ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES TOULOUSE Mathématiques

ANDREAS FISCHER, MURRAY MARSHALL Extending piecewise polynomial functions in two variables

Tome XXII, nº 2 (2013), p. 253-268.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2013_6_22_2_253_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2013, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (http://afst.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://afst.cedram. org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

Extending piecewise polynomial functions in two variables

ANDREAS FISCHER⁽¹⁾, MURRAY MARSHALL⁽²⁾

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions le prolongement des fonctions polynômes par morceaux définies sur des sous-ensembles fermés de \mathbb{R}^2 à tout \mathbb{R}^2 . Les sous-ensembles compacts de \mathbb{R}^2 sur lesquels chaque fonction polynôme par morceaux est prolongeable à \mathbb{R}^2 peuvent être caractérisés en termes de quasi-convexité locale si ils sont définissables dans une expansion o-minimale de \mathbb{R} . Même les sous-ensembles non compacts fermés définissables peuvent être caractérisés si les germes de fonctions semialgébriques à l'infini sont denses dans le corps de Hardy des germes définissables. Nous présentons également une fonction polynôme par morceaux définie sur un sous-ensemble compact, convexe, mais indéfinissable de \mathbb{R}^2 , et qui n'est pas prolongeable à \mathbb{R}^2 .

Research partially supported by the NSERC discovery grant of the second author.

Article proposé par Mark Spivakovsky.

ABSTRACT. — We study the extensibility of piecewise polynomial functions defined on closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 to all of \mathbb{R}^2 . The compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 on which every piecewise polynomial function is extensible to \mathbb{R}^2 can be characterized in terms of local quasi-convexity if they are definable in an o-minimal expansion of \mathbb{R} . Even the noncompact closed definable subsets can be characterized if semialgebraic function germs at infinity are dense in the Hardy field of definable germs. We also present a piecewise polynomial function defined on a compact, convex, but undefinable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 which is not extensible to \mathbb{R}^2 .

 $^{^{(*)}\,}$ Reçu le 15/02/2012, accepté le 17/05/2012

⁽¹⁾ Fields Institute, Toronto, Canada, current: Comenius Gymnasium Datteln, Südring150, 45711 Datteln, Germany

el.fischerandreas@live.de

⁽²⁾ University of Saskatchewan, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E6, Canada marshall@math.usask.ca

1. Introduction

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A piecewise polynomial function is a continuous function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ for which there exist finitely many polynomials p_1, \ldots, p_k in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that for every $a \in A$, $f(a) = p_i(a)$ for some *i*. In short, we say that *f* is a *pwp* function. We assume the reader is familiar with basic semialgebraic geometry, as covered in [1, Chapitre 2] for example. For clarity we note the following:

LEMMA 1.1. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semialgebraic set. Then every pwp function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is semialgebraic.

Proof. — Let $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be the polynomials appearing in the description of $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$. If k = 1 the result is trivial, so we assume $k \ge 2$. By induction on k the restriction of f to $\{p_i = p_j\} \cap A$ is semialgebraic, for each $1 \le i < j \le k$, so f restricted to the set

$$X = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le k} \{ p_i = p_j \} \cap A$$

is semialgebraic. The semialgebraic set $A \setminus X$ has just finitely many connected components U_1, \ldots, U_m and, for each $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, U_ℓ is semialgebraic [1, Théorème 2.4.5]. Also U_ℓ is the disjoint union of the relatively closed sets $\{f = p_i\} \cap U_\ell$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus $f = p_i$ on U_ℓ for some unique i, so f restricted to U_ℓ is semialgebraic. Since $U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_m \cup X = A$, we are done. \Box

It follows from Lemma 1.1 that if A is semialgebraic then the definition of pwp function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ coincides with the standard definition [2, 10, 11] which a priori requires each set $\{f = p_i\}$ to be semialgebraic. The definition we have adopted, however, allows us to study pwp functions not just on semialgebraic sets but also on larger classes of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Here, we are mainly interested in subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 that are definable in an o-minimal expansion \mathcal{M} of the real field, as in this case we always obtain extensibility for compact convex definable sets, while this is not true anymore without the definability assumption. Here and in the following, *definable* always means *definable in* \mathcal{M} with parameters of \mathbb{R} . See [5] for an introduction to o-minimal structures.

Our studies are closely related to the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture, which asserts that every pwp function on \mathbb{R}^n is \sup/\inf definable from polynomials. Actually, if f is \sup/\inf definable on A, then the extensibility of f as pwp function is trivial.

