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#### Abstract

The theorem of Fraenkel and Simpson states that the maximum number of distinct squares that a word $w$ of length $n$ can contain is less than $2 n$. This is based on the fact that no more than two squares can have their last occurrences starting at the same position. In this paper we show that the maximum number of the last occurrences of squares per position in a partial word containing one hole is $2 k$, where $k$ is the size of the alphabet. Moreover, we prove that the number of distinct squares in a partial word with one hole and of length $n$ is less than $4 n$, regardless of the size of the alphabet. For binary partial words, this upper bound can be reduced to $3 n$.
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## Introduction

In combinatorics on words, factors of the form $w w$, i.e., squares can be studied from two perspectives. On one hand, one may try to avoid squares by constructing square-free words. A classical example of an infinite square-free word over a 3letter alphabet is obtained from the famous Thue-Morse word [1] using a certain mapping; see $[14,15]$. On the other hand, one may try to maximize the number of square factors in a word. The theorem of Fraenkel and Simpson states that a word of length $n$ contains always less than $2 n$ distinct squares [6]. A very short proof for this and an improved upper bound $2 n-\Theta(\log n)$ was given by Ilie in [9] and [10]. However, the numerical evidence provided by Fraenkel and Simpson [6] suggests that the upper bound is even below $n$.

[^0]In this paper we consider squares in partial words, which are words with "do not know"-symbols $\diamond$ called holes. Here a square is a factor of the form $w w^{\prime}$, where $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ are compatible. Compatibility means that words of the same length agree on each position which does not contain a hole. Partial words were first introduced by Berstel and Boasson in [2]. The theory of partial words has developed rapidly in recent years and many classical topics in combinatorics on words have been revisited for this generalization; see [3]. For example, the present authors proved in [8] that there exist uncountably many infinite square-free partial words over a 3 -letter alphabet containing infinitely many holes. Note that for square-freeness, we must allow unavoidable squares $a \diamond$ and $\diamond a$ for (some) letters $a$. For other results on repetition-freeness in partial words, see [7] and [13].

In this paper our aim is to generalize the theorem of Fraenkel and Simpson for partial words containing one hole. This problem was already investigated by Blanchet-Sadri et al. in [4]. They proved that the number of distinct full words $u^{2}$ compatible with factors in a partial word with $h$ holes and of length $n$ increases polynomially with respect to $k$, where $k \geq 2$ is the size of the alphabet. Moreover, they showed that, for partial words with one hole, there may be more than two squares that have their last compatible occurrences starting at the same position. They also gave an intricate proof for the statement that in the above described case the hole must be in the shortest square.

A partial word containing one hole and $k+1$ squares whose last compatible occurrences start at the first position was given in [4]. In Section 2 we improve this example by constructing a word with $2 k$ last compatible occurrences of squares starting at position one. We also show that this bound $2 k$ is maximal. In Section 3 we prove that if a position is the starting position for at least three last compatible occurrences of squares, then the longest square must be twice as long as the shortest square. As a corollary, we get a short proof for the result of Blanchet-Sadri et al. stating that the hole must be in the shortest square. In addition, our proof gives a new proof for the original result of Fraenkel and Simpson. Finally, our result implies that the maximum number of squares in a word with one hole is at most $4 n$, regardless of the size of the alphabet. For binary partial words with one hole, we can decrease this bound to $3 n$.

## 1. Preliminaries

We recall some notions and notation mainly from [2]. A word $w=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ of length $n$ over an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is a mapping $w:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $w(i)=a_{i}$. The elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are called letters. The length of a word $w$ is denoted by $|w|$, and the length of the empty word $\varepsilon$ is zero. The set of all finite words including the empty word is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$. Let also $\mathcal{A}^{+}=\mathcal{A}^{*} \backslash\{\varepsilon\}$. A word $v$ is a factor of a word $w$ (resp. a prefix, a suffix), if there exist $x$ and $y$ in $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ such that $w=x v y$ (resp. $w=v y, w=x v$ ). The prefix (resp. a suffix) of $w$ of length $n$ is denoted by $\operatorname{pref}_{n}(w)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{suf}_{n}(w)\right)$. The $k$ th power of a word $u \neq \varepsilon$ is the word $u^{k}=\operatorname{pref}_{k \cdot|u|}\left(u^{\omega}\right)$, where $u^{\omega}$ denotes the infinite catenation of the word $u$
with itself and $k$ is a positive rational number such that $k \cdot|u|$ is an integer. If $k$ is an integer, then the power is called an integer power. A primitive word is a word that is not an integer power of any other word. If $w=u v$, then $u^{-1} w=v$ is the left quotient of $w$ by $u$. If $u$ is not a prefix of $w$, then $u^{-1} w$ is undefined. Analogously, we define the right quotient $w u^{-1}$.

