{1}\right)=1, r\left(p_{2}\right)>1$.
Proof. - For the proofs of (i)-(iv) it suffices to say that

$$
\operatorname{div}(\tilde{g})=(N+n+1) \widetilde{C}_{\infty}+E_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{C}_{i}
$$

For the proof of (3.1) observe that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are transverse at all $p \in$ $E_{0} \backslash\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, p_{\infty}\right\}$. At $p_{\infty}, \widetilde{C}_{\infty}$ is a common separatrix of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and
since $p_{\infty}$ is a saddle for $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ there are no other components of $\operatorname{tang}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ there.

For $i=1, \ldots, n$, consider a normalizing chart for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ around $p_{i}:(t, x)$, where $p_{i}=(0,0)$. In this chart $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is expressed as the levels of $f(t, x)=$ $x-t^{r_{i}+1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as the levels of

$$
g(t, x)=\frac{x}{x-t^{r_{i}+1}} \cdot \nu(t, x)
$$

where $\nu$ is a unit depending on $\phi$ and the normalizing chart. Hence the components of tangency are described in this chart by the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\mathrm{d} f \wedge\left(\left(x-t^{r_{i}+1}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} g\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left(x-t^{r_{i}+1}\right)\left[x \partial_{t} \nu+\left(r_{i}+1\right) t^{r_{i}}\left(\nu+x \partial_{x} \nu\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

For generic values of $j^{1}(\nu)$ (which depend on generic values of $j^{1}(\phi)$ ) the set $\left\{x \partial_{t} \nu+\left(r_{i}+1\right) t^{r_{i}}\left(\nu+x \partial_{x} \nu\right)=0\right\}$ is regular at $(0,0)$ and has contact $r_{i}$ with the set $\{x=0\}$. Since the inverse of the normalizing chart sends $\{x=0\}$ to $E_{0}$ and preserves orders of tangency we get, after (3.2), two components of $\operatorname{tang}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ at $p_{i}, \widetilde{C}_{i}$ and $\widetilde{T}_{i}$, with the required properties.

Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ be two pairs of companion foliations where $\mathcal{G}=$ $\left\{g=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left\{g^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}=\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$. For a point $p \in E_{0} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, p_{\infty}\right\}$, consider holomorphic first integrals $F_{p}, F_{p}^{\prime}:\left(U_{p}, p\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ respectively defined in a small neighborhood $U_{p}$ of $p$. By transversality and Lemma 3.1, for each $q \in U_{p}$ there is a unique point $\Psi_{p}(q) \in U_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{p}(q)\right)=F_{p}(q), \quad g^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{p}(q)\right)=g(q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By holomorphicity of all the foliations under consideration, $\Psi_{p}:\left(U_{p}, p\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(U_{p}, p\right)$ defines a germ of biholomorphism. If $p, p^{\prime} \in E_{0} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, p_{\infty}\right\}$ and $U_{p} \cap U_{p^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ then $\Psi_{p} \equiv \Psi_{p^{\prime}}$ on $U_{p} \cap U_{p^{\prime}}$. Thus we have a biholomorphism $\Psi: U \rightarrow U$ from a neighborhood $U$ of $E_{0} \backslash\left\{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \infty\right\}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ to itself. The properties of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ at $p_{\infty}$ and its relations with $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ allow us to extend $\Psi$ to a neigborhood of $p_{\infty}$ as a biholomorphism by using a theorem of Mattei and Moussu (see [9], p. 482). The following lemma provides necessary and sufficient conditions for $\Psi$ to extend to neighborhoods of all points of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ :

Lemma 3.2. - $\Psi$ extends to a neighborhood of $E_{0}$ as a biholomorphism if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:
(a) $H(\mathcal{F})=H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$;
(b) $q(\mathcal{F})=q\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$;
(c) there exist homeomorphisms $\psi_{i}: T_{i} \longrightarrow T_{i}^{\prime}$ between the irreducible components of $\operatorname{tang}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ and $\operatorname{tang}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ not invariant by any of the foliations passing through $p_{i} \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{i}(q)\right)=F_{i}(q), \quad g^{\prime}\left(\psi_{i}(q)\right)=g(q) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $q \in T_{i}$ and local first integrals $F_{i}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ respectively around $p_{i}$ whose restriction to $E_{0}$ coincide.