A proof of the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture for functions in two variables was sketched by Mahé in [11]; see [12] for a more detailed demonstration. Extending piecewise polynomial functions in two variables

The Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture is still an open question for pwp functions of three and more variables. Note that pwp functions can be studied over real closed fields and ordered fields; see, for example, [2, 8, 10, 13, 15]. However, our discussions require at least an Archimedean real closed field, which can always be imbedded into \mathbb{R} . Here we only treat the field of real numbers.

Mahé presented a semialgebraic pwp function of two variables that cannot be extended to \mathbb{R}^2 as pwp function, in [11, Remarks]. This example motivates the following question:

What are the subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 for which every pwp function is extensible?

We give an answer to this question for sets that are definable in an ominimal expansion of the real field. The definable compact sets of \mathbb{R}^2 for which the answer to the above question is affirmative are precisely those that are *locally quasi-convex*. Let us make this notion precise.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n , for n > 0. For $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r > 0 we denote by $B_r(a)$ the open ball with radius r and center a.

DEFINITION 1.2. — A set A is said to be locally quasi-convex at a point $a \in A$ if there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and an L > 0 such that all points $\xi, \eta \in B_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A$ can be joined by a rectifiable path γ in $B_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A$ of length less than or equal to $L \| \xi - \eta \|$. We will say A is locally quasi-convex if A is locally quasi-convex at each $a \in A$.

For L = 1 we obtain the locally convex sets, so every locally convex set is locally quasi-convex. The first main result of the present paper gives a complete characterization of the compact definable subsets on which every pwp functions is extensible. We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact definable set. Then every pwp function on A can be extended to a pwp function on \mathbb{R}^2 if and only if A is locally quasi-convex.

We do not know whether there exists any undefinable, compact, locally quasi-convex set A for which every pwp function on A can still be extended to \mathbb{R}^2 . However, we will present a pwp function defined on an undefinable compact, convex subset of \mathbb{R}^2 that is not extensible; see Example 3.9.

To obtain extensibility for non-compact definable closed sets we have to restrict ourselves to a small class of polynomially bounded o-minimal structures, that is, every unary definable germ at infinity is ultimately bounded by some polynomial. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the Hardy field of semialgebraic and definable unary function germs at $+\infty$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$ Andreas Fischer, Murray Marshall

be endowed with the topology induced by its ordering. Then the characterization of o-minimal structures for which every pwp function on a locally quasi-convex closed definable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 is extensible reads as follows.

THEOREM 1.4. — Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ be dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be closed and definable. Then every pwp function on A can be extended to a pwp function on \mathbb{R}^2 if and only if A is locally quasi-convex.

Examples of such o-minimal structures are the structure \mathbb{R}_{an} of restricted analytic functions, cf. [4], and the structures generated in [14]; see [7, Proposition 2.3]. Theorem 1.4 is sharp in the sense that if $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ is not dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$, there exists always a *pwp* function defined on a locally quasi-convex closed definable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 which is not extensible; see section 4.3.

In section 2 we note that pwp functions on \mathbb{R}^2 are locally Lipschitz continuous. In section 3 we study properties of definable, locally quasi-convex sets, and we prove the "only if" direction of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4. In section 4 we prove the "if" direction of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

2. Local Lipschitz continuity

Before we give some examples of pwp functions, we note that sums, products and compositions of pwp functions are again pwp functions. Of course, polynomials are pwp functions, but also the absolute value function is pwp. Moreover, the infimum and the supremum of two pwp functions are also pwp.

We recall the definition of a *locally Lipschitz continuous* function.

DEFINITION 2.1 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is called locally Lipschitz continuous if for every $a \in A$ there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and an L > 0such that

$$||f(x) - f(y)|| \le L||x - y||$$

for all $x, y \in B_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A$.

An analytic property of pwp functions is the fact that they are locally Lipschitz continuous. We state this in the following proposition, which is a version of [3, Theorem 0.1(c)]. Let ∇ denote the gradient operator.

PROPOSITION 2.2. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex. Then every pwp function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.

Proof.—Let $x \in A$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let f be defined by the polynomials p_1, \ldots, p_r . Then,

$$M := \sup\{\|\nabla p_i(y)\| : y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x), i = 1, \dots, r\}$$

is a real number. Moreover, for every $y, z \in B_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap A$, the function f is piecewise differentiable along the segment connecting y and z. Hence, by the Mean Value Theorem,

$$\|f(z) - f(y)\| \leq M\|y - z\|$$

3. Locally quasi-convex sets

We fix an o-minimal expansion \mathcal{M} of the real field. *Definable* always means *definable in* \mathcal{M} with parameters from \mathbb{R} .