A partial word $u$ of length $n$ over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is a partial function $u:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. The domain $D(u)$ is the set of positions $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $u(i)$ is defined. The set $H(u)=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash D(u)$ is called the set of holes. If $H(u)$ is empty, then $u$ is a full word. As for full words, we denote by $|u|=n$ the length of a partial word $u$. Let $\diamond$ be a symbol that does not belong to $\mathcal{A}$. For a partial word $u$, we define its companion to be the full word $u_{\diamond}$ over the augmented alphabet $\mathcal{A}_{\diamond}=\mathcal{A} \cup\{\diamond\}$ such that $u_{\diamond}(i)=u(i)$, if $i \in D(u)$, and $u_{\diamond}(i)=\diamond$, otherwise. The set $\mathcal{A}_{\diamond}^{*}$ corresponds to the set of finite partial words. A partial word $u$ is said to be contained in $v$ (denoted by $u \subset v$ ) if $|u|=|v|$, $D(u) \subseteq D(v)$ and $u(i)=v(i)$ for all $i \in D(u)$. Two partial words $u$ and $v$ are compatible (denoted by $u \uparrow v$ ) if there exists a (partial) word $z$ such that $u \subset z$ and $v \subset z$. In terms of companion words, $u \uparrow v$ if and only if $u_{\diamond}(i)=v_{\diamond}(i)$ whenever $u_{\diamond}(i) \neq \diamond$ and $v_{\diamond}(i) \neq \diamond$.

For partial words $v$ and $w$, write $\operatorname{last}_{w}(v)=i$ if $w=u_{1} v_{1} u_{2}$, where $\left|u_{1}\right|=i-1$, $v \uparrow v_{1}$ and $v$ is not compatible with a factor in $v_{1} u_{2}$ except the prefix. (If no such $v_{1}$ exists, then let lastw $(v)$ be undefined.) If defined, then $v_{1}$ is the last compatible occurrence of $v$ in $w$.

A square in a partial word is a non-empty factor of the form $w w^{\prime}$ such that $w \uparrow w^{\prime}$. If such a square is a full word, then it is called a full square. The number of distinct full squares compatible with the factors of a partial word $w$ is denoted by $S q(w)$ :

$$
S q(w)=\operatorname{card}\left\{u^{2} \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \mid u^{2} \uparrow v, v \text { is a factor of } w\right\}
$$

For each full square $u^{2}$ taking part in $S q(w)$, it suffices to consider the rightmost occurrence of a factor $v$ that is compatible with $u^{2}$. Moreover, let

$$
s_{w}(i)=\operatorname{card}\left\{u^{2} \in \mathcal{A}^{+} \mid \operatorname{last}_{w}\left(u^{2}\right)=i\right\} .
$$

As an example, consider the partial word $w=a b a \diamond b a b a a b$ with one hole, $H(w)=$ $\{4\}$. Here last ${ }_{w}\left((a b a)^{2}\right)=1=$ last $_{w}\left((a b a a b)^{2}\right)$. Also, $(a b)^{2}$ begins at position 1, but $(a b)^{2} \uparrow \diamond b a b$, and therefore $\operatorname{last}_{w}\left((a b)^{2}\right)=4$. Hence $s_{w}(1)=2$. Continuing we see that $\left(s_{w}(1), s_{w}(2), \ldots, s_{w}(|w|)\right)=(2,1,0,2,1,0,0,1,0,0)$ and therefore we have $S q(w)=\sum_{i=1}^{|w|} s_{w}(i)=7$.

Using the above notation we may state the theorem of Fraenkel and Simpson as follows.

Theorem 1.1 [6]. For any full word $w \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$, we have $S q(w)<2|w|$.
Since $S q(w)=\sum_{i=1}^{|w|} s_{w}(i)$ and no square can start from the last position, i.e., $s_{w}(|w|)=0$, the theorem is a direct consequence of the following lemma, which was already proved in a slightly different form in [5]. See also Section 8.1.5 in [12].

Lemma 1.2. For any word $w \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$, we have $s_{w}(i) \leq 2$ for $i=1,2, \ldots,|w|$.
We finish this section by stating three lemmata which will be needed later in this article. We begin with a characterization for two commuting words. For the proof, see, for example, [11].

Lemma 1.3. If $x y=y x$ for full words $x$ and $y$, then there exists a word $z$ and integers $s$ and $t$ such that $x=z^{s}$ and $y=z^{t}$.

The second lemma, which was proved by Berstel and Boasson in [2], reduces the considerations of partial words to full words as in Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.4 [2]. Let $x$ be a partial word with at most one hole, and let $u$ and $v$ be two (full) words. If $x \subset u v$ and $x \subset v u$, then $u v=v u$.

Full words $u$ and $v$ are conjugate if there exist words $x$ and $y$ such that $u=x y$ and $v=y x$. The third lemma gives a characterization to conjugates using a word equation. For the proof, see, for example, [11].
Lemma 1.5. Two words $u$ and $v$ are conjugate if and only if there exists a word $z$ such that $u z=z v$. Moreover, in this case there exist words $x$ and $y$ such that $u=x y, v=y x$ and $z=(x y)^{n} x$ for some integer $n \geq 0$.

## 2. Maximum number of Last occurrences of squares

Let $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{A})=k$. In this section we show that, for partial words with one hole, the maximum number of last occurrences of squares starting at the same position is $2 k$. For a partial word $w$ and a letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $w(a)$ the full word where the holes are replaced by $a$. Most certainly $w \subset w(a)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $w$ be a partial word over a $k$-letter alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ such that $w$ contains only one hole. Then $s_{w}(i) \leq 2 k$ for $i=1,2, \ldots,|w|$.
Proof. Suppose that $s_{w}(i)>2 k$. Each square factor $v$ with $\operatorname{card}(H(v))=1$, say $v \uparrow u^{2}$, satisfies $v(a)=u^{2}$ for a unique letter $a$ filling the single hole. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists a letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $w(a)$ contains more than two last occurrences of squares starting at the position $i$. This contradicts with Lemma 1.2.