Proof. - Suppose first that $\Psi$ extends to a neighborhood of $E_{0}$ as a biholomorphism. Then it is the identity on $E_{0}$ and we have already seen that this implies (a) and (b). For the proof of (c) it suffices to observe that $\Psi\left(T_{i}\right)=T_{i}^{\prime}$, take $\psi_{i} \equiv \Psi_{\mid T_{i}}$ and apply the equations (3.3) defining $\Psi$ in the neighborhood of $p_{i}$.

For the converse, we have to consider the extended resolution $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. Call $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(\mathcal{F}), \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right), \widehat{\mathcal{G}}=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(\mathcal{G}), w \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ and $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ the exceptional divisor associated to $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ consists of $N+n+1$ irreducible components: one of them is transverse to $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$, and we still call it $E_{0}$ (by abuse of language). From each $p_{i}$ there is a chain of $r_{i}+1$ irreducible components that we will call $E_{1}^{i}, \ldots, E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}$ where $E_{j}^{i}$ and $E_{j+1}^{i}$ intersect transversally at a corner for $j=1, \ldots, r_{i}$ and $p_{i}=E_{0} \cap E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}$.

Call $\widehat{C}_{i}$ and $\widehat{T}_{i}$ the strict transforms of $C_{i}$ and $T_{i}$ respectively by $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, \infty$. The relevant properties of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ are:

1) $E_{1}^{i}, \ldots, E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}, \widehat{C}_{i}$ and $\widehat{C}_{\infty}$ are invariant by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
2) $\operatorname{Sing}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})=\left\{\right.$ corners, $\left.Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right\}$ where $Q_{i} \in E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}$ is not a corner. All singularities are reduced saddles.
3) For $j=1, \ldots, r_{i}+1$ the holonomy of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ along $E_{j}^{i}$ of the singularities in $E_{j}^{i}$ have degree $j$.
For the companion foliation $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ the relevant properties are:
4) $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is regular and transversal to $E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}$ in all its points. $E_{0}, E_{1}^{i}, \ldots, E_{r}^{i}, \widehat{C}_{i}$ and $\widehat{C}_{\infty}$ are invariant by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
5) $\operatorname{Sing}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})=\left\{Q_{1}^{i}, Q_{2}^{i}, p_{\infty}\right.$, corners not contained in $\left.\quad E_{r_{i}+1}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ where $Q_{1}^{i} \in E_{1}^{i}$ and $Q_{2}^{i} \in E_{r_{i}}^{i}$ are not corners. All singularities are reduced saddles with holomorphic first integral.
6) From Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{T}_{i}$ is a regular disc transverse to $E_{r_{i}}^{i}$

All these properties are also satisfied by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}$.
Condition (b) implies that after a diagonal linear change of coordinates in the original foliations we can suppose $\operatorname{Sing}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})=\operatorname{Sing}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right\}$. Condition (a) implies that the holonomy maps of $Q_{i}$ along $E_{r_{i}+1}^{i}$, and the index of $Q_{i}$ are the same for $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$. As before we can use the theorem
of Mattei and Moussu to extend $\widehat{\Psi}$, the lifting of $\Psi$, to the separatrix $\widehat{C}_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Now fix $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Condition (a) implies the existence of the first integrals $F_{i}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}$ with the properties stated in (c). Let $L, L^{\prime}$ be leaves of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ respectively with $L \cap E_{0}=L^{\prime} \cap E_{0} \neq\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ sufficiently close to $p_{i}$. The construction requires that $\Psi(L)=L^{\prime}$. Observe that $L \cap \widehat{T}_{i}=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r_{i}}\right\}$, by properties 3 ) and 6 ). Observe that these points correspond to the critical points of the restriction $\widehat{g}_{\mid L}$ of $\widehat{g}:=S_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(g)$ to $L$. Take a disc $D \subset L$ containing $L \cap \widehat{T}_{i}$, and such that the image of $\partial D$ by $\Psi$ has already been defined. Let $D^{\prime} \subset L^{\prime}$ be the disc containing $L^{\prime} \cap \widehat{T}_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{W_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{r_{i}}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\partial D^{\prime}=\Psi(\partial D)$. If $L$ is sufficiently close to $E_{r_{i}}^{i}$ then all the multiplicities $v\left(W_{j}\right)$ of the critical points of $\widehat{g}_{D}$ coincide and are equal to some $v>1$. Applying Hurwitz's formula applied to the pasting of two copies of $\widehat{g}_{\mid D}$ we get

