

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

MASAYUKI ITÔ

On the Green type kernels on the half space in \mathbb{R}^n

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 28, n° 2 (1978), p. 85-105

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1978__28_2_85_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE GREEN TYPE KERNELS ON THE HALF SPACE IN \mathbf{R}^n

by Masayuki ITÔ

1. Let \mathbf{R}^n be the $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Euclidian space and D be the half space $\{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; x_1 > 0\}$. For a point $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we write

$$\bar{x} = (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad |x| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

When $n \geq 3$, we put $G_2(x, y) = |x - y|^{2-n} - |x - \bar{y}|^{2-n}$ in $D \times D$. Then G_2 is the Green kernel on D . Analogously we set, for a number α with $0 < \alpha < n$,

$$G_\alpha(x, y) = |x - y|^{\alpha-n} - |x - \bar{y}|^{\alpha-n}$$

in $D \times D$, and we call it the Green type kernel of order α on D . The following question was proposed to me in a letter by H. L. Jackson: Does G_α also satisfy the domination principle provided that $0 < \alpha < 2$.

This paper is inspired by this question. Let $C_c(D)$ and $C(D)$ be the usual topological vector space of real-valued continuous functions in D with compact support and the usual topological vector space of real-valued continuous functions in D , respectively. We set

$$C_c^+(D) = \{f \in C_c(D); f \geq 0\}$$

and $C^+(D) = \{f \in C(D); f \geq 0\}$. For a given Hunt convo-

lution kernel κ on \mathbf{R}^n , we define the linear operator

$$V_\kappa : C_c(D) \ni f \rightarrow (\kappa * f - \kappa * \bar{f})_D \in C(D) \text{ (1),}$$

where \bar{f} is the reflection of f about the boundary ∂D of D and where $(\kappa * f - \kappa * \bar{f})_D$ is the restriction of

$$\kappa * f - \kappa * \bar{f}$$

to D . If V_κ is positive (that is, $f \geq 0 \implies V_\kappa f \geq 0$), we say that V_κ is the Green type kernel associated with κ .

The purpose of this paper is to show the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. — *Let κ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the resolvent associated with κ . Suppose that κ is symmetric with respect to ∂D . Then the following two conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D .
- (2) For each $p > 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa_p \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D .

THEOREM 2. — *Let κ be a Dirichlet convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and α be the singular measure (the Lévy measure) associated with κ . Suppose that κ is also symmetric with respect to ∂D . Then the following two conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) V_κ is a Dirichlet kernel on D .
- (2) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D .

This theorem gives immediately that the question raised by H. L. Jackson is affirmatively solved.

2. Let κ be a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n (2). Similarly we define V_κ . When V_κ is positive, we set

$$\mathcal{D}^+(V_\kappa) = \{f \in C^+(D); V_\kappa f \in C^+(D)\},$$

where

$$V_\kappa f(x) = \sup \{V_\kappa g(x); g \in C_c^+(D), g \leq f\}$$

(1) An $f \in C_c(D)$ may be considered as a finite continuous function in \mathbf{R}^n with compact support $\subset D$.

(2) In potential theory, a convolution kernel means a positive measure.

in D . Put $\mathcal{D}(V_x) = \{f \in C(D); f^+, f^- \in \mathcal{D}^+(V_x)\}$ and, for an $f \in \mathcal{D}(V_x)$, $V_x f = V_x f^+ - V_x f^-$. Then V_x is a linear operator from $\mathcal{D}(V_x)$ into $C(D)$.