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by \overline{A} , A^o , and ∂A , the closure, interior and boundary of A, respectively.

3.1. Stratification

Definable sets can be partitioned into finitely many sets of a suitable form. One says that such partition is a stratification, and calls the sets strata, if for any two sets C and D of the partition, we have that $C \subset \overline{D}$ or $D \subset \overline{C}$ or $C \cap \overline{D} = \emptyset$ or $\overline{C} \cap D = \emptyset$. Here we are only interested in stratification of boundaries of definable subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . Lipschitz cells; these are sets, that are either a single point or, after some suitable rotation, the graph $\Gamma(h)$ of a definable Lipschitz continuous \mathcal{C}^1 function $h: I \to \mathbb{R}$ where I is an open interval; see [6] or [9].

If, furthermore, for given definable sets $A_1, \ldots, A_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we have that for each Lipschitz cell C and each $1 \leq i \leq k$ either $C \subset A_i$ or $C \cap A_i = \emptyset$, we say that the stratification is compatible with the sets A_1, \ldots, A_k . Altogether we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([6] THEOREM 1.4 OR [9]). — Let $A, A_1, \ldots, A_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be definable sets, and let $f : \partial A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a definable function. Then there is a stratification of ∂A into Lipschitz cells S_1, \ldots, S_m which is compatible with the sets A_1, \ldots, A_k such that f restricted to S_j is a C^1 function for $j = 1, \ldots, m$.

3.2. Angles

Let a be a boundary point of a definable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. If a is an isolated point of A there is, by definition, just one *outside angle* at a (which is equal to 2π) and no *inside angle*. If a is not an isolated point of A then the germ of the boundary of A at a is a union of finitely many definable half-branches at a, say $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m, m \ge 1$ listed in counterclockwise order. Set $\Gamma_{m+1} = \Gamma_1$. For $1 \le i \le m$ the angle between Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} is defined to be the angle between the corresponding half-tangents at a, measured counterclockwise. The germ at a of the open region between Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} is either entirely outside of A or entirely inside of A. In the former case the angle is called an *outside angle* at a; in the latter case it is called an *inside angle* at a.

3.3. Locally quasi-convex definable sets

The local quasi-convexity of a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 can be graphically described as follows.

At each boundary point of a closed definable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 there are finitely many well defined outside angles. For example, the set $A = \{(x, y) : x \ge 0, |y| = x^2\}$ has two outside angles at (0, 0), one is equal to 0 the other is 2π . But this set is not locally quasi-convex at the origin. This is easily seen by the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. — A closed definable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is locally quasi-convex at $a \in \partial A$ if and only if each outside angle at a is strictly positive.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $a \in \partial A$ and assume that there is a vanishing outside angle at a. The dimension of ∂A is at most 1 so that the boundary at ais locally given by the union of the graphs of continuous function germs of one independent variable. After some rotation and translation, we may describe the part of the boundary at a = (0,0) which causes the vanishing angle by two continuous definable function germs $f, g : [0, \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(0) = g(0). The half-tangents of f and g at 0 have the same slope, so

$$f(x) - g(x) \text{ is } o(x) \text{ as } x \searrow 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By choosing δ small enough, we may assume that f(x) > g(x) for $0 < x < \delta$, that both functions f and g are continuously differentiable in $(0, \delta)$, and that

$$A \cap \{ (x, y) : 0 < x < \delta, g(x) < y < f(x) \} = \emptyset.$$

Assume now that A is locally quasi-convex at 0 with constant L > 0. Take the points $\xi := (x, f(x))$ and $\eta := (x, g(x))$, where $0 < x < \delta/2$ is small enough. Then

$$\|\xi - \eta\| = f(x) - g(x).$$

Any path γ of minimal length in A connecting ξ and η passes through a. Hence

$$\operatorname{length}(\gamma) \ge \|\xi - a\| + \|a - \eta\| \ge 2x.$$

Thus

$$2x \leq \operatorname{length}(\gamma) \leq L \|\xi - \eta\| = L(f(x) - g(x)),$$

which contradicts (3.1).

(\Leftarrow) Let $a \in \partial A$. Assume the outside angles at a are strictly positive. Fix a constant $L \ge 1$ so large that $L > \csc(\frac{\theta}{2})$ for each outside angle θ satisfying $\theta \le \pi$. Then, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently close to zero, $\xi, \eta \in B_{\epsilon}(a) \cap A$ can be joined by a rectifiable path γ in $B_{\epsilon}(a) \cap A$ with length $(\gamma) \le L \|\xi - \eta\|$. It is easy to construct γ : If the whole line segment joining ξ and η belongs to A, take γ to be this line segment. Otherwise, take ξ' (resp., η') to be the point on the line segment joining ξ and η intersected with ∂A closest to ξ (resp., η) and take γ to be the line segment joining ξ and ξ' followed by the arc of ∂A joining ξ' and a followed by the arc of ∂A joining a and η' followed by the line segment joining η' and η . It remains to verify that length $(\gamma) \le L \|\xi - \eta\|$, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. It suffices to consider the case where some part of the line segment joining ξ and $\eta' = \eta$. In this case the result follows by applying the following variant of the triangle inequality. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.3. — If a, b and c are sides of a triangle, then $\frac{a+b}{c} \leq \csc(\frac{\theta}{2})$, where θ denotes the angle opposite the side c.

Remark 3.4. — The standard triangle inequality asserts that $1 \leq \frac{a+b}{c}$.

Proof. — We have

$$\frac{c^2}{(a+b)^2} = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos\theta}{(a+b)^2} = 1 - \frac{2ab}{(a+b)^2}(1+\cos\theta)$$
$$\geqslant 1 - \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} = \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} = \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Here we are using the law of cosines $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos\theta$, the half-angle formula $\frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} = \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})$, and the standard inequality $\sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$ relating the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean. \Box

The union of locally quasi-convex sets is not necessarily quasi-convex.

Andreas Fischer, Murray Marshall

Example 3.5. — Both the graph of the standard parabola and the x-axis in \mathbb{R}^2 are locally quasi-convex sets, but their union is not quasi-convex at the origin, as one of the outside angles at the origin vanishes.

Lemma 3.2 implies that finite intersections of locally quasi-convex definable subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 are again locally quasi-convex. This is false without the sets being definable in some o-minimal structure expanding \mathbb{R} .

Example 3.6. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the union of the sets $[0,1] \times \{0\}, \{0\} \times [0,1]$ and the line segments connecting the points $(2^{-n},0)$ and $(0,2^{-n})$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty$. So A is compact and connected. Moreover, this set is locally quasi-convex. However, the intersection of A and the diagonal $\{x = y\}$ is the set $\{(2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n-1}); n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(0,0)\}$, which is not locally quasi-convex at (0,0).

For $n \ge 3$, the intersection of locally quasi-convex definable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is not necessarily again locally quasi-convex (though, this does hold, for all $n \ge 1$, if *locally quasi-convex* is replaced by *locally convex*.)

Example 3.7. — Let A be the solution of $z^4 = x^2 + y^2$, $z \ge 0$, then A is locally quasi-convex at every point, in particular at the origin. But the intersection of A with the zx-plane is the graph of $z = \sqrt{|x|}$ which is not locally quasi-convex at the origin, because one outside angle vanishes.

For two continuous function $f, g: U \to \mathbb{R}$ with f < g on U we set

$$(f,g)_U := \{(x,y) : x \in U, f(x) < y < g(x)\}$$

Local quasi-convexity is a necessary condition to obtain extensibility.

PROPOSITION 3.8. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed definable set that is not locally quasi-convex at some point $a \in A$. Then there exists a pwp function F on A that is not extensible to \mathbb{R}^2 as a pwp function.

Proof. — We may assume that a = (0, 0). The point a is obviously a non-isolated boundary point. Since A is not locally quasi-convex at a, there is a vanishing outside angle at a. Therefore, we may assume that after some rotation a part of ∂A is given by the graphs of two definable continuous functions $f, g: [0, \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(0) = g(0) = 0,$$

$$g(x) - f(x) \text{ is } o(x) \text{ for } x \searrow 0,$$

$$f < g \text{ on } (0, \delta),$$

$$(f, g)_{(0,\delta)} \cap A = \emptyset.$$

-260 –

Define $F : A \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows. For $(x, y) \in A$ such that $x \leq 0$ or $x \geq \delta$, or $0 < x < \delta$ and $y \leq f(x)$, let F(x, y) = 0. For $0 < x < \delta$ and $y \geq g(x)$, let $F(x, y) := \min(x, \delta - x)$. Then F is a pwp function on A. For $0 \leq x \leq \delta/2$,

$$|F(x,g(x)) - F(x,f(x))| = x$$

while

$$||(x, g(x)) - (x, f(x))||$$
 is $o(x)$ as $x \searrow 0$.