$$
2=2 \cdot\left(r_{i}+1\right)-2\left(\sum_{q \in D}(v(q)-1)\right)
$$

which means that $v=2$ and that $v(q)=1$ for all $q \in D \backslash\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r_{i}}\right\}$. The second equation in (3.4) means that by renaming the points we can suppose $\widehat{g}^{\prime}\left(W_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\widehat{g}\left(W_{j}\right)=: w_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r_{i}$. Observe that $\widehat{g}^{-1}\left(w_{j}\right)$ contains $r_{i}$ points. Define $V=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r_{i}}\right\}$. Suppose $D$ is big enough to contain $\widehat{g}^{-1}(V) \cap L=: W$. Define $W^{\prime}=\widehat{g}^{\prime-1}(V) \cap L^{\prime}$. Thus $\widehat{g}_{\mid D \backslash W}$ : $D \backslash W \longrightarrow \widehat{g}(D) \backslash V$ is a $\left(r_{i}+1\right): 1$ holomorphic covering. We can copy the construction with $\widehat{g}^{\prime}$ and observe that the image of both coverings is the same. Thus, using a covering argument, we can find a unique topological extension $\widehat{\Psi}_{D}$ making the following diagram commutative

which is holomorphic and extends holomorphically to $W$ because we can interpret $\widehat{\Psi}_{D}$ as a holomorphic map between subsets of discs. This can be done for each leaf $L$ not containing $p_{i}$.

The holomorphicity in the transverse direction to $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ comes from (3.4). Thus after blowing $E_{j}^{i}$ down for $j=1, \ldots, r_{i}+1, \Psi$ extends biholomorphically to $U_{i} \backslash p_{i}$ where $U_{i}$ is a neighborhood of $p_{i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, and by Hartogs' theorem (see [11], p. 341) we extend it to $p_{i}$.

The following lemma finishes the proof of Theorem 3:

Lemma 3.3. - The hypotheses in Theorem 3 imply the existence of functions $g, g^{\prime}$ satisfying (a), (b) and (c) of Lemma 3.2.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ with $H(\mathcal{F})=$ $H\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus (a) is already satisfied. Recall $N=r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}$ and that the algebraic multiplicity of $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ is $N+1$. After a linear change of coordinates we can suppose $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ with coordinates $p_{i}=\left(t_{i}, 0\right)$ in the chart $(t, x)$ of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ such that $\left|t_{i}\right| \neq\left|t_{j}\right|$ for $i \neq j$. A direct calculation shows that $j^{N+2}(\omega)=j^{N+2}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ implies (b). For the proof of (c), consider a companion foliation $\mathcal{G}=\left\{g=\mathrm{C}^{\text {te }}\right\}$ for $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that $C_{i}=\left\{f_{i}=0\right\}$ (resp. $C_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{f_{i}^{\prime}=0\right\}$ ) is the Weiertrass polynomial of the separatrix of $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ ) whose strict transform by $E$ passes through $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, \infty$. Let $F=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}, F^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{\prime}$. We need to find a unit $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}^{*}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left\{g^{\prime}:=\frac{f_{\infty}^{\prime N+n+1}}{F^{\prime}} \cdot u=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{te}}\right\}
$$