LEMMA 3. — *Let κ and κ' be two convolution kernels on \mathbf{R}^n . Suppose that κ and κ' are symmetric with respect to ∂D and that the convolution $\kappa * \kappa'$ is defined. If V_x is positive, then, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $V_x f \in \mathcal{D}(V_x)$ and*

$$V_x(V_x f) = (\kappa * \kappa' * f - \kappa * \kappa' * \bar{f})_D.$$

Proof. — We may assume that $f \geq 0$. Since $\kappa * \kappa'$ is defined and $|V_x f| \leq \kappa' * f + \kappa' * \bar{f}$, we have $V_x f \in \mathcal{D}(V_x)$. Our convolution kernels κ and κ' being symmetric with respect to ∂D , $\kappa * \bar{f}(\bar{x}) = \kappa * f(x)$ and

$$\kappa' * \bar{f}(\bar{x}) = \kappa' * f(x).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we write $h(x) = V_x f(x)$ in D and $h(x) = 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^n - D$. Then, for a $g \in C_c^+(D)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int V_x(V_x f)(x)g(x) dx \\ &= \int (\kappa * h(x) - \kappa * \bar{h}(x))g(x) dx \\ &= \int h(x)\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx - \int \bar{h}(x)\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx \\ &= \int_D (\kappa' * f(x) - \kappa' * \bar{f}(x))\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n - D} (\kappa' * \bar{f}(x) - \kappa' * f(x))\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx \\ &= \int \kappa' * f(x)\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx - \int \kappa' * \bar{f}(x)\check{\kappa} * g(x) dx \\ &= \int \kappa * \kappa' * (f - \bar{f})(x)g(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\kappa}$ is the adjoint convolution kernel of κ ; that is, $\check{\kappa}(E) = \kappa(\{-x; x \in E\})$ for any Borel set E . Since g is arbitrary, we obtain the required equality.

Remark 4. — In the above lemma, we have $V_x f \in \mathcal{D}(V_x)$ and $V_x(V_x f) = V_{x'}(V_x f)$ provided that $V_{x'}$ is also positive.

LEMMA 5. — *Let κ be a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n . Suppose that κ is symmetric with respect to ∂D . Then V_x is positive if and only if $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D .*

Proof. — First we shall show the « if » part. For a $t \in (0, \infty)$, put $H_t = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; x_1 = t\}$ and

$$D' = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D; \int_{H_{2x_1}} dx = 0 \right\}.$$

It suffices to prove that, for any $f \in C_c^+(D)$ and any $x \in D'$, $\varkappa * f(x) \geq \varkappa * f(\bar{x})$, because $\int_{D-D'} dx = 0$ and

$$\varkappa * f(\bar{x}) = \varkappa * \bar{f}(x).$$

We choose a sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of non-negative, spherically symmetric and infinitely differentiable functions such that $\int \varphi_k dx = 1$ and that the support of φ_k , $\text{supp}(\varphi_k)$, is contained in $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; |x| < 1/k\}$. Then $\varkappa * \varphi_k$ is symmetric with respect to ∂D and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \varkappa * \varphi_k(x) \leq 0$ in

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; x_1 \geq 1/k\}.$$

Let $f \in C_c^+(D)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D'$. Then

$$\int_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq 1/m} f(y) \varkappa * \varphi_k(x - y) dy \geq \int_{|y_1 - x_1| \geq 1/m} f(y) \varkappa * \varphi_k(\bar{x} - y) dy$$

provided with $0 < m \leq k$. By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa * f(x) &= \int f(y) d\varkappa * \varepsilon_x(y) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n - H_{x_1}} f(y) d\varkappa * \varepsilon_x(y) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n - H_{\bar{x}_1}} f(y) d\varkappa * \varepsilon_{\bar{x}}(y) \\ &\geq \varkappa * f(\bar{x}) - \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^n} |f(z)| \right) \int_{H_{2x_1}} dx = \varkappa * f(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

where ε_x denote the unit measure at x . Since f and x are arbitrary, the « if » part is true.

Next we shall show the « only if » part. Suppose that the « only if » part is false. Then there exist a number $t > 0$, a point $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D$ with $x_1 > t$ and a non-negative, spherically symmetric and infinitely differentiable function φ in \mathbf{R}^n with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; |x| < t\}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \varkappa * \varphi(x) > 0$. Hence we can choose a number

$s > 0$ such that $s < x_1 - t$ and that, for every $y \in D$ with $|y| < s$, $\kappa * \varphi(x - y) < \kappa * \varphi(x - \bar{y})$. Since

$$\kappa * \varphi(x - \bar{y}) = \kappa * \varphi(\bar{x} - y),$$

we have, for an $f \neq 0 \in C_c^+(D)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(f) &\subset \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n; |y| < s\}, \\ \kappa * f * \varphi(x) &< \kappa * f * \varphi(\bar{x}) = \kappa * \bar{f} * \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the inequality $\kappa * f \geq \kappa * \bar{f}$ in D . Thus we see that the « only if » part is true.

