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# THE TRACE INEQUALITY AND EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES FOR SCHRODINGER OPERATORS 

by R. KERMAN ( ${ }^{1}$ ) and E. SAWYER ( ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

## 1. Introduction.

This paper deals with potential operators $T_{\Phi}$ given at Lebesgue measurable $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by a convolution integral

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y) f(y) d y
$$

provided this integral exists for almost all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. The kernels $\Phi(y)$ are radially decreasing (r.d.) functions; that is, they are nonnegative, locally integrable radial functions on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, which are nonincreasing in $|y|$. These $\mathrm{T}_{\Phi}$ include the Riesz potential operator $\mathrm{I}_{\alpha}$ whose kernel $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ is defined directly as

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}(y)=|y|^{\alpha-n}, \quad 0<\alpha<n
$$

and the Bessel potential operator $J_{\alpha}$ with kernel $G_{\alpha}$ defined in terms of its Fourier transform ' $\hat{G}_{\alpha}$ by

$$
\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\alpha}(\zeta)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mathrm{G}_{\alpha}(x) e^{-i \zeta \cdot x} d x=\left(1+|\zeta|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad 0<\alpha<n
$$

Given an r.d. kernel $\Phi$ and $1<p<\infty$, we wish to characterize the (possibly singular) positive Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ for which there exists $\mathrm{C}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} f\right)(x)^{p} d \mu(x) \leqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for all nonnegative measurable $f$. Clearly this will be true if and only if $\mathrm{T}_{\Phi}$ is a bounded linear operator between the Lebesgue spaces $\mathrm{L}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mu\right)$. An important special case, with $p=2$ and $\Phi=\mathrm{G}_{1}$, arises in estimating the spectrum of Schrödinger operators and will be considered in detail below. Another special case is treated in Stein [19], where it is shown that (1.1) holds for $\mathrm{J}_{\alpha}$ when $\mu=\mu_{k}, \alpha>\frac{n-k}{p}$, where

$$
\mu_{k}(\mathrm{E}) \equiv m_{k}\left(\mathrm{E} \cap \mathbf{R}^{k}\right)
$$

$m_{k}$ being $k$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^{k}$ considered as a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The inequality of [19] can be stated in the equivalent form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\alpha} f\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{p} d x_{1}, \ldots, & d x_{k} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{p} d x_{1}, \ldots, d x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is thus a statement about the restriction, or trace, of $\mathrm{J}_{\alpha} f$. For this reason we follow other authors in referring to (1.1) as «the trace inequality».

Generalizing results of Adams [1] and Maz'ya [14], K. Hansson in [12] has characterized the $\mu$ satisfying (1.1) in terms of capacities (see also B. Dahlberg [8]). He shows the trace inequality holds if and only if $K>0$ exists for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{E}) \leqslant \mathrm{K} \operatorname{cap}(\mathrm{E}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever E is a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Here cap (E) denotes the $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ capacity associated with the kernel $\Phi$,

$$
\operatorname{cap}(\mathrm{E})=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} d x: f \geqslant 0 \text { and } \mathrm{T}_{\Phi} f \geqslant 1 \text { on } \mathrm{E}\right\} .
$$

A criterion such as (1.2) can be difficult to verify for all compact sets E . On the other hand if one only requires (1.2) to hold for a class of simple sets such as all cubes $Q$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, the resulting condition is no longer sufficient (D. Adams [2]). For example, when $n=p=2, \mathrm{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ doesn't satisfy (1.1) with $\mu_{1}$, yet inequality (1.2) for cubes, which amounts to $\mu_{1}(\mathrm{Q}) \leqslant \mathrm{K}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, holds. In fact, with $f(x)=x_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|\ln x_{2}\right|^{-1} \chi\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathrm{I}_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad f \quad$ is infinite on
$\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0\right): 0 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and thus the left side of (1.1) is infinite while the right side is finite. Examples of this nature were first pointed out in [2].

Theorem 2.3 below gives a necessary and sufficient condition for (1.1) that involves testing an inequality over dyadic cubes $Q$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x \leqslant \mathrm{~K} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d \mu<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$, the constant $\mathrm{K}>0$ is independent of Q , and

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} f \mu\right)(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{|y| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y\right] \int_{\mathrm{Q}} f(y) d \mu(y) .
$$

Alternatively, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} \chi_{\mathrm{Q}} \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x \leqslant \mathrm{~K} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d \mu<\infty \text { for all dyadic cubes } \mathrm{Q} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compare (1.2) and (1.4), we note that (1.2) is equivalent by an elementary argument (see Theorem 4 in [2]) to testing the inequality in (1.4) over all compact sets $Q$. The reduction in (1.4) to testing over dyadic cubes Q is essential in obtaining sharp estimates for the higher eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators in §3. For a different characterization involving test functions see Stromberg and Wheeden [21].

In the special case where $T_{\Phi}=I_{\alpha}$, the equivalence of (1.1) and (1.3) can be established by dualizing inequality (1.1), using the "good $\lambda$ inequality » of B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden [15] in order to replace $I_{\alpha}$ by its associated maximal operator $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}$, and then using the characterization of the weighted inequality for $M_{\alpha}$ in [18]. The general case of the theorem is proved along similar lines, the crucial new estimate being an extension (Theorem 2.2) of the "good $\lambda$ inequality» in [15].