Hence F is not locally Lipschitz continuous at a. Therefore Proposition 2.2 implies that F is not extensible to \mathbb{R}^2 as a *pwp* function. \Box

3.4. A counterexample

It is easy to construct a *pwp* function on a locally quasi-convex closed set with infinitely many connected components that is not extensible. Such a set is never definable in any o-minimal structure and is also not compact. Take for example $A = \mathbb{N} \times \{0\}$ and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$, with f(x, 0) = 1 if x is odd, and f(x, 0) = 0 if x is even.

One can also define a *pwp* function on the compact set A of Example 3.6 which is not extensible. Let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows: f(x, y) = 0 if (x, y) belongs to $[0, 1] \times \{0\}, \{0\} \times [0, 1]$ or the line segments connecting the points $(2^{-n}, 0)$ and $(0, 2^{-n})$ for odd n, and let f(x, y) = xy otherwise. However, the set A has no well-defined outside angles at the origin.

The question arises whether the restriction to o-minimal sets in Theorem 1.3 is necessary or not.

It seems that the o-minimality is almost necessary to obtain extensibility of pwp functions. Note that every convex set is locally quasi-convex with constant L = 1. Next we present an example of a pwp function defined on an undefinable, compact, convex set that is not extensible.

Example 3.9. — The function $h: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x^6 \sin(x^{-1}) + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is strictly increasing and convex. Thus, the set

$$A = \{(x, y); 0 \le x \le 1, h(x) \le y \le h(1)\}$$

is a compact convex set, and even all outside angles are well defined. Define the *pwp* function f on A as follows: For $(x, y) \in A$ with

$$\frac{1}{4k\pi + 2\pi} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{4k\pi + \pi} \text{ for some integer } k \ge 0 \text{ and } y \leqslant x^6 + x^5 + x^4,$$

let

$$f(x,y) = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 - y.$$

Otherwise, let f(x, y) = 0. Hence f is a pwp function on A.

Let us assume now that there is a pwp function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with F = fon A. Then F is semialgebraic. Consider the function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(x) := F\left(x, x^5 + x^4\right)$$

Then g vanishes for

$$x = \left(4k\pi + \frac{7}{2}\pi\right)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and g is positive for

$$x = \left(4k\pi + \frac{3}{2}\pi\right)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So the function g cannot be semialgebraic, which contradicts the assumption.

Remark 3.10. — The function f in the previous example is actually Lipschitz continuous; however, the domain is not definable in any o-minimal structure. We do not know whether Lipschitz continuity of a pwp function on a compact definable set implies extensibility, and leave this question as an open problem.

4. Proof of the Theorems

4.1. Preliminary Lemma

We agree to the following notation. For two continuous function $f,g:U\to \mathbb{R}$ with f< g on U we set

$$[f,g]_U := \{(x,y); x \in U, \ f(x) \le y \le g(x)\}$$

$$(f)_U := \{(x,y); x \in U, \ y = f(x)\}.$$

We prepare for the proof of Theorem 1.3 by proving the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. — Let a < b and let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be a polynomial such that

$$p(a,0) = 0$$
 and $p(b,0) = 0$.

Then for every semi-linear open neighbourhood V of $(a, b) \times \{0\}$ there is a pup-function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

Extending piecewise polynomial functions in two variables

- 1. f vanishes outside of V
- 2. $f = p \text{ on } [a, b] \times \{0\}.$

Proof. — Let $q(x) = p(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. The set V is semi-linear. Hence there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$V' := \{(x, y); x \in (a, b), |y| < \varepsilon \min\{x - a, b - x\}\}$$

is contained in V. We define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x,y) := \begin{cases} q(x) \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{\varepsilon \min\{x - a, \ b - x\}} \right) & \text{if } (x,y) \in V', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Step 1: The function f is continuous. The factor

$$1 - \frac{|y|}{\varepsilon \min\{x - a, \ b - x\}}$$

is bounded on V' and vanishes if $|y| = \varepsilon \min \{x - a, b - x\}$ and $x \in (a, b)$. The polynomial q vanishes at a and b. Hence f is continuous.

Step 2: f is a pwp function.

Since q vanishes at a and b, x - a and x - b divide q in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. The absolute value function is pwp, so f is pwp. \Box

4.2. The compact case

Let A be a compact definable locally quasi-convex set. Let S be a onedimensional stratum of a stratification (compatible with $\overline{A^o}$) of ∂A into Lipschitz cells. As explained in Section 3.1, we can assume, after making a suitable rotation, that $S = (h)_I$, where $h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is definable Lipschitz continuous function, and where I is an open interval. Then S can be a onesided or a two-sided boundary piece. Hence, there is a definable continuous function $\varepsilon : I \to (0, \infty)$ and, because of local quasi-convexity, a continuous semilinear function $\delta : I \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\delta(x) \to 0$ as $x \searrow a$ and as $x \nearrow b$, where I = (a, b), such that exactly one of the following cases holds:

(i) $(h, h + \varepsilon)_I \subset A$ and $(h - \delta, h)_I \cap A = \emptyset$,

(ii)
$$(h - \varepsilon, h)_I \subset A$$
 and $(h, h + \delta)_I \cap A = \emptyset$,

(iii) $(h - \delta, h + \delta)_I \cap A = S.$

Andreas Fischer, Murray Marshall

Since δ is a continuous strictly positive semilinear function with bounded domain, there are continuous semilinear functions $h^{\pm}: I \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h - \delta < h^- < h < h^+ < h + \delta$$

on *I*. This implies that $(h^+)_I$ and $(h^-)_I$ are semilinear sets, $A \cap U = S$ and $A \cup U$ is a compact definable locally quasi-convex set, where $U = [h^-, h]_I$ in case (i), resp., $U = [h, h^+]_I$ in case (ii), resp., $U = [h^-, h^+]_I$ in case (iii). We refer to the set *U* obtained in this way as a semilinarization of *A* at *S*.

We complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 by proving the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.2. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a locally quasi-convex compact definable set, and let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a pwp function. Then there is a pwp function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that F = f on A.

Proof. — Let S_1, \ldots, S_r be a stratification of ∂A into Lipschitz cells such that $f|_{S_i}$ is the restriction of some polynomial p_i to S_i for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. We may assume that $\dim(S_i) = 1$ if and only if $i = 1, \ldots, s$ (some $s \leq$ r). We extend f inductively to a pwp function on a compact semilinear neighbourhood B of A as follows: Take $B = A \cup U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_s$ where U_i is a semilinearization of $A \cup U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_{i-1}$ at S_i , $i = 1, \ldots, s$, and extend f to B by setting $f = p_i$ on $U_i \setminus A$. Take a stratification T_1, \ldots, T_t of ∂B into Lipschitz cells such that $f|_{T_i}$ is the restriction of some polynomial to T_i for each *i*. The sets T_i are semilinear. Let C be the union of those T_i with $\dim(T_i) = 0$. Then C is a finite set. Let p be a polynomial that equals f on C. Then g = f - p is a pwp function on B, and g restricted to each 1-dimensional T_i is the restriction of some polynomial q_i that vanishes on $\overline{T_i} \setminus T_i$. By applying Lemma 4.1 to the function q_i and the set $\overline{T_i}$ in place of p and $[a, b] \times \{0\}$, we obtain for every open semilinear neighbourhood V_i of T_i a pwp function $g_i: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that g_i vanishes outside of V_i and $q_i = q_i$ on $\overline{T_i}$ for each 1-dimensional T_i . Choosing the V_i small enough we can assume that $\overline{V_i} \cap \overline{V_i} \setminus B = \emptyset$ for all 1-dimensional T_i and T_i , $i \neq j$. Define the compact semilinear neighbourhood D of B to be the union of Band the sets $\overline{V}_i \setminus B$, T_i 1-dimensional, and define $G: D \to \mathbb{R}$ by G = g on B and $G = q_i$ on $\overline{V}_i \setminus B$. Thus G coincides with g on B and vanishes on ∂D . Thus G extends to a pwp function on \mathbb{R}^2 by setting G = 0 outside of D. Finally, take F = G + p.

4.3. The non-compact case

If the Hardy field $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ is not dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$, then we cannot expect extensibility of *pwp* functions defined on all closed, definable, locally quasiconvex sets. Indeed, the extensibility would imply that disjoint definable Extending piecewise polynomial functions in two variables

function germs at $+\infty$ can be separated by a semialgebraic function germ at $+\infty$; i.e., that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$.