Next we construct recursively a partial word $w$ such that $s_{w}(1)=2 k$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$. Let $w_{0}=\diamond a_{k} a_{k-1} \ldots a_{1}$ and, for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{2 j-1} & =w_{2 j-2} \cdot w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) \\
w_{2 j} & =w_{2 j-1} \cdot\left(\diamond^{-1} w_{2 j-1}\right) a_{j}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dots emphasize that the substitution is done only in the suffix part. For instance, for $k=3$, we have $w_{0}=\diamond a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}, w_{1}=\diamond a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}, w_{2}=$ $\diamond a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}$.

One easily shows by induction that $a_{k} a_{k-1} \ldots a_{j}$ is a suffix of $w_{2 j-1}$. Also, since $w_{2 j-1}$ begins with a hole, the recursive rule with quotients for $w_{2 j}$ is well-defined. Notice that

$$
w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)=\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)=\left(w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right)^{2}
$$

It is clear that $w=w_{2 k}$ has a prefix compatible with $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)=\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)\right)^{2}$, and a prefix compatible with $w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)=\left(w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right)^{2}$. Therefore we have
Lemma 2.2. Let $w=w_{2 k}$. Then, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, k$, the squares $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ and $w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)$ are prefixes of $w\left(a_{j}\right)$.

The next lemma shows that the above $2 k$ squares do not occur later in $w$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $w=w_{2 k}$. The full square $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ is not a factor of $w$ for any $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Proof. By the definition, we have

$$
w_{2 j}=w_{2 j-2}\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)\right)\left(\diamond^{-1} w_{2 j-2}\right)\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right) .
$$

By induction, the set of letters occurring one position before any occurrence of $a_{k}$ in $w_{2 j}$ or in $w_{2 j-1}$ is $\left\{\diamond, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}\right\}$. Hence, $a_{j} a_{k}$ does not occur in $w_{2 j-2}$. Since $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j} a_{k} \ldots$, the only possible beginning positions for the factor $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ in $w_{2 j}$ are $l+1,2 l$ and $3 l$, where $l=\left|w_{2 j-2}\right|$. However, the factor of length $\left|w_{2 j-1}\right|=2 l$ at position $l+1$ begins with $w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{k}$, which is not a prefix of $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$. Consequently, $w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)$ does not occur anywhere in $w_{2 j}$, since the positions $2 l$ and $3 l$ are two close to the end of $w_{2 j}$.

Moreover, $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ does not occur in $w_{2 j+1}=w_{2 j} w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)$. Namely, the factor of length $2 l$ starting at the position $2 l$ begins with $w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right) a_{j+1}$ and the factor of length $2 l$ starting at the position $3 l$ begins with $\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right)$ $a_{j+1}$. Neither of those are prefixes of $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$. Again, the other possible positions are too close to the end of the word.

By the construction, we conclude inductively that every factor of length $2 l$ in $w=w_{2 k}$ beginning with $a_{j} a_{k}$ has a prefix of the form $w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{k}$, $w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right) b$ or $\left(w_{2 j-2}\left(a_{j}\right) a_{j}^{-1}\right) b$, where $b \in\left\{a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \ldots a_{k}\right\}$. None of these is a prefix of $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$. Hence, $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ cannot be a factor of $w$.

Since $w_{2 j-1}\left(a_{j}\right)$ is a prefix of $w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)$, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2.4. The full square $w_{2 j}\left(a_{j}\right)$ is not a factor of $w$ for any $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Thus, the previous lemma and the corollary together imply the desired result.
Theorem 2.5. For $w=w_{2 k}$, we have $s_{w}(1)=2 k$.
Note that the above construction for $w$ gives an improvement of the example in [4] containing $k+1$ last compatible occurrences of squares as prefixes. If $k=2$, our construction gives the binary word of length 38 :

```
w=\diamondbaababaabbbaababaabbaababaabbbaababaa.
```

The full squares compatible with the prefixes of $w$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1}(a) & =(a b a)^{2} \\
w_{2}(a) & =(a b a a b)^{2} \\
w_{3}(b) & =(b b a a b a b a a b)^{2}, \\
w_{4}(b) & =(b b a a b a b a a b b b a a b a b a a)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These squares do not occur later in $w$. Hence, $s_{w}(1)=4=2 k$. Note that here the hole is in the first position. In general, by a result in [4], the hole must be in the shortest last compatible occurrence of a square starting at $i$ whenever $s_{w}(i)>2$. As another example, consider the word of length 46 :

$$
w^{\prime}=a b a a b \diamond b a a b b a a b a a b b b a a b b a b a a b b b a a b b a a b a a b b b a a b b .
$$

Again $s_{w}(1)=4$ and the full squares compatible with the prefixes of $w^{\prime}$ are $(a b a)^{2}$, $(a b a a b)^{2},(a b a a b b b a a b b a)^{2}$ and $(a b a a b b b a a b b a a b a a b b b a a b b)^{2}$. Now the hole is in the last possible position, namely in the end of the shortest last compatible occurrence of a square starting at position one.