is a companion foliation for $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and
(*) $\operatorname{tang}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})=\operatorname{tang}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$;
${ }^{(* *)} g$ and $g^{\prime}$ satisfy (3.4) on each component of type $T_{i}$ of $\operatorname{tang}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, where $\psi_{i}: T_{i} \rightarrow T_{i}$ is defined by the first equation of (3.4).
We know $C_{i}=\left\{f_{i}=0\right\}$ is invariant by $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ so

$$
\mathrm{d} f_{i} \wedge \omega=f_{i} \cdot H_{i} \mathrm{~d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} Y
$$

for some holomorphic function $H_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}$. The divisor $\operatorname{tang}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(F^{2} \mathrm{~d} g\right) \wedge \omega \\
& =f_{\infty}^{N+n+1} F\left(H_{\infty}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} Y=: f_{\infty}^{N+n+1} F H \mathrm{~d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} Y . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As we saw in Lemma 3.1 the divisor $T=\{H=0\}$ has $n$ irreducible components $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ with multiplicity one. Each $T_{i}$ is a generalized cusp of type $\left(r_{i}, r_{i}+1\right)$ (when $r_{i}=1$ it is just a regular disc). We will decompose the problem of constructing $u$ in two steps by finding functions $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}^{*}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}$ such that $u=\phi+H \cdot \varphi$. The idea is that conditions (*) and $(* *)$ define the values of $\phi$ and $\varphi$ on the analytic subset of dimension one $T$. We then need to find holomorphic functions defined in the whole neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ taking the same values on $T$. For this purpose we need to construct the $\psi_{i}$ 's appearing in (3.4) first:

Using Lemma 3.4 (i) consider $F_{i}, F_{i}^{\prime}$ local holomorphic first integrals of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ respectively around $p_{i}$ such that $F_{i \mid V_{i}} \equiv F_{i \mid V_{i}}^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood $V_{i} \subset E_{0}$ of $p_{i}$. Consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{T}_{i} \backslash p_{i} & & \widetilde{T}_{i} \backslash p_{i} \\
\pi_{i} \downarrow \\
\left(\widetilde{T}_{i} \backslash p_{i}\right) / F_{i} \cong\left(V_{i} \backslash p_{i}\right) / F_{i}=\left(V_{i} \backslash p_{i}\right) / F_{i}^{\prime} \cong & \left.\downarrow \widetilde{T}_{i}^{\prime} \backslash p_{i}\right) / F_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$ are $r_{i}: 1$ holomorphic coverings corresponding to the projections onto the local leave spaces. Hence we can construct a homeomorphism $\psi_{i}: \widetilde{T}_{i} \backslash p_{i} \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_{i} \backslash p_{i}$ such that $\pi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \psi_{i}=\pi_{i}$. In fact there are $r_{i}$ different possibilities for constructing $\psi_{i}$. After blowing down we can consider $\psi_{i}: T_{i} \rightarrow T_{i}$ as a homeomorphism.

Define the function $\phi_{i}:=\phi_{\mid T_{i}}:\left(T_{i}, p_{i}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ using condition $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(q)=\left(\frac{f_{\infty} \circ \psi_{i}^{-1}}{f_{\infty}^{\prime}}\right)^{N+n+1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i} \circ \psi_{i}^{-1}}\right)(q) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q \in T_{i}$. From now on we will suppose $j^{s}(\omega)=j^{s}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ and find bounds for $s$ to insure that the construction can be done.