As an application of Theorem 2.3 we obtain a sharpened form of recent results of C. L. Fefferman and D. H. Phong on the distribution of eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators, $\mathbf{H}=-\Delta-v, \quad v \geqslant 0$ ([10]; Theorem 5, 6 and $6^{\prime}$ in Chapter II). Roughly speaking, their results show that for many $v \geqslant 0$, the negative eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H}=-\Delta-v$ are approximately given by $-|\mathrm{Q}|^{-\frac{2}{n}}$ as Q varies over the minimal dyadic
cubes satisfying $|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v \geqslant \mathrm{C}$. Theorem 3.3 below shows, as suggested by condition (1.3), that this picture extends to arbitrary $v \geqslant 0$ if the fractional average, $|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v$, is replaced by

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)(x)\right]^{2} d x=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)(x) v(x) d x
$$

the $v$-average over Q of the Newtonian potential of $\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v$. Certain of the results in [10] have been generalized by S. Y. A. Chang, J. M. Wilson and T. H. Wolff ([5]) and by S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden ([6]). This is discussed in more detail in § 3. Further applications of Theorem 2.3 have been announced in [13].

## 2. The trace inequality.

We begin by deriving the basic properties of r.d. kernels $\Phi$ and Borel measures $\mu$ for which the trace inequality holds. For the sake of completeness, we consider here and in $\S 3$ the more general trace inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} f\right)(x)^{q} d \mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative measurable $f$, where $1<p \leqslant q<\infty$. For $p<q$ and many r.d. kernels $\Phi$, the trace inequality (2.1) can be characterized in terms of very simple conditions - see e.g. [12]. However, many applications, such as that in the next section, require the case $p=q$.

Proposition 2.1. - If (2.1) holds for a non-trivial r.d. kernel $\Phi$ and a non-trivial Borel measure $\mu$, then (i) $\mu$ is locally finite, that is, $\int_{Q} d \mu<\infty$ for all cubes Q , and (ii) $\Phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|y| \geqslant r} \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}} d y<\infty \quad \text { for all } r>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $\Phi(2 \varepsilon)>0$. If $B$ is any ball of radius $\varepsilon$, and if $\gamma_{n}$ denotes the measure of the surface of the unit ball in
$\mathbf{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n} \varepsilon^{n} \Phi(2 \varepsilon)\left(\int_{\mathrm{B}} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leqslant\left[\int_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} \chi_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{q} d \mu\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leqslant\left[\gamma_{n} \varepsilon^{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi}\right\|_{0_{p}}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\int_{B} d \mu<\infty$ and this proves that $\mu$ is locally finite.
To obtain (2.2), fix $\mathrm{R}>0$ so that $\int_{\mathrm{B}} d \mu>0$ where B is the ball of radius R centred at the origin. Momentarily fix $\mathrm{S}>2 \mathrm{R}$ and let $f(x)=\Phi(x)^{p^{\prime}-1} \chi_{\{2 \mathrm{R} \leqslant|y| \leqslant \mathrm{S}\}}(x)$. For $\quad|x| \leqslant \mathrm{R}$, we have $\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} f(x)=\int_{2 \mathrm{R} \leqslant|y| \leqslant \mathrm{s}} \Phi(x-y) \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}-1} d y \geqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{2 \mathrm{R} \leqslant|y| \leqslant \mathrm{s}} \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}} d y$. Indeed, $\Phi(x-y) \geqslant \Phi(y)$ for all $y$ satisfying $|x-y| \leqslant|y|$ and this in turn holds provided $|x| \leqslant \mathrm{R},|y| \geqslant 2 \mathrm{R}$ and the distance between $\frac{x}{|x|}$ and $\frac{y}{|y|}$ is sufficiently small. With this estimate, (2.1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} \int_{2 \mathrm{R} \leqslant|y| \leqslant \mathrm{s}} \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}} d y\left(\int_{\mathrm{B}} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} & \leqslant\left[\int\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} f\right)^{q} d \mu\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\int_{2 \mathrm{R} \leqslant|y| \leqslant \mathrm{s}} \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}} d y\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\int_{|y| \geqslant 2 \mathrm{R}} \Phi(y)^{p^{\prime}} d y<\infty$ and this proves (2.2).
To obtain a criterion for (2.1) to hold, we look at the inequality dual to it. A standard argument shows this dual is, with the same $\mathrm{C}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} f \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}, q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$, and

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} f \mu\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

The behaviour of $T_{\Phi}$ in (2.3) is determined by that of the maximal operator $M_{\Phi}$ given at a positive Borel measure $v$ by

$$
\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right)(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{|y| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y\right] \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d v
$$

Note that the first factor on the right side is the average of $\Phi$ over the ball of radius $|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ centred at the origin. In the case when $\Phi$ is the kernel $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ for the Riesz potential operator, then $\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}$ is the usual fractional maximal operator $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}$ (see e.g. [3] or [15]).

Theorem 2.2. - Let $\Phi$ be an r.d. kernel and $v$ a positive locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then
(a)
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right)(x) \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{n} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$
where M denotes the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and the constant $\mathrm{C}_{n}>0$ depends only on the dimension $n$.
(b) There exists $\gamma>1$ and a positive constant $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ depending only on $n$ so that for all $\lambda>0$ and all $\beta \in(0,1]$,

$$
\left.\left\lvert\,\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v>\gamma \lambda \text { and } \mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v \leqslant \beta \gamma\right\}\left|\leqslant \mathrm{C}_{n} \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\right|\left\{M\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)>\lambda\right\}\right. \right\rvert\,
$$

Proof. - To a given cube Q in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ associate the cube $\mathrm{Q}^{*}$ having the same centre as Q but edges $7 \sqrt{n}$ times as long as those of Q .

