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# THE ADDITIVE GROUP ACTIONS ON $\mathbb{Q}$-HOMOLOGY PLANES 

by K. MASUDA* ${ }^{*}$ and M. MIYANISHI ${ }^{\dagger}$

## Introduction.

A $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane is, by definition, a smooth algebraic surface $X$ defined over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ such that $H_{i}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=(0)$ for every $i>0$ [12]. It is known that $X$ is affine and rational [7]. If there is a nontrivial action of the additive group scheme $G_{a}$ on $X$, the orbits will form the fibers of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence $X$ has $\log$ Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$. Write $R=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. Then there is a well-known bijective correspondence between the set of $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and the set of locally nilpotent derivations on $R$ (cf. [10]). The correspondence is given by assigning to a locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$ on $R$ an algebra homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]$ giving rise to the coaction

$$
\varphi(a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \delta^{n}(a) t^{n} .
$$

[^0]The set of invariant elements of $R$ under the given $G_{a}$-action is obtained as $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ consisting of elements annihilated by $\delta$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable and the base curve of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ is obtained as the spectrum of $\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ (cf. [10]).

The Makar-Limanov invariant ML $(X)$ for $X$ is then introduced by Kaliman and Makar-Limanov [8] as the set $\bigcap_{\delta} \operatorname{Ker} \delta$, where $\delta$ ranges over all possible locally nilpotent derivations of $R$. Then it is shown that ML ( $X$ ) for a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is the coordinate ring $R$, a polynomial ring in one variable $\mathbb{C}[x]$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We are particularly interested in such $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes $X$ that the Makar-Limanov invariant $\operatorname{ML}(X)$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}$. We shall consider two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ and define the intertwining number $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ associated with these $G_{a}$-actions. It is then shown that the intertwining number is actually a multiple of $m^{2}$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. We define a minimal pair $\left\{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right\}$ of algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions as such with $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$.

Recently, Bandman and Makar-Limanov [1] considered a problem of characterizing in terms of the boundary divisors the smooth affine rational surfaces with trivial Makar-Limanov invariants. They succeeded in obtaining a characterization in the case where the surfaces are embedded into $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ as hypersurfaces. Furthermore, the hypersurfaces are defined by the equations of the form $x y=p(z)$ with respect to a suitable system of coordinates $\{x, y, z\}$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial in $z$ such that $p(z)=0$ has distinct roots.

In the present article, we shall show that a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant has a Bandman-Makar-Limanov hypersurface as the universal covering (Theorem 3.1). More precisely, if $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant and with $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$ then $X$ is a quotient of the hypersurface $x y=z^{m}-1$ under a suitable, free $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action (Theorem 3.4). The possibilities of the existence of non-minimal pairs of $G_{a}$-actions on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes are also observed (cf. Section 4). The final section 5 deals with étale endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes.

## 1. Intertwining number.

Let $X$ be a smooth affine surface defined over the ground field $k$, which we assume mostly to be the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. We assume always that $X$ is rational and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=k^{*}$. The Makar-Limanov invariant ML $(X)$ is defined as the intersection

$$
\operatorname{ML}(X)=\bigcap_{\delta} \operatorname{Ker} \delta
$$

where $\delta$ runs over all locally nilpotent derivations $\delta$ on the coordinate ring $R=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, where $\delta$ corresponds in a bijective way to an algebraic $G_{a^{-}}$ action $\sigma$ on $X$. Then it is known that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$ a polynomial ring in one variable for any locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$.

We begin with the following result.
Lemma 1.1. - We have one of the following three cases:
(1) $\mathrm{ML}(X)=R$ and there are no nontrivial $G_{a}$-actions on $X$. In particular, $\bar{\kappa}(X) \geqslant 0$ provided $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=(0)$.
(2) $\operatorname{ML}(X)=k[t]$, and any two locally nilpotent derivations $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ on $R$ are conjugate to each other in the sense that $a \delta=a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ for nonzero elements $a, a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ML}(X)$. The surface $X$ has a unique $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration defined by the inclusion ML $(X) \hookrightarrow R$.
(3) $\operatorname{ML}(X)=k$, and there are two non-conjugate locally nilpotent derivations on $R$.

Proof. - Our proof consists of several steps.
(I) Note that there exists an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ with the affine line as the base curve if and only if there exists an algebraic $G_{a}$-action on $X$. In fact, if there exists a nontrivial $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$, let $\delta$ be the corresponding locally nilpotent derivation. Let $R_{0}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$. Then $R_{0}$ is a normal rational algebra of dimension one with $R_{0}^{*}=k^{*}$. Hence $R_{0}=k[t]$. The $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ gives rise to an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration with the base curve $\operatorname{Spec} R_{0}$. In particular, $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$. Conversely, if $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$, write $B=\operatorname{Spec} k[t]$ and $X=\operatorname{Spec} R$. Then there exists an element $a \in k[t]$ such that $\rho^{-1}(U) \cong U \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $U=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t, a^{-1}\right]$. Hence $R\left[a^{-1}\right]=k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$, where we can take $\xi$ to be an element of $R$. Consider a derivation $\delta=a^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ with $N>0$. This is a locally nilpotent derivation on $k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$. Since $R$ is finitely generated over $k$, it follows that $\delta(R) \subseteq R$
if $N \gg 0$. Then $\delta$ defines a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ and the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration consisting of $\sigma$-orbits is the given $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$. We note that any $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ has the base curve $B$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ provided $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=(0)$. In fact, $B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ or $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ because $X$ is rational. If $B \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, then $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq(0)$. So, $B \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence, if $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$, then there is an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on $X$ with the affine line as the base curve. Here we note that when we speak of an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ it means that general fibers are isomorphic to the affine lines, while singular fibers may not be irreducible or reduced.
(II) Suppose that $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are locally nilpotent derivations on $R$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \delta^{\prime}=k[u]$. If $t$ and $u$ are algebraically independent over $k$, we have $k[t] \cap k[u]=k$. In this case, we say that $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ (or the corresponding $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ ) are algebraically independent over $k$. Then $\operatorname{ML}(X)=k$.
(III) Suppose that $u$ is algebraic over $k(t)$. Then there exists an algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(t) u^{n}+a_{1}(t) u^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t) u+a_{n}(t)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}(t) \in k[t]$, and we may assume that (1) is minimal. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=$ $k[t]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{n a_{0}(t) u^{n-1}+(n-1) a_{1}(t) u^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t)\right\} \delta(u)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1) is minimal, $n a_{0}(t) u^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(t) \neq 0$. This implies that $\delta(u)=0$. Hence $k[u] \subseteq k[t]$, and $t$ is then algebraic over $k(u)$. By the same reasoning as above, we infer that $k[t] \subseteq k[u]$. So, $k[t]=k[u]$. The $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations associated with $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ coincide with the morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ defined by the inclusion $k[t]=k[u] \hookrightarrow R$. By (I) above, $R\left[a^{-1}\right]=k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]=k\left[u, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$ for $a \in k[t]$ and an element $\xi \in R$ which is algebraically independent over $k(t)$. Then $a_{1} \delta=b_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ and $a_{2} \delta^{\prime}=b_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ for $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in k[t]$. By adjusting the coefficients, we have $a \delta=a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ for some nonzero elements $a, a^{\prime} \in k[t]$. Namely, $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are conjugate to each other. These observations yield the assertions (2) and (3).

We consider the case where $\operatorname{ML}(X)=k$. In this case, there are two $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ which are algebraically independent over $k$. We have the following result.

Lemma 1.2. - Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions as above. Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ and $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations associated
with $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, respectively. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be arbitrary fibers of $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$, respectively. Define the intersection number ( $T \cdot T^{\prime}$ ) by

$$
\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{Q \in T \cap T^{\prime}} i\left(T, T^{\prime} ; Q\right)
$$

where $i\left(T, T^{\prime} ; Q\right)$ is the local intersection multiplicity. Then $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$, and the intersection of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are transverse and normal at each point $Q \in T \cap T^{\prime}$ provided $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are general fibers of $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$.

Proof. - There exists a smooth compactification $V$ of $X$ such that the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ extend to the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibrations $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ and $p^{\prime}: V \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$. Since $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, it follows that $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Consider the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$. Let $\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}=\bar{B}-B$ and let $F_{\infty}=p^{*}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be fibers of $\rho$ and let $T^{\prime}$ be an irreducible curve on $X$ such that $T^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\left.\rho\right|_{T^{\prime}}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is dominant. Let $\overline{T^{\prime}}$ be the closure of $T^{\prime}$ on $V$. Then $\overline{T^{\prime}}$ meets the fiber $F_{\infty}$ in one point which is a one-place point. Except for this point, $\overline{T^{\prime}}$ does not meet the boundary components $V-X$ because $T^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. This implies that $\left(p^{-1}\left(\rho\left(T_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \overline{T^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{Q \in T_{1} \cap T^{\prime}} i\left(T_{1}, T^{\prime} ; Q\right)$ and $\left(p^{-1}\left(\rho\left(T_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \overline{T^{\prime}}\right)=$ $\sum_{Q \in T_{2} \cap T^{\prime}} i\left(T_{2}, T^{\prime} ; Q\right)$, which we set $\left(T_{1} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(T_{2} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Since $\left(p^{-1}\left(\rho\left(T_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \overline{T^{\prime}}\right)=\left(p^{-1}\left(\rho\left(T_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \overline{T^{\prime}}\right)$, we have $\left(T_{1} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)=\left(T_{2} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\left(T_{1} \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $T_{1}$. Note that any fiber of $\rho$ is of the form $\sum_{i} m_{i} C_{i}$, where $C_{i} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Take $T_{1}$ to be a general fiber and let $T_{2}=\sum_{i} m_{i} C_{i}$. Let $T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime}$ be fibers of $\rho^{\prime}$, where $T_{1}^{\prime}$ is a general fiber and $T_{2}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} n_{j} D_{j}$ with $D_{j} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{1} \cdot T_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} C_{i} \cdot T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i} m_{i}\left(C_{i} \cdot T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i} m_{i}\left(C_{i} \cdot T_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i, j} m_{i} n_{j}\left(C_{i} \cdot D_{j}\right)=\left(T_{2} \cdot T_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be the general fibers of $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$, respectively and let $\bar{T}$ and $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be the closures of $T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Consider the restriction $p_{\bar{T}^{\prime}}: \bar{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ of $p$. Since $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ has only one place outside of $X$, which must dominate the point of the fiber $F_{\infty}$ of $p$, the restriction $\rho_{T^{\prime}}: T^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism. Then $\rho_{T^{\prime}}$ is unramified over an open set $W$ of $B$. This means that the intersection of $T^{\prime}$ and a fiber $\rho^{-1}(Q)$ with $Q \in W$ is transversal and consists of the same number of points.

We call the above intersection number ( $T \cdot T^{\prime}$ ) the intertwining number of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, and denote it by $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Choose a general point $P \in X$ and let $T$ (resp. $T^{\prime}$ ) be the $\sigma$-orbit (resp. $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit) passing through $P$. Define a morphism $\Phi_{P}: \mathbb{A}^{2} \rightarrow X$ by $\Phi_{P}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$, where $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2} \cong G_{a} \times G_{a}$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 1.3. - The morphism $\Phi_{P}$ has degree $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. - For $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=(0,0)$, we have $\Phi_{P}(0,0)=P$. With the above notations, any point of $T \cap T^{\prime}$ is written as $\sigma\left(g_{i}\right)(P)=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{i}^{\prime}\right)(P), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $n=\left|T \cap T^{\prime}\right|=\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Conversely, $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(P)$ consists of the $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P=P$, i.e., $\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) P=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$.