Claim 1. - If $s \geqslant(N+1)+\max \left\{r_{i}\right\}(N-1)$ there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}^{*}$ such that $\phi_{T_{i}}=\phi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover,

$$
\mathrm{d} \phi(X, Y)=X^{(s-(N+1)) / \max \left\{r_{i}\right\}} \nu(X, Y)
$$

for some holomorphic germ of 1-form $\nu$.
Proof of Claim 1. - We need to analyse the relations between the jets of the 1-form $\omega=\sum_{j \geqslant N+1}\left(P_{j} \mathrm{~d} X+Q_{j} \mathrm{~d} Y\right)$ where $P_{j}(X, Y), Q_{j}(X, Y)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $j$ and the form defining $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ in the $(t, x)$ chart of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ :

$$
\widetilde{\omega}(t, x):=\frac{E^{*} \omega(t, x)}{x^{N+2}}=\sum_{j \geqslant 0} x^{j}\left[Q_{j+N+1}(1, t) \mathrm{d} t+R_{j+N+2}(t) \mathrm{d} x\right]
$$

where $R_{j+N+2}(t):=P_{j+N+2}(1, t)+t Q_{j+N+2}(1, t)$.
Lemma 3.4. - If $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, \mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\left(n ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ satisfy $j_{0}^{s}(\omega)=j_{0}^{s}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$, then:
(i) $\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}(t, x)=\widetilde{\omega}(t, x)+x^{s-(N+1)} \omega_{2}(t, x)$ for some holomorphic 1-form $\omega_{2}$. We define $K(s):=s-(N+1) \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) If moreover, $H\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}, p\right)=H\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega^{\prime}}, p\right)$ for $p \in E_{0}$, given a local holomorphic first integral $f$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ there exists a local holomorphic first integral $f^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega^{\prime}}$ in a neighborhood of $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(t, x)=f(t, x)+x^{K(s)+1} h(t, x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some holomorphic function $h$ defined in a neighborhood of $p$.
(iii) Equation (3.7) implies that $j^{K(s)+r_{i}}\left(f_{i}\right)=j^{K(s)+r_{i}}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for the Weierstrass polynomials $f_{i}, f_{i}^{\prime}$ of $C_{i}$ and $C_{i}^{\prime}$ respectively.

Proof. - To prove (i), observe that in (3.7), the terms in the $j$-th member of the sum depend on the $(j+1)+N+1$ jet of $\omega$. For the proof of (ii) we can construct $f^{\prime}$ by extending the function $f(t, 0)$ along the leaves of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega^{\prime}}$ in a neighborhood of $p=\left(t_{0}, 0\right)$. We can assume $\partial f / \partial x(p) \neq 0$. We write $h(t, x)=f(t, x)-f^{\prime}(t, x)=\sum_{i \geqslant 0} h_{i}(t) x^{i}$ with $h_{i}$ holomorphic functions of $t$. From item (i) we get

$$
x^{K(s)}\left(\omega_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} f^{\prime}\right)+\widetilde{\omega} \wedge \mathrm{d} h=\widetilde{\omega}^{\prime} \wedge \mathrm{d} f^{\prime} \equiv 0
$$

Since $\widetilde{\omega}=A \mathrm{~d} t+B \mathrm{~d} x$ is regular at $p$, and $\mathrm{d} h=\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial t} x^{i} \mathrm{~d} t+i x^{i-1} h_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} x$ we get, by comparing jets:

$$
0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{K(s)} x^{i-1} i A h_{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{K(s)-1} B x^{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial t}
$$

By hypothesis $h_{0} \equiv 0$. Inductively we get $h_{1}(t) \equiv \ldots \equiv h_{K(s)}(t) \equiv 0$.
For the proof of (iii) we take Puiseux parametrizations $w \mapsto\left(w^{r_{i}+1}, Q_{i}(w)\right)$ and $w \mapsto\left(w^{r_{i}+1}, Q_{i}^{\prime}(w)\right)$ of $C_{i}$ and $C_{i}^{\prime}$ respectively. By (3.7) we get, by comparing terms after the blowing up, $j^{K(s)+r_{i}}\left(Q_{i}\right)=j^{K(s)+r_{i}}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ which implies the assertion in (iii).