In the same manner as above, we obtain the following

LEMMA 6. — *Let α be a positive measure in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$. Suppose that α is symmetric with respect to ∂D . If $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D , then, for any $f \in C_c^+(D)$,*

$$\int f(x - y) d\alpha(y) \geq \int \bar{f}(x - y) d\alpha(y)$$

in $D \cap C \text{ supp}(f)$.

3. We say that a convolution kernel κ on \mathbf{R}^n is a Hunt convolution kernel if $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t dt$, where $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a vaguely continuous semi-group of positive measures in \mathbf{R}^n ; that is, $\alpha_0 = \varepsilon$ (the Dirac measure), $\alpha_t * \alpha_s = \alpha_{t+s}$ ($\forall t \geq 0, \forall s \geq 0$) and the application $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0, \infty) \ni t \rightarrow \alpha_t$ is vaguely continuous. In this case, $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is uniquely determined (see, for example, [3]) and called the vaguely continuous semi-group associated with κ . For a $p \in \mathbf{R}^+$, put

$$\kappa_p = \int_0^\infty \exp(-pt) \alpha_t dt;$$

then $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ is called the resolvent associated with κ . This is characterized by a family $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ of convolution kernels on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying

$$\kappa_p - \kappa_q = (q - p) \kappa_p * \kappa_q (\forall p \geq 0, \forall q > 0)$$

and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \kappa_p = \kappa_0 = \kappa$ (vaguely).

LEMMA 7 (see [3] or Theorem 5 in [6]). — Let κ , $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the same as above. For a $p > 0$ and a $t > 0$, put

$$\alpha_{p,t} = \exp(-pt) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^k t^k}{k!} (p\kappa_p)^k \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{p,0} = \varepsilon;$$

then $(\kappa_{p,t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a vaguely continuous semi-group of positive measures and we have

$$\kappa + \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha_{p,t} dt \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{p,t} = \alpha_t \quad (\text{vaguely}) \quad (t \geq 0).$$

LEMMA 8. — Let $\kappa = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha_t dt$ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the resolvent associated with κ . If κ is symmetric with respect to ∂D , then, for any p and any t , κ_p and α_t are also symmetric with respect to ∂D .

Proof. — For a $p \geq 0$, we denote by $\bar{\kappa}_p$ the reflection of κ_p about ∂D . Evidently $(\bar{\kappa}_p)_{p \geq 0}$ is the resolvent associated with $\bar{\kappa}$. By using $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$ and the unicity of the resolvent associated with κ , we have, for each $p \geq 0$, $\kappa_p = \bar{\kappa}_p$. This means that κ_p is symmetric with respect to ∂D . This gives also that, for any $f \in C_c(D)$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-pt) f d\alpha_t dt = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-pt) \bar{f} d\alpha_t dt \quad (\forall p \geq 0).$$

The Laplace transformation being injective, we have, for each $t \geq 0$, $\int f d\alpha_t = \int \bar{f} d\alpha_t$. Hence, f being arbitrary, we see that α_t is symmetric with respect to ∂D .

Similarly we have the following

Remark 9. — If κ is symmetric with respect to the origin 0 (resp. spherically symmetric), then κ_p and α_t are also symmetric with respect to 0 (resp. spherically symmetric).

Let κ be a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n . We say that κ is a Dirichlet convolution kernel if the (generalised) Fourier transformation $\hat{\kappa}$ of κ is defined and equal to $\frac{1}{\psi}$, where ψ is a real-valued negative definite function in \mathbf{R}^n such that $\frac{1}{\psi}$

is locally summable. By virtