# STANDARD FACTORS OF STURMIAN WORDS**** 

Gwénaël Richomme ${ }^{1,2}$, Kalle SaAri ${ }^{3}$<br>and Luca Q. Zamboni ${ }^{4,5}$


#### Abstract

Among the various ways to construct a characteristic Sturmian word, one of the most used consists in defining an infinite sequence of prefixes that are standard. Nevertheless in any characteristic word $c$, some standard words occur that are not prefixes of $c$. We characterize all standard words occurring in any characteristic word (and so in any Sturmian word) using firstly morphisms, then standard prefixes and finally palindromes.
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## 1. Introduction

Morse and Hedlund [25] begun the study of Sturmian words in 1940 to help develop the theory of symbolic dynamical systems they initiated a couple of years earlier [24]. Since then, and especially during the last two decades, Sturmian words have been under intensive scrutiny, as indicated by the surveys [4,5,7]. A reason of

[^0]this passion comes from the various connections Sturmian words have with other domains like for instance number theory [1,2], discrete geometry [8,20], crystallography [10] or scheduling [3].

Another reason is the richness of combinatorial properties of Sturmian words (see previous references) which concerns various aspects including, e.g., palindromes, repetitions, unbordered or Lyndon words (see for instance [6,9,13,14,19, $22,23,26,27]$ ). The problem considered in the current paper continues a theme of characterizing those factors of a Sturmian word that belong to some interesting class of finite words.

We consider standard words. These finite words can be used to define in a constructive way some Sturmian words (see Sect. 2 for more details) building arbitrarily long prefixes of so-called characteristic Sturmian words. Among these infinite words, the well-known Fibonacci word is maybe the one with a maximal number of extremal properties [11]. In his Ph.D. thesis [28], the second author observed that the Fibonacci word contains some factors that are standard but not prefixes of it, and he asked for a characterization of the set of standard words occurring as factors in the Fibonacci word.

Sections 3, 4 and 5 solve this problem in a much more general way since they provide, for any Sturmian word, characterizations of its factors that are standard. Section 3 uses existing links between standard words and Sturmian morphisms. The proof is rather technical but the result allows to derive in a simple way a characterization using standard words occurring in the construction of characteristic words mentioned above (Sect. 4). Previous characterizations are illustrated using the Fibonacci word as an example. This word is also used in Section 5 to show how to relate standard factors of a Sturmian word to palindromes. This needs a characterization based on directive sequences of standard words.

## 2. Standard and Sturmian words

We will follow the usual notation and terminology of combinatorics on words. For further information about the concepts and results mentioned in this section, we refer the reader to $[12,21]$.

A word is a sequence, finite or infinite, of symbols drawn from a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$; in this paper we set $\mathcal{A}=\{0,1\}$. The empty word is denoted by $\varepsilon$. The set of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$; the set of all nonempty words over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{+}$. A finite word $u$ is a factor of a word $w$ if we can write $w=x u y$. The length of a word $u$ is denoted by $|u|$, and the number of occurrences of a letter $a$ in $u$ is denoted by $|u|_{a}$. For an integer $k \geq 2$, a $k$ th power is a word of the form $u^{k}$, that is, a word obtained concatenating $k$ occurrences of a given word $u$ (we also denote $u^{1}=u$ and $u^{0}=\varepsilon$ ).

A Sturmian word is an infinite word with precisely $n+1$ factors for each length $n$. Equivalently [21], Theorem 2.1.13 and Proposition 2.1.18 a Sturmian word is an infinite word whose factors coincide with those of a characteristic word, where a characteristic word is an infinite word $c_{\alpha}$, depending on an irrational $\alpha$ with
$0<\alpha<1$, such that

$$
c_{\alpha}(n)=\lfloor\alpha(n+1)\rfloor-\lfloor\alpha n\rfloor
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. When proving a property of the factors of a Sturmian word, it often suffices to prove it for a characteristic word.

A finite word $s$ is called a standard word if there exist integers $n \geq-1, d_{1} \geq 0$, $d_{2}, d_{3}, \ldots, d_{n} \geq 1$, and words $s_{-1}, s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ with $s=s_{n}$ such that

$$
s_{-1}=1, \quad s_{0}=0, \quad s_{k}=s_{k-1}^{d_{k}} s_{k-2} \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

Also, if $n \geq 1$, then $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is called the directive sequence of the word $s_{n}$. Observe that the letter 1 has a directive sequence ( 0 ), but the letter 0 does not have any. Therefore we agree that the directive sequence of 0 is the empty sequence.

Two basic properties of standard words are that (1) they are primitive (that is, not a power of a shorter word) and (2) all standard words of length at least 2 have a suffix in $\{01,10\}$.

In this paper, we are interested in factors of an infinite word that are standard words; we call such a factor briefly a standard factor.