Now consider a Puiseux parametrization

$$
\tau_{i}: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow T_{i}, \quad w \longmapsto\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(w)\right)
$$

from a small disc $\mathbb{D}$ to $T_{i}$. Hence there exists a homeomorphism $b_{i}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\psi_{i}\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(w)\right)=\left(\left(b_{i}(w)\right)^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}\left(b_{i}(w)\right)\right)
$$

In fact $b_{i}$ is holomorphic outside 0 , which implies that it is also holomorphic there. Suppose $b_{i}(w)=\sum_{j \geqslant 1} b_{j}^{i} w^{j}$ and that we have chosen $\psi_{i}$ by imposing $b_{1}^{0}=1$. Using (3.7) and the fact that $h \circ E^{-1} \circ \tau_{i}(w)=a_{i} w^{r_{i}}+\cdots$ with $a_{i} \neq 0$ we see inductively that $b_{2}^{i}=b_{3}^{i}=\cdots=b_{K(s)}^{i}=0$. This together with (3.6) and Lemma 3.4 (iii) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(w)\right)=1+w^{K(s)} \tilde{\phi}_{i}(w) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some holomorphic function $\tilde{\phi}_{i}$. Since $\widehat{P}_{i}(w)=t_{i} w^{r_{i}} \ldots$ and $\left|t_{i}\right| \neq\left|t_{j}\right|$ if $i \neq j$ we can apply the following interpolation result due to Cartan (see [5], p. 102), which has been adapted to our situation:

For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ consider a germ of analytic irreducible set

$$
T_{i}=\left\{(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid Y^{r_{i}}+\sum_{j=0}^{r_{i}-1} \alpha_{j}(X) Y^{j}=0\right\}
$$

with its Puiseux parametrization $x \mapsto\left(x^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(x)\right)$. Suppose that $\widehat{P}_{i}(x)=$ $A_{i} x^{r_{i}}+\cdots$ for $A_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $A_{i}^{r_{k}} \neq A_{j}^{r_{k}}$ if $i \neq j$ and $i, j, k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Lemma 3.5. - Let $\nu_{i}: T_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function such that $\nu_{i}(0,0)=a \in \mathbb{C}$ (independently of i) and $\nu_{i}\left(x^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(x)\right)=a+c_{i} x^{\ell_{i}}+\cdots$ is holomorphic with $c_{i} \neq 0$. If $\ell:=\min \ell_{i} / r_{i} \geqslant\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\right)-1=: N-1$, then there exists a holomorphic function $\nu \in \mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}$ such that
(i) $\nu_{\mid T_{i}}=\nu_{i}$;
(ii) $\mathrm{d} \nu(X, Y)=X^{\ell-N} \eta(X, Y)$ for a holomorphic 1-form $\eta$.

In other words, $\nu$ extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of $(0,0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ the functions $\nu_{i}$ defined on the analytic subsets $T_{i}$ (of dimension 1 ).

Proof of Lemma 3.5. - For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ choose a branch (. $)^{1 / r_{i}}$ of the $r_{i}$-th root and a primitive $r_{i}$-th root of unity $\zeta_{i}$. We index with $j$ the set of all branches of the union $T$ of all the $T_{i}$ 's: let $r_{0}:=0$ and for $j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ define $P_{j}(X):=\widehat{P}_{i}\left(\zeta_{i}^{[j]} X^{1 / r_{i}}\right)$ where $[j]=j-\left(r_{0}+\cdots+r_{i-1}\right)$ if $j \in\left\{r_{0}+\cdots+r_{i-1}+1, \ldots, r_{0}+\cdots+r_{i}\right\}$. We claim that the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(X, Y):=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{Y-P_{j}(X)}{P_{i}(X)-P_{j}(X)}\right) \nu_{i}\left(X, P_{i}(X)\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an univaluated, continuous function in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ with $\nu(p)=\nu_{i}(p)$ for $p \in T_{i}$. Outside $\{X=0\}$ this is a consequence of the symmetry of the expression, for when we follow a loop around the origin in the $X$-plane, we exchange the order of the members of the sum, leaving its value unchanged.