To prove (a) fix $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and a cube Q containing $x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)(y) d y & \geqslant \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}} d y \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \Phi(y-z) d v(z) \\
& \geqslant \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d v(z) \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}} \Phi(y-z) d y \\
& \geqslant \int_{|y| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d v(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\{y ;|y-z| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \subset \mathrm{Q}^{*}\right.$, whenever $z \in \mathrm{Q}$. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x) \geqslant \frac{7^{-n} n^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{|y| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d v(y)
$$

and so

$$
\left.\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right)(x) \geqslant 7^{\frac{n}{2}} n^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x), x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

We now show (b). Given $\lambda>0$, let

$$
\Omega_{\lambda}=\left\{M\left(T_{\Phi} v\right)>\lambda\right\} .
$$

Decompose $\Omega_{\lambda}$ into disjoint Whitney cubes Q with $\mathrm{Q}^{*} \cap \Phi_{\lambda}^{c} \neq \varnothing$. See De Guzman [11]. Let $\left\{\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right\}$ be those Whitney cubes for which there is an $x_{k} \in \mathrm{Q}_{k}$ satisfying $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right)\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant \beta \lambda$. Fixing attention on such a $\mathrm{Q}_{k}$, which we'll denote simply by Q , we define $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ to be restrictions of the measure $v$; the first to $Q^{*}$, the second to $\mathbf{R}^{n}-Q^{*}$. We claim it is enough to obtain a dimensional constant $C_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v_{2} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{n} \lambda \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on Q . Suppose for the moment that (2.4) has been proved and take $\gamma>2 \mathrm{C}_{n}$. Then

$$
\left\{x \in \mathrm{Q} ;\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x)>\gamma \lambda\right\} \subset\left\{x \in \mathrm{Q} ;\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} \nu_{1}\right)(x)>\frac{\gamma \lambda}{2}\right\} .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \Phi(x-z) d x \leqslant \int_{|y| \leqslant\left.\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right| \mathrm{Q}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v_{1}\right)(x) d x= & \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d x \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}} \Phi(x-y) d v(y) \\
= & \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}} d v(y) \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \Phi(x-y) d x \leqslant \int_{|y| \leqslant 1 \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}} d v(y) \\
& \leqslant(7 \sqrt{n})^{n}|\mathrm{Q}|\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right)\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant(7 \sqrt{n})^{n} \beta \lambda|\mathrm{Q}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus with $\mathrm{C}=2(7 \sqrt{n})^{n}$,

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \mathrm{Q} ;\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v_{1}\right)(x)>\frac{\gamma \lambda}{2}\right\}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\gamma \lambda} \int_{\mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v_{1}\right)(x) d x>\mathrm{C} \frac{\beta}{\gamma}|\mathrm{Q}| .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid\left\{\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v>\gamma \lambda \text { and } \mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v \leqslant \beta \lambda\right\}\left|=\sum_{k}\right|\{x & \left.\in \mathrm{Q}_{k} ;\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x)>\gamma \lambda\right\} \mid \\
& \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{C} \beta}{\gamma} \sum_{k}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C} \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\left|\left\{\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)>\lambda\right\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (2.4) we'll require the fact that $\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}>0$ exists with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y) \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}}{r^{n}} \int_{|y-z| \leqslant r} \Phi(z) d z, 0<r \leqslant|y| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\Phi$ is nonincreasing, this would be true if it were known to hold whenever $\Phi$ is the characteristic function of a ball centred at the origin. For this it suffices to know that the set of $z$ in the ball $|y-z| \leqslant r$ satisfying $|z| \leqslant|y|$ occupies at least a fixed fraction of the ball. The change of variable $z=|y| v$, followed by the rotation that sends $\frac{y}{|y|}$ to $e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots 0)$, reduces the problem to the relative size of the intersection of the balls $|v| \leqslant 1$ and $\left|v-e_{1}\right| \leqslant s, 0<s<1$, to the size of the ball $\left|v-e_{1}\right| \leqslant s$ itself. But for these sets the result in clear.

If $x \in \mathrm{Q}$ (where Q denotes some fixed $\mathrm{Q}_{k}$ ) and $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}-\mathrm{Q}^{*}$, then $|x-y| \geqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Thus taking $r=|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ in (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T v_{2}\right)(x) & =\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}} \Phi(x-y) d v(y) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}}{r^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}} d v(y) \int_{|z| \leqslant r} \Phi(x-y-z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Making the substitution $v=x-z$, the last expression becomes

$$
\frac{\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}}{r^{n}} \int_{|x-v| \leqslant r}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v_{2}\right)(v) d v \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}}{r^{n}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{*}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right)(x) d x \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}}{r^{n}} \lambda\left|\mathrm{Q}^{*}\right|=\mathrm{C}_{n} \lambda
$$

with $\mathrm{C}_{n}=(7 \sqrt{n})^{n} \mathrm{C}_{n}^{\prime}$, since $\mathrm{Q}^{*}$ intersects $\mathbf{R}^{n}-\Omega_{\lambda}=\left\{\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\Phi} v\right) \leqslant \lambda\right\}$ by the Whitney condition. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3. - Suppose $\Phi$ is a nonnegative, locally integrable radially decreasing function satisfying (2.2). Then for $1<p \leqslant q<\infty$ and $\mu$ a positive locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. There exists $\mathbf{C}>0$ so that whenever $f$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$

$$
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} f\right)(x)^{q} d \mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{p} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

2. There exists $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}>0$ so that for all dyadic cubes Q

$$
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\Phi}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{\prime}[\mu(\mathrm{Q})]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}<\infty
$$

where $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}, \quad q^{\prime}=\frac{q}{q-1}$.
3. There exists $\mathrm{K}>0$ so that for all dyadic cubes Q

$$
\left[\int_{\mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} \chi_{\mathrm{Q}} \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant \mathrm{K}[\mu(\mathrm{Q})]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}<\infty
$$

Moreover, the least possible $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and K in the above are all within constant multiples of one another, the constants being independent of $\Phi$ and $\mu$.

Proof. - Let $\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}^{d y}$ denote the dyadic analogue of $\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}$ given by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}^{d y} v(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{Q} \text { dyadic }}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{|y| \leqslant|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y\right] \int_{\mathrm{Q}} d v
$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $v$ a locally finite positive measure. We claim that for all such $v$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|M_{\Phi}^{d y} v\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|M_{\Phi} v\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant C_{1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|T_{\Phi} v\right|^{p^{\prime}},  \tag{2.7}\\
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathrm{~T}_{\Phi} v\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} v\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}^{d y} v\right|^{p^{\prime}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where the constants $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ depend only on $n$ and $p(1<p<\infty)$. The first inequality in (2.7) is trivial and the second inequality follows from part (a) of Theorem 2.2 and the classical $\mathrm{L}^{p^{\prime}}$ inequality for M ([18]). The first inequality in (2.8) follows from part (b) of Theorem 2.2 as in [6]. Finally, to prove the second inequality in (2.8), we apply a standard covering argument to $\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\Phi} \nu>\lambda\right\}$ (where $\lambda>0$ ) to obtain the existence of cubes $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right)_{k}$ with disjoint triples satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right|} \int_{|y| \leqslant\left|\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y\right] \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{k}} d v>\lambda \text { for all } k \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\Phi} v>\lambda\right\}\right| \leqslant \mathbf{C} \sum_{k}\left|\mathbf{Q}_{k}\right| \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now each $Q_{k}$ is covered by at most $2^{n}$ dyadic cubes $\left(I_{k}^{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2^{n}}$ with
$2^{-n}\left|Q_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|I_{k}^{j}\right| \leqslant\left|Q_{k}\right|$. There is at least one of these dyadic cubes, say $\mathrm{I}_{k}=\mathrm{I}_{k}^{j}$, with $\int_{\mathrm{I}_{k}} d v \geqslant 2^{-n} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{k}} d v$. Then, since $\Phi$ is r.d. and $\left|I_{k}\right| \leqslant\left|Q_{k}\right|$,

$$
\left[\frac{1}{\left|I_{k}\right|} \int_{|y| \leqslant\left|I_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi(y) d y\right] \int_{I_{k}} d v>2^{-n} \lambda \quad \text { for all } k
$$

and so $\bigcup_{k} \mathrm{I}_{k} \subset\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\Phi}^{d y} v>2^{-n} \lambda\right\}$. Since the $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ 's are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\Phi} v>\lambda\right\}\right| & \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{k}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{k}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{k}\left|\mathrm{I}_{k}\right| \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\left\{\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}^{d y} v>2^{-n} \lambda\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and (2.8) follows upon multiplying this inequality by $\lambda^{p^{\prime-1}}$ and then integrating over $(0, \infty)$.

From (2.3), (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain that the trace inequality in 1 . holds if and only if there is $\mathrm{C}>0$, comparable to the one in (2.1), for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\Phi}^{d y} f \mu\right)(x)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f(x)^{q^{\prime}} d \mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}, \quad \text { for all } f . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A of [16] (with $\mathbf{M}_{\Phi}^{d y}$ in place of $\mathbf{M}_{\mu, \alpha}$, the proof is unchanged) shows that (2.9) holds if and only if there is $\mathrm{C}>0$, comparable to that in (2.9), for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\Phi}^{d y}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} d \mu\right)\right]^{p^{p^{\prime}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \mu(\mathrm{Q})^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}<\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all dyadic cubes Q . Theorem 2.3 now follows easily. The trace inequality 1 . implies its dual (2.3) which in turn implies 2 . upon taking $f=\chi_{\mathrm{Q}}$. Inequality 2 . implies 3 . by (2.7) and finally, $3 . \Rightarrow(2.10) \Rightarrow(2.9) \Rightarrow 1$.

## 3. Schrödinger operators.

In this section, Theorem 2.3 is used to refine the estimates for eigenvalues of a Schrödinger operator $\mathrm{H}=-\Delta-v$ given in Theorem 5, Chapter II, of [10]. By eigenvalues, we mean the numbers
$\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_{\mathrm{N}} \ldots$ where $\lambda_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the maximum over all $\mathrm{N}-1$ tuples $\Phi_{1}, \ldots \Phi_{\mathrm{N}-1}$ of the quantity $\inf \frac{\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle}{\langle u, u\rangle}$, the infimum being over all $u \in \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{H}),\left\langle u, \Phi_{j}\right\rangle=0, j=1, \ldots \mathrm{~N}-1$. Here $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{H})$ denotes the form domain of H (see [16]) and $\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-v|u|^{2}\right)$ for $u \in \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{H})$. Recall that $\mathrm{I}_{2} f(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|x-y|^{2-n} f(y) d y$ denotes the
Newtonian potential of $f$.

Theorem 3.1. - Let $\mathrm{H}=-\Delta-v$, where $v(x) \geqslant 0$ is locally integrable on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $n \geqslant 3$. Denote the $v$ measure of $\mathrm{Q}, \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v(x) d x$, by $|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}$. There are positive constants $\mathrm{C}, c$ depending only on the dimension $n$ such that the least eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of H satisfies $\mathrm{E}_{s m} \leqslant-\lambda_{1} \leqslant \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{big}}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{s m}=\sup \left\{|\mathrm{Q}|^{-2 / n} ;|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \geqslant \mathrm{C}\right\} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{big}}=\sup \left\{|\mathrm{Q}|^{-2 / n} ;|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \geqslant c\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.2. - Consider Example V in [10]: a particle in a rectangular box $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \times \mathrm{B}_{2} \times \cdots \mathrm{B}_{n}$ with side lengths $\delta_{1} \leqslant \delta_{2} \leqslant \cdots \delta_{n}$. Let $v=\chi_{\mathrm{B}}$ and let $x_{\mathrm{B}}$ denote the centre of B . Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\mathrm{Q}}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{v}\right|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v & \approx \mathrm{I}_{2} v\left(x_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \approx \delta_{1}^{2}+\delta_{1} \delta_{2}+\delta_{1} \delta_{2} \log \left(\delta_{3} / \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \approx \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \log \left(1+\delta_{3} / \delta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1 yields the correct order of magnitude for the energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {critical }}$, needed to trap a purticle in B, namely

$$
\left.\mathrm{E}_{\text {critical }}=\sup , 1 \cdot():-\Delta-\mathrm{E} v \geqslant 0\right\}=1 / \delta_{1} \delta_{2} \log \left(1+\delta_{3} / \delta_{2}\right) .
$$