We now assume that the Hardy field of semialgebraic germs at $+\infty$ lies dense in the Hardy field of definable germs at $+\infty$. We establish some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 4.3. — Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an unbounded semialgebraic arc, and let p be a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$. Then, for every open semialgebraic neighbourhood U of Γ , there exists an M > 0 and a pwp function f such that

$$f(x,y) = p(x,y) \text{ on } \Gamma \setminus B_M(0,0),$$

and f vanishes outside of U.

Proof. — After some rotation we may assume that Γ is the graph of a continuous semialgebraic function $h : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. We may further assume that p(x, h(x)) > 0 for sufficiently large x, as the case p(x, h(x)) = 0 is trivial. Select a positive semialgebraic continuous function δ such that for sufficiently large b,

$$[h-\delta, h+\delta]_{[b,\infty)} \subset U,$$

and p(x,y) > 0 for all $(x,y) \in [h - \delta, h + \delta]_{[b,\infty)}$. The graph of $h + \delta$ is a semialgebraic set of dimension 1. By the definition of a semialgebraic function, there exists a nonzero polynomial q in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ such that

$$q(x, h(x) + \delta(x)) = 0;$$

and, restricting to x sufficiently large, we may even take q to be irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$. After modifying δ suitably (e.g., replacing δ by δ/n for suitable $n \ge 1$), we can assume that $q(x, h(x)) \ne 0$ for sufficiently large x. Scaling q by a suitable non-zero real, we can assume that

$$q(x, h(x)) > 0$$

for sufficiently large x. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let q_n be the polynomial

$$q_n(x,y) := (x^2 + y^2)^n q(x,y).$$

Then there is an N such that

$$q_N(x, h(x)) > p(x, h(x))$$
 (4.1)

for sufficiently large x, say $x \ge M/2$, for some M, which we may take to be greater or equal to $2\sqrt{3}$. Let $\rho_M : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$\rho_M(x,y) := \inf \left(1, \sup \left(0, x^2 + y^2 - M^2 \right) \right).$$
(4.2)

$$-265$$
 -

Then ρ_M is a *pwp* function that vanishes on $B_M(0,0)$ and that equals 1 outside of $B_{M+1}(0,0)$. On the set $[h, h+\delta]_{[M/2,\infty)}$ we define the *pwp* function f as

$$f := \rho_{M/2} \inf(q_N, p)$$

The function f vanishes on the graph of $h + \delta$, and f coincides with p on $\Gamma \setminus B_M(0,0)$ because of inequality (4.1). Similarly we extend p to the set $[h-\delta,h)_{[M/2,\infty)}$. Hence there is a *pwp* function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ which coincides with p on the arc $\Gamma \setminus B_M(0,0)$. \Box

The dimension of the boundary of a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^2 is at most 1. So we obtain a stronger version of the previous lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed semialgebraic set, and let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a pwp function. Then there is an M > 0 and a pwp function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that F = f on $A \setminus B_M(0, 0)$.

Proof. — We choose $M \ge 2/\sqrt{3}$ so big that $\partial A \setminus B_M(0,0)$ consists of a disjoint union of closed (semialgebraic) arcs at infinity. For each of these arcs we find open pairwise disjoint semialgebraic neighbourhoods, so that Lemma 4.3 implies the existence of a pwp function $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{F} = f$ on $\partial A \setminus B_{M/2}(0)$, after some further increasing of M. Define $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ as g := f on A and $g := \tilde{F}$ outside of A, and let $F := \rho_{M/2}g$ where ρ_M is the function defined by (4.2). \Box

We also need a version of Lemma 4.4 for definable sets A.

LEMMA 4.5. — Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$ be dense. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed definable set, and let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a pwp function. Then there is an M > 0 and a pwp function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that g = f on $A \setminus B_M(0, 0)$.

Proof. — The dimension of the boundary of a definable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 is at most 1. Let A_1, \ldots, A_r denote the one-dimensional Lipschitz cells of $\partial A \setminus B_M(0,0)$ for M > 0. Choose M so large that each A_i is unbounded, and such that $f = p_i$ on A_i for some polynomial p_i . We construct pairwise disjoint closed semialgebraic neighbourhoods V_i of A_i . Fix $1 \leq i \leq r$. In some linear orthogonal coordinate system (depending on i), we may write

$$A_i = (h_i)_{(a_i,\infty)}$$

for some definable Lipschitz continuous function $h_i : (a_i, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon_i : (a_i, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\varepsilon_i(x) = \frac{1}{3} \text{dist}\left((x, h_i(x)), \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j\right).$$