## 3. Distinct squares in a partial word with one hole

In this section our goal is to estimate how many distinct squares can occur in a partial word with one hole. We start by proving the following technical lemma. In the sequel, we denote

$$
w[i, j]=w(i) w(i+1) \ldots w(j)
$$

for a word $w$ and integers $i$ and $j$ with $i<j$. The integer part of a real number $x$ is denoted by $\lfloor x\rfloor$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $v v^{\prime}$ be a prefix of $w w^{\prime}$, where $v \uparrow v^{\prime}$, $w \uparrow w^{\prime}$ such that $|w|<2|v|$, say $l=|w|-|v|<|v|$. Assume that $w w^{\prime}$ contains at most one hole and denote by $V$ the full word compatible with both $v$ and $v^{\prime}$. Then there are words $Z$ and $\widehat{Z}$ of length $l$ such that

$$
V= \begin{cases}Z^{m+1} \widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1} & \text { if } v(h)=\diamond \text { with } 1 \leq h \leq l| | v \mid / l\rfloor  \tag{3.1}\\ Z^{m} \widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1} & \text { if } v^{\prime}(h)=\diamond \text { with } l+1 \leq h \leq|v| \\ \widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $m=\lfloor(h-1) / l\rfloor$, $n$ is a non-negative integer, $\widehat{Z}_{1}$ is a prefix of $\widehat{Z}$, and there exists a partial word $z$ containing at most one hole and satisfying $z \subset Z$ and $z \subset \widehat{Z}$.
Proof. Let us first consider the case where $v(h)=\diamond$ and $1 \leq h \leq l\lfloor|v| / l\rfloor$. Consider a non-negative integer $k$ such that $(k+1) l \leq|v|$. Since $v \uparrow v^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v[k l+1,(k+1) l] \subset v^{\prime}[k l+1,(k+1) l] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $l<|v|$, the word $w^{\prime}$ begins inside $v^{\prime}$ at the position $l+1$ and, therefore, $w^{\prime}[k l+1,(k+1) l]=v^{\prime}[(k+1) l+1,(k+2) l]$, if $(k+2) l \leq\left|v^{\prime}\right|=|v|$. Since $w \uparrow w^{\prime}$, we have $v[k l+1,(k+1) l]=w[k l+1,(k+1) l] \subset w^{\prime}[k l+1,(k+1) l]$. Hence, combining these facts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v[k l+1,(k+1) l] \subset v^{\prime}[(k+1) l+1,(k+2) l] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(k+1) l<|v|<(k+2) l$, it is clear that (3.3) holds for prefixes of length $|v|-(k+1) l$ of the considered words. Note that the relation $\subset$ occurring in both equations can be replaced by the identity relation whenever $v[k l+1,(k+1) l]$ is a full word. Hence, applying (3.2) and (3.3) for different values of $k$, we conclude that $V=v^{\prime}=Z^{m+1} \widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1}$, where $m=\lfloor(h-1) / l\rfloor, Z=v^{\prime}[m l+1,(m+1) l]$, $z=v[m l+1,(m+1) l]$ and, we may choose

$$
\widehat{Z}= \begin{cases}v^{\prime}[(m+1) l+1,(m+2) l] & \text { if }(m+2) l \leq\left|v^{\prime}\right| \\ \left(v^{\prime}\left[(m+1) l+1,\left|v^{\prime}\right|\right]\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left[\left|v^{\prime}\right|-l+1,(m+1) l\right]\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the case where $v^{\prime}(h)=\diamond$ and $l+1 \leq h \leq\left|v^{\prime}\right|$, we notice that instead of (3.2) and (3.3) the following equations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}[(k+1) l+1,(k+2) l] \subset v[(k+1) l+1,(k+2) l] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}[(k+1) l+1,(k+2) l] \subset v[k l+1,(k+1) l] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the first case, we conclude using (3.4) and (3.5) that $V=v=$ $Z^{m} \widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1}$, where $m=\lfloor(h-1) / l\rfloor \geq 1, Z=v[(m-1) l+1, m l]$,

$$
z= \begin{cases}v^{\prime}[m l+1,(m+1) l] & \text { if }(m+1) l \leq\left|v^{\prime}\right| \\ \left(v^{\prime}\left[m l+1,\left|v^{\prime}\right|\right]\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left[\left|v^{\prime}\right|-l+1, m l\right]\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\widehat{Z}= \begin{cases}v[m l+1,(m+1) l] & \text { if }(m+1) l \leq|v|, \\ (v[m l+1,|v|])(v[|v|-l+1, m l]) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

If the hole occurs in $v[l\lfloor|v| / l\rfloor+1,|v|]$, then set $\widehat{Z}=v^{\prime}[1, l]$ and use (3.2) and (3.3) with identity relation instead of $\subset$ to obtain $V=v^{\prime}=\widehat{Z}^{n} \widehat{Z}_{1}$. If the hole occurs in $v^{\prime}[1, l]$, then set $\widehat{Z}=v[1, l]$ and apply (3.4) and (3.5). If the word $v v^{\prime}$ is full, the result is obvious.

Our next result concerns the lengths of squares starting at the same position. This theorem has a crucial role in the sequel. Namely, if $s_{w}(i)>2$ for some position $i$ in $w$, then the theorem says that the suffix of $w$ starting at $i$ must be quite long. Hence, the maximum value of $s_{w}(i)$ is dependent on how far the position $i$ is from the end of the word $w$. This restricts the total number of distinct squares compatible with the factors of a partial word.


Figure 1. Illustrations of three partial squares $u u^{\prime}, v v^{\prime}$ and $w w^{\prime}$ starting at the same position and satisfying $|u|=\left|u^{\prime}\right|<|v|=$ $\left|v^{\prime}\right|<|w|=\left|w^{\prime}\right|<\left|u^{2}\right|$.

Theorem 3.2. If three distinct full squares have their last compatible occurrences in a partial word with one hole starting at the same position, then the longest square is at least twice as long as the shortest square.

