

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ALBERTO BRESSAN

**Nonexistence of solutions for differential inclusions  
with upper semicontinuous nonconvex right-hand side**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 79 (1988), p. 297-299

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1988\\_\\_79\\_\\_297\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1988__79__297_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Nonexistence of Solutions for Differential Inclusions with Upper Semicontinuous Nonconvex Right-Hand Side.

ALBERTO BRESSAN (\*)

This note is concerned with the «feedback» differential inclusion

$$(1) \quad \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$(2) \quad u(t) \in R(x(t)),$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous and  $R: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is an upper semicontinuous multifunction with compact, convex values. Under various additional conditions on  $f$  and  $R$ , the existence of a Carathéodory solution for the Cauchy problem (1) with the constraints (2) was proved in [2]. The two counterexamples given in this note show that, in the general case, the problem (1), (2) need not have solutions. In the first example,  $f$  is a quadratic function of  $u$ , independent of  $x$ . In the second example, the upper semicontinuous map  $R$  is «best possible» and  $f$  is  $C^\infty$ . Still, the viability conditions used in [2] fail, and no solution is found.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the function

$$(3) \quad \varphi(x) = x \cdot \cos \frac{1}{x} \quad \text{if } x \neq 0, \quad \varphi(0) = 0.$$

(\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Co. 80309, U.S.A.

Define the map  $f(u) = (1 - u^2, u)$  and the upper semicontinuous multifunction

$$(4) \quad R(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \{-1\} & \text{if } x_2 > \varphi(x_1), \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } x_2 = \varphi(x_1), \\ \{1\} & \text{if } x_2 < \varphi(x_1). \end{cases}$$

Then the problem (1), (2) has no solutions. Indeed, any trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  satisfying (1), (2) must be a nonconstant, Lipschitz continuous function of  $t$ , taking values inside the graph of  $\varphi$ . However, this is impossible because any continuous arc on the graph of  $\varphi$ , connecting the origin to any other point  $(x_1, \varphi(x_1))$ , has infinite length.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $\varphi$  be as in (3) and let  $\psi: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$  function such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x_1, x_2) > 0 & \text{if } x_2 > \varphi(x_1), \\ \psi(x_1, x_2) = 0 & \text{if } x_2 \leq \varphi(x_1). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\gamma: [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$  function such that

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(u) < 0 & \text{if } u \in [-2, -1), \\ \gamma(u) = 0 & \text{if } u \in [-1, 1], \\ \gamma(u) > 0 & \text{if } u \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Consider the  $C^\infty$  function  $f: \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, u) &= (f_1, f_2, f_3) = \\ &= (1 - u^2, u, \gamma(u) + (u + 1)\psi(x_1, x_2) + (u - 1)\psi(-x_1, -x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

and define the upper semicontinuous map  $R: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$R(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} \{-2\} & \text{if } x_3 > 0, \\ [-2, 2] & \text{if } x_3 = 0, \\ \{2\} & \text{if } x_3 < 0. \end{cases}$$

For these maps  $f$  and  $R$ , the Cauchy problem (1), (2) on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  has no solution. Indeed, if  $x_3 > 0$ ,

$$f(x, u) = f(x, -2) = (-3, -2, \gamma(-2) - \Psi(x_1, x_2) - 3\psi(-x_1, -x_2)),$$

hence  $f_3 < 0$ . If  $x_3 < 0$ ,

$$f(x, u) = f(x, 2) = (-3, 2, \gamma(2) + 3\psi(x_1, x_2) + \psi(-x_1, -x_2)),$$

hence  $f_3 > 0$ . No solution can thus leave the plane  $x_3 = 0$ .

Any solution of (1), (2) therefore has the form  $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), 0)$ . This yields the two-dimensional problem

$$(5) \quad (\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2) = (1 - u^2, u),$$

$$(6) \quad u \in [-2, 2] \cap \{u; f_3(x, u) = 0\}.$$

The choice of the functions  $\psi$  and  $\gamma$ , used in the definition of  $f$ , implies that the problem (5), (6) is precisely the one considered in Example 1, hence it has no solutions.

#### REFERENCES

[1] J. P. AUBIN - A. CELLINA, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.  
 [2] J. P. AUBIN - H. FRANKOWSKA, *Trajectoires lourdes de systèmes contrôlés*, C. R. Acad. Paris Sér. I Math., **298** (1984), pp. 521-524.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 18 giugno 1987.