

BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

P. HILL

C. MEGIBBEN

Minimal pure subgroups in primary groups

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 92 (1964), p. 251-257

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1964__92__251_0

© Bulletin de la S. M. F., 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Bulletin de la S. M. F. » (<http://smf.emath.fr/Publications/Bulletin/Presentation.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

MINIMAL PURE SUBGROUPS IN PRIMARY GROUPS ;

BY

PAUL HILL AND CHARLES MEGIBBEN

(Auburn, Alabama).

Throughout all groups are assumed to be primary abelian groups, and all topological references are to the p -adic topology. By a subsocle of a group we mean a subgroup of the socle. Thus S is a subsocle of G if S is a subgroup of G and if $px = 0$ for all x in S . Let H be a subgroup of G . If among the pure subgroups of G which contain H there exists a minimal one, we say that H is contained in, or is imbedded in, a minimal pure subgroup in G . B. CHARLES studied minimal pure subgroups in [1]; he asserted that each of the conditions

(1) H is a subsocle of G

and

(2) There is a pure subgroup of G contained in H which is dense in H

is sufficient for the existence of a minimal pure subgroup for H in G provided G is without elements of infinite height. HEAD showed in [4] that condition (2) is not sufficient, and one of the authors showed in [6] that neither is condition (1).

In this paper we characterize the groups G in which each subgroup is imbedded in a minimal pure subgroup. The characterization is : G is the sum of a divisible and a bounded group. We give a short proof of a theorem of IRWIN and WALKER [5] and give a solution to a new generalization of Fuchs' Problem 4. Some results are also given concerning minimal pure subgroups for subsocles.

It was shown in [3] that most groups have neat dense subgroups which do not contain basic subgroups. The following theorem shows, however, that if a neat subgroup has a dense subsocle, then it must contain a basic subgroup.

THEOREM 1. — *Let S be a dense subsole of G , $\bar{S} = G[p]$. If H is maximal in G with respect to $H[p] = S$, then H is pure and dense in G .*

PROOF. — Let H be maximal in G with respect to $H[p] = S$. Then H is neat in G , that is, $H \cap pG = pH$. We need to show that $H \cap p^n G = p^n H$ for all natural numbers n ; our proof is by induction. Assume that $H \cap p^n G = p^n H$ and suppose that $p^{n+1}x \in H$. Since H is neat, there is an $h_0 \in H$ such that $p^{n+1}x = ph_0$. The element $p^n x - h_0$ is in $G[p]$. Since S is dense in $G[p]$, there is an $s \in S$ such that $p^n x - h_0 - s$ is in $p^n G$. By the induction hypothesis, there is an $h_1 \in H$ such that $p^n h_1 = h_0 + s$. Thus $p^{n+1}h_1 = ph_0 = p^{n+1}x$ and H is pure.

Since H is pure, any element of order p in G/H can be represented by an element of order p in G . Therefore, the density of $H[p] = S$ in $G[p]$ implies that each element of order p in G/H has infinite height. Hence G/H is divisible, that is, H is dense in G .

COROLLARY 1 (IRWIN and WALKER [5]). — *Let N be a subgroup of G' , the elements of infinite height in G . If H is maximal in G with respect to $H \cap N = 0$, then H is pure in G .*

PROOF. — The maximality of H implies that H is neat. Thus H cannot be enlarged without enlarging its sole. Since $G[p] = H[p] + N[p]$, $H[p]$ is dense in $G[p]$.

One may generalize problem 4 in [2] by replacing the subgroup G' by an arbitrary fully invariant subgroup. The solution to the generalized problem is contained in the following corollary and a well known result of Szele.

COROLLARY 2. — *Let F be a fully invariant subgroup of G and let A be a subgroup of G such that $A \cap F = 0$. Then A is contained in a pure subgroup H of G such that $H \cap F = 0$.*

PROOF. — If $F \subseteq G'$, the conclusion follows from the preceding corollary. Assume that F is not contained in G' . Let $\sum B_n$ be the standard decomposition of a basic subgroup B of G into homogeneous groups B_n . Define $A_1 = G$ and $A_{n+1} = \{B_{n+1}, B_{n+2}, \dots, p^n G\}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $G = B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_n + A_{n+1}$. Since F is fully invariant with elements of finite height in G , $F[p] = A_m[p]$ where m is the smallest positive integer such that $F \cap B_m \neq 0$.

It follows from [2] (theorem 22.2) that A_m is an absolute direct summand of G . Hence if H is maximal with respect to $H \cap F = 0$, then H is maximal with respect to $H \cap A_m = 0$ and is a direct summand of G ; in particular, H is pure in G .

The following theorem, which is of independent interest, (eventually) implies that most groups have subgroups which are not imbedded in minimal pure subgroups.

THEOREM 2. — *Let L be a subgroup of G . If H is a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L , then $H = A + K$ where A is bounded and $K[p] = L[p]$.*

PROOF. — There is no pure subgroup of H properly between L and H . It follows from theorem 1 that every subsocle of H which contains $L[p]$ is closed in $H[p]$.

Define $S_n = L[p] \cap p^n H$ and let $S_n = Q_n + S_{n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The height in H of each nonzero element of Q_n is exactly n . Moreover, if C_n is (zero or) a direct sum of cyclic groups of order p^n such that $C_n[p] = Q_{n-1}$, then $C = \sum C_n$ is pure in H . Extend C to a basic subgroup $B = A + C$ of H .

Suppose that there is an element x of order p in $A \cap L$. Since x is in A , it has finite height t in H . The closure (in H) of $C[p]$ contains $L[p]$. Thus $x = p^{t+1}h + c$ where $c \in C[p]$ and $h \in H$. This implies that $x - c$ has height greater than t in H and, consequently, in B since B is pure in H . This is impossible since $B = A + C$, so $A \cap L = 0$.

Assume that A is unbounded. Then it has a proper basic subgroup A_1 . Since $B = A + C$ is basic in H , $B_1 = A_1 + C$ is basic in H . Thus

$$\overline{A_1[p] + L[p]} \supseteq \overline{B_1[p]} = H[p].$$

Since this contradicts the fact that $A_1[p] + L[p]$ is a proper closed subsocle of H , we conclude that A is bounded.

Let $p^m A = 0$. An argument similar to the one given above for the proof that $A \cap L = 0$ shows that $A \cap \{C, p^m H\} = 0$. Now we have that

$$H = \{B, p^m H\} = \{A + C, p^m H\} = A + \{C, p^m H\}.$$

Define $K = \{C, p^m H\}$. The purity of C implies that

$$K[p] = \{C[p], p^m H[p]\}.$$

Since $L[p]$ is closed in the socle of H , $C[p]$ does not have limit points in the socle of H outside of $L[p]$. But $p^m C[p]$ is dense in $p^m H[p]$ since $p^m C$ is basic in $p^m H$. Thus $p^m H[p] \subseteq L[p]$ and therefore $K[p] \subseteq L[p]$. Since $H[p] = A[p] + K[p]$ and since $A \cap L = 0$, it follows that $K[p] = L[p]$.

PROPOSITION 1. — *If each subgroup of G is contained in a minimal pure subgroup of G , then G has a bounded basic subgroup.*

PROOF. — Suppose that $B = \sum B_n$ is a basic subgroup of G where $B_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many n and is a homogeneous group of degree n . Choose a sequence $n(i)$ of positive integers such that $n(i+1) - n(i) \geq 2$ and such that $B_{n(i)} \neq 0$. Define

$$t(i) = n(2i+1) - n(2i) - 1$$

and let

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ b_{n(2i)} + p^{t(i)} b_{n(2i+1)} \}$$

where $\{ b_{n(i)} \}$ is a nonzero direct summand of $B_{n(i)}$. Suppose that H is a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L . By theorem 2, $H = A + K$ where A is bounded and $K[p] = L[p]$.

Let $p^n A = 0$. Then $p^m H[p] \subseteq L[p]$. Let $G = \{ b_{n(2i)} \} + \{ b_{n(2i+1)} \} + G_0$. Since $p^{n(2i+1)-1} b_{n(2i+1)}$ is in L , there is an element $h_0 = j b_{n(2i)} + b_{n(2i+1)} + g_0$ in H where j is an integer, $g_0 \in G_0$, and

$$p^{n(2i+1)-1} h_0 = p^{n(2i+1)-1} b_{n(2i+1)}.$$

Now the element

$$h_1 = (b_{n(2i)} + p^{t(i)} b_{n(2i+1)}) - p^{t(i)} h_0 = b_{n(2i)} - p^{t(i)} (j b_{n(2i)} + g_0)$$

is in H . Since $p^{n(2i)-1} h_1 = p^{n(2i)-1} b_{n(2i)}$ and since $p^m H[p] \subseteq L[p]$, we conclude that L contains $p^{n(2i)-1} b_{n(2i)}$ if $i \geq m$. However, it is immediate from the definition of L that this is impossible, so L is not contained in a minimal pure subgroup of G .

PROPOSITION 2. — *If G is a bounded group, each subgroup of G is contained in a minimal pure subgroup of G .*

PROOF. — Our proof is by induction on n where $p^n G = 0$. If $p G = 0$, every subgroup is pure. Suppose that L is a subgroup of G and that $p^{n+1} G = 0$. Since a homogeneous subgroup of G of degree $n+1$ is an absolute direct summand, we may assume that $p^n G \subseteq L$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{n+1} + C_n, \\ p G \cap C_n &= L_n + C_{n-1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ p^n G \cap C_1 &= L_1, \end{aligned}$$

where L_i is a homogeneous group of degree i with L_{n+1} chosen maximal in L and L_i chosen maximal in $p^{n+1-i} G \cap C_i$ for $i = n, n-1, \dots, 1$. Observe that there are homogeneous subgroups B_i of G of degree $n+1$

such that $B_i \supseteq L_i$ and $B_i[p] = L_i[p]$. Define $B = \sum B_i$. Then $B[p] = p^n G \cap L = p^n G$.

Since B is an absolute direct summand of G , there are decompositions

$$\{L, B\} = K + B$$

and

$$G = H + B$$

such that $H \supseteq K$. Since $p^n G = B[p]$, $p^n H = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, K is contained in a minimal pure subgroup A of H . We prove that $A + B$ is a minimal pure subgroup of G containing L .

Suppose that S is a pure subgroup of $A + B$ containing L . We wish to show that $S = A + B$. Proceeding by induction, assume that $p^i A \subseteq S$ and that $p^{i+1} B \subseteq S$. From these two conditions it follows that $p^i B \subseteq S$, and it remains to show that $p^{i-1} A \subseteq S$. Routine considerations show that it suffices to prove that $p^{i-1} A[p] \subseteq S$.

Let $T = S \cap p^{i-1} A[p]$ and let $p^{i-1} A[p] = T + R$. Assume that $R \neq 0$. Choose a pure subgroup R^* of A such that $R^*[p] = R$. Observe that R^* is homogeneous of degree i . From the construction of B , it can be shown that $p^{i-1} A \cap K \subseteq \{L, p^i B\}$. From this fact it follows that $R^* \cap \{p^i A, K\} = 0$. Choose a subgroup $F \supseteq \{p^i A, K\}$ and maximal in A with respect to $F \cap R^* = 0$. Since A is minimal pure for K in H , F cannot be pure in A . Hence $R^* + F$ is a proper subgroup of A . Choose an element $a \in A$ such that $a \notin R^* + F$ and such that $pa \in R^* + F$. Letting $pa = r^* + f$ where $r^* \in R^*$ and $f \in F$, we obtain contradictory statements: r^* has height zero in R^* ; and $p^{i-1} r^* = 0$. We conclude that $R = 0$, that is, $p^{i-1} A[p] \subseteq S$.

COROLLARY 3. — *Let L be a subgroup of G . If the heights (computed in G) of the elements of L are bounded, then L is contained in a minimal pure subgroup (direct summand) of G .*

PROOF. — There is a positive integer n such that $L \cap p^n G = 0$. The group $p^n G$ is a fully invariant subgroup of G . Apply corollary 2 and proposition 2.

Now consider the case where G is the sum of a divisible group D and a bounded group B , $G = D + B$. Let L be a subgroup of G . In order to show that L is contained in a minimal pure subgroup of G , we may assume that $D[p] \subseteq L$ since a divisible subgroup is an absolute direct summand. In this case, H is minimal pure for L if H/D is minimal pure for $\{L, D\}/D$ in G/D , a bounded group. This completes the proof of

THEOREM 3. — *Each subgroup of G is contained in a minimal pure subgroup of G if and only if G is the sum of a divisible group and a bounded group.*

We now turn our attention to the question of the existence of minimal pure subgroups for subsocles. Theorem 2 shows that if a subsocle S is imbedded in a minimal pure subgroup in G , then S supports a pure subgroup, that is, there is a pure subgroup H of G such that $H[p] = S$. Thus the question of whether or not a subsocle is imbedded in a minimal pure subgroup is just the question of whether or not that subsocle supports a pure subgroup. It is well known that every subsocle of a bounded group supports a pure subgroup.