Proof. Consider a partial word with one hole. Assume that three partial words $u u^{\prime}, v v^{\prime}$ and $w w^{\prime}$, where $u \uparrow u^{\prime}, v \uparrow v^{\prime}, w \uparrow w^{\prime}$, and $|u|=p<|v|=q<|w|=r$, start at the same position in the word. Denote by $U^{2}$ (resp. $V^{2}, W^{2}$ ) the full word that contains $u u^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.v v^{\prime}, w w^{\prime}\right)$. Assume also that $U^{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V^{2}, W^{2}\right)$ is not compatible with any factor occurring later in the word. Denote the position of the hole in $w w^{\prime}$ by $h$.

We present an indirect proof by assuming that $r<2 p$, i.e., $r-p<p$. The proof is divided into three cases:
A. $h \notin[1, r-p]$,
B. $h \in[1, q-p]$,
C. $h \in[q-p+1, r-p]$.

In each case we end up in a contradiction by showing that $u u^{\prime}$ is not the last compatible occurrence of $U^{2}$ in $w w^{\prime}$.
Case A. Assume that $h \notin[1, r-p]$. Since $r-p<p<q$, there exist words $U[1, r-p], V[1, r-p]$ and $W[1, r-p]$ and, by the assumption, these words are equal to $u[1, r-p]$. Let $X=U[1, r-p]=X_{1} X_{2}$, where $X_{1}=U[1, q-p]$. Since $v^{\prime}[1, r-p] \subset V[1, r-p]=U[1, r-p]$, we have $v^{\prime}[1, r-p] \subset X_{1} X_{2}$. Similarly, we also have $u^{\prime}[1, r-p] \subset X_{1} X_{2}$ and $w^{\prime}[1, r-p] \subset X_{1} X_{2}$. Hence, $v^{\prime}[1, r-p]$ is contained both in $X_{1} X_{2}$ and in $X_{2} X_{1}$; see Figure 1. By Lemma 1.4, we have $X_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} X_{1}$ and, by Lemma 1.3, there exists a full word $Y$ such that both $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are integer powers of $Y$.

Since $w^{\prime}$ starts inside $v^{\prime}$ at the position $\left|X_{2}\right|+1$, we may use Lemma 3.1 and we notice that in all cases of (3.1) the full word $V$ can be written in the form $\left(X_{2}\right)^{m}\left(\widehat{X}_{2}\right)^{n} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $m$ and $n$ are suitably chosen non-negative integers, $\widehat{X}_{2}=$ $\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and there exists a partial word with one hole contained in both $X_{2}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}$. Hence, there is at most one position where $X_{2}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}$ may differ. Moreover, since $X_{2}$ is an integer power of $Y$, it follows that $X_{2}=Y^{k}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}=Y^{i} \widehat{Y} Y^{j}$, where $i+j+1=k$ and there exists a partial word $y$ with one hole compatible with both $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$. Note that $\widehat{X}_{2}$ is defined as above even if $n=0$.


Figure 2. Illustration of case (a), where $X_{1}=\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{3}, X_{2}=$ $\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{2}, \widehat{X}_{2}=\left(Y_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}=\left(Y_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}\right) Y_{1}$.

Now consider the word $z=\operatorname{suf}_{|Y|}(v)$. Let us denote $Y=Y_{1} Y_{2}, \widehat{Y}=\widehat{Y}_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}$ and $y=y_{1} y_{2}$, where $\left|Y_{1}\right|=\left|\widehat{Y}_{1}\right|=\left|y_{1}\right|=q-\lfloor q /|Y|\rfloor|Y|$. Since a partial word $y$ with only one hole satisfies $y \subset Y_{1} Y_{2}$ and $y \subset \widehat{Y}_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}$, we conclude that either $\widehat{Y}_{1}=Y_{1}$ or $\widehat{Y}_{2}=Y_{2}$. Hence, by the form of $V$, we have three possibilities: (a) $z \subset \widehat{Y}_{2} Y_{1}$, (b) $z \subset Y_{2} \widehat{Y}_{1}$, and (c) $z \subset Y_{2} Y_{1}$. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{suf}_{\left|X_{1}\right|}(v)=u^{\prime}\left[1,\left|X_{1}\right|\right]$ is contained in $X_{1}$, which is an integer power of $Y$, we have $z \subset Y=Y_{1} Y_{2}$.

Suppose first that $z$ is a full word and consider the case (a); see Figure 2. Now the full word $z$ is equal to $\widehat{Y_{2}} Y_{1}=Y_{1} Y_{2}$ and we may use Lemma 1.5 to conclude that $\widehat{Y}_{2}=Z_{1} Z_{2}, Y_{1}=\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{r} Z_{1}$ for some integer $r$, and $Y_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$. However, we know that there exists the word $y_{2}$ which is contained in both $\widehat{Y}_{2}=Z_{1} Z_{2}$ and $Y_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$. By Lemma 1.4, this means that $Z_{1} Z_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$ and, by Lemma 1.3, there exists a word $\alpha$ such that both $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$. Hence, it follows that $Y_{1}, Y_{2}=\widehat{Y}_{2}$, and consequently, $Y=\widehat{Y}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$. Moreover, the words $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are integer powers of $Y$ and therefore also integer powers of $\alpha$. Since the prefix $\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$ of $\widehat{X}_{2}=X_{2}$ must be of the form $\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)^{s} Y_{1}$, we conclude that also $V$ and $U=V X_{1}^{-1}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v v^{\prime}[1+|\alpha|, 2 p+|\alpha|] \subset V V[1,2 p]=U^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $u u^{\prime}$ is not the last compatible occurrence of $U^{2}$, which is a contradiction.
If $z$ is a full word, case (b) is symmetric to case (a). In case (c), we immediately have $Y_{1} Y_{2}=Y_{2} Y_{1}$ and, by Lemma 1.3, both $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are powers of the same word. This gives a contradiction the same way as above.

Suppose next that there is a hole in $z$, i.e., $v(h)=\diamond$ for some position $h$. Recall that $V=\left(X_{2}\right)^{m}\left(\widehat{X}_{2}\right)^{n} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $X_{2}=Y^{k}, \widehat{X}_{2}=Y^{i} \widehat{Y} Y^{j}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$ is a prefix of $\widehat{X}_{2}$. Denote $l=\left\lfloor q /\left|X_{2}\right|\right\rfloor$. Since $\left|X_{2}\right|$ is a multiple of $|Y|=|z|$, we have either $h>l\left|X_{2}\right|$ or $h \in\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]$.

Assume first that $h>l\left|X_{2}\right|$. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain $V=\widehat{X}_{2}^{n^{\prime}} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $n^{\prime}=m+n$. Thus, this means that $X_{2}=\widehat{X}_{2}, Y=\widehat{Y}$ and, consequently, $z \subset Y_{2} Y_{1}$. Since we have also shown above that $z \subset Y_{1} Y_{2}$, we may use Lemma 1.4 and

Lemma 1.3 to conclude that $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are integer powers of some word $\alpha$. As in case (a), we obtain (3.6), which is a contradiction.

Finally, assume that $h \in\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]$ and let $v_{l}$ denote the partial word $v\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]$. By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $V=X_{2}^{m^{\prime}} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $m^{\prime}=m+n$. Set $z=z_{2} z_{1}$, where $\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|Y_{1}\right|$. Since $\left|X_{2}\right|$ is a multiple of $|Y|=|z|$, we have $\left|\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\left|Y_{1}\right|=\left|z_{1}\right|$. Hence, the hole must occur in $z_{2}$, which is a suffix of $v_{l}$, and $z_{1}$ is the full word $\widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$. By the compatibility of $v$ and $v^{\prime}$, it follows that $z_{1} \uparrow \operatorname{suf}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(w^{\prime}\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]\right)$. Since the words $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ are compatible and $w\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]=v_{l}$, we also have $\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(w^{\prime}\left[(l-1)\left|X_{2}\right|+1, l\left|X_{2}\right|\right]\right) \uparrow \operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right)$. The length of $v_{l}$ is a multiple of $|Y|$. Therefore, the hole occurring in the suffix $z_{2}$ cannot occur in $\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right)$. Hence, the words $z_{1}, \operatorname{suf}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right)$ are full words, which implies that $z_{1}=\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right)$. Since $V=X_{2}^{m^{\prime}} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, we conclude that $v_{l} \subset X_{2}=Y^{k}$. Thus, it follows that $z_{1}=\operatorname{pref}_{\left|Y_{1}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right)=Y_{1}$ and $z_{2}=\operatorname{suf}_{\left|Y_{2}\right|}\left(v_{l}\right) \subset Y_{2}$. In other words, we have $z=z_{2} z_{1} \subset Y_{2} Y_{1}$. Since also $z \subset Y_{1} Y_{2}$, we use Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.3 to conclude that $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ and, consequently, $Y, X_{2}$ and $X_{1}$ are integer powers of some word $\alpha$. Since we may write $V=X_{2}^{m^{\prime}} Y_{1}$, also the words $V$ and $U=V X^{-1}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$ and (3.6) follows. Once again we end up in a contradiction.
Case B. Assume that the hole occurs in $u[1, q-p]$. Hence, we may denote $U[1, r-p]=X_{1} X_{2}, V[1, r-p]=\widetilde{X}_{1} X_{2}$ and $W[1, r-p]=\widehat{X}_{1} X_{2}$, where $u[1, q-p]$ is contained in $X_{1}, \widetilde{X}_{1}$ and $\widehat{X}_{1}$. Since $u^{\prime}[q+p+1, r-p]=X_{2}$ and $w^{\prime}[1, q-p]=\widehat{X}_{1}$, it follows that $\tilde{v}^{\prime}[1, r-p]=\widetilde{X}_{1} X_{2}=X_{2} \widehat{X}_{1}$. By Lemma 1.5, there exist $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ such that $\widetilde{X}_{1}=Z_{1} Z_{2}, \widehat{X}_{1}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$ and $X_{2}=\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{r} Z_{1}$ for some integer $r$. Since $u[1, q-p] \subset \widetilde{X}_{1}$ and $u[1, q-p] \subset \widehat{X}_{1}$, it follows that $Z_{1} Z_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$ by Lemma 1.4. Hence, by Lemma 1.3, there exists a word $Y$ such that $\widetilde{X}_{1}=\widehat{X}_{1}=Y^{k}$ and $X_{2}=Y^{l}$ for some integers $k$ and $l$. Since there is only one hole in $u[1, q-p]$ and $u[1, q-p]$ is contained in both $X_{1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{1}$, we may write $X_{1}=Y^{i} \widehat{Y} Y^{j}$, where $i+j+1=k$ and there is a word $y$ with one hole compatible with both $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$.