Define $K:=\mathcal{M}(X)$ the field of meromorphic functions in $X$, and $\bar{K}$ its algebraic closure. Obviously $P_{j} \in \bar{K}$ and $P_{i} \neq P_{j}$ if $i \neq j$. Hence for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the polynomial in $\bar{K}[Y]$ of degree $N-1$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{Y-P_{j}(X)}{P_{i}(X)-P_{j}(X)}\right) a\right)-a \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has $N$ different roots, and is therefore the zero polynomial. From $A_{i}^{r_{k}} \neq$ $A_{j}^{r_{k}}$ if $i \neq j$ we obtain $\left|P_{i}(X)-P_{j}(X)\right|=|X| \cdot\left|h_{i j}(X)\right|$ for a continuous function $h_{i j}$ such that $h_{i j}(0) \neq 0$. From the hypotheses on $\nu_{i}$ we have
$\left|\nu_{i}\left(X, P_{i}(X)\right)-a\right| \leqslant|X|^{N-1} h_{i}(|X|)$ for real continuous functions $h_{i}$ defined in the neighborhood of 0 . Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \nu(X, Y)-a) \left\lvert\, \leqslant\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|Y-P_{j}(X)\right|}{\left|h_{i j}(X)\right|}\right) h_{i}(|X|)\right) \frac{|X|^{N-1}}{|X|^{N-1}} \longrightarrow 0\right. \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $(X, Y) \rightarrow(0,0)$. A similar argument can be used to prove continuity on the remaining points of $\{X=0\}$. Hence $\nu$ is continuous on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ and trivially holomorphic on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \backslash\{X=0\}$, hence holomorphic on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$.

For the proof of (ii) write $\nu(X, Y)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{j}(X) Y^{j}$ where $a_{0}(X)-a$ and $a_{j}(X)$ are holomorphic functions of $X$. By (3.11) they are zero up to order $\ell-(N-1)$ for $j=1, \ldots, N-1$, and taking derivatives we have that $X^{\ell-N}$ divides all terms of $\mathrm{d} \nu(X, Y)$.

This finishes the proof of Claim 1. Let us continue with the proof of Lemma 3.3. Condition (*) is satisfied if $\varphi_{i}:=\varphi_{\mid T_{i}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(F^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~d} g^{\prime}\right) \wedge \omega^{\prime}{ }_{\mid T_{i}} \\
& =F^{\prime} \cdot f_{\infty}^{\prime N+n+1}\left(\phi H^{\prime} \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} Y+\mathrm{d} \phi \wedge \omega^{\prime}+\varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} H \wedge \omega^{\prime}\right)_{\mid T_{i}} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H^{\prime}$ is obtained by a process similar to equation (3.5) but using the $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's. In fact, from Lemma 3.4 (iii) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{K(s)+N}(H)=j^{K(s)+N}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(q) H^{\prime}(q) \mathrm{d} X \wedge \mathrm{~d} Y+\mathrm{d} \phi \wedge \omega^{\prime}(q)+\varphi_{i}(q)\left(\mathrm{d} H \wedge \omega^{\prime}(q)\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each point $q \in T_{i}$. The expression in (3.14) defines the values of $\varphi_{i}$.
Claim 2. - If $s \geqslant(N+1)+\max \left\{r_{i}\right\}(3 N-2)=: \kappa$ there exists $\varphi \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)}$ such that $\varphi_{\mid T_{i}}=\varphi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof of Claim 2. - To use Lemma 3.5 for interpolation, we need to analyse the order of $\varphi_{i}\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}(w)\right)$. This can be done using (3.14):

- $H^{\prime}\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(w)\right)$ has order $r_{i}(K(s)+N)$, from (3.13) and the fact that $\widehat{P}_{i}$ has order $r_{i}$;
- $\mathrm{d} H\left(w^{r_{i}}, \widehat{P}_{i}(w)\right)$ has order $r_{i} N-1$, since the tangent cone of $H$ is $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y-t_{i} X\right)^{r_{i}}$;
- $\omega^{\prime}\left(w^{r_{i}}, P_{i}^{\prime}(w)\right)$ has order $r_{i}(N+2)$, since

$$
j^{N+1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y-t_{i} X\right)^{r_{i}}(Y \mathrm{~d} X-X \mathrm{~d} Y)
$$

- $\mathrm{d} \phi\left(w^{r_{i}}, P_{i}^{\prime}(w)\right)$ has order $r_{i}\left(K(s) / \max r_{i}-N\right)$, by the the second part of Claim 1.