Let $Q$ be a general point of $X$. Then $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(Q)$ consists of the $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{2}$ such that $\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P=Q$, i.e., $\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) Q=\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$. Suppose $\sigma\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right) P=\sigma(g) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P$. Then we have

$$
\sigma^{\prime}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right) P=\sigma\left(g_{1}^{-1} g\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P \in \sigma\left(G_{a}\right)\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}\left(G_{a}\right) P
$$

This implies that $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(Q)$ corresponds bijectively to the set of intersection points of the $\sigma$-orbit $\sigma\left(G_{a}\right)\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}\right) P\right)$ and the $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $\sigma^{\prime}\left(G_{a}\right) P$. So, $\Phi_{P}^{-1}(Q)$ consists of $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ points.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.3, we have:
Corollary 1.4. - With the notations and assumptions, $\pi_{1}(X)$ is a finite group of order less than or equal to $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and let $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ be the corresponding locally nilpotent derivations on $R$. We can interpret the intertwining number $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ in terms of $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$. Write $\operatorname{Ker} \delta=k[t]$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \delta^{\prime}=k\left[t^{\prime}\right]$ for two elements $t, t^{\prime}$ of $R$ which are algebraically independent over $k$. Then we have:

Lemma 1.5. - With the notations as above, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) & =\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0\right\}-1 \\
& =\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{\prime n}(t)=0\right\}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - By [10], there exist $a \in \operatorname{Ker} \delta$ and $\xi \in R$ such that $R\left[a^{-1}\right]=$ $k\left[t, a^{-1}\right][\xi]$. Then $t^{\prime}$ is written as

$$
t^{\prime}=c_{0} \xi^{N}+c_{1} \xi^{N-1}+\cdots+c_{N}
$$

where $c_{i} \in k\left[t, a^{-1}\right]$ and $c_{0} \neq 0$. We may assume, after replacing $t^{\prime}$ by $t^{\prime}+\lambda$ with $\lambda \in k$, that $t^{\prime}=0$ defines a general $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $T^{\prime}$. Similarly, we can take $\mu \in k$ so that $c_{i}(\mu)$ is defined for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant N, c_{0}(\mu) \neq 0$ and the curve $t=\mu$ is a general $\sigma$-orbit $T$. Then the intersection number $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to the number of roots of the equation

$$
c_{0}(\mu) \xi^{N}+c_{1}(\mu) \xi^{N-1}+\cdots+c_{N}(\mu)=0
$$

where each root is counted with multiplicity. Namely $\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)=N$. On the other hand, since $\delta$ is equivalent to the derivation $\partial / \partial \xi$, it follows that $N=\min \left\{n \mid \delta^{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0\right\}-1$. So, we have the assertion.

## 2. $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes and the Makar-Limanov invariants.

In this section, $X$ denotes a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, that is, a smooth algebraic surface defined over the complex field such that $H_{i}(X ; \mathbb{Q})=(0)$ for every $i>0$. In particular, $X$ is affine and rational [7]. Furthermore, $\pi_{1}(X) \cong H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(X)$. We consider the existence of $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ and the structure of $X$ when $X$ has enough $G_{a}$-actions.

We recall the following result [12, Th.1.2].
Lemma 2.1.- Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Then every fiber $\rho^{-1}(P)$ is irreducible and $\rho^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers with $A_{i} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Then $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} / m_{i} \mathbb{Z}$.

With the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1, $X$ is isomorphic to the affine plane $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ if $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=0$. Since we are interested in $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes which are not isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, we assume in the subsequent arguments that $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$.

If $\rho$ has a unique multiple fiber $m A$, then the universal covering $Y$ of $X$ is constructed as follows. Let $P=\rho(A)$ and let $C \rightarrow B\left(\cong \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)$ be a finite covering of degree $m$ totally ramifying over $P$ and the point at infinity $P_{\infty}$. Let $Y$ be the normalization of $X \times_{B} C$ and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a composite of the normalization morphism $\nu: Y \rightarrow X \times_{B} C$ and the first projection $X \times{ }_{B} C \rightarrow X$. Then $\pi$ is a Galois covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$, and $\pi^{*}(A)=L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}$. Furthermore, $Y$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow C$ which is a composite of $\nu$ and the second projection $X \times_{B} C \rightarrow C$, and $\tilde{\rho}^{*}(Q)=L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}$, where $Q$ is a unique point of $C$ lying over $P$. Since
the other fibers of $\widetilde{\rho}$ are reduced and irreducible, an open set $Y-\bigcup_{i \neq 1} L_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$. Hence $Y$ is simply connected. So, $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a universal covering of $X$.

We need the following result.
Lemma 2.2. - Let $X=\operatorname{Spec} R$ be an affine variety defined over $k$ and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be an étale finite morphism. Suppose that there exists a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ on $X$. Then $\sigma$ lifts up uniquely to a $G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on the variety $Y$.

Proof. - Let $\delta$ be the locally nilpotent derivation associated with $\sigma$. Let $R_{0}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$. Then $R\left[a^{-1}\right]=R_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right][\xi]$ for some element $a \in R_{0}$, and $\delta$ is conjugate to $\partial / \partial \xi$, i.e., $a_{0} \delta=a_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ for nonzero elements $a_{0}, a_{1} \in R_{0}$. Let $S=\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$. Then the derivation $\delta$ extends uniquely to a derivation $\widetilde{\delta}$ on $S$ because $\operatorname{Der}_{k}(S, S) \cong \operatorname{Der}_{k}(R, R) \otimes_{R} S$, which follows from the hypothesis that $S$ is finite and étale over $R$. On the other hand, $\delta$ extends uniquely to a derivation $\delta$ on the function field $Q(R)$ and to a derivation on $Q(S)$ which must coincide with the extension of $\widetilde{\delta}$ on $Q(S)$. Since $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is étale and finite and since $D(a) \cong \operatorname{Spec} R_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right] \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, it follows that $f^{-1}(D(a)) \cong \operatorname{Spec} S_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, where $\left.f\right|_{f-1(D(a))}$ is induced by an étale finite morphism $f_{0}: \operatorname{Spec} S_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R_{0}\left[a^{-1}\right]$ via the fiber product $f=f_{0} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Hence $S\left[a^{-1}\right]=S_{0}[\xi]$. Then the derivation $\widehat{\delta}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ is a derivation on $Q(S)$ which is zero on $Q\left(S_{0}\right)$. Since $\widehat{\delta}$ is clearly an extension of $\delta$ on $Q(S)$, the uniqueness of the extension implies that $\widehat{\delta}=\widetilde{\delta}$. In particular, $\widehat{\delta}$ is zero on $S_{0}$. This implies that $\widehat{\delta}$ is a locally nilpotent derivation on $S$, and $\widetilde{\delta}$ defines a $G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $Y$ which extends $\sigma$ on $X$.

The existence of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$ homology plane gives a strong restriction on the structure of $X$. Namely we have:

Lemma 2.3. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$. Then each of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ and $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ associated respectively with $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ has a unique multiple fiber of multiplicity $m$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. Furthermore, $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$.

Proof. - Consider the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Then there is a Galois covering $\pi: C \rightarrow \bar{B}$ which ramifies over the points $P_{1}=\rho\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{n}=\rho\left(A_{n}\right)$ and $P_{\infty}$ with respective multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ and $m_{\infty}$, where $\bar{B}$ is the smooth
compactification of $B$ and $\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}=\bar{B}-B$. By [3] and [5], such a covering exists for a suitable choice of $m_{\infty}>1$ provided $n \geqslant 1$. The genus $g$ of $C$ is computed by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 g-2 & =-2 d+\sum_{\imath=1}^{n} \frac{d}{m_{i}}\left(m_{\imath}-1\right)+\frac{d}{m_{\infty}}\left(m_{\infty}-1\right) \\
& =d\left\{(n-1)-\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m_{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d$ is the degree of the morphism $\pi$. Hence $g \geqslant 1$ if and only if

$$
n-1 \geqslant \frac{1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m_{n}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}} .
$$

Since $m_{i} \geqslant 2(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ and $m_{\infty} \geqslant 2$, it follows that $g=0$ only if $n-1<(n+1) / 2$, i.e., $n \leqslant 2$. If $n=2$, then $g=0$ only if

$$
\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{\infty}}>1
$$

If $n=1$, then $g=0$ always. The above observation implies that we can choose $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}, m_{\infty}\right\}$ to make the genus $g>0$ unless one of the following cases takes place:
(1) $n=1$
(2) $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}=\{2,2\}$.

Suppose we can take $C$ to have genus $g \geqslant 1$. Let $C_{0}=C-\pi^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$. Let $Y$ be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_{B} C_{0}$ and let $f: Y$ $\rightarrow X$ be the composite of the normalization morphism and the projection $X \times_{B} C_{0} \rightarrow X$. Then $f$ is a finite étale morphism. Hence the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho$ lifts up to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow C_{0}$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a general orbit of the ${\underset{\sim}{a}}_{a}$-action $\sigma^{\prime}$. Then $f^{-1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ splits into a disjoint union of the affine lines $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{T}_{d}^{\prime}$, where $d=\operatorname{deg} \pi$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is transversal to $\rho$, each of $\widetilde{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widetilde{T}_{d}^{\prime}$ is transversal to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{\rho}$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{T}_{j}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{0}$ is dominant. Since the genus of $C$ is positive by the assumption, this is a contradiction.

In the case (2) above, we have $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.1, the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}$ then has also two multiple fibers of multiplicity two. Let $2 A_{1}, 2 A_{2}$ be the multiple fibers of $\rho$ and let $2 A_{1}^{\prime}, 2 A_{2}^{\prime}$ be the multiple
fibers of $\rho^{\prime}$. Since $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(2 A_{1}, 2 A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=4\left(A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, write $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=4 d$. Consider the restriction $\rho_{1}^{\prime}: A_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ of $\rho$ onto $A_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $A_{1}^{\prime}$ has only one place point lying over the point $P_{\infty}:=\bar{B}-B$, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$, which has degree $2 d$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & =-4 d+(2 d-1)+\{\text { contributions from ramifying points over } B\} \\
& \geqslant-4 d+(2 d-1)+d+d
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction, where we obtain the above inequality by counting the ramifications at the intersection points of $A_{1}^{\prime}$ with $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. This implies that the case (2) does not occur.

In the case (1), let $m A_{1}$ (resp. $m A_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be a unique multiple fiber of $\rho$ (resp. $\rho^{\prime}$ ), where $m=m_{1}$. Then $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\left(m A_{1}, m A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}\left(A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$.

Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$. Suppose that $\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|=m>1$. Embed $X$ into a smooth projective surface $V$ in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) There exists a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ which restricts to the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ associated with $\sigma$, where $\bar{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(2) The boundary divisor $D:=V-X$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.
(3) The divisor $D$ is written as $D=F_{\infty}+S+G$, where $F_{\infty}$ is a smooth fiber of $p$ lying over the point $P_{\infty}=\bar{B}-B, S$ is a cross-section of $p$ and $G$ together with the closure $\bar{A}_{0}$ of a unique multiple fiber $m A_{0}$ of $\rho$ supports a fiber of $p$ lying over the point $P_{0}:=\rho\left(A_{0}\right)$.
(4) The connected component $G$ contains no ( -1 ) components.

We consider the linear pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ on $V$ generated by the closures of $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbits. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 2.4. - We may furthermore assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(5) $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a unique base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$, which is different from the point $Q_{0}=S \cap F_{\infty}$.
(6) $\left(S^{2}\right)=-1$.

Proof. - Let $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be the closure of a general $\sigma^{\prime}$-orbit $T^{\prime}$. If $\bar{T}^{\prime} \cap F_{\infty}=\emptyset$, then the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ associated respectively with $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ coincide with each other, which is impossible. Thence it follows that $\bar{T}^{\prime} \cap F_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has no base points. Since $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ has a single one-place point on $F_{\infty}$, this implies that $F_{\infty}$ is a cross-section of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. This implies that $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=1$, which is impossible because $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple of $m^{2}$ by Lemma 2.3 and $m>1$ by the hypothesis. So, $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a unique one-place base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$. Suppose that $Q=Q_{0}$. Then blow up the point $Q_{0}$ to obtain an exceptional $(-1)$ curve $E$ and the proper transform $E^{\prime}$ of $F_{\infty}$ with $\left(E^{\prime 2}\right)=-1$. Then contract $E^{\prime}$ to obtain a smooth projective surface $V^{\prime}$. We call this process of obtaining $V^{\prime}$ from $V$ the elementary transformation with center $Q_{0}$. By this process we have a new compactification $X \hookrightarrow V^{\prime}$ which satisfies the same conditions $(1) \sim(4)$ as above. By applying the elementary transformations with center $Q_{0}$ several times, the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ will have no base points on the proper transform of $S$. We may assume that this situation is already realized on the surface $V$ at the beginning.

Then the components of $S+G$ are contained in one and the same member $M_{0}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Since these components are untouched until the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are eliminated, it follows that $\left(S^{2}\right) \leqslant-1$. Suppose that ( $S^{2}$ ) $\leqslant-2$. Let $\mu$ be the multiplicity of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ at the point $Q$. Let $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2} d$. Suppose $\mu=m^{2} d$. Blow up the point $Q$. Let $E$ be the exceptional curve and let $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $F_{\infty}$. Then $E$ is a component of the member $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ corresponding to $M_{0}$. Otherwise, $E$ is a cross-section and $m^{2} d=\mu=1$, which is impossible. By contracting $F_{\infty}^{\prime}$, we obtain a new compactification of $X$ with the same property but with $\left(S^{2}\right)$ increased by 1 . Hence we may assume that $m^{2} d>\mu$. Then $\left(S^{2}\right)=-1$. For otherwise, the member $M_{0}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$ will have no $(-1)$ components when the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are eliminated and the last $(-1)$ curve arising from the elimination process gives rise to a cross-section. This is impossible.

Lemma 2.4 has the following consequence (cf. [11]).
Theorem 2.5. - With the notations as in Lemma 2.4, the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. In particular, if $C$ is a projective plane curve defined by an equation $X_{0} X_{1}^{m-1}=X_{2}^{m}$ with $m>2$, then the surface $X:=\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$ has a unique $G_{a}$-action up to equivalence which is associated with the pencil generated by $C$ and $m \ell_{0}$, where $\ell_{0}$ is the line $X_{1}=0$.

Proof. - Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups to eliminate the base points of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform
of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$. Let $\widetilde{M}_{0}$ be the member of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$, where we denote the proper transforms of $S, G$ by the same symbols. Then $S$ is a unique ( -1 ) curve in $\widetilde{M}_{0}$ because $m^{2} d>\mu$ with the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.4. One can obtain a smooth member by a sequence of blowing-downs which starts with the contraction of $S$. If the dual graph of $G$ contains a branch point, then there appears in the course of the above sequence of blowingdowns a $(-1)$ component meeting three or more components, one of which might be replaced by the cross-section. Hence the dual graph of $G$ must be a linear chain. The second assertion is a straightforward consequence if one notices that a smooth compactification $V$ of $X$ satisfying the conditions (1) $\sim(6)$ as listed above is obtained by blowing up the point $(1,0,0)$ and its infinitely near points and that the dual graph of $D$ is then as given in [11, Figure 1, p. 456], where $r=m>2$ and $n=1$. Hence the dual graph of the component $G$ is not linear.

Another consequence of Lemma 2.4 (and also Theorem 2.5) is the following result.

Theorem 2.6. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $X$ has two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions. Then $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$, where $C$ is a smooth conic.

Proof. - With the notations in Lemma 2.4, we consider the fiber $F_{0}$ which restricts on $X$ a unique multiple fiber $2 A$. The fiber $F_{0}$ is supported by $\bar{A}+G$ and $\bar{A}$ is a unique $(-1)$ component. By Theorem 2.5 , the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. Then it is readily verified that $G$ consists of three irreducible components $G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{3}$ which are all $(-2)$ curves. Furthermore, $\bar{A}$ meets the component $G_{2}$, and we may assume that $G_{1}$ meets the cross-section $S$ of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Now contract $S+G_{1}+G_{2}+G_{3}$. Then we obtain a projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and the proper transforms of $F_{\infty}, \bar{A}$ become respectively a smooth conic $C$ and a line tangent to the conic. Hence $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$.

We assume that the conditions (1) $\sim(6)$ are satisfied when we consider a projective embedding $X \hookrightarrow V$. A pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ of two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is minimal if $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=$ $m^{2}$, where $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. The following result, which is essentially contained in $[2,1.10,1.11]$, guarantees the existence of a minimal pair of $G_{a}$-actions in the case $m=2$.

Lemma 2.7. - Let $C$ be a smooth conic on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with $m=2$.
(2) Let $Q$ be a point on $C$ and let $\ell_{Q}$ be the tangent line of $C$ at $Q$. Let $\Lambda_{Q}$ be the linear pencil spanned by $C$ and $2 \ell_{Q}$. Then the pencil $\Lambda_{Q}$ defines an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{Q}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$, and hence the conjugate class of $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma_{Q}$ on $X$.
(3) If $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are distinct points on $C$, then $\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}$ are algebraically independent. Furthermore, $\iota\left(\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}\right)=4$. Hence $\left(\sigma_{Q}, \sigma_{Q^{\prime}}\right)$ is a minimal pair.

If $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}-C$ as above, the universal covering $Y$ of $X$ is the complement of the diagonal $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, which is a hypersurface $x y=z^{2}-1$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$. The lift $\widetilde{\sigma}_{Q}$ of $\sigma_{Q}$ onto $Y$ is associated with a pencil $\Lambda_{\widetilde{Q}}$ spanned by $\Delta$ and $\ell_{\widetilde{Q}}+M_{\widetilde{Q}}$, where $\widetilde{Q}$ is a point of $\Delta$ lying over the point $Q$ of $C$ and where $\ell_{\widetilde{Q}}$ and $M_{\widetilde{Q}}$ are respectively the fiber and section passing through the point $\widetilde{Q}$. We have the following result.

Lemma 2.8. - With the above notations, express $\widetilde{Q} \in \Delta$ as $\{(1, a)$, $(1, a)\}$ with $a \in k$. Then the locally nilpotent derivation associated with $\tilde{\sigma}_{Q}$ is conjugate to $\delta_{a}$ defined by

$$
\delta_{a}(x)=2(z-a x), \delta_{a}(y)=2 a(y-a z), \delta_{a}(z)=y-a^{2} x
$$

Furthermore, $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{a}=k[u]$ with $u=y-2 a z+a^{2} x$.
Proof. - It is straightforward to show that $\delta_{a}$ is locally nilpotent and $u \in \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{a}$. By substituting $y$ by $u+2 a z-a^{2} x$ in the equation $x y=z^{2}-1$, we have $x u=(z-a x)^{2}-1$. Hence it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{a}=k[u]$. In order to see that $\delta_{a}$ is associated with the pencil $\Lambda_{\widetilde{Q}}$, set $X_{0}=x_{0} x_{1}, X_{1}=$ $x_{0} y_{1}, X_{2}=x_{1} y_{0}$ and $X_{3}=x_{1} y_{1}$, where $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right)$ is a system of homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (resp. a copy of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ). Let $U=X_{1}-X_{2}$, where the diagonal $\Delta$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is defined by $U=0$. Note that $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is defined by $X_{0} X_{3}=X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2}\left(X_{2}+U\right)$ as a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Set $x=2 X_{0} / U, y=2 X_{3} / U$ and $z=2 X_{2} / U+1$. Then $Y:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}-\Delta$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}-\{U=0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^{3}$ defined by $x y=z^{2}-1$. Note that $\ell_{\widetilde{Q}}+M_{\widetilde{Q}}$ is defined by $\left(x_{1}-a x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-a y_{0}\right)=0$, which is written as $y-2 a z+a^{2} x=0$ on $Y$. Hence the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration induced by the pencil $\Lambda_{\widetilde{Q}}$ is given by the inclusion $k[u] \hookrightarrow \Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$.

In order to show the existence of a minimal pair of $G_{a}$-actions on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane, we shall consider a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$
which is treated in [1] as a smooth affine hypersurface in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

Lemma 2.9. - Let $Y$ be a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m>1$ in $z$ with distinct linear factors and let $R=\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) Define a derivation $\widetilde{\delta}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}$ ) on $R$ by $\widetilde{\delta}(x)=0, \widetilde{\delta}(y)=p^{\prime}(z)$ and $\widetilde{\delta}(z)=x$ (resp. $\widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}(y)=0, \widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}(x)=p^{\prime}(z)$ and $\widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}(z)=y$ ). Then $\widetilde{\delta}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}$ are locally nilpotent derivations. Hence they define $G_{a}$-actions $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{\prime}$ on $Y$ which are algebraically independent.
(2) The intertwining number $\iota\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $m$.
(3) Write $p(z)=a \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)$, and let $L_{i}$ (resp. $\left.M_{i}\right)$ be the curve on $Y$ defined by $x=z-\alpha_{i}=0$ (resp. $y=z-\alpha_{i}=0$ ). Then the $L_{i}$ and the $M_{j}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, and $\left(L_{i} \cdot M_{i}\right)=1$ and $\left(L_{i} \cdot M_{j}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$.
(4) The Picard group Pic $(Y)$ is a free group of rank $m-1$ generated by the classes $\left[L_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[L_{m}\right]$ (or $\left[M_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[M_{m}\right]$ ) with the relations

$$
\left[L_{1}\right]+\cdots+\left[L_{m}\right] \sim 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left[L_{i}\right] \sim-\left[M_{i}\right]
$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.
Proof. - The first and the third assertions are verified in a straightforward fashion. To prove the second assertion, note that $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{\delta}=k[x]$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}=k[y]$. Then apply Lemma 1.5 to show that $\iota\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=m$. In order to verify the fourth assertion, consider the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\widetilde{\rho}$ and $\widetilde{\rho}^{\prime}$ on $Y$ defined by $\widetilde{\delta}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}$, respectively.

Let $Y(m)$ be a hypersurface $x y=z^{m}-1$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ for $m>1$. Since $Y(m)-\bigcup_{i \neq 1} L_{i}$ is an open set of $Y(m)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, it follows that $Y(m)$ is simply connected. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $m$-th root of the unity. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.10.- Consider an action of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ on $Y(m)$ defined by $x \mapsto \zeta x, y \mapsto \zeta^{-1} y$ and $z \mapsto \zeta^{j} z$ for $0<j<m$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)=1$. We denote by $Y(m, j)$ the hypersurface $Y(m)$ with this action $\tau_{j}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) The $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action $\tau_{j}$ is free. Let $X(m, j)$ be the quotient of $Y(m, j)$ under this action of $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. Then $X(m, j)$ is a smooth affine surface with $Y(m, j)$ as its universal covering.
(2) Let $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}=x^{j-1} \widetilde{\delta}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}=y^{j-1} \widetilde{\delta}^{\prime}$. Then $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}$ are locally nilpotent derivations on $R:=\Gamma\left(Y(m), \mathcal{O}_{Y(m)}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}$ are algebraically independent and commute with the $\underset{\sim}{\widetilde{Z}} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action $\tau_{j}$, i.e., $\tau_{j} \cdot \widetilde{\delta}_{j}=\widetilde{\delta}_{j} \cdot \tau_{j}$ and $\tau_{j} \cdot \widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}=\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime} \cdot \tau_{j}$. Hence $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}$ induce locally nilpotent derivations $\delta_{j}$ and $\delta_{j}^{\prime}$ on $R(m, j)$ such that $\delta_{j}$ and $\delta_{j}^{\prime}$ are algebraically independent, where $R(m, j)$ is the invariant subring of $R$ under the action $\tau_{j}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ and hence the coordinate ring of $X(m, j)$.
(3) $X(m, j)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ associated respectively with $\delta_{j}$ and $\delta_{j}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $m=\left|H_{1}(X(m, j) ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$.
(4) We have $\iota\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$. Hence the pair $\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is minimal.
(5) If $j \neq j^{\prime}$, there are no isomorphisms $\theta: X(m, j) \rightarrow X\left(m, j^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\theta^{*}\left(x^{m}\right)=x^{m}$ or $\theta^{*}\left(y^{m}\right)=y^{m}$.

Proof. - The first and second assertions are verified in a straightforward fashion. We prove the assertion (3). It is clear that $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ acts transitively via $\tau_{j}$ on the subset $\left\{\left[L_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[L_{m}\right]\right\}$ of Pic $Y(m)$. Since $\left[L_{1}\right]+$ $\cdots+\left[L_{m}\right] \sim 0$ and $\operatorname{Pic} X(m, j) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the invariant subspace of $\operatorname{Pic} Y(m) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ under the $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action, it follows that $\operatorname{Pic} X(m, j) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=(0)$. On the other hand, since $X(m, j)$ is a rational surface with logarithmic Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{X(m, j)}\right)^{*}=k^{*}$, we know that $X(m, j)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane (cf. [12]). Since $X(m, j)$ has two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\sigma_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$, any $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X(m, j) \rightarrow B$, for example, the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{j}: X(m, j) \rightarrow B$ associated with $\sigma_{j}$, has at most one multiple fiber (cf. Proof of Lemma 2.3). The construction of the universal covering of $X(m, j)$ described after Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 implies that there is a unique multiple fiber of multiplicity $m$. Hence $m=\left|H_{1}(X(m, j) ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$.

In order to prove the assertion (4), let $\pi: Y(m, j) \rightarrow X(m, j)$ be the quotient morphism. Let $T$ (resp. $T^{\prime}$ ) be a general orbit of the $G_{a}$-action $\sigma_{j}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\pi^{*}(T)=T_{1}+\cdots+T_{m}$ and $\pi^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=T_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+T_{m}^{\prime}$, where the $T_{i}$ (resp. the $T_{i}^{\prime}$ ) are the general orbits of the $G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}_{J}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}$ ) on $Y(m, j)$ associated with $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\delta}_{j}^{\prime}$ ). It is then clear that $\iota\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\iota\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=m$. Since $\iota\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)$ and since

$$
m\left(T \cdot T^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi^{*}(T) \cdot \pi^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sum_{i, \ell=1}^{m}\left(T_{i} \cdot T_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i, \ell=1}^{m} \iota\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, \widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)=m^{3},
$$

we know that $\iota\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$. Hence $\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is a minimal pair.

Finally, we prove the assertion (5). Consider the derivation $\delta_{j}$ as a vector field on $X(m, j)$. Then $\delta_{j}$ is non-vanishing along the fibers of $\rho_{j}: X(m, j) \rightarrow B$ except for the fiber over the point $P_{0}$ of $B$ which is defined by $\xi=0$, where $\xi=x^{m}$ and $B=\operatorname{Spec} k[\xi]$. In fact, if $\rho_{j}^{*}\left(P_{0}\right)=m A$, we claim that $\delta_{j}$ vanishes along $A$ to the order $j+1$. To show this claim, take an integer $0<i<m$ so that $i j \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. Then $x / z^{i}$ is a rational function on $X(m, j)$ because it is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action $\tau_{j}$. Furthermore, it is regular near the fiber $m A$ because $z \neq 0$ on $\pi^{*}(m A)$. Since $\xi=\left(z^{m}\right)^{i}\left(x / z^{i}\right)^{m}$, the curve $A$ is locally defined by $x / z^{i}=0$. Then we compute as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{j}\left(\frac{x}{z^{i}}\right) & =\widetilde{\delta}_{j}\left(\frac{x}{z^{i}}\right)=x^{j} \widetilde{\delta}\left(z^{-i}\right)=(-i) \frac{x^{j+1}}{z^{i+1}} \\
& =\left(\frac{x}{z^{i}}\right)^{j+1} \cdot z^{\alpha m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i j=\alpha m+1$. Thus the claim is proved. On the other hand, if $\delta$ and $\gamma$ are locally nilpotent derivations giving rise to the same $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{j}$ on $X(m, j)$, then $a \delta=b \gamma$ with $a, b \in \operatorname{Ker} \delta=\operatorname{Ker} \gamma(c f$. Lemma 1.1). Suppose that there is an isomorphism $\theta: X(m, j) \rightarrow X\left(m, j^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\theta\left(x^{m}\right)=x^{m}$, i.e., $\rho_{j^{\prime}} \cdot \theta=\rho_{j}$. Then $\delta_{j}$ and $\delta_{j^{\prime}}$ are considered to give the same $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations $\rho_{j}: X(m, j) \rightarrow B=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[x^{m}\right]$. By the above remark, we have $a \delta_{j}=b \delta_{j^{\prime}}$ with $a, b \in k[\xi]=\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{j}=\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{j^{\prime}}$, where $\xi=x^{m}$. Since $\delta_{j}$ and $\delta_{j^{\prime}}$ are non-vanishing along the fibers of $\rho_{j}$ except for $m A$, we have $a=c \xi^{\ell}$ and $b=d \xi^{n}$ with $c, d \in k^{*}$ and $\ell, n \geqslant 0$. Since $\delta_{j}$ (resp. $\delta_{j}^{\prime}$ ) vanishes along $A$ to the order $j+1$ (resp. $j^{\prime}+1$ ), it follows that $m \ell+j+1=m n+j^{\prime}+1$. Since $0<j, j^{\prime}<m$, we have $\ell=n$ and $j=j^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction.

## 3. $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes whose Makar-Limanov invariants are trivial.

In this section, we shall prove that the $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with minimal pairs of $G_{a}$-actions are exhausted up to isomorphisms by the surfaces $X(m, j)$ observed in the previous section, where $0<j<m$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)=1$. We shall begin with a remark made by a doctoral student Adrien Dubouloz of the Université de Grenoble, which gives a relation between the $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes with trivial Makar-Limanov invariants and the hypersurfaces $x y=p(z)$ in [1]. We here note that, in a setting similar to Theorem 3.1, an explicit local construction of obtaining a surface $X$ with $\mathbb{C}^{+}$-action as the quotient of a surface $Y$ with $\mathbb{C}^{+}$-action and $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action has been initiated in [4, Example 1.6].

Theorem 3.1. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial MakarLimanov invariant and let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration with a unique multiple fiber $m A$ of multiplicity $m>1$. Let $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be a cyclic Galois covering of order $m$ ramifying totally over the point $P_{0}=\rho(A)$ and let $Y$ be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_{B} B^{\prime}$. Then $Y$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ in $z$ with distinct linear factors. The given $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is regained as the quotient of $Y$ with respect to a $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action.

Proof. - We shall give a rough sketch of the proof, leaving the details to a paper by A. Dubouloz. We use the projective embedding $X \hookrightarrow V$ considered before and in Lemma 2.4. In particular, the fiber $F_{0}$ of $p: V \rightarrow \bar{B}$ over the point $P_{0}$ is supported by $G+\bar{A}$, where the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain and $\bar{A}$ is the closure of $A$ in $V$. Let $G_{1}$ be the irreducible component of $G$ such that $\left(G_{1} \cdot \bar{A}\right)=1$. Let $\sigma: \bar{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ be a cyclic Galois covering of order $m$ ramifying totally over the points $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}=p\left(F_{\infty}\right)$. Let $W^{\prime}$ be the normalization of $V$ in the function field of $Y$ and let $\tau^{\prime}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be the normalization morphism. Then the branch locus of $\tau^{\prime}$ contains $F_{\infty}$ and is contained in the sum $F_{\infty}+G$. Hence $W^{\prime}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $q^{\prime}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$. The singularity of $W^{\prime}$ are at most cyclic quotient singularities which arise from the intersection points of the branch locus and lie on the fiber $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, where $P_{0}^{\prime}$ is the point of $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ lying over $P_{0}$. Let $\nu: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ be the minimal resolution of the singular points of $W^{\prime}$ and let $\tau=\tau^{\prime} \cdot \nu: W \rightarrow V$. Then there is an induced $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $q: W \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$, which satisfies $\sigma \cdot q=p \cdot \tau$. Remind that the component $A$ splits into a disjoint union of $m$ affine lines $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$. This implies that the component $G_{1}$ is not contained in the branch locus of $\tau^{\prime}$ and hence $\tau$. Let $H_{1}$ be the irreducible component of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ lying over $G_{1}$. Then $\left.\tau\right|_{H_{1}}: H_{1} \rightarrow G_{1}$ is a cyclic covering of order $m$, and there are $m$ irreducible components $\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$ of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left(H_{1} \cdot \bar{L}_{i}\right)=1$ and $\bar{L}_{\imath} \cap Y=L_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Since $\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$ are reduced in $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, the multiplicity of $H_{1}$ in $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is accordingly equal to 1 . So, we can contract all the components of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ except for $H_{1}$ and $\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the surface thus obtained from $W$. Then $\widetilde{W}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{q}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$ and $Y$ is embedded into $\widetilde{W}$ as an open set, and the boundary divisor $\widetilde{D}:=\widetilde{W}-Y$ consists of the cross-section $\widetilde{S}$ of $\widetilde{q}$, the fiber $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ lying above the point at infinity $P_{\infty}^{\prime}$, and the component $\widetilde{H}_{1}$ of the fiber $\widetilde{F}_{0}=\widetilde{H}_{1}+\sum_{\imath=1}^{m} \widetilde{L}_{\imath}$, where $P_{\infty}^{\prime}$ is a unique point of $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ lying above $P_{\infty}$, $\widetilde{S}$ is the inverse image of $S$ and $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}$ are respectively the proper transforms of $H_{1}, \bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$. Then it is straightforward to see that the canonical divisor $K_{Y}$, that is to say, the restriction of $K_{\widetilde{W}}$ onto $Y$, is trivial.

On the other hand, since all the $G_{a}$-actions on $X$ lifts up to $Y$ by Lemma 2.2, $Y$ is a smooth affine surface with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant. Hence, by [1, Lemma 4], $Y$ is isomorphic to a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ with $\operatorname{deg} p(z)=m$.

Let $Y$ be as above a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$, where we may write $p(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j$. We shall consider a smooth compactification of the hypersurface $Y$ and how to construct it.

Example 3.2. - Let $W_{0}$ be a rational surface isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We denote by $\ell$ and $M$ the respective fibers of two projections from $W_{0}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. By fixing one projection, we call $\ell$ a fiber and $M$ a section. Fix two fibers $\ell_{0}, \ell_{\infty}$ and $m+1$ sections $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}, M_{\infty}$, where $m \geqslant 2$. Let $Q_{i}:=\ell_{0} \cap M_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $Q_{\infty}:=\ell_{\infty} \cap M_{\infty}$. Consider a linear system $\Lambda=|\ell+m M|-\left(Q_{1}+\cdots+Q_{m}+m Q_{\infty}\right)$, which consists of curves linearly equivalent to $\ell+m M$ and passing through the points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ simply and the point $Q_{\infty} m$ times. Since $\operatorname{dim}|\ell+m M|=2 m+1$, it follows that $\Lambda$ is a linear pencil and that the curves $\ell_{\infty}+M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$ and $\ell_{0}+m M_{\infty}$ are members of $\Lambda$. Let $\tau: W \rightarrow W_{0}$ be a composite of blowing-ups with centers $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}, Q_{\infty}$ and $m-1$ infinitely near points $Q_{\infty}^{(1)}, \ldots, Q_{\infty}^{(m-1)}$ of $Q_{\infty}$, where $Q_{\infty}^{(i)}$ lies on the proper transform of $\ell_{\infty}$ and $Q_{\infty}^{(i)}$ is infinitely near to $Q_{\infty}^{(\imath-1)}$ for $1 \leqslant i<m$ with $Q_{\infty}^{(0)}=Q_{\infty}$. Let $L_{\imath}:=\tau^{-1}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, let $M_{i}$ denote the proper transform $\tau^{\prime}\left(M_{i}\right)$ by the abuse of the notations, and let $\tau^{-1}\left(Q_{\infty}\right)=E_{1}+E_{2}+\cdots+E_{m}$. Then, with the proper transforms $\ell_{0}^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime}\left(\ell_{0}\right), \ell_{\infty}^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime}\left(\ell_{\infty}\right)$ and $M_{\infty}^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime}\left(M_{\infty}\right)$, the curves $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$ constitute a linear chain of rational curves whose dual graph is given as follows:


Note that if $m=2$ the contraction of $E_{2}, \ell_{\infty}^{\prime}, M_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $\ell_{0}^{\prime}$ brings $W$ to a surface isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with the proper transform of $E_{1}$ as the diagonal. Set $Z=W-\left(\ell_{0}^{\prime}+M_{\infty}^{\prime}+E_{1}+\cdots+E_{m}+\ell_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $Z$ has two $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations, one of which is given by the pencil $|\ell|$ on $W_{0}$ and has a reducible fiber $L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}$ and another one of which is given by the pencil $\Lambda$ on $W_{0}$ and contains a reducible fiber $M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$, where we
denote the intersections of $L_{1} \cap Z, \ldots, L_{m} \cap Z$ and $M_{1} \cap Z, \ldots, M_{m} \cap Z$ by the same letters $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ and $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$ by the abuse of the notations.

The following result shows that the hypersurface $Y$ in Theorem 3.1 is constructed in a way as described in the above example.

Lemma 3.3. - Let $Y$ be a hypersurface $x y=p(z)$ as above. Assume that $m \geqslant 2$ and $p(0) \neq 0$. The hypersurface $Y$ is then isomorphic to $Z$ as constructed as above with suitably chosen points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ and $Q_{\infty}$.

Proof. - Let $\rho_{x}: Y \rightarrow B_{x} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\rho_{y}: Y \rightarrow B_{y} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be respectively the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations parametrized by $x$ and $y$. So, the generic fiber of $\rho_{x}$ (resp. $\rho_{y}$ ) is defined by $y=x^{-1} p(z)$ (resp. $x=y^{-1} p(z)$ ). Furthermore, let $L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}$ (resp. $M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$ ) be a unique reducible reduced fiber of $\rho_{x}$ (resp. $\rho_{y}$ ). We may assume that $L_{i}+M_{i}$ is defined by $z-\alpha_{i}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, where $p(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{j}$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Consider a smooth compactification $W^{\prime}$ of $Y$ such that $\rho_{x}$ extends to a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\pi_{x}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{x} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We may assume that $\rho_{y}$ extends to a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\pi_{y}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{y} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We denote the closures of the $L_{i}$ and the $M_{j}$ on $W^{\prime}$ by the same letters. The boundary $D^{\prime}:=W^{\prime}-Y$ consists of $\Gamma_{0}-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}\right), M_{\infty}$ and $\Gamma_{\infty}$, where $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{\infty}$ are fibers of $\pi_{x}$ and $M_{\infty}$ is a section of $\pi_{x}$. Note that $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$ are mutually disjoint crosssections of $\pi_{x}$. Similarly, $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ are mutually disjoint cross-sections of $\pi_{y}$. Note that the fibers of $\pi_{y}$ except for $\pi_{y}^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)$ with $\left(P_{\infty}\right)=\bar{B}_{y}-B_{y}$ do not intersect the components of $\Gamma_{0}-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}\right)$. Hence we may contract all smoothly contractible components of $\Gamma-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}\right)$.

We claim that we can take $W^{\prime}$ in such a way that $\Gamma_{0}-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}\right)$ is an irreducible component $L_{0}$ satisfying $\left(L_{0} \cdot M_{\infty}\right)=1,\left(L_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=-m$ and $\left(L_{i}{ }^{2}\right)=-1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. In fact, let $\bar{Y}$ be the projective closure of $Y$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, where $\mathbb{A}^{3}$ is naturally embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ as the complement of a hyperplane. Then $\bar{Y}$ is defined by an equation

$$
X Y U^{m-2}=P(Z, U)
$$

where $x=X / U, y=Y / U, z=Z / U$ and $P(Z, U)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $Z, U$ of degree $m$ with $p(z)=P(z, 1)$. Consider a fiber $A_{\alpha}$ of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{x}$ for $x=\alpha \in k^{*}$. The curve $A_{\alpha}$ has a parametric representation

$$
x=\alpha, \quad y=\alpha^{-1} p(t) \quad \text { and } \quad z=t
$$

Let $x^{\prime}=X / Y, z^{\prime}=Z / Y$ and $u^{\prime}=U / Y$. Then, in an open set $D_{+}(Y)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, the hypersurface $\bar{Y}$ is defined by $x^{\prime} u^{\prime m-2}=P\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$, which has singularity along the curve $z^{\prime}=u^{\prime}=0$ if $m \geqslant 3$. The curve $A_{\alpha}$ has a parametric representation

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{m}}{P(1, \tau)}, \quad z^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha \tau^{m-1}}{P(1, \tau)}, \quad u^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha \tau^{m}}{P(1, \tau)}
$$

where $\tau=t^{-1}$. Let $x^{\prime \prime}=x^{\prime} / z^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime} / z^{\prime}$. Then the proper transform of $\bar{Y}$ is defined in $\operatorname{Spec} k\left[z^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right]$ by the equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime m-2}=z^{\prime} P\left(1, u^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

which is a smooth surface. The proper transform $\bar{A}_{\alpha}$ of the closure of the curve $A_{\alpha}$ has a parametric representation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}=\alpha \tau, \quad u^{\prime \prime}=\tau, \quad z^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha \tau^{m-1}}{P(1, \tau)}
$$

Hence $\bar{A}_{\alpha}$ is a smooth curve with tangent direction $x^{\prime \prime}=\alpha u^{\prime \prime}$. The fiber $A_{0}$ of $\rho_{x}$ for $x=0$ corresponds to a reducible curve $\bar{A}_{0}$ which consists of the curve $L_{0}=\left\{x^{\prime \prime}=0\right\}$ and the irreducible components $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ of $P\left(1, u^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. Hence the blowing-up of the point $\left(x^{\prime \prime}=0, u^{\prime \prime}=0\right)$ produces a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\pi_{x}$ which extends the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho_{x}$ and for which $L_{0}+L_{1}+\cdots+L_{m}$ is a fiber. Thus we have shown our claim.

By a similar observation, we may assume that the fiber of $\pi_{y}$ containing $M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$ has as an extra component a unique irreducible reduced component $M_{0}$ with $\left(M_{0} \cdot M_{i}\right)=1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Note that $M_{0}$ is a component of the fiber $\Gamma_{\infty}$ of $\pi_{x}$. Let $q: W^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{W}$ be the contractions of $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ and the components of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ except for $M_{0}$ such that $\pi_{x} \cdot q^{-1}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{x}$ is a relatively minimal $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration. Then $\bar{W}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ because the respective images $\bar{M}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{M}_{m}, \bar{M}_{\infty}$ of $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{m}, M_{\infty}$ on $\bar{W}$ are mutually disjoint cross-sections. The linear pencil $\Lambda$ consisting of the images of the fibers of $\pi_{y}$ is of the form $|\ell+m M|-\left(Q_{1}+\cdots+Q_{m}+m Q_{\infty}\right)$ as described in the above example.

We note that the hypothesis $p(0) \neq 0$ is easily realized by replacing $z$ by $z-c$ with some $c \in k$. The following result will determine the form of $p(z)$ when the hypersurface $Y$ is obtained as the universal covering of a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial Makar-Limanov invariant.

Theorem 3.4. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial MakarLimanov invariant. Suppose that $m \geqslant 2$ for $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right|$. Then the
universal covering of $X$ is isomorphic to the hypersurface $x y=z^{m}-1$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$, and $X$ is isomorphic to $X(m, j)$ constructed in Theorem 2.9 for some $0<j<m$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)=1$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 consists of the following two lemmas.
Lemma 3.5. - With the notations and assumptions of Theorem 3.4, suppose that $X$ has a pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ of $G_{a}$-actions such that $\iota\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=m^{2}$ with $m \geqslant 2$. Then the assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds true.

Proof. - We use the smooth compactification $V$ of $X$ as constructed before and in Lemma 2.4. As explained after Lemma 2.1, the universal covering $Y$ of $X$ is obtained as the normalization of $X \times_{B} B^{\prime}$, where $B^{\prime}$ is a cyclic covering of degree $m$ totally ramifying over the point $P_{0}:=\rho(A)$ and the point at infinity $P_{\infty}$. We employ the notations of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then the Galois group $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ acts regularly on $W^{\prime}$ as well as on $W$, where $W^{\prime}$ is the normalization of $V$ in the function field of $Y$ and $W$ is the minimal resolution of $W^{\prime}$. The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $q: W \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$ is $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ equivariant, and the divisors $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right), q^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tau^{-1}(S)$, which lie on the boundary $W-Y$, are $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-stable, where $P_{0}^{\prime}$ and $P_{\infty}^{\prime}$ are the points of $\bar{B}^{\prime}$ lying over the points $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ respectively. Furthermore, the contraction, say $\mu$, of all the components of $q^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ except for $H_{1}$ and $\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$ is $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant, and $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ stabilizes $H_{1}$ and permutes transitively the set $\left\{\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}\right\}$. Thus $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ acts regularly on the surface $\widetilde{W}$ obtained by the contraction $\mu$ and the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{q}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$ is $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant. Furthermore, $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ stabilizes $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}, \widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{H}_{1}$, and permutes transitively $\left\{\widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}\right\}$, where $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ is the fiber $\widetilde{q}^{-1}\left(P_{\infty}^{\prime}\right), \widetilde{S}$ is the image of $\tau^{-1}(S)$ and $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}$ are the images of $H_{1}, \bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{m}$ on $\widetilde{W}$.

The surface $\widetilde{W}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{q}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$ for which $\widetilde{S}$ is a cross-section, $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ is a smooth fiber and $\widetilde{q}^{-1}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{L}_{1}+\cdots+\widetilde{L}_{m}$. Note that there are at least two fixed points $R_{1}, R_{2}$ on $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$, where we can take $R_{1}=\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{F}_{\infty}$. By the elementary transformation with center at $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$, which is $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant, we can decrease or increase the self-intersection number $\left(\widetilde{S}^{2}\right)$ by 1 . So, applying the $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant elementary transformations several times if necessary, we may assume that $\left(\widetilde{S}^{2}\right)=-1$. Then we can contract $\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}$ without losing the regular $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action to obtain the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ so that the respective images $\ell_{0}, \ell_{\infty}$ of $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ are lines.

On the other hand, since ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) is a minimal pair, the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}$ on $X$ associated with $\sigma^{\prime}$ has a unique multiple fiber $m A^{\prime}$, and the inverse
image of $A^{\prime}$ on $Y$ splits into a disjoint sum $M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$ of the affine lines such that $\left(L_{i} \cdot M_{i}\right)=1$ and $\left(L_{i} \cdot M_{j}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$. For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, let $\bar{M}_{j}$ be the closure of $M_{j}$ on $W$, and denote by $\gamma_{j}$ the image of $\bar{M}_{j}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\left(Q_{0}\right)=\ell_{0} \cap \ell_{\infty}$. Then $\gamma_{j}$ meets $\ell_{0}-\left(Q_{0}\right)$ in one point $Q_{j}$ transversally and meet $\ell_{\infty}$ in one-place point $Q$, where the point $Q$ is common for the curves $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ because otherwise $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ would be mutually disjoint from each other, which is impossible for the curves on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}$ on $Y$ is produced from a linear pencil $\Lambda$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ for which $\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{m}$ is a member. We consider the two cases $Q \neq Q_{0}$ and $Q=Q_{0}$ separately.

Case $Q \neq Q_{0}$. It is then easy to see that $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ are lines and that the pencil $\Lambda$ is spanned by $\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{m}$ and $\ell_{0}+(m-1) \ell_{\infty}$. Choose a system of homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ so that the points $Q_{0}$ and $Q$ are written respectively as $(0,0,1)$ and $(0,1,0)$ and the line $\ell_{0}$ is defined by $x_{1}=0$. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ acts transitively on the set of the points $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right\}$, we can adjust the coordinate $x_{1}$ so that the curve $\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{m}$ is defined by $x_{2}^{m}-x_{0}^{m}$. Then a general member of $\Lambda$ is written as $\lambda x_{0}^{m-1} x_{1}=x_{2}^{m}-x_{0}^{m}$, where $\lambda$ is an inhomogeneous parameter of the pencil $\Lambda$. Set $x=x_{1} / x_{0}$ and $z=x_{2} / x_{0}$. Then we have a linear pencil $\left\{x y=z^{m}-1\right\}$, where $y$ is a parameter. If $y$ moves over the elements of $k$, we know that the curves $x y=z^{m}-1$ exhaust all the points of $Y$ without overlappings. Hence $Y$ itself is realized as a hypersurface $x y=z^{m}-1$ in $\mathbb{A}^{3}$. The $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is given by $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}, \zeta x_{1}, \zeta^{j} x_{2}\right)$, where $0<j<m$. Since $x y=z^{m}-1$ is $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-invariant, the action on the coordinate $y$ is given by $y \mapsto \zeta^{-1} y$.

Case $Q=Q_{0}$. We work on the surface $\widetilde{W}$ instead of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, where $\widetilde{W}$ is the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{1}$ of degree 1 and $\widetilde{S}$ is the minimal section. Only for this case, we denote $\widetilde{S}$ and a general fiber of $\widetilde{q}$ by $M$ and $\ell$ according to the customary usage of the notations. We denote the images of the $\bar{M}_{j}$ on $\widetilde{W}$ by $C_{j}$. Since $C_{j}$ meets the fiber $\ell_{0}$ at the point $Q_{j}$ transversally, $C_{j}$ is linearly equivalent to $n \ell+M$ for some $n \geqslant 1$. Hence $C_{j}$ is smooth. If $n=1$ then $C_{j} \cap M=\emptyset$ and we are reduced to the former case $Q \neq Q_{0}$. So, $n \geqslant 2$. Since $C_{j}$ has only one place on the boundary $\widetilde{W}-Y$ and since $C_{j} \cap \widetilde{F}_{\infty} \neq \emptyset$, $C_{j}$ passes through the point $\widetilde{Q}:=\widetilde{F}_{\infty} \cap M$ and touches the section $M$ with order $n-1$. Let $p_{1}: W_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ be the composite of $n-1$ blowingups with centers at the infinitely near points of $\widetilde{Q}$ which lie on the proper transforms of $M$ and let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n-1}$ be the irreducible exceptional curves of $p_{1}$. Then the curves $p_{1}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{F}_{\infty}\right), E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n-1}, p_{1}^{\prime}(M)$ arranged in this order form a linear chain, and $\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{F}_{\infty}\right)^{2}\right)=-1,\left(E_{i}^{2}\right)=-2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$ and
$\left(E_{n-1}^{2}\right)=-1$. Since $\left(C_{j}^{2}\right)=2 n-1$, the proper transforms $p_{1}^{\prime}\left(C_{j}\right)$ meet in one point of $E_{n-1}$ which is different from $E_{n-2} \cap E_{n-1}$ and $E_{n-1} \cap p_{1}^{\prime}(M)$. Let $p_{2}: W_{2} \rightarrow W_{1}$ be the composite of $n$ blowing-ups by which the proper transforms $p_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\left(C_{j}\right)\right)$ get separated from each other and let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ be the irreducible exceptional curves. Then $F_{1}+F_{2}+\cdots+F_{n}$ is a linear chain sprouting from the proper transform $p_{2}^{\prime}\left(E_{n-1}\right)$ with $\left(F_{i}{ }^{2}\right)=-2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $\left({F_{n}}^{2}\right)=-1$. Note that $\left(p_{2}^{\prime}\left(E_{n-1}\right)^{2}\right)=-2$. We can then contract $p_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{F}_{\infty}\right)\right), p_{2}^{\prime}\left(E_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{2}^{\prime}\left(E_{n-1}\right), F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-1}$ in this order. Let $p_{3}: W_{2} \rightarrow W_{3}$ be the contraction of these curves. By the abuse of the notations, denote the images of $p_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}(M)\right), F_{n}, p_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\left(C_{j}\right)\right)$ on $W_{3}$ by $M_{\infty}, \ell_{\infty}, M_{j}$ respectively. Since $\left(M_{\infty}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(\ell_{\infty}{ }^{2}\right)=0$, it follows that $W_{3}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. In fact, we regain the same picture as in Example 3.2 with the curves $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$. Since we did not change anything on the open set $Y$, we may start with the situation treated in Example 3.2.

The proper transform $\Lambda^{\prime}$ of the pencil $\Lambda$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ becomes a linear pencil $\left|\ell_{\infty}+m M_{\infty}\right|-\left(Q_{1}+\cdots+Q_{m}+m Q_{\infty}\right)$, where $Q_{i}=\ell_{0} \cap M_{\jmath}$ and $Q_{\infty}=\ell_{\infty} \cap M_{\infty}$. Eliminate the base points of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by blowing up the point $Q_{\infty}$ and its infinitely near points $Q_{\infty}^{(1)}, \ldots, Q_{\infty}^{(m-1)}$ which lies on the proper transform of $\ell_{\infty}$. The exceptional curves with the proper transforms $\ell_{\infty}^{\prime}, M_{\infty}^{\prime}, \ell_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\ell_{\infty}, M_{\infty}, \ell_{0}$ form a linear chain as exhibited in Example 3.2. The proper transforms of $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$ intersect $\ell_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Now contract $E_{m}, E_{m-1}, \ldots, E_{2}, \ell_{\infty}^{\prime}$ and $M_{\infty}^{\prime}$ in this order. The resulting surface is $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and the proper transforms of $E_{1}, \ell_{0}^{\prime}$ and the $M_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant m)$ fit to the previous case where $Q \neq Q_{0}$. So, we have settled this case as well.

Lemma 3.6. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with trivial MakarLimanov invariant. Then there exists a minimal pair ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) of $G_{a}$-actions on $X$.

Proof. - If $X \cong \mathbb{A}^{2}$ then the assertion holds obviously. So, we assume that $m=\left|H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{Z})\right| \geqslant 2$. We fix a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma$ and consider the associated $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. We employ the arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.5 up to the point where the surface $\widetilde{W}$ and the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $\widetilde{q}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{B}^{\prime}$ are constructed. With the same notations there, we may assume, after performing $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant elementary transformations with center at $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$, that $\left(\widetilde{S}^{2}\right)=0$. Then $|\widetilde{S}|$ is a linear pencil and defines a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ fibration $\Phi_{|\widetilde{S}|}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then, by the count of $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Pic}(\widetilde{W})$, it follows that $\Phi_{|\widetilde{S}|}$ has exactly $m$ degenerate fibers $\widetilde{L}_{i}+\widetilde{M}_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant m)$, where $\widetilde{M}_{i}$ is a $(-1)$ curve with $\left(\widetilde{L}_{i} \cdot \widetilde{M}_{i}\right)=1$. Since the Galois group $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ stabilizes
$\widetilde{S}$ and permutes the curves $\left\{\widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}\right\}$, it follows that it permutes the curves $\left\{\widetilde{M}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_{m}\right\}$ as well.

Now contract $\widetilde{L}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{m}$ to obtain a surface $\bar{W}$, which is the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{0} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Denote the images of $\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{M}_{i}, \widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ by $M_{\infty}, \ell_{0}, M_{i}, \ell_{\infty}$, respectively. Let $Q_{\infty}=M_{\infty} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ and $Q_{i}=\ell_{0} \cap M_{i}$. Then $\ell_{0}+m M_{\infty}$ and $\ell_{\infty}+M_{1}+\cdots+M_{m}$ are $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-stable divisors. Hence the linear pencil $\Lambda=|\ell+m M|-\left(Q_{1}+\cdots+Q_{m}+m Q_{\infty}\right)$ is closed under the $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-action (cf. Example 3.2). Then $\Lambda$ induces a $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-stable $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ fibration $\widetilde{\rho}: Y \rightarrow B_{1}^{\prime}$, where $Y=\widetilde{W}-\left(\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{S}+\widetilde{F}_{\infty}\right)$ and $B_{1}^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$. So, $\widetilde{\rho}$ induces a $G_{a}$-action $\widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime}$ on $Y$, which descends down to a $G_{a}$-action $\sigma^{\prime}$ on $X$. It is then clear by the construction that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a minimal pair of $G_{a}$-actions.

## 4. Intertwining at infinity of the curves belonging to the two pencils.

Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with two algebraically independent $G_{a}$-actions $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. We consider a projective embedding $X \hookrightarrow V$ considered before and in Lemma 2.4 and observe how the curves belonging to the pencils $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ intertwine each other at infinity, where $\Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda^{\prime}$ ) is the pencil associated to $\sigma$ (resp. $\sigma^{\prime}$ ). We shall employ the notations and assumptions in Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

By Theorem 2.5, the dual graph of $G$ is a linear chain. The linear pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has a base point $Q$ on $F_{\infty}$ which is different from the point $S \cap F_{\infty}$. Let $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ be a general member of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we may assume that $\mu<m^{2} d$, where $m^{2} d=i\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}, F_{\infty} ; Q\right)$ and $\mu=\operatorname{mult}{ }_{Q} \bar{T}^{\prime}$. The pencil contains a member $m \bar{A}^{\prime}$, where $m A^{\prime}$ with $A^{\prime}:=\bar{A}^{\prime} \cap X$ is a unique multiple fiber of the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho^{\prime}: X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ which is induced by $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $\mu^{\prime}:=\operatorname{mult}_{Q} \bar{A}^{\prime}$. Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups which eliminates the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and let $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$. Let $E$ be the last ( -1 ) curve appearing in the process $\varphi$ and write $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$, where $\Gamma$ (resp. $\Delta$ ) is the connected component of $\varphi^{-1}(Q)-E$ which meets the proper transform $\widetilde{F}_{\infty}$ (resp. $\widetilde{A}^{\prime}$ ) of $F_{\infty}$ (resp. $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ ). Theorem 2.5 applied to the $\sigma^{\prime}$-action implies that the dual graph of $\Delta$ is a linear chain.

Lemma 4.1. - The following assertions hold true:
(1) $m \mu^{\prime} \geqslant \mu$.
(2) Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then the dual graph of $\Gamma$ is either an emptyset or a linear chain. Furthermore, $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$.
(3) Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}=\mu$. Then the dual graph of $\Gamma$ has a branch point.

Proof. - (1) This is clear because the multiplicity mult ${ }_{Q} \bar{T}^{\prime}=\mu$ is the minimum of the multiplicities which the members of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ take at the point $Q$.
(2) Let $\varphi_{1}$ be the first blowing-up in the process $\varphi$ and let $E_{1}$ be the exceptional curve. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(m \bar{A}^{\prime}\right) & =m \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+m \mu^{\prime} E_{1} \\
\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) & =\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)+\mu E_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence in the proper transform $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by $\varphi_{1}$, the ( -1 ) curve $E_{1}$ belongs to the member containing $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. If the dual graph $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$ has a branching point, the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ containing $S+G$ has to coincide with the member containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So, the dual graph of $\Gamma$ is a linear chain. Under the assumption $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$, the proper transform of $E_{1}$ by $\varphi \cdot \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ is the end component of $\Delta$. Since $\Delta+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is contractible to a smooth fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration, it follows that $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$.
(3) With the above notation, $E_{1}$ belongs to the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\psi: \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$ be the oscillating sequence of blowing-ups with the data ( $m d, \mu^{\prime}$ ) (cf. [12]) and let $E^{\prime}$ be the last ( -1 ) curve. Since the proper transforms of $E_{1}$ and $F_{\infty}$ by $\varphi$ are contained in the member $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$, all the exceptional curves of $\psi$ are also contained in $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$. In order to eliminate the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$, we have therefore to blow up a point on $E^{\prime}$. Hence the dual graph of $\Gamma$ has a branch point which represent the proper transform of $E^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.2. - The following assertions hold:
(1) Suppose $\mu^{\prime}=1$ and $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then the pair ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) is minimal.
(2) Suppose $\mu^{\prime} \leqslant d$ and $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then $\mu^{\prime}=1$.