By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $V=X_{2}^{m+1} \widehat{X}_{2}^{n} \widehat{X}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $X_{2}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}$ are compatible, $m$ and $n$ are integers, and the hole occurs in $v\left[m\left|X_{2}\right|+1,(m+1)\left|X_{2}\right|\right]$. Since the position of the hole in $v$ is at most $q-p=\left|X_{1}\right|$, it follows that $m\left|X_{2}\right|<\left|X_{1}\right|$. Since $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|\widehat{X}_{1}\right|$ and $\left|X_{2}\right|=\left|\widehat{X}_{2}\right|$, we have $\left|X_{2}^{m+1} \widehat{X}_{2}\right|<\left|X_{2} \widehat{X}_{1} X_{2}\right|$. Hence, the word $X_{2}^{m+1} \widehat{X}_{2}$ is a prefix of $v^{\prime}[1,2 r-q-p]=u^{\prime}[q-p+1, r-p] w^{\prime}[1, r-p]=$ $X_{2} \widehat{X}_{1} X_{2}=Y^{2 l+k}$. Since $\left|X_{2}\right|=\left|\widehat{X}_{2}\right|=l|Y|$, it follows that $X_{2}=\widehat{X}_{2}=Y^{l}$ and $V=Y^{n^{\prime}} Y_{1}$, where $n^{\prime}$ is an integer and $Y=Y_{1} Y_{2}$.

As in Case A, consider the (full) word $z=\operatorname{suf}_{|Y|}(v)$ and denote $\widehat{Y}=\widehat{Y}_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}$ and $y=y_{1} y_{2}$, where $\left|Y_{1}\right|=\left|\widehat{Y}_{1}\right|=\left|y_{1}\right|$. Recall that $y$ is a word with one hole contained in both $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$. Hence, we have either $\widehat{Y}_{1}=Y_{1}$ or $\widehat{Y}_{2}=Y_{2}$. Since $X_{1}=Y^{i} \widehat{Y} Y^{j}=u^{\prime}[1, q-p]$ is a suffix of $V$, there are three possibilities: (a) $z=$ $\widehat{Y}_{1} Y_{2}$, (b) $z=Y_{1} \widehat{Y}_{2}$ or (c) $z=Y_{1} Y_{2}$. On the other hand, the structure of $V$ implies that $z=Y_{2} Y_{1}$ and, as in Case A, all the subcases (a)-(c) lead to a contradiction.

Case C. Assume that the hole occurs in $u[q-p+1, r-p]$. Now we have $U[1, r-p]=X_{1} X_{2}, V[1, r-p]=X_{1} \widetilde{X}_{2}, W[1, r-p]=X_{1} \widehat{X}_{2}$ and $u[q-p+1, r-p]$ is contained in $X_{2}, \widetilde{X}_{2}$ and $\widehat{X}_{2}$. Since $u^{\prime}[q+p+1, r-p]=X_{2}$ and $w^{\prime}[1, q-p]=X_{1}$, it follows that $v^{\prime}[1, r-p]=X_{1} \widetilde{X}_{2}=X_{2} X_{1}$. By Lemma 1.5, there exists $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ such that $X_{2}=Z_{1} Z_{2}, \widetilde{X}_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$ and $X_{1}=\left(Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{r} Z_{1}$ for some integer $r$. Since $u[q-p+1, r-p]$ is contained in $X_{2}=Z_{1} Z_{2}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{2}=Z_{2} Z_{1}$, we conclude by Lemmas 1.4 and 1.3 that $X_{2}$ and $X_{1}$ are integer powers of some full word $Y$. We get a contradiction exactly the same way as in Case A.

As a corollary, we get the following result.
Corollary 3.3 [4]. If three distinct squares have their last compatible occurrences in a partial word with one hole starting at the same position, then the hole must be in the shortest square.

Proof. Let $z$ be a partial word with one hole. Assume that $u u^{\prime}, v v^{\prime}$ and $w w^{\prime}$, where $u \uparrow u^{\prime}, v \uparrow v^{\prime}$ and $w \uparrow w^{\prime}$, begin at the same position in $z$. Let these partial words be the last compatible occurrences of three distinct full squares in $z$. Assume also that $u u^{\prime}$ is a full word, i.e., $u=u^{\prime}$. This implies that $|w|<\left|u^{2}\right|$ as otherwise a word compatible with $u^{2}$ would appear later in the word. By Theorem 3.2, this is impossible. Hence, the hole must be in the shortest square.

Moreover, our proof for Theorem 3.2 gives a new proof for the original theorem of Fraenkel and Simpson (Thm. 1.1). Note that the proof can be considerably shortened and simplified if the words do not contain any holes. For the sake of completeness, we present the proof here.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that three words $u^{2}, v^{2}$ and $w^{2}$, where $|u|=p<$ $|v|=q<|w|=r$, are prefixes of some word $W$ and they do not occur later in the word. We must have $r<2 p$. Otherwise, the square $u^{2}$ would occur later as a prefix of $W[r+1,2 r]$.

Set $X_{1}=W[1, q-p]$ and $X_{2}=W[q-p+1, r-p]$. Since $p<q<r<2 p$ and the three squares start at the same position, we see (as in Fig. 1) that $X_{1} X_{2}=$ $W[q+1, q+r-p]=X_{2} X_{1}$ and, by Lemma 1.3, there exists a full word $Y$ such that both $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are integer powers of $Y$.