PROPOSITION 3. — Let $S = \bigcup S_i$ be the union of an ascending sequence of subsocles S_i of G . If $S_i \cap p^i G = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then S supports a pure subgroup. Indeed, S supports a direct summand of a basic subgroup.

PROOF. — Since S_i is contained in a bounded direct summand of G , it supports a pure subgroup H_i of G . But $\{H_i, S_{i+1}\} \cap p^{i+1} G = 0$; hence $\{H_i, S_{i+1}\}$ is contained in a bounded direct summand B_{i+1} of G . Since H_i is bounded and pure in B_{i+1} , it is a direct summand of B_{i+1} ; let $B_{i+1} = H_i + A_{i+1}$. Then

$$S_{i+1} = H_i[p] + (A_{i+1} \cap S_{i+1}).$$

But $A_{i+1} \cap S_{i+1}$ supports a pure subgroup C_{i+1} in A_{i+1} since A_{i+1} is bounded. Let $H_{i+1} = H_i + C_{i+1}$. The union H of the ascending sequence of pure subgroups H_i of G is a pure subgroup of G with $H[p] = S$. Kulikov's criteria shows that H is a direct sum of cyclic groups (and therefore a direct summand of a basic subgroup of G).

COROLLARY 4. — If G is a direct sum of cyclic groups, then each subsocle S supports a pure subgroup.

PROOF. — Let $G = \sum B_i$ where B_i is (zero or) a homogeneous group of degree i and let $S_i = (B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_i) \cap S$. The conditions of proposition 3 are satisfied.

Following established terminology, we say that G is a closed group if it is the primary part of a complete direct sum of cyclic groups [2].

PROPOSITION 4. — Each subsocle of a closed group supports a pure subgroup.

PROOF. — Let S be a subsocle of a closed group G . Choose S_i such that $S \cap p^i G = S_i + (p^{i+1} G \cap S)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $T_0 = 0$, $T_i = S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_{i-1}$ if $i \geq 1$, and let $T = \bigcup T_i$. By proposition 3, T supports a direct summand B_1 of a basic subgroup B of G , $B = B_1 + B_2$. Since G is a closed group, $G = \overline{B_1} + \overline{B_2}$. Since T is

dense in S , $S \subseteq \bar{T}$. But $\bar{T} = \overline{B_1[p]} = \bar{B}_1[p]$. Thus S is a dense subsocle of \bar{B}_1 , a direct summand of G . The proof is completed by theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. — *If $G = A + B$ where A is a direct sum of cyclic groups and B is a closed group, then each subsocle of G supports a pure subgroup.*

PROOF. — By theorem 1, it suffices to prove that each closed subsocle of G supports a pure subgroup. Let S be a closed subsocle of G and let $S' = S \cap B$. Then S is a closed subsocle of B . By proposition 4, S' supports a pure subgroup C of B . Since S is closed, C is closed in B (and therefore is a closed group). Hence C is a direct summand of B ; let $B = C + K$. Then $S = S \cap (A + K) + S'$. Notice that $S \cap K = 0$. Define $S_i = (A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_i + K) \cap S$ where $A = \sum A_i$ is the standard decomposition of A . Then $S \cap (A + K) = \bigcup S_i$ and $S_i \cap p^i(A + K) = 0$. Thus by proposition 3, there is a pure subgroup of $A + K$ with $S \cap (A + K)$ as its socle, and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES.

- [1] CHARLES (B.). — Étude sur les sous-groupes d'un groupe abélien, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 88, 1960, p. 217-227.
- [2] FUCHS (L.). — *Abelian groups*. — Budapest, Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1958.
- [3] HEAD (T. J.). — Dense submodules, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.*, t. 13, 1962, p. 197-199.
- [4] HEAD (T. J.). — Remarks on a problem in primary abelian groups, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 91, 1963, p. 109-112.
- [5] IRWIN (J. M.) and WALKER (E. A.). — On N -high subgroups of abelian groups, *Pacific J. of Math.*, t. 11, 1961, p. 1363-1374.
- [6] MEGIBBEN (C.). — A note on a paper of Bernard CHARLES, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, t. 91, 1963, p. 453-454.

(Manuscrit reçu en juin 1964.)

Paul HILL and Charles MEGIBBEN,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Auburn University,
 Auburn, Alabama (États-Unis).