Proof. - (1) By Lemma 4.1 and the hypothesis $\mu^{\prime}=1$, we have $\mu=m-1$. Then the curve $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ touches $F_{\infty}$ with multiplicity $m d$. Let $\psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be a sequence of $m d$ blowing-ups with centers $Q$ and its infinitely near points lying on the proper transforms of $F_{\infty}$. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m d}$ be the irreducible exceptional curves. Then $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)+E_{m d}+\cdots+E_{1}$ is a
linear chain and $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E_{m d}$ transversally. Let $M_{0}^{\prime}$ (resp. $M_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be the member of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ (resp. $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ ). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{0}^{\prime}=(m-1) \psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)+\text { a divisor supported by } \psi^{\prime}(S)+\psi^{*}(G)_{\mathrm{red}} \\
& M_{1}^{\prime}=m \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+\cdots+m d E_{m d}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general member $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ passes the point $Q^{\prime}:=\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cap E_{m d}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =m^{2} d-(m-1) m d=m d \\
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right), E_{m d} ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =m-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the sequence of blowing-ups as above which eliminates the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Then the member $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is a degenerate fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration which contains only one $(-1)$ curve $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Since the coefficient of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ is $m$, it is the largest coefficient among those for the components of $\widetilde{M}_{1}$. This implies that $m d \leqslant m$. Hence $d=1$. So, the pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a minimal pair.
(2) Suppose on the contrary that $\mu^{\prime} \geqslant 2$. Write

$$
m d=c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leqslant \mu_{1}^{\prime}<\mu^{\prime}
$$

Then

$$
m^{2} d=m\left(c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)=c_{1} \mu+\left(c_{1}+m \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\mu^{\prime} \leqslant d$, we have $c_{1} \geqslant m$. In the case $c_{1}>m$, we abuse the notations to denote by $\psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ a sequence of $c_{1}$ blowing-ups with center $Q$ and its infinitely near points lying on $F_{\infty}$. It produces the member $M_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
M_{1}^{\prime}=m \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+\cdots+c_{1} E_{c_{1}}
$$

which leads to a contradiction as in the proof of the previous assertion. Consider the case $c_{1}=m$. Suppose $\mu_{1}^{\prime}>0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right), \psi^{\prime}(\bar{A}) ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =\mu_{1}^{\prime} \\
i\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right), E_{c_{1}} ; Q^{\prime}\right) & =\mu^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q^{\prime}=\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \cap E_{c_{1}}$. Then, after the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are removed by $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V, \varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ does not meet any one of the proper transforms of $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{c_{1}}$. This implies that a component of the member $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ has coefficient greater than $m$, where $\widetilde{M}_{1}$ is a member of the proper transform $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$. This is a contradiction. So, we must have $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=0$.

Then $c_{1}=m$ and $\mu^{\prime}=d$. Since $\mu^{\prime} \geqslant 2, \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E_{m}$ in a single point with multiplicity $\mu^{\prime}$, and this point is untouched in the further process of eliminating the base points of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction.

We continue the analysis of the case $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$ and keep the same notations as above. In particular, we abuse the notations $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ to denote respectively the members of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ such that Supp $M_{0}^{\prime}=F_{\infty}+S+G$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}=m \bar{A}^{\prime}$, while $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ denotes a general member of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the shortest sequence of blowing-ups with centers at the base point $Q$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and its infinitely near points such that the proper transform $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ has no base points. We denote by $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ the members of $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $M_{0}^{\prime}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $\varphi^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma+E+\Delta$ as before, where $\Gamma \cap \varphi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta \cap \varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We assume that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then $\Gamma$ is a linear chain and $m \mu^{\prime}-\mu=1$ by Lemma 4.1.

By the Euclidean algorithm with respect to $m d$ and $\mu^{\prime}$, we introduce the integers $c_{i}, \mu_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m d & =c_{1} \mu^{\prime}+\mu_{2}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{2}^{\prime}<\mu^{\prime} \\
\mu_{1}^{\prime} & =c_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}+\mu_{3}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{3}^{\prime}<\mu_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \cdots \cdots & & \\
\mu_{s-2}^{\prime} & =c_{s-1} \mu_{s-1}^{\prime}+\mu_{s}^{\prime}, & & 0<\mu_{s}^{\prime}<\mu_{s-1}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{s-1}^{\prime} & =c_{s} \mu_{s}^{\prime}, & & c_{s} \geqslant 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime}$. Let $\psi: \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$ be an oscillating sequence of blowingups with respect to the data ( $m d, \mu^{\prime}$ ) (cf. [12]). Then we have the following exceptional dual graph of $\psi^{-1}(Q)$. See also [10] for similar dual graphes and relevant explanations.


Case $s$ is odd


Case $s$ is even
Lemma 4.3. - The following assertions hold true:
(1) $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets the component $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in one point transversally and does not meet any other components of $\psi^{-1}(Q)$. In particular, $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$.
(2) The components located on the lower side of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, i.e., $E(1,1), \ldots$, $E(s, 1), \ldots, E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is odd and $E(1,1), \ldots, E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is even, are contained in the member $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to $M_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.
(3) $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ passes through the point $E\left(s, c_{s}\right) \cap E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd and the point $E\left(s, c_{s}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even.
(4) The components located on the upper side of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ are contained in the member $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$, where $\widehat{M_{0}^{\prime}}$ corresponds to $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.

Proof. - Let $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ be respectively the members of the proper transform $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ such that $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) contains $\psi^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ (resp. $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ ). Since every member of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is connected, $\widehat{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ contains a connected linear chain $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)$, which contains the lower half of the whole chain. We note that $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ meets $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in one point with multiplicity $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$ which is different from the points of $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ where $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ meets the other components $E(i, j)$ 's.

The member $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ contains some connected part of the linear chain $E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even). We claim that $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ contains all of this linear chain and hence the point $E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ (resp. $\left.E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)$ is the base point of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even). Suppose on the contrary that the rightmost component $E$ of $\widehat{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ is not $E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ (resp. $E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ )
if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even). Then, from the mid-stage of $\psi$ onward when $E$ was the last $(-1)$ curve, the general member $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ (or precisely, its proper transform) keeps meeting the component $E$. Namely, the process $\varphi$ is branched at this stage and should constitute of the blowing-ups with centers at the intersection point of $E$ and $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ and its infinitely near points. This implies that the component $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ in the corresponding member $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a singular point or meets two other components in a point. This is a contradiction. Hence our claim is ascertained. Furthermore, the point $Q_{1}=E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $Q_{1}=E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ if $s$ is even) is a base point of the pencil $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$.

Now the process $\varphi$ is a sequence of blowing-ups with centers $Q_{1}$ and its infinitely near points. Let $\psi_{1}=\psi^{-1} \cdot \varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$ be the necessary process of eliminating the base points of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Since $Q_{1} \neq \psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) \cap E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, it follows that $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$ because the proper transforms of $\psi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$ in $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ meet each other transversally. All other assertions of Lemma 4.3 follow from these observations.

Now let $\psi_{1}^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}$, where $E_{1}$ is the last ( -1 ) curve and $\Gamma_{1}$ (resp. $\Delta_{1}$ ) is contained in $\widetilde{M}_{0}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\widetilde{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Then

$$
\Delta_{1}+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)\right)
$$

is contracted to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber, and the dual graph of $\Delta_{1}$ (hence $\Gamma_{1}$ ) is therefore uniquely determined. In fact, the dual graph of $\Delta_{1}$ coincides with the dual graph $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)$ if $s$ is even $)$.

We shall determine the multiplicity of $\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)$ as a component of a degenerate $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber supported by $\Delta_{1}+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)+\cdots+E(1,1)\right)$. For this purpose, identify $\Delta_{1}$ with $F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)$ (resp. $\left.F_{\infty}+E(2,1)+\cdots+E\left(s, c_{s}-1\right)\right)$ if $s$ is odd (resp. if $s$ is even), and let $\mu(i, j)$ be the multiplicity of $E(i, j)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant c_{i}$, where $\mu(1,1)=1$ and the multiplicity of $F_{\infty}$ is 1 . Then we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mu(1, j) & =j, & \\
\mu(2, j) & =1+j \mu\left(1, c_{1}\right), & \\
\mu(3, j) & =\mu\left(1, c_{1}\right)+j \mu\left(2, c_{2}\right), & \\
& \cdots \cdots & \\
\mu(t, j) & =\mu\left(t-2, c_{t-2}\right)+j \mu\left(t-1, c_{t-1}\right), & \\
& \cdots \cdots & \\
& \cdots \cdots j \leqslant c_{1} \\
\mu(s, j) & =\mu\left(s-2, c_{s-2}\right)+j \mu\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right), & \\
& & 1 \leqslant j \leqslant c_{s} .
\end{array}
$$

Thence we have

$$
\frac{\mu\left(s, c_{s}\right)}{\mu\left(s-1, c_{s-1}\right)}=c_{s}+\frac{1}{c_{s-1}+\frac{1}{c_{s-2}+\frac{1}{\ddots \cdot+\frac{1}{c_{1}}}}}=\left[c_{s}, c_{s-1}, \ldots, c_{1}\right]
$$

while $m d / \mu^{\prime}=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right]$. Note that $\mu_{s}^{\prime}=1$ implies $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m d, \mu^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then it follows that $\mu\left(s, c_{s}\right)=m d$. Meanwhile, the multiplicity of $\varphi^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ (and hence the one of $\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(E\left(s, c_{s}\right)\right)$ ) is $m$. So, we conclude that $d=1$ and that the pair ( $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ ) is minimal. Hence we proved the following result.

Theorem 4.4. - Suppose that $m \mu^{\prime}>\mu$. Then the pair $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is minimal.

Continuing the previous arguments, we shall explain the elimination process $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ of the base points of the pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ in the case $m \mu^{\prime}=\mu$. Let $\varphi_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow V$ be the oscillating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q$ and data ( $m d, \mu^{\prime}$ ). With the observations before Lemma 4.3 taken into account, the proper transform $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{1}$ on the last exceptional curve $E_{1}:=E\left(s, c_{s}\right)$, which does not lie on any other components of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)$. Note that the following assertions hold:
(1) Every component of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)$ belongs to the member $M_{0}^{\prime}(1)$ of $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ which corresponds to the member $M_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$.
(2) Write $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)=\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}$, where $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Delta_{1}$ are the connected components of $\varphi_{1}^{-1}(Q)-E_{1}$ such that $\Gamma_{1} \cap \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta_{1} \cap \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ $=\emptyset$. Then $\varphi^{\prime}\left(G+S+F_{\infty}\right)+\Gamma_{1}$ contracts to a smooth point.
(3) The general member $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies

$$
i\left(E_{1}, \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}\right)=\operatorname{mult} Q_{1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{s}=m \mu_{s}^{\prime}
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: V_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{1}$ be a sequence of blowing-ups such that $\psi^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ has the dual graph

where the proper transform $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}:=\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ lying only on the last $(-1)$ curve $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and not on the other components, and where

$$
m \mu_{s}^{\prime}=i\left(E_{1}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)>\mu^{(2)}:=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We note that $m\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is the member of $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and hence passes through the point $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ with

$$
\mu_{s}^{\prime}=i\left(E_{1}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \mu^{\prime(2)}:=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Here $m \mu^{\prime(2)} \geqslant \mu^{(2)}$.
Suppose $\mu^{(2)}=m \mu^{(2)}$. The next process is similar to the sequence $\varphi_{1}$ above. We let $\varphi_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$ be the oscillating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ and data $\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime(2)}\right)$. Let $E_{2}$ be the last $(-1)$ curve of $\varphi_{2}$. Then the pencil $\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{2}$ on $E_{2}$ not lying on any other components of $\varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Write $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{2}+E_{2}+\Delta_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are the connected components of $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)-E_{2}$ such that $\Gamma_{2} \cap\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(E_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
(4) Then $\left(\psi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{1}^{\prime}\left(G+S+F_{\infty}\right)+\Gamma_{1}+E_{1}+\Delta_{1}\right)+\Gamma_{2}$ contracts to a smooth point.