- 266 -

(Here, for a point p and a set S in \mathbb{R}^2 , dist(p, S) denotes the distance from p to S, i.e., dist $(p, S) := \inf\{\|p-q\| : q \in S\}$.) Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$ is dense, there are continuous semialgebraic functions $\varphi_i, \psi_i : (c_i, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, c_i > a_i$ with

 $h_i - \varepsilon_i < \varphi_i < h_i < \psi_i < h_i + \varepsilon_i$

on (c_i, ∞) . Consider the closed semialgebraic set

$$V_i := \overline{(\varphi_i, \psi_i)_{(c_i, \infty)}}.$$

The sets V_1, \ldots, V_r (in the original coordinate system) are closed, semialgebraic and pairwise disjoint. Choose M so big that

$$\partial A \setminus B_M(0,0) \subset \bigcup_i V_i.$$

Then the set

$$B := (\bigcup_i V_i \cup A) \setminus B_M(0,0)$$

is a closed semialgebraic neighbourhood of $A \setminus B_M(0,0)$. Define $g: B \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in A \\ p_i(x), & \text{if } x \in V_i \setminus A \end{cases}$$

This function is a semialgebraic pwp function such that g = f on $A \setminus B_M(0,0)$. The result follows now from Lemma 4.4. \Box

Theorem 1.4 is now implied by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.6. — Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M})$ be dense. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed definable locally quasi-convex set. Then every pwp function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ extends to a pwp function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. — Let M be so big, that Lemma 4.5 provides us with a pwp function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with g = f on $A \setminus B_M(0,0)$. The set $A \cap B_{2M}(0,0)$ is locally quasi-convex. Hence, by Proposition 4.2, there is a pwp function $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that G = f on $A \cap B_{2M}(0,0)$. Take a pwp function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1]$ that equals 1 outside of $B_{2M}(0,0)$ and vanishes in $B_M(0,0)$. Set

$$F := (1 - \rho)G + \rho g.$$

Bibliography

- BOCHNAK (J.), COSTE (M.), ROY (M.-F.). Géométrie algébrique réelle, Ergeb. Math. 12, Springer (1987). Real algebraic geometry, Ergeb. Math. 36, Springer (1998).
- [2] DELZELL (C.N.). On the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture over ordered fields. Quadratic forms and real algebraic geometry (Corvallis, OR, 1986). Rocky Mountain J. Math. 19, no. 3, p. 651-668 (1989).
- [3] DELZELL (C.N.). Continuous, piecewise-polynomial functions which solve Hilbert's 17th problem. J. Reine Angew. Math. 440, p. 157-173 (1993).
- [4] DENEF (J.), VAN DEN DRIES (L.). p-adic and real subanalytic sets. Ann. of Math.
 (2) 128, no. 1, p. 79-138 (1988).
- [5] VAN DEN DRIES (L.), Miller (C.). Geometric categories and o-minimal structures. Duke Math. J. 84, no. 2, p. 497-540 (1996).
- [6] FISCHER (A.). O-minimal Λ^m-regular Stratification. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 147, no. 1-2, p. 101-112 (2007).
- [7] FISCHER (A.). O-minimal analytic separation of sets in dimension 2. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 157, (2009) no. 2-3, 130-138.
- [8] HENRIKSEN (M.), Isbell (J.R.). Lattice-ordered rings and function rings. Pacific J. Math. 12, p. 533-565 (1962).
- KURDYKA (K.). On a subanalytic stratification satisfying a Whitney-Property with exponent 1. Proceeding Conference Real Algebraic Geometry - Rennes 1991, Springer LNM 1524, p. 316-322 (1992).
- [10] MADDEN (J.J.). Pierce-Birkhoff rings. Arch. Math. (Basel) 53, no. 6, p. 565-570 (1989).
- [11] MAHÉ (L.). On the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture. Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry (Boulder, Colo., 1983). Rocky Mountain J. Math. 14, no. 4, p. 983-985 (1984).
- [12] MAHÉ (L.). On the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture in three variables. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 211, no. 2, p. 459-470 (2007).
- [13] MARSHALL (M.). The Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture for curves. Canad. J. Math. 44, no. 6, p. 1262-1271 (1992).
- [14] ROLIN (J.-P.), SPEISSEGGER (P.), WILKIE (A.J.). Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, no. 4, p. 751-777 (2003).
- [15] SCHWARTZ (N.). Piecewise polynomial functions. Ordered algebraic structures (Gainesville, FL, 1991), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, p. 169-202 (1993).