Since $w$ starts inside the second $v$ at the position $\left|X_{2}\right|+1$ and $X_{2}$ is a prefix of both $v$ and $w$, the word $v$ is of the form $\left(X_{2}\right)^{m} X_{2}^{\prime}$, where $m$ is a non-negative integer and $X_{2}^{\prime}$ is a prefix of $X_{2}$. Let us denote $Y=Y_{1} Y_{2}$, where $\left|Y_{1}\right|=q-\lfloor q /|Y|\rfloor|Y|$. Since $X_{2}$ is an integer power of $Y$, it follows that $v=Y^{n} Y_{1}$, where $n$ is a nonnegative integer. Hence, we have $\operatorname{suf}_{|Y|}(v)=Y_{2} Y_{1}$. On the other hand, $X_{1}$ is an integer power of $Y$ and a suffix of $v$, which implies that $\operatorname{suf}_{|Y|}(v)=Y_{1} Y_{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 1.3, there exists a word $\alpha$ such that both $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$. Moreover, this means that $v$ and $u=v X_{1}^{-1}$ are integer powers of $\alpha$. We conclude that $W[1+|\alpha|, 2 p+|\alpha|]=u^{2}$, which is a contradiction.

Next we use Theorem 3.2 to show that the number of distinct squares in a partial word with one hole does not depend on the size of the alphabet. This may
be surprising since the maximum of $s_{w}(i)$ is dependent on the alphabet size as was shown in the previous section.

Theorem 3.4. For any partial word $w$ with one hole, we have $S q(w)<4|w|$.
Proof. Suppose that $w(j)=\diamond$ and denote $n=|w|$. If $s_{w}(i)=3$, then $i<j$ and the last compatible occurrence of the shortest square must contain a hole by Corollary 3.3. Hence, the length of the shortest square is at least $j-i+1$. By Theorem 3.2, the suffix of $w$ beginning after the hole must be at least as long as the shortest square. Thus, we must have $n-j>j-i+1$. If $s_{w}(i)=4$, Theorem 3.2 does not give much information as already the second largest square is twice as long as the shortest. However, if $s_{w}(i)=5$, we may consider only the three largest squares, where the length of the shortest one is at least $2(j-i+1)$. Hence, the longest square must be twice as long as the shortest and, therefore, $n-j>3(j-i+1)$. By induction, we conclude that $n-j>\left(2^{k-1}-1\right)(j-i+1)$ whenever $s_{w}(i)=2 k$. In other words, we have an estimate $s_{w}(i) \leq 2 k$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=1+\log _{2}\left(\frac{n-i+1}{j-i+1}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that here we assume that the size of the alphabet is large enough. Hence, (3.7) gives us an upper bound on the number of distinct squares in $w$.

$$
S q(w) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(1+\log _{2}\left(\frac{n-i+1}{j-i+1}\right)\right)+2(n-j-1)
$$

Here the last term $2(n-j-1)$ corresponds to the positions after the hole. We have $s_{w}(i) \leq 2$ for $i=j+1, j+2, \ldots, n-1$ by Theorem 1.1 and the last position cannot contain any squares. Using the natural logarithm ln, we may write

$$
S q(w) \leq \frac{2}{\ln 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \ln (n-i+1)-\sum_{i=1}^{j} \ln (j-i+1)\right)+2 n-2
$$

Since $\ln (n-i+1)$ and $\ln (j-i+1)$ are strictly decreasing in $i$, we may estimate that $S q(w) \leq f(j)$, where

$$
f(j)=\frac{2}{\ln 2}\left(\ln n+\int_{1}^{j} \ln (n-x+1) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{0}^{j-1} \ln (j-x) \mathrm{d} x\right)+2 n-2
$$

By integrating, we obtain

$$
f(j)=\frac{2}{\ln 2}(\ln n-(n-j+1) \ln (n-j+1)+n \ln n-j \ln j)+2 n-2
$$

The maximum value of $f(j)$ in the interval $[1, n-2]$ is obtained at the critical point $j=(n+1) / 2$, where

$$
f(j)=4 n+\frac{2(1+n)}{\ln 2} \ln \left(\frac{n}{1+n}\right) \leq 4 n-\frac{2}{\ln 2}
$$

Note that if $j>n-2$, then $S q(w) \leq 2 n$. Hence, we have proved that $S q(w)<4 n$ regardless of the size of the alphabet.

However, by Theorem 2.1, we get better estimates if the size of the alphabet is restricted. As a final theorem, let us consider binary words.

Theorem 3.5. For any binary partial word $w$ containing one hole, we have $S q(w)<3 n$.

Proof. Suppose that $w(j)=\diamond$. Since $w$ is a binary partial word, Theorem 2.1 implies that $s_{w}(i) \leq 4$ for every position $i$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 restricts the number of position, where $s_{w}(i)>2$. If $j \geq n / 2$, then these positions are in the interval $[2 j-n+1, j]$. Hence, $(n-j)$ positions may have $s_{w}(j)=4$. Moreover, we have $s_{w}(n)=0$. This gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
S q(w)<4(n-j)+2 j=4 n-2 j \leq 3 n \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $j \in[n / 2, n]$. Similarly, if $j<n / 2$, then $s_{w}(i)=4$ is possible only for position $i$ in the interval $[1, j]$. Thus, for $j \in[1, n / 2)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S q(w)<4 j+2(n-j)=2 n+2 j<3 n \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by inequalities (3.8) and (3.9), the claim follows.
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