A refinement of Theorems 6 and $6^{\prime}$ in Chapter II of [10], similar to the one above, is given in

Theorem 3.3. - Let $\mathrm{H}=-\Delta-v$ where $v(x) \geqslant 0$ is locally integrable on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $n \geqslant 3$. There are positive constants $\mathbf{C}, c$ depending only on the dimension $n$ such that:
(A) Suppose $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and let $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ be a collection of cubes of side length at most $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ whose doubles are pairwise disjoint. Suppose further that
$\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}\right) v \geqslant \mathrm{C}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N}$. Then H has at least N eigenvalues $\leqslant-\lambda$.
(B) Conversely, suppose $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and that H has at least CN eigenvalues $\leqslant-\lambda$. Then there is a collection of pairwise disjoint (dyadic) cubes $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ of side lengths at most $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ that satisfy $\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v \geqslant c, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N}$.

Roughly speaking, Theorem 3.3 says that the negative eigenvalues of $H$ are approximately given by $-|\mathrm{Q}|^{-2 / n}$ as Q ranges over the minimal dyadic cubes satisfying $|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \geqslant \mathrm{C}$.

In [10], results corresponding to Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 were obtained with the quantity $\mid Q_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v$ replaced by the simpler average $\mathrm{C}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v$ in part (A) of Theorem 3.3 and by $\mathrm{C}_{p} \left\lvert\, \mathrm{Q}^{\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.$ in part (B). A comparison of these quantities is made in Remark 3.5 at the end of this section. Chang, Wilson, and Wolff [5] show part (B) of Theorem 3.3 holds for $v$ if $\sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n^{-1}}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v(x) \Phi\left(|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}} v(x)\right) d x<\infty$, where $\Phi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[1, \infty]$ is increasing and $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x \Phi(x)}<\infty$. See also. Chanillo and Wheeden [6].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. - The Schwartz class $S$ is dense in $Q(H)$ and thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\lambda_{1} & =-\inf _{u \in \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{H})} \frac{\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle}{\langle u, u\rangle}=\sup _{u \in \mathrm{~S}} \frac{\int|u|^{2} v-\int|\nabla u|^{2}}{\int|u|^{2}} \\
& =\inf \left\{\alpha>0 ; \int|u|^{2} v \leqslant \int|\nabla u|^{2}+\alpha|u|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.=\int\left(|\xi|^{2}+\alpha\right)|\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi, u \in \mathrm{~S}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\alpha>0 ; \int\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha} f\right)^{2} v \leqslant \int f^{2}, f \geqslant 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{1}^{\alpha}$ is the operator with r.d. kernel $K_{1}^{\alpha}$ defined by $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}^{\alpha}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\left(|\xi|^{2}+\alpha\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $\mathrm{K}_{1}^{1}(x)=\mathrm{G}_{1}(x)$ and

$$
\mathrm{K}_{1}^{\alpha}(x)=\alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathrm{G}_{1}\left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right)
$$

If we let $C_{\alpha}$ denote the least constant such that

$$
\int\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha} f\right)^{2} v \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \int f^{2} \quad \text { for all } f \geqslant 0
$$

then $-\lambda_{1}=\inf \left\{\alpha ; \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \leqslant 1\right\}$. By Theorem 2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \approx \sup _{\mathrm{Q}} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int\left[I_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that the ratio of the left and right sides is bounded between two constants independent of $\alpha$ and $v$. We now show that, in fact, the supremum in (3.1) need only be taken over those cubes $Q$ with $|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. To this end, set $\mathbf{M}=\sup _{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{Q}}}^{|\mathrm{Q}|^{1 / n} \leqslant \alpha^{-1 / 2}} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int\left[I_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2}\right.$ and suppose Q is a cube with $|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{1}{n}}>\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Express Q as a union of congruent cubes, $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$, having pairwise disjoint interiors and common sidelengths, $\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, satisfying $\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, we claim

$$
\begin{align*}
\int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2} & =\sum_{i, j} \int \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{i} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{CM} \sum_{i}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{i}\right|_{v}=\mathrm{CM}|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}
\end{align*}
$$

The second inequality holds by definition of $M$ and since $\left|Q_{i}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. To prove the first inequality, we consider two cases. First, when $Q_{i}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ are adjacent, we simply use

$$
\int \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right]^{2}
$$

To treat the case when $\mathrm{Q}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ have a distance of roughly $k$
sidelengths between them, $k \geqslant 1$, we require the facts that $\mathrm{K}_{2}^{\alpha}(x) \approx|x|^{2-n}$ if $|x| \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}^{\alpha}(x) \leqslant \mathrm{C} \alpha^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}|x|}$ if $|x|>\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, for which see [4]. We then have

$$
\int \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)=\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)(x) v(x) d x \leqslant \mathrm{C} \alpha^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-k}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{i}\right|_{v}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}
$$

However, $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}}\right)(x) \geqslant \mathrm{C} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in \mathrm{Q}_{i}$ and so

$$
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{i}\right|_{v} \leqslant \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{C}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}}\right) v=\frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{C}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}}\right)(x) d x .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|\mathrm{Q}_{i}\right|_{v}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v} & \leqslant\left|\mathrm{Q}_{i}\right|_{v}^{2}+\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \alpha\left(\left[\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2}+\left[\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right]^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \alpha^{1-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2}+\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right]^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for a fixed cube $Q_{i}$, there are at most $C k^{n-1}$ cubes $Q_{j}$ at a distance of roughly $k$ sidelengths from $\mathrm{Q}_{i}$. Combining all of the above, we obtain

$$
\sum_{\substack{i, j \\ i \neq j}} \int \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n-1} e^{-k}\right] \sum_{i} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2}
$$

which yields the first inequality in (3.2). From (3.1) and (3.2), we have $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \approx \mathrm{M}$ and since $\int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2}=\int \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \approx \int \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v$ when $|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we finally have

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \approx \sup _{\substack{\mathrm{Q} \\|\mathrm{Q}|^{1 / n} \leqslant \alpha^{-1 / 2}}} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v
$$

and Theorem 3.1 follows readily.
Proof of Theorem 3.3, part (A). - As in [10], it suffices by elementary functional analysis to construct an $N$-dimensional subspace $\Omega \subset \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{H})$ so
that $\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle \leqslant-\lambda \int|u|^{2}$ for $u$ in $\Omega$. Our hypothesis implies

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v \geqslant \mathrm{C} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots \mathrm{~N} .
$$

Since $\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \leqslant\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2} v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by Holder's inequality, we actually have

$$
\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right]^{2} v \geqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N}
$$

This suggests we let $\Omega$ be the linear span of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\mathrm{N}}$ where $f_{j}=\Phi_{j} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)$ and $\Phi_{j}=1$ on $\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{Q}_{j}$ with supp $\Phi_{j}$ contained in $2 \mathrm{Q}_{j}$. Here the $\Phi_{j}$ are dilates and translates of a fixed $\Phi \in \mathrm{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. We have immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f_{j}^{2} v \geqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis, the supports of the $f_{j}$ are pairwise disjoint and so we need only establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-\Delta+\lambda) f_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant \int\left(f_{j}\right)^{2} v \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to conclude $\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle \leqslant-\lambda \int|u|^{2}$ for $u$ in $\Omega$, as required. To prove (3.4), we let $\mathrm{G}_{j}=2 \mathrm{Q}_{j}-\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{Q}_{j}$ and compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta+\lambda) f_{j} & =(-\Delta+\lambda)\left[\Phi_{j} \lambda_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right] \\
& =\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v+\chi_{\mathrm{G}_{j}}(-\Delta+\lambda)\left[\Phi_{j} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{A}_{j}+\mathrm{B}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $I_{2}^{\lambda}=(-\Delta+\lambda)^{-1}$. Now

$$
\left.\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v \leqslant \frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \int f_{j}^{2} v(\text { by } 4.3)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int f_{j}^{2} v
$$

provided C is chosen $\geqslant 2$. It remains to verify $\left\langle\mathrm{B}_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v$ for all $j$ since then (3.4) will follow from (3.3)
and the previous estimate provided $\mathrm{C} \geqslant 2 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathrm{B}_{j}\right| & \leqslant \chi_{\mathrm{G}_{j}}\left[\Phi_{j}\left|\Delta \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right|+2\left|\nabla \Phi_{j}\right|\left|\nabla \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right|\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\mathrm{D}_{j}+\mathrm{E}_{j}+\mathrm{F}_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the estimates $\left|\mathrm{D}^{s} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{\lambda}(x)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}|x|^{2-n-s}$, for $s \geqslant 0$ and $|x| \leqslant \mathrm{C} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see [4]) we obtain that on $\mathrm{G}_{j}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)(x) \leqslant\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v \\
& \left|\nabla \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)(x)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v \\
& \left|\Delta \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)(x)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

These inequalities, together with $\left|\Phi_{j}\right| \leqslant 1,{ }_{2}\left|\nabla \Phi_{j}\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$, $\left|\Delta \Phi_{j}\right| \leqslant C\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{-\frac{2}{n}}$ and the hypothesis $\lambda \leqslant\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{-\frac{2}{n}}$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{j}, \mathrm{E}_{j}, \mathrm{~F}_{j} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{-1}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{j}(x) \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v$ on $\mathrm{G}_{j}$, (3.5) and (3.6) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{B}_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)^{2} & \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left(\min _{x \in \mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right)\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

and this, combined with (3.7), shows that $\left\langle\mathrm{B}_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{\lambda}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v$ and
completes the proof of part $(\mathrm{A})$ of Theorem 3.3 .
Proof of Theorem 3.3, part (B). - We follow closely the argument of C. L. Fefferman and D. H. Phong in ([10]; proof of Theorem 6 in Chapter II), but with certain modifications designed to avoid the use of a square function. As in [10], it suffices to suppose $v$ bounded and to show that if $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are the minimal dyadic cubes satisfying
$\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) v \geqslant c$ and $\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\mathrm{H}=-\Delta-v$ has at most CN eigenvalues $\leqslant-\lambda$ (where the constant C is of course independent of the bound on $v$ ). As usual, this will be accomplished by exhibiting a subspace $\Omega \subset L^{2}$ of codimension $\leqslant C N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathrm{H} u, u\rangle \geqslant-\lambda \int|u|^{2} \quad \text { for all } u \text { in } \Omega \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider only the case $\lambda=0$, the case $\lambda>0$. requiring easy modifications. We begin by defining additional cubes $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{M}}$ as in [10]; i.e. let $B$ be the collection of all dyadic cubes $Q$ with $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \geqslant c$ and define the additional cubes $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{N}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{M}}$ to consist of (i) the maximal cubes in $B$, (ii) the branching cubes in $B$ and (iii) the descendents of branching cubes in $\mathbf{B}$. The descendents of a cube $Q$ in $B$ are those $Q^{\prime} \in B$ which are maximal with respect to the property of being properly contained in Q . A cube in B «branches» if it has at least two descendents. As shown in [10], $M \leqslant C N$. Still following [10] we define $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathbf{R}^{n}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{Q}_{j}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{j}=\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ minus its descendents for $j \geqslant 1$. In analogy with estimates (i) and (ii) of [10], we shall prove that the weights $v_{j}=\chi_{\mathrm{E}_{j}} v$ satisfy
(3.9) $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|_{v_{j}}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v_{j}\right) v_{j} \leqslant \mathrm{C} c \quad$ for all $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Q}$ dyadic cube.