Let us denote the simple continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$ by

$$
\alpha=\left[0 ; d_{1}+1, d_{2}, d_{3}, \ldots\right] .
$$

The sequence $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, \ldots\right)$ is called the directive sequence of $c_{\alpha}$. This terminology is justified by the fact that $c_{\alpha}$ can be obtained as a limit of those standard words whose directive sequences are prefixes of the one of $c_{\alpha}$, that is,

$$
c_{\alpha}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n} .
$$

Many properties of Sturmian words can be dealt with using morphisms. Let us recall that a mapping $h: \mathcal{A}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*}$ is called a morphism if it satisfies $h(u v)=$ $h(u) h(v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$. Observe that a morphism is uniquely determined by how it maps letters.

The well-known Fibonacci word $\mathbf{f}$ is the characteristic word $c_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=(3-$ $\sqrt{5}) / 2$, so that it has directive sequence $(1,1,1, \ldots)$. It is also the unique fixed point of the morphism

$$
\varphi:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \mapsto & 01 \\
1 & \mapsto & 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we define the morphisms

$$
L_{0}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \mapsto & 0 \\
1 & \mapsto & 01
\end{array} \quad L_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \mapsto & 10 \\
1 & \mapsto & 1 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The following lemma is well-known; it has a straightforward proof in the spirit of the proof of [7], Proposition 2.3.11, which we omit here.

Lemma 2.1. A word $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ is standard if and only if there exists a morphism $f$ in the monoid generated by $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ such that either $w=f(0)$ or $w=f(1)$.

In addition to $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$, we shall need a few other morphisms. For all integers $m \geq 1$, we define the morphisms

$$
\theta_{m}:\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
0 & \mapsto & 0^{m-1} 1 \\
1 & \mapsto & 0^{m-1} 10
\end{array}\right.
$$

We also define the morphism $E: 0 \mapsto 1,1 \mapsto 0$, and denote (with $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, \ldots\right)$ the directive sequence of $c_{\alpha}$ )

$$
h_{n}=\theta_{d_{1}+1} \circ \theta_{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ \theta_{d_{n}} \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

Morphisms $h_{n}$ are handy because we have $h_{n}(0)=s_{n}$ and $h_{n}(1)=s_{n} s_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see the proof of [7], Prop. 2.2.24). Furthermore, they satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2 k} & =L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}, \text { and }  \tag{2.1}\\
h_{2 k+1} & =L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}} \circ L_{1} \circ E . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

This follows from the two identities $\theta_{m}=L_{0}^{m-1} \circ E \circ L_{0}$ and $E \circ L_{0}=L_{1} \circ E$.
For a finite word $x$, the shortest palindrome that has $x$ as a prefix is denoted by $x^{(+)}$; this is called the (right) palindromic closure of $x$ due to de Luca [15]. We let Pal denote the operation of iterated palindromic closure defined by

$$
\operatorname{Pal}\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Pal}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Pal}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}\right) a_{n}\right)^{(+)}
$$

where $a_{i}$ is a letter.
The characteristic word $c_{\alpha}$ can be also represented as follows. Let us denote $0^{d_{1}} 1^{d_{2}} 0^{d_{3}} \ldots=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \ldots$, where $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. Then

$$
c_{\alpha}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pal}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) .
$$

The sequence $0^{d_{1}} 1^{d_{2}} 0^{d_{3}} \ldots$ is called the directive word of $c_{\alpha}$. Both directive words and directive sequences will be used in this paper to represent a characteristic word.

The following relation (see [16], Thm. 9) will be useful. If $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are characteristic words directed, respectively, by $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots$ and $a_{2} a_{3} \ldots$, where $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=L_{a_{1}}(\mathbf{y}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Characterization via morphisms $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$

Here we present a characterization of standard factors of a Sturmian word using the morphisms $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$. The proof can appear a bit laborious, but the result enables us to derive two other characterizations in a relatively simple manner.

First, however, we need to introduce an auxiliary notation. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \geq 1$ be integers. Then we let $\mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ denote the union of the following five sets of words:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<n_{1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{n_{1}}\left(1^{i} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_{2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{n_{1}-1}\left((10)^{i} 1\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_{2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{n_{1}}\left(1^{n_{2}-1} 0\left(1^{n_{2}} 0\right)^{i} 1^{n_{2}} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_{3}\right\}, \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{n_{1}}\left(1^{i-1} 01^{i} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1, it is readily checked that all words in $\mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ are standard (note that $1^{i} 0=L_{1}^{i}(0),(10)^{i} 1=L_{1} \circ L_{0}^{i}(1), 1^{n_{2}-1} 0\left(1^{n_{2}} 0\right)^{i} 1^{n_{2}} 0=L_{1}^{n_{2}-1} \circ L_{0} \circ$ $L_{1}^{i+1}(0)$ and $\left.1^{i-1} 01^{i} 0=L_{1}^{i-1} \circ L_{0} \circ L_{1}(0)\right)$.