After a possible sequence of blowing-ups $\psi_{2}: V_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2}$ like $\psi_{1}$ whose dual graph is a $(-2)$ sequence

the proper transform $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}:=\left(\varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ has a base point $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ lying only on the last $(-1)$ curve $E_{2}^{\prime}$ and not lying on the other components. Furthermore,

$$
i\left(E_{2}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{2}^{\prime}\right)>\mu^{(3)}=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

We note that $m\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is the member of $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ and passes through the point $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ with

$$
i\left(E_{2}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right) ; Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \mu^{\prime(3)}=\operatorname{mult}_{Q_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\left(\varphi_{1} \psi_{1} \varphi_{2} \psi_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $m \mu^{\prime(3)} \geqslant \mu^{(3)}$.
After this process repeated several times, we reach to the $t$-th stage where $m \mu^{\prime(t)}>\mu^{(t)}$. As in Lemma 4.1, it then follows that $m \mu^{\prime(t)}-\mu^{(t)}=1$.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.3 and the subsequent arguments, the oscillating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{t-1}^{\prime}$ and data ( $i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{T}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{(t)}$ ) eliminates the base points of the pencil $\Lambda_{t-1}^{\prime}$, where $\widehat{T}^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$. Hence $V_{t}=\widetilde{V}$. Let $E_{t}$ be the last ( -1 ) curve of $\varphi_{t}$ and write $\left(\psi_{t-1} \varphi_{t}\right)^{\prime}\left(Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{t}+E_{t}+\Delta_{t}$ as above, where $\Gamma_{t}$ is connected to the proper transform of $F_{\infty}$. Then we have:
(5) All the components lying on the left side of $E_{t}$, i.e., the connected component containing $\Gamma_{t}$ and the proper transform of $G+S+F_{\infty}$ contract to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber.
(6) $\Delta_{t}$ together with the proper transform of $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ contracts to a smooth $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fiber. In fact, the component of $\Delta_{t}$ where $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ meets is the proper transform of the $(-1)$ curve which appears as the last exceptional curve of the oscillating sequence of blowing-ups with center $Q_{t-1}^{\prime}$ and data $\left(i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{A}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime(t)}\right)$, where $\widehat{A}^{\prime}$ is the proper transform of $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ on $V_{t-1}^{\prime}$.
(7) The same argument as the one leading to Theorem 4.4 shows that $\left(i\left(E_{t-1}^{\prime}, \widehat{A}^{\prime} ; Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime(t)}\right)=m$.

We do not know if such a pencil $\Lambda^{\prime}$ exists as satisfying all the above conditions. But the following example shows that the dual graph of exceptional curves of $\varphi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ together with the proper transform of $G+S+F_{\infty}$ is realizable.

Example 4.5. - Let $m=7, d=76, \mu^{\prime}=31, \mu=m \mu^{\prime}, s=5, \mu_{s}^{\prime}=$ $7, t=1, \mu^{(1)}=27, \mu^{(1)}=4$. The dual graph is given as follows:


## 5. Étale endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes.

In [6], the generalized Jacobian conjecture for $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes is considered. It is shown that any étale endomorphism of a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane $X$ is an automorphism if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\bar{\kappa}(X)=2$ or 1 .
(2) $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$ and $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with at least two multiple fibers.

In this section, we rectify some of the arguments in [6]. We recall the following two lemmas (cf. [6, Lemma 6.1] and [6, 11, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 5.1. - Let $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ be an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration on a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane. Suppose that $\rho$ has at least two singular fibers. Let $g: \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant morphism. Then the image of $g$ is a fiber of $\rho$.

Lemma 5.2. - For $i=1,2$, let $\rho_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}$ be $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibrations on $\mathbb{Q}$-homology planes. Let $\phi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $\beta: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ be dominant morphisms such that $\rho_{2} \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho_{1}$. Let $m \Gamma$ be an irreducible fiber of $\rho_{2}$ lying over a point $p \in B_{2}$ with $m \geqslant 1$ and $\Gamma$ reduced, and let $q \in B_{1}$ be a point such that $\beta(q)=p$. Suppose $\rho_{1}^{*}(q)=\ell \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is reduced and irreducible and $\ell$ is its multiplicity. Suppose furthermore that $\phi$ is an étale morphism. If the ramification index of $\beta$ at $q$ is $e$ then $\ell e=m$. In particular, if $m=1$ then $\ell=e=1$.

Applying these lemmas, we shall show the following result.
Lemma 5.3. - Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ be an étale endomorphism. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $n \geqslant 2$, then there exists an endomorphism $\beta$ of $B$ such that $\rho \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho$.
(2) The above endomorphism $\beta$ is an automorphism provided $n \geqslant 3$ or $n=2$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$.

Proof. - The first assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1. So, we consider the second assertion. We employ the arguments in [9, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3]. Note that $\beta: B \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism because $B$ is the affine line. By Lemma 5.2, the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ is mapped to itself by $\beta$, where $p_{i}=\rho\left(A_{i}\right)$. Suppose, furthermore, that the points $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}$,
none of which belongs to $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$, are mapped to $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. Then, by Lemma 5.2 , the ramification index of $\beta$ at $q_{j}$, say $e_{j}$, is larger than 1 . In fact, if $\beta\left(q_{j}\right)=p_{i}$ then $e_{j}=m_{i}$.

Since $\beta$ induces an étale finite morphism

$$
\beta: B-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\right\} \longrightarrow B-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\},
$$

the comparison of the Euler numbers gives rise to an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(n+s)=d(1-n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{deg} \beta$. On the other hand, by summing up the ramification indices, we have an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s+n \leqslant d n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by combining (1) and (2) together, we have an inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(d-1)(n-1)=2 s \leqslant(d-1) n . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $d>1$. Then $n \leqslant 2$. Hence, if $n \geqslant 3$ then $d=1$ and $\beta$ is an automorphism. Suppose that $d>1$ and $n=2$. Then the equality occurs in (3), and hence the equality occurs in (2). Namely, the ramification index $e_{j}$ at $q_{j}$ is two for all $j$, and $s=d-1$. Since $d>1$ implies $s>0$, we may assume that $q_{1}$ is mapped to $p_{1}$. Then $m_{1}=2$. Suppose $d \geqslant 3$. Then $2 s=2(d-1)>d$. Hence one of the $q_{j}$ is mapped to $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$, say $p_{2}$. Hence $m_{2}=2$. In this case, after a suitable change of indices, one of the following two cases is possible:
(1) $s=s_{1}+s_{2}=d-1$, and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s_{1}}, p_{1}$ (or $p_{2}$ ) (resp. $q_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, q_{s}, p_{2}$ (or $p_{1}$ )) are mapped to $p_{1}$ (resp. $p_{2}$ ).
(2) $s=s_{1}+s_{2}, d=2 s_{1}=2 s_{2}+2$, and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s_{1}}\left(\right.$ resp. $q_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, q_{s}, p_{1}$, $p_{2}$ ) are mapped to $p_{1}$ (resp. $p_{2}$ ).

Finally, suppose that $d=n=2$ and $s=1$. Then we may assume that $\beta\left(q_{1}\right)=p_{1}$ and $\beta\left(p_{1}\right)=\beta\left(p_{2}\right)=p_{2}$. Then $m_{2}=2$ as well by Lemma 4.2. So, if $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$, then $d=1$ and $\beta$ is an automorphism.

As a consequence of Lemma 5.3, we can prove the following result, which rectifies Theorem 6.1 in [6].

Theorem 5.4.- Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-homology plane with an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$. Let $m_{1} A_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} A_{n}$ exhaust all multiple fibers of $\rho$. Suppose
that either $n \geqslant 3$ or $n=2$ and $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,2\}$. Then any étale endomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ is an automorphism.

Proof. - By Lemma 5.3, there exists an automorphism $\beta$ of $B$ such that $\rho \cdot \phi=\beta \cdot \rho$. Since $\beta$ is an automorphism, Lemma 5.2 implies that $\beta$ induces a permutation of the finite set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. By replacing $\beta$ by its suitable iteration $\beta^{r}$, we may assume that $\beta$ induces the identity on $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. Since $n \geqslant 2$ and $\beta$ ( or rather an induced automorphism of the smooth compactification $\bar{B}$ of $B$ ) fixes the point at infinity $p_{\infty}$. Hence $\beta$ is then the identity automorphism.

Let $K=k(B)$ be the function field of $B$ and let $X_{K}$ be the generic fiber of $\rho$. Then $X_{K}$ is isomorphic to the affine line over $K$, and $\phi$ induces an étale endomorphism $\phi_{K}$ of $X_{K}$. Since $\phi_{K}$ is then finite, $\phi_{K}$ is an automorphism. Hence $\phi$ is birational. Then Zariski's Main Theorem implies that $\phi$ is an open immersion. Note that $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=0$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=\mathbb{C}^{*}$. Suppose that $X \neq \phi(X)$. Then $X-\phi(X)$ has pure codimension one. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}=0$, there exists a regular function $h$ on $X$ such that the zero locus $(h)_{0}$ of $h$ is supported by $X-\phi(X)$. Then $\phi^{*}(h)$ is a non-constant invertible function on $X$, which contradicts the property $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{*}=\mathbb{C}^{*}$. So, $\phi$ is an automorphism.

In the case $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}=\{2,2\}, d=n=2$ and $s=1$, there exists the following counter-example to the generalized Jacobian conjecture.

Example 5.5. - Let $V_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $M_{0}$ be a cross-section and let $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ell_{\infty}$ be distinct three fibers with respect to the second projection $\pi_{2}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\varphi: V \rightarrow V_{0}$ be $q$ sequence of blowing-ups with centers at $\ell_{0} \cap M_{0}, \ell_{1} \cap M_{0}$ and their infinitely near points such that $\varphi^{*}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}^{\prime}+E_{1}+2 E_{2}+2 E_{3}$ and $\varphi^{*}\left(\ell_{1}\right)=\ell_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}+2 F_{2}+2 F_{3}$, where $\left(\ell_{0}^{\prime 2}\right)=\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)=\left(E_{i}^{2}\right)=\left(F_{i}^{2}\right)=-2$ for $i=1,2$ and $\left(E_{3}^{2}\right)=\left(F_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=-1$. Let

$$
X:=V-\left(\ell_{\infty}+M_{0}^{\prime}+\ell_{0}^{\prime}+\ell_{1}^{\prime}+E_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime}+E_{2}^{\prime}+F_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence $X$ has an $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ with two multiple fibers $2 E_{3} \cap X, 2 F_{3} \cap X$ of multiplicity 2 . Then $X$ has a degree two, non-finite étale endomorphism.

In fact, let $\sigma: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ be a degree two covering ramifying over the point at infinity $p_{\infty}$ and $p_{0}$, where $p_{0}=\rho\left(E_{3} \cap X\right)$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the normalization of $X \times_{B} B^{\prime}$, let $\tau: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the composite of the normalization morphism and the first projection $X \times_{B} B^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and let
$\widetilde{\rho}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ be the $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-fibration induced naturally by $\rho$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}^{*}\left(q_{0}\right)$ is a disjoint sum $G_{1}+G_{2}$ of two affine lines and $\tau: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a finite étale morphism, where $q_{0}$ is a point of $B^{\prime}$ lying over $p_{0}$. Then $\widetilde{X}-G_{1} \cong \widetilde{X}-G_{2} \cong X$, and $\left.\tau\right|_{\widetilde{X}-G_{1}}$ and $\left.\tau\right|_{\widetilde{X}-G_{2}}$ induce a non-finite étale endomorphism of $X$.
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