In order to make use of (3.9) and the trace inequalities it implies we shall have to define the subspace $\Omega$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leqslant \mathrm{CI}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}|\nabla u|\right)(x) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \mathrm{E}_{j}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{M}, u \in \Omega . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if both (3.9) and (3.10) hold, then for $u \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|u|^{2} v & =\sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{M}} \int_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}|u|^{2} v_{j} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{M}} \int_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}|\nabla u|\right]^{2} v_{j} \quad\right. \text { by (3.10) } \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} c \sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{M}} \int_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}|\nabla u|^{2} \quad \text { by (3.9) and Theorem } 2.3 \\
& \leqslant \int|\nabla u|^{2} \quad \text { if } c \text { small enough, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is (3.8) for $\lambda=0$. Thus it remains to construct $\Omega$ of codimension $\leqslant C N$ such that (3.10) holds. In the case $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{E}_{j}$ is a cube and (3.10) holds whenever $\int_{\mathrm{E}_{j}} u=0$ by the following inequality of E. Fabes, C. Kenig and R. Serapioni ([9]; Lemma 1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u(x)-\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Q}|} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} u\right| \leqslant \mathrm{CI}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}}|\nabla u|\right)(x) \quad \text { for } x \in \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q} \text { a cube. } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case when $E_{j}$ is not a cube we will need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4. - Suppose $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{k}$ are pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of a dyadic cube Q in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then there are (not necessarily dyadic or disjoint) cubes $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{I}_{m}$ such that $\mathrm{Q}-\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \mathrm{Q}_{j}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{I}_{i}$ and $m \leqslant \mathrm{C} k$ where C is a constant depending only on the dimension $n$. The above holds also for $\mathrm{Q}=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ if we allow the cubes $\mathrm{I}_{i}$ to be infinite, i.e. of the form $\mathrm{J}_{1} \times \mathrm{J}_{2} \times \cdots \mathrm{J}_{n}$ where each $\mathrm{J}_{i}$ is a semi-infinite interval.

This lemma has been obtained independently by S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden [6], with a proof much simpler than that appearing in a previous version of this paper. As a result, we refer the reader to [6] for a proof of the lemma.

We can now define the subspace $\Omega$. For each $j$ with $j=0$ or $\mathrm{N}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{M}$, apply Lemma 3.4 with $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{k}$ the descendents of $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ (for $j=0$, take $\mathrm{Q}=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{k}$ to be the maximal cubes in B), to obtain cubes $I_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, I_{m_{j}}^{(j)}$ with $E_{j}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m_{j}} I_{i}^{(j)}$ and $m_{j} \leqslant \mathbf{C}\left(\#\right.$ of descendents of $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{j}\right)$. Note that $\mathrm{E}_{j}=\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathbf{N}$. Now define

$$
\Omega=\left\{u ; \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} u=0 \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{~N} \text { and } \int_{\mathrm{I}_{i}^{(j)}} u=0 .\right.
$$

If $x \in \mathrm{E}_{j}, \quad \mathbf{N}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathbf{M}$ or $j=0$, then $x \in$ some $\mathbf{I}_{i}^{(j)}$ and thus for $u \in \Omega,|u(x)| \leqslant \mathrm{CI}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{I}_{i}^{(j)}}|\nabla u|\right)(x) \leqslant \mathrm{CI}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{E}_{j}}|\nabla u|\right)(x)$ by (3.11). Thus (3.10) holds. Finally, the codimension of $\Omega$ is at most

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}+\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
\mathrm{~N}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant M}} m_{j} & \leqslant \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{C} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\
\mathrm{~N}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant \mathrm{M}}}\left(\text { \# of descendents of } \mathrm{Q}_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{M}+1) \leqslant \mathrm{CM}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains now to establish (3.9). We begin with the case $j \neq 0$ of (3.9), and follow the corresponding argument in [10]. Since $\operatorname{supp} v_{j} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{j}$, we need only check (3.9) for dyadic cubes $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{B}$ with $\mathrm{Q} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}$ and in fact, only for proper dyadic subcubes of $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ (since if $\mathrm{Q}=\bigcup_{i=1} \mathrm{Q}_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) & =\int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i, j} \int \mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}} v\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \left.=\mathrm{C}_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}} v\right) v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As in [10], the only «non-trivial» case occurs when $\mathrm{Q}_{j} \in \mathrm{~B}$ is neither minimal nor branching and Q contains $\mathrm{Q}^{\# j}$, the unique maximal $\mathrm{Q}_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathrm{M}$, that is properly contained in $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ (see the argument on p. 157-158 of [10]). To obtain (3.9) in this case we use a Whitney decomposition in place of the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition used in [10]. There is a dimensional constant C so large that we can choose pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes $\hat{Q}_{\alpha}$ of $Q-Q^{\#}\left(=E_{j} \cap Q\right)$ such that each $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}$ satisfies
(3.12) either $\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{a}\right|=\left|\mathrm{Q}_{j}^{\#}\right|$ and dist $\left(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{Q}_{j}^{\#}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C}$