It is instructive to observe that each word in $\mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ is a factor of any characteristic word whose directive sequence starts with $0^{n_{1}} 1^{n_{2}} 0^{n_{3}}$. This follows from equation (2.3) when $w$ belongs to one of the two first sets of $\mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$. When $w$ belongs to one of the three last sets of $\mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$, we deduce the previous observation from the following three facts where $\mathbf{x}$ denotes an arbitrary non-ultimately-periodic infinite word over $\{0,1\}$ :

- $1^{n_{2}+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}^{n_{2}}(\mathbf{x})$;
- $1^{n_{2}-1} 0\left(1^{n_{2}} 0\right)^{n_{3}} 1^{n_{2}+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}^{n_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{n_{3}}(\mathbf{x})$; and
- $1^{n_{2}-1} 01^{n_{2}} 0$ is a factor of $L_{1}^{n_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{n_{3}}(\mathbf{x})$.

Now we are ready for the main result of this section. In order to state it nicely, we extend notation $\mathcal{S}$ by letting $\mathcal{S}\left(0, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)=\emptyset$, the empty set, for all integers $n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha=\left[0 ; d_{1}+1, d_{2}, \ldots\right]$. A finite standard word $u$ is a factor of $c_{\alpha}$ if and only if there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that one of the following holds:

- There exists $x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+1}, d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}\right)$ such that

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}}(x) .
$$

- There exists $x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}, d_{2 k+4}\right)$ such that

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}} \circ E(x) .
$$

Remark 3.2. By the definition of the continued fraction [ $0 ; d_{1}+1, d_{2}, \ldots$ ], we have $d_{1} \geq 0$ and $d_{k} \geq 1$ for $k \geq 2$. When $d_{1}=0$, the first item provides no standard word for $k=0$.

We will divide the proof of Theorem 3.1 into several lemmas. But let us see first what it says in the case of the Fibonacci word:

Example 3.3. Recall that the directive sequence of the Fibonacci word $\mathbf{f}$ is $(1,1, \ldots)$. Since

$$
\mathcal{S}(1,1,1)=\{0,1,10,010,101,0010,0010010\}
$$

and since $L_{0} \circ L_{1}=\varphi^{2}$ and $L_{0} \circ E=\varphi$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the set of standard factors of the Fibonacci word equals

$$
\left\{\varphi^{n}(1), \varphi^{n}(10), \varphi^{n}(101), \varphi^{n}(0010010) \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

We will see other ways to characterize these standard factors in later examples.
Now we are ready to start proving Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ be two infinite words over $\{0,1\}$ such that $\mathbf{x}=L_{0}(\mathbf{y})$. Suppose that the letter 1 occurs in $\mathbf{x}$. Then a word $u$ is a standard factor of $\mathbf{x}$ if and only if one of the following holds:
(1) $u \in\{0,1,10\}$;
(2) $u=L_{0}(v)$ with $v$ a standard factor of $\mathbf{y}$;
(3) $u=L_{0}(w 0)$ with $w \neq \varepsilon$, the word $w 0$ standard, and $w 1$ a factor of $\mathbf{y}$;
(4) $u=(10)^{n} 1$ with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ a factor of $\mathbf{y}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, it is verified that conditions (1)-(4) are sufficient, that is, if $u$ fulfills one of these conditions then $u$ is a standard factor of $\mathbf{x}$.

Conversely, suppose first that $|u| \leq 2$. As a standard word, $u$ is primitive, and consequently $u \in\{0,1,01,10\}$. We see that $u$ satisfies condition (1), unless $u=01=L_{0}(1)$, in which case $u$ satisfies condition (2).

Suppose now that $|u| \geq 3$. Lemma 2.1 implies that either $u=L_{0}(v)$ or $u=$ $L_{1}(v)$ for some standard word $v$. Furthermore, the primitivity and length of $u$ imply that both letters 0 and 1 occur in $v$, and hence $|v|<|u|$. We have two possibilities to consider:

If $u=L_{0}(v)$, then $u$ begins with 0 . If $v$ is a factor of $\mathbf{y}$, then condition (2) is satisfied, and therefore we may suppose that $v$ is not a factor of $\mathbf{y}$. It follows that $u$ also ends with 0 . Now it is easy to see that $v=w 0$ for some word $w \neq \varepsilon$ such that $w 1$ is a factor of $\mathbf{y}$. Hence condition (3) holds.

If $u=L_{1}(v)$, then the word 00 does not occur in $u$. Since $u$ is a factor of $\mathbf{x}=$ $L_{0}(\mathbf{y})$, the word 11 does not occur in $u$ either. Consequently, we have $u=(10)^{n}$ or $u=(10)^{n} 1$ for some $n \geq 0$. Since $u$ is primitive and $|u| \geq 3$, it follows that $u=(10)^{n} 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Since $u$ is a factor of $L_{0}(\mathbf{y})$, we see that $1^{n+1}$ must be a factor of $\mathbf{y}$. Thus condition (4) holds.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be an infinite word over $\{0,1\}$, and let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then a standard word $u$ is a factor of $L_{0}^{k} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<k\right\}$;
(2) $u=L_{0}^{k}(v)$ with $v$ a standard factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(3) $u=L_{0}^{k}(w 0)$ with $w \neq \varepsilon$, w0 is standard, and $w 1$ is a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(4) $u=L_{0}^{k-1}\left((10)^{n} 1\right)$ with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$.