Hence, if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r_{i}(K(s)+N)-\left(r_{i} N-1\right)-r_{i}(N+2) \geqslant r_{i}(N-1)  \tag{3.15}\\
r_{i}\left(\frac{K(s)}{\max r_{i}}-N\right)-\left(r_{i} N-1\right) \geqslant r_{i}(N-1) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

then we have $\varphi_{i}\left(w^{r_{i}}, P_{i}^{\prime}(w)\right)=w^{r_{i}(N-1)} \widetilde{\varphi}_{i}(w)$ for some holomorphic function $\widetilde{\varphi}_{i}$. The hypothesis $s \geqslant \kappa$ guarantees that the hypothesis of Claim 1 and the inequalities in (3.15) are satisfied. Applying Lemma 3.5 we obtain the desired function $\varphi$.

## 4. Proof of Corollary 4

Proof of Corollary 4. - Take $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$, and suppose there exists a formal equivalence $\widehat{\phi}$ in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ and a formal power series $\widehat{h}$ such that $\widehat{\phi}^{*} \omega^{\prime}=\widehat{h} \omega$. After a linear change of coordinates we can suppose $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}=$ $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}}$, with the same orders of tangency and $\hat{\phi}$ tangent to the identity. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist 1-forms $\omega_{\ell}$ e $\omega_{\ell}^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{\ell}}=\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, \mathcal{F}_{\omega_{\ell}^{\prime}}$ is analytically equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}, j^{\ell}\left(\omega_{\ell}\right)=j^{\ell}\left(\omega_{\ell}^{\prime}\right), H(\omega)=H\left(\omega_{\ell}\right)$ and $H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=H\left(\omega_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)$. Let us prove $H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=H(\omega)$. Let $h_{p} \in H\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}, p\right)$ (resp. $h_{p}^{\prime} \in H\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega^{\prime}}, p\right)$ ) be a generator, where $p \in \Sigma_{\omega}$. Given $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a big enough $\ell(s) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j^{\ell(s)}\left(\omega_{l(s)}\right)$ determines $j^{s}\left(h_{p}\right)$ uniquely where the jet of $h_{p}$ is taken in a global coordinate $t$ of $E_{0}$. Therefore we have $j^{s}\left(h_{p}\right)=j^{s}\left(h_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_{p}=h_{p}^{\prime}$. Now apply Theorem 3 to $\omega_{\ell}$ and $\omega_{\ell}^{\prime}$ for a big $\ell$ and we get a biholomorphism from $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\omega^{\prime}}$.

## 5. Addendum

In section 3, when $n=1$ we need to define the companion foliation in a different manner to be able to construct the biholomorphism. The problem is that in this case the set of tangencies between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is not a regular curve after applying a blow-up. To avoid this we consider the function defining the companion foliation $\mathcal{G}$ to be a product $g=\frac{f_{\infty}^{r+2}}{f_{1} \cdot f_{2}}$ where $f_{1}=0$ is the isolated separatrix and $f_{2}=0$ is a (regular) separatrix tangent to some other direction $p_{2}$. This produces a radial singularity at the point $p_{2}$ in $E_{0}$ for the companion foliation $\mathcal{G}$. The construction of the biholomorphism between two elements $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}(1 ; r)$ by using the values of their companion foliations extends without any extra conditions to a neighborhood of $p_{2}$, as can be seen by blowing this point once and using the same argument as at $p_{\infty}$.
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