$$
\text { or } 2 \leqslant \frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{Q}_{j}^{\#}\right)}{\operatorname{diam} \hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \mathrm{C}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v_{j}\right) v_{j}=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{Q_{\beta}} v\right) v \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{\left\{\alpha, \beta ; Q_{\alpha} \text { touches }{Q_{\beta}}\right\}} \int I_{1}\left(\chi_{Q_{\alpha}} v\right) \mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{\hat{Q}_{\beta}} v\right) \\
& +\mathrm{C} \sum_{\substack{\left\{\alpha, \beta ;\left|\hat{Q}_{\beta}\right| \leqslant\left|\hat{Q}_{\alpha}\right| \\
\text { and } \hat{Q}_{\alpha}, \hat{Q}_{\beta} \text { do not touch }\right\}}} \int_{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\widehat{Q}_{\beta}}\right) v=\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now (3.12) shows that the number of $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}$ touching a given $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}$ doesn't
exceed a dimensional constant and so

$$
\mathrm{D} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{\alpha} \int\left[\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\chi_{Q_{\alpha}} v\right)\right]^{2}=\mathrm{C} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\widehat{Q}_{\alpha}} v\right) v \leqslant \mathrm{C} c \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}} v_{j}=\mathrm{C} c \int_{\mathrm{Q}^{2}} v_{j}
$$

since the $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}$ are not in B. Condition (3.12) also shows that if $\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}\right| \leqslant\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}\right|$ and $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}, \hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}$ do not touch, then dist $\left(\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}, \hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}\right) \geqslant c\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Thus

$$
\mathrm{E} \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}} v\right)\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \sum_{\beta ;\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\beta}\right| \leqslant\left|\hat{Q}_{\alpha}\right|}\left[\int_{\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}} v\right]
$$

But $\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{\beta}} v \leqslant \frac{1}{\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}\right|_{v}} \int_{\mathrm{Q}_{\beta}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}_{\beta}} v\right) v \leqslant c$ since $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta} \notin \mathrm{B}$ and, by (3.12), the number of $\hat{Q}_{\beta}$ of a given size does not exceed a dimensional constant. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E} & \leqslant \mathrm{C} c \sum_{\alpha}\left(\int_{Q} v\right)\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\alpha}\right|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \sum_{\left\{k ; 2^{k n} \leqslant\left|\hat{Q}_{\alpha}\right|\right\}}\left[\sum_{\left|\hat{Q}_{\beta}\right|=2^{k n}}\left|\hat{\mathrm{Q}}_{\beta}\right|^{1-\frac{2}{n}}\right] \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C} c \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}} v=\mathrm{C} c \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v_{j} \quad(\text { since } n \geqslant 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

and this completes the verification of (3.9) for $j \neq 0$. For $j=0$, we again suppose $Q$ dyadic in $B$. If $Q \subset$ some $Q_{1}, \ldots Q_{M}$, then $\operatorname{supp} v_{0} \cap \mathrm{Q}=\varnothing$ and (3.9) holds trivially. Otherwise, Q contains a unique maximal $\mathrm{Q}_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathrm{M})$, say $\mathrm{Q}^{\#}$, and we may argue as above to obtain (3.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.5. - In [10] it is shown that $\sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v \leqslant \mathrm{C}$ is necessary and $\sup _{\mathrm{Q}} \left\lvert\, \mathrm{Q}^{\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}\right., p>1$, sufficient for the $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ trace inequality (1.1) with $T_{\Phi}=I_{1}$. We give here a direct proof that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (3.20) } \sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v \leqslant \mathrm{C} \sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|_{v}^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v \\
& \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p} \sup _{\mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad p>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality in (3.20) follows from the observation that $\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)(x) \geqslant \mathrm{C}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{2}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v$ for $x$ in a cube Q .

Let $\mathrm{B}_{p}=\sup _{\mathrm{Q}} \left\lvert\, \mathrm{Q}^{\frac{2}{n^{-}}-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right.$. Suppose first that $v$ satisfies the $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$ condition of B. Muckenhoupt. Choose $p$ so close to 1 that the reverse Hölder condition $\left(|\mathrm{Q}|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}|\mathrm{Q}|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v$ holds for all cubes Q. Let $M_{\alpha} f(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{Q}}|\mathrm{Q}|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}}|f|$. Since $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) \leqslant \mathrm{B}_{p}$ on Q ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right) v & \leqslant\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{Q}} v\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p}(\text { see }[15]) \\
& \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p} \mathrm{~B}_{p}|\mathrm{Q}|^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p} \mathrm{~B}_{p} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v .
\end{align*}
$$

For the general case, we use the observations in [10] that $v^{+}(x)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{Q}}\left(|\mathrm{Q}|^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{Q}} v^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ satisfies the $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$ condition and $\mathrm{M}_{2} v^{+} \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p} \mathbf{B}_{p}$ ([10]; p. 153). The above argument then yields (3.21) with $v^{+}$in place $v$. Since $v \leqslant v^{+}$, (3.20) follows. This is of course obvious from Theorem 2.3, but can also be proved directly. Finally, we point out that the condition $\mathbf{M}_{2 p}\left(v^{p}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}$ is equivalent to the boundedness of $\mathrm{M}_{p}$ from $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(v^{p}\right)$ ([17]). Together with the inequality $\left|\mathrm{I}_{1} f(x)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p} \mathrm{M}_{p}|f|(x)^{1 / p} \mathrm{M} f(x)^{1 / p^{\prime}}$ of D . R. Adams, this yields another proof that $\mathrm{M}_{2 p}\left(v^{p}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C}_{p}$ is sufficient for the $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ trace inequality (1.1) with $\mathrm{T}_{\Phi}=\mathrm{I}_{1}$. J. M. Wilson has recently communicated to us yet another proof.
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