Proof. It is easy to see that conditions (1)-(4) are sufficient. We prove the necessity of the conditions by induction on $k$. The case $k=1$ follows immediately from Lemma 3.4. So we may suppose that $k \geq 2$. Using Lemma 3.4, we have the following four cases to consider:

Case 1. If $u \in\{0,1,10\}$, then condition (1) holds.
Case 2. We have $u=L_{0}(v)$, where $v$ is a standard factor of $L_{0}^{k-1}(\mathbf{y})$. By the induction assumption, one of the four conditions holds:
(1) $v \in\left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<k-1\right\}$;
(2) $v=L_{0}^{k-1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ with $v^{\prime}$ a standard factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(3) $v=L_{0}^{k-1}(w 0)$ with $w \neq \varepsilon, w 0$ standard, and $w 1$ a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(4) $v=L_{0}^{k-2}\left((10)^{n} 1\right)$ with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$.

Therefore one of conditions (1)-(4) holds.
Case 3. We have $u=L_{0}(w 0)$ with $w \neq \varepsilon$, the word $w 0$ is a standard word, and $w 1$ a factor of $L_{0}^{k-1}(\mathbf{y})$. Since $w 0$ is standard, the word $w$ must end in the letter 1. Consequently, the word 11 is a factor of $L_{0}^{k-1}(\mathbf{y})$. But this is not possible as $k-1 \geq 1$.

Case 4 . We have $u=(10)^{n} 1$ with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ a factor of $L_{0}^{k-1}(\mathbf{y})$. Again, since $k-1 \geq 1$, this case is not possible. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.6. If $u$ is a standard word with $u \in\{0,1\}^{+} 0$, then there exists an integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that either $u=1^{\ell} 0$ or $u \in 1^{\ell-1} 0\left\{1^{\ell-1} 0,1^{\ell} 0\right\}^{*} 1^{\ell} 0$.

Proof. The claim is clearly true if $|u|=2$. If $|u| \geq 3$, then Lemma 2.1 implies that $u=L_{0}(v)$ or $u=L_{1}(v)$ for some standard word $v$ with $2 \leq|v|<|u|$. Furthermore, also $v$ satisfies $v \in\{0,1\}^{+} 0$, and therefore either $v$ is of the form $1^{\ell} 0$ or it is in $1^{\ell-1} 0\left\{1^{\ell-1} 0,1^{\ell} 0\right\}^{*} 1^{\ell} 0$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. A straightforward computation shows that $u$ is of one of the attested forms.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be a Sturmian word over $\{0,1\}$. Suppose that $w \neq \varepsilon$ is a finite word such that $w 0$ is a standard word and $w 1$ is a factor of $\mathbf{y}$. Then either $w=1^{\ell}$ or $w=1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell}$, where $\ell \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$ are integers.

Proof. Since $w \neq \varepsilon$ and $w 0$ is standard, the word $w$ ends in the letter 1 . Let $\ell \geq 1$ denote the largest integer such that $1^{\ell}$ is a suffix of $w$. If $w=1^{\ell}$, we are done, so we may suppose that this is not the case. Then by Lemma 3.6, we have $w 0 \in 1^{\ell-1} 0\left\{1^{\ell-1} 0,1^{\ell} 0\right\}^{*} 1^{\ell} 0$.

Since the works of Morse and Hedlund [25] it is well-known that all Sturmian words have the balance property, that is, for all factors $u, v$ of the same length
of a Sturmian word, we have $\left||u|_{0}-|v|_{0}\right| \leq 1$. Hence, since $w 1$ ends in $1^{\ell+1}$, the balance property of $\mathbf{y}$ implies that $01^{\ell-1} 0$ cannot occur in $w$. Consequently, we have $w 0 \in 1^{\ell-1} 0\left\{1^{\ell} 0\right\}^{*} 1^{\ell} 0$, and the proof is complete.

Using the previous result, we may rewrite Lemma 3.5 as follows.
Lemma 3.8. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be an infinite word over $\{0,1\}$, and let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then a standard word $u$ is a factor of $L_{0}^{k} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<k\right\}$;
(2) $u=L_{0}^{k}(v)$ with $v$ a standard factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(3) $u=L_{0}^{k}\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and $1^{\ell+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(4) $u=L_{0}^{k}\left(1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell} 0\right)$, where $\ell \geq 1, i \geq 0$, and $1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(5) $u=L_{0}^{k-1}\left((10)^{n} 1\right)$ with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ a factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$.

Proof. This statement is obtained by applying Lemma 3.7 to condition (3) of Lemma 3.5, which then splits into conditions (3) and (4) of the current lemma. Therefore we only need to make sure that conditions (3) and (4) are sufficient. But this is immediately clear.

Finally, before proving Theorem 3.1, we formulate the previous lemma into a more suitable form:

Lemma 3.9. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be an infinite word over $\{0,1\}$ such that 0 occurs in $\mathbf{y}$. Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \geq 1$ be integers. A standard word $u$ is a factor of $L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<d_{1}\right\}$;
(2) $u=L_{0}^{d_{1}}(v)$ with $v$ a standard factor of $L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$;
(3) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{d_{1}}\left(1^{i} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2}\right\}$;
(4) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{d_{1}}\left(1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell} 0\right) \mid\left(i=0\right.\right.$ and $\left.1 \leq \ell \leq d_{2}\right)$ or $\left(1 \leq i \leq d_{3}\right.$ and $\ell=$ $\left.\left.d_{2}\right)\right\} ;$
(5) $u \in\left\{L_{0}^{d_{1}-1}\left((10)^{i} 1\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2}\right\}$.

Proof. That conditions (1)-(3) and (5) are sufficient is a direct consequence of the sufficiency of corresponding conditions in Lemma 3.8. For condition (4), the same holds since either 01 or 00 occurs in $\mathbf{y}$ and thus the word $1^{d_{2}-1} 0\left(1^{d_{2}} 0\right)^{d_{3}} 1^{d_{2}+1}$ is a factor of $L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$.

Conversely, suppose $u$ is a standard factor of $L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$. In what follows, we denote $\mathbf{z}=L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$. Lemma 3.8 implies that one of the following five cases holds:

Case 1. Condition (1) of Lemma 3.8 holds with $k=d_{1}$. This is condition (1) of the present lemma.

Case 2. Condition (2) in Lemma 3.8 holds, and we have $u=L_{0}^{d_{1}}(v)$ with $v$ a standard factor of $\mathbf{z}$.

Case 3. Condition (3) in Lemma 3.8 holds, that is, we have

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}}\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)
$$

with $\ell \geq 1$ and $1^{\ell+1}$ is a factor of $\mathbf{z}$. It is easy to see that $1^{d_{2}+2}$ is not a factor of $\mathbf{z}$; therefore $\ell \leq d_{2}$.

Case 4. Next we suppose that condition (4) in Lemma 3.8 holds. Then

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}}\left(1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell} 0\right)
$$

where $\ell \geq 1, i \geq 0$, and $1^{\ell-1} 0\left(1^{\ell} 0\right)^{i} 1^{\ell+1}$ is a factor of $\mathbf{z}$. Since the word $1^{d_{2}+2}$ is not a factor $\mathbf{z}$, we have $\ell \leq d_{2}$. We may thus assume that $i \geq 1$.

Now the word $01^{\ell} 0$ is a factor of $\mathbf{z}$. Since $\mathbf{z}$, as any word of the form $L_{1}^{d_{2}}(\mathbf{x})$, can be factorized over $\left\{1^{d_{2}} 0,1\right\}$, and since $\ell \leq d_{2}$, it follows that $\ell=d_{2}$. To finish proving this case, we only need to show that $i \leq d_{3}$. Indeed, otherwise the word

$$
1^{d_{2}-1} 0\left(1^{d_{2}} 0\right)^{d_{3}+1} 1^{d_{2}+1}
$$

is a factor of $\mathbf{z}$, and it follows that $0^{d_{3}+2}$ is a factor of $L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$, which is not possible because 00 is not factor of $L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$.

Case 5. Finally, suppose that condition (5) in Lemma 3.8 holds, that is,

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}-1}\left((10)^{n} 1\right)
$$

with $n \geq 1$ and $1^{n+1}$ a factor of $\mathbf{z}$. Since $1^{d_{2}+2}$ is not a factor of $\mathbf{z}$, we have $n \leq d_{2}$.

Now we are ready to finish proving Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. From Lemma 2.1, a finite word $u$ is a standard factor of $c_{\alpha}$ if and only if $E(u)$ is a standard factor of $E\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$. When $d_{1}=0, E\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$ is the word $c_{\beta}$ with $\beta=1-\alpha=\left[0 ; d_{2}+1, d_{3}, \ldots\right]$ (see [7], Cor. 2.2.20). Then since $E \circ L_{0}=L_{1} \circ E$ (and $E \circ L_{1}=L_{0} \circ E$ ), it is straightforward that if Theorem 3.1 holds for $c_{\beta}$ then it holds for $c_{\alpha}$. So we may assume that $d_{1} \geq 1$.

Suppose that

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}}(x) \quad \text { with } x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+1}, d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}\right) .
$$

As noted earlier, $x$ is a standard factor of any characteristic word whose directive word starts with $0^{d_{2 k+1}} 1^{d_{2 k+2}} 0^{d_{2 k+3}} \ldots$ Consequently, by Equation (2.3) and Lemma 2.1, $u$ is a standard factor of $c_{\alpha}$. Analogous reasoning works also in the case when
$u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}} \circ E(x) \quad$ with $x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}, d_{2 k+4}\right)$
because then $E(x)$ is a standard factor of any characteristic word whose directive word starts with $1^{d_{2 k+2}} 0^{d_{2 k+3}} 1^{d_{2 k+4}} \ldots$

Conversely, suppose that $u$ is a standard factor of $c_{\alpha}$. By equation (2.3), $c_{\alpha}=$ $L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}(\mathbf{y})$ for a word $\mathbf{y}$. Consequently, since we are assuming that $d_{1} \geq$ 1, Lemma 3.9 applies, and so $u$ satisfies one of conditions (1)-(5) of Lemma 3.9. If $u$ satisfies condition (1), (3), (4), or (5), then $u \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$, so we may assume that condition (2) holds. Then $u=L_{0}^{d_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)$, where $u_{1}$ is a standard factor of the characteristic word $L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{4}} \circ \ldots$

Denote $u_{1}^{\prime}=E\left(u_{1}\right)$. Then $u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ E\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $u_{1}^{\prime}$ is a standard factor of the characteristic word $L_{0}^{d_{2}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{4}} \circ \ldots$ Consequently, Lemma 3.9 applies, and so either $u_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}\right)$ or $u_{1}^{\prime}=L_{0}^{d_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, where $u_{2}$ is a standard factor of the characteristic word $L_{1}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{4}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{5}} \circ \ldots$ In the first case, we have

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ E\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}\right)
$$

and the claim holds. In the second case, we denote $u_{2}^{\prime}=E\left(u_{2}\right)$, whence $u_{2}^{\prime}$ is a standard factor of the characteristic word $L_{0}^{d_{3}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{4}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{5}} \circ \ldots$ Again, Lemma 3.9 implies that either $u_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}\right)$ or $u_{2}^{\prime}=L_{0}^{d_{3}}\left(u_{3}\right)$, where $u_{3}$ is a standard factor of the characteristic word $L_{1}^{d_{4}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{5}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{6}} \circ \ldots$ In the first case, we have

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ E \circ L_{0}^{d_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}}\left(u_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{3}, d_{4}, d_{5}\right),
$$

and the claim holds. In the second case, we continue the process.
Since $d_{i} \geq 1$ for $i \geq 2$, the sequence of lengths of words $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots$ is strictly decreasing, and hence the procedure described above cannot continue forever. When it stops, we arrive at the form given in the claim.

## 4. CHARACTERIZATION VIA STANDARD WORDS

Here we reformulate Theorem 3.1 to a more constructive form.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha=\left[0 ; d_{1}+1, d_{2}, \ldots\right]$. Then a finite standard word $u$ is $a$ factor of $c_{\alpha}$ if and only if there exists an integer $k \geq 0$, or $k \geq 1$ if $d_{1}=0$, such that $u$ belongs to one of the following sets (where the $s_{i}$ 's correspond to those defined in Sect. 2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{s_{k}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1} s_{k} \mid 0 \leq i<d_{k+1}\right\} \\
& \left\{s_{k+1}^{i-1} s_{k} s_{k+1}^{i} s_{k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\} \\
& \left\{s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k} s_{k+2}^{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+3}\right\} \\
& \left\{s_{k}^{d_{k+1}-1} s_{k-1} s_{k+1}^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We start with the following four identities; they follow immediately from equations (2.1) and (2.2). For all $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}}(0) & =s_{2 k},  \tag{4.1}\\
L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}}(1) & =s_{2 k-1},  \tag{4.2}\\
L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}(0) & =s_{2 k},  \tag{4.3}\\
L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}(1) & =s_{2 k+1} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, if $x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+1}, d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}\right)$, then $x$ belongs to one of the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{L_{0}^{i}(0), L_{0}^{i}(1), L_{0}^{i}(10) \mid 0 \leq i<d_{2 k+1}\right\}=\left\{0,0^{i} 1,0^{i} 10 \mid 0 \leq i<d_{2 k+1}\right\} \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}\left(1^{i} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\} ; \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}\left(1^{i-1} 01^{i} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\} ; \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}\left(1^{d_{2 k+2}-1} 0\left(1^{d_{2 k+2}} 0\right)^{i} 1^{d_{2 k+2}} 0\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\}= \\
& \qquad\left\{L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}\left(1^{d_{2 k+2}-1} 0\left(L_{1}^{d_{2 k+2}}(0)\right)^{i+1}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\} ; \\
& \left\{L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}-1}\left((10)^{i} 1\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\}= \\
& \qquad\left\{0^{d_{2 k+1}-1} 1\left(L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}}(1)\right)^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, if

$$
u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}}(x) \quad \text { with } \quad x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+1}, d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}\right)
$$

the equations above imply that $u$ is in one of the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{s_{2 k}, s_{2 k}^{i} s_{2 k-1}, s_{2 k}^{i} s_{2 k-1} s_{2 k} \mid 0 \leq i<d_{2 k+1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+1}^{i} s_{2 k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+1}^{i-1} s_{2 k} s_{2 k+1}^{i} s_{2 k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\} . \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+1}^{d_{2 k+2}-1} s_{2 k} s_{2 k+2}^{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k}^{d_{2 k+1}-1} s_{2 k-1} s_{2 k+1}^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if
$u=L_{0}^{d_{1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2}} \circ \ldots \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k-1}} \circ L_{1}^{d_{2 k}} \circ L_{0}^{d_{2 k+1}} \circ E(x) \quad$ with $\quad x \in \mathcal{S}\left(d_{2 k+2}, d_{2 k+3}, d_{2 k+4}\right)$,
then it follows from the equations above that $u$ is in one of the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{s_{2 k+1}, s_{2 k+1}^{i} s_{2 k}, s_{2 k+1}^{i} s_{2 k} s_{2 k+1} \mid 0 \leq i<d_{2 k+2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+2}^{i} s_{2 k+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\} \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+2}^{i-1} s_{2 k+1} s_{2 k+2}^{i} s_{2 k+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+2}^{d_{2 k+3}-1} s_{2 k+1} s_{2 k+3}^{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+4}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{2 k+1}^{d_{2 k+2}-1} s_{2 k} s_{2 k+2}^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{2 k+3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, it follows that $u$ is a standard factor of $c_{\alpha}$ if and only if there exists an integer $k \geq 0$, or $k \geq 1$ if $d_{1}=0$, such that $u$ is in one of the following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{s_{k}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1} s_{k} \mid 0 \leq i<d_{k+1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{k+1}^{i} s_{k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{k+1}^{i-1} s_{k} s_{k+1}^{i} s_{k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k} s_{k+2}^{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+3}\right\}, \\
& \left\{s_{k}^{d_{k+1}-1} s_{k-1} s_{k+1}^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the formulation of the statement is obtained by observing that sets of the form

$$
\left\{s_{k+1}^{i} s_{k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2}\right\}
$$

are included in the union of sets of the form

$$
\left\{s_{k}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1}, s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1} s_{k} \mid 0 \leq i<d_{k+1}\right\} .
$$

This completes the proof.
Example 4.2. Let us denote $f_{n}=\varphi^{n}(0)$ for $n \geq 0$ and $f_{-1}=1$. Then the characterization of the standard factors of the Fibonacci word $\mathbf{f}$ given by Theorem 4.1 is

$$
\left\{f_{n-1}, \quad f_{n-1} f_{n}, \quad f_{n} f_{n+2} f_{n+2}, \quad f_{n-1} f_{n+1} \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

which is clearly the same set we obtained in Example 3.3.

## 5. Characterization via the directive sequence

In this section, we characterize the standard factors of a Sturmian word in terms of their directive sequences, and show how this can be used for characterizing standard factors in terms of palindromic closure.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\alpha=\left[0 ; d_{1}+1, d_{2}, \ldots\right]$. A finite standard word $u$ is a factor of $c_{\alpha}$ if and only if it has one of the following directive sequences, where $k \geq 0$, or $k \geq 1$ if $d_{1}=0$ :

1. empty sequence, $(0),(0,1)$;
2. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, i\right)$, $\quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+1}+1$;
3. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, i, 1\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+1}+1$;
4. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, i, 1,1\right), \quad 1 \leq i<d_{k+2}$;
5. $\quad\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, d_{k+2}-1,1, i+1\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+3} \quad$ if $d_{k+2} \neq 1$;
6. $\quad\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, d_{k+1}+1, i+1\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+3} \quad$ if $d_{k+2}=1$;
7. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}-1,1, i\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2} \quad$ if $d_{k+1} \neq 1$;
8. $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}+1, i\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_{k+2} \quad$ if $d_{k+1}=1$
9. $(0,1, i)$,
$1 \leq i \leq d_{2} \quad$ if $d_{1}=1$.
Remark 5.2. In the previous theorem, some items (e.g., 5 and 7) give the same directive sequences for some instances of $d_{k}$ and $i$. Nevertheless, no set of directive sequences corresponding to an item is included in another.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Theorem 5.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1. Below we show the correspondence between words occurring in Theorem 4.1 and their directive sequences. More precisely, using the characterization of standard factors of $c_{\alpha}$ in Theorem 4.1, we show that each of the standard factors has a directive sequence listed above. The converse can be seen with a similar construction.

By [form $n$ ] we indicate that the directive sequence is of the form of the $n$th item in Theorem 5.1. Thus for each item, looking at all directive sequences on this form for all $k \geq 0$, one can verify the possible values for $i$.

- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k}$ is
$\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}\right) & \text { if } k \neq 0,\left[\text { form 2][form } 3 \text { when } d_{k}=1 \text { and } k \geq 2\right] \\ \text { the empty sequence } & \text { if } k=0 .[\text { form 1] }\end{cases}$
- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i<d_{k+1}$ is
$\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, i\right) & \text { if } i \neq 0,[\text { form 2] [form 3 when } i=1 \text { and } k \geq 1] \\ \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right) & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } k \geq 2,[\text { form 2] } \\ \text { the empty sequence } & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } k=1,[\text { form 1] } \\ (0) & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } k=0 .[\text { form 1] }\end{cases}$
- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k}^{i} s_{k-1} s_{k}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i<d_{k+1}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}, i, 1\right) & \text { if } i \neq 0 \text { (sequence }(i, 1) \text { when } k=0),[\text { form 3] } \\ \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}+1\right) & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } k \neq 0,[\text { form 2] } \\ (0,1) & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } k=0 .[\text { form 1] }\end{cases}
$$

For the second case, note that $s_{k-1} s_{k}=s_{k-1}^{d_{k}+1} s_{k-2}$.

- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k+1}^{i-1} s_{k} s_{k+1}^{i} s_{k}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq$ $d_{k+2}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, i-1,1,1\right) & \text { if } i \neq 1,[\text { form 4] } \\ \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}+1,1\right) & \text { if } i=1 .[\text { form 3] }\end{cases}
$$

For the second case, note that $s_{k} s_{k+1} s_{k}=s_{k}^{d_{k+1}+1} s_{k-1} s_{k}$.

- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k} s_{k+2}^{i+1}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq$ $d_{k+3}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, d_{k+2}-1,1, i+1\right) & \text { if } d_{k+2} \neq 1,[\text { form } 5] \\ \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}+1, i+1\right) & \text { if } d_{k+2}=1 .[\text { form } 6]\end{cases}
$$

In the first case we used $s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k} s_{k+2}^{i+1}=\left(s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k} s_{k+1}\right)^{i+1} s_{k+1}^{d_{k+2}-1} s_{k}$; the second case follows from $s_{k} s_{k+2}^{i+1}=s_{k}\left(s_{k+1} s_{k}\right)^{i+1}=\left(s_{k}^{d_{k+1}+1} s_{k-1}\right)^{i+1} s_{k}$.

- The directive sequence of the word $s_{k}^{d_{k+1}-1} s_{k-1} s_{k+1}^{i}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq$ $d_{k+2}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}-1,1, i\right) & \text { if } d_{k+1} \neq 1,[\text { form } 7] \\ \left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}+1, i\right) & \text { if } d_{k+1}=1, k \neq 0,[\text { form } 8] \\ (0,1, i) & \text { if } d_{k+1}=1, k=0 .[\text { form } 9]\end{cases}
$$

Next we will recall a result by de Luca connecting the directive sequence of a standard word and palindromic closures ([15], p. 66).

Each word $w$ over $\{0,1\}$ is uniquely determined by a finite sequence $\left(h_{1}\right.$, $h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}$ ) of integers, where $h_{1} \geq 0, h_{i}>0$ for $1<i<n$ and $w=0^{h_{1}} 1^{h_{2}} 0^{h_{3}} \ldots$; such a representation of $w$ is called its integral representation.
Proposition 5.3 (de Luca). Let $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$, and let $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ be its integral representation. The standard words $\operatorname{Pal}(w) 01$ and $\operatorname{Pal}(w) 10$ have the directive sequences

$$
\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, 1\right) \text { and }\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}+1\right)
$$

if $n$ is even, and

$$
\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}+1\right) \text { and }\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, 1\right)
$$

if $n$ is odd, respectively.
We have the following immediate corollary of the previous proposition.
Corollary 5.4. If $s$ is a standard word of length at least 2 with directive sequence $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s=\operatorname{Pal}\left(0^{d_{1}} 1^{d_{2}} \ldots 0^{d_{n-1}} 1^{d_{n}-1}\right) 10, & \text { when } n \text { is even, } \\
s=\operatorname{Pal}\left(0^{d_{1}} 1^{d_{2}} \ldots 1^{d_{n-1}} 0^{d_{n}-1}\right) 01, & \text { when } n \text { is odd. }
\end{array}
$$

Now one can use Corollary 5.4 to present the standard factors of a Sturmian word by using the directive sequences given in Theorem 5.1 and the palindromic closure
operation. We did not do this since the statement of this result involves a lot of cases (more than in Thm. 5.1) and so does not seem interesting.

However, the Fibonacci word is a special case, as shown in the next example.
Example 5.5. In this example we present a characterization of the standard factors of the Fibonacci word that is essentially different from the ones in Examples 3.3 and 4.2.

The directive sequence of the Fibonacci word is $(1,1,1, \ldots)$. Thus Theorem 5.1 says that the directive sequences of the standard factors of the Fibonacci word are of the following form:

- empty sequence, $(0),(0,1)$,
- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,1)$,
- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2)$, possibly with no preceding 1 's; that is $(2),(1,2),(1,1,2), \ldots$,
- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2,1)$, possibly with no preceding 1 's; that is $(2,1),(1,2,1), \ldots$,
- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2,2)$, possibly with no preceding 1 's; that is $(2,2),(1,2,2), \ldots$,
- $(0,1,1)$.

Therefore, by Corollary 5.4, the standard factors of the Fibonacci word are

- $0,1,01,10,101$;
- For each nonempty prefix $u$ of $(01)^{\infty}$, the words $\operatorname{Pal}(u) 01$ and $\operatorname{Pal}(u) 10$;
- For each nonempty prefix $u$ of $(01)^{\infty}$ of odd length, the words $\operatorname{Pal}(u 0) 10$ and $\operatorname{Pal}(u 01) 10$;
- For each nonempty prefix $u$ of $(01)^{\infty}$ of even length, the words $\operatorname{Pal}(u 1) 01$ and $\operatorname{Pal}(u 10) 01$.

To conclude let us mention that most of the previous characterizations can certainly be extended to the more general case of episturmian words $[16,17]$ using links between episturmian morphisms and directive sequences of these words defined over arbitrary alphabet (see, e.g., [18]). Nevertheless the main problem should be a combinatorial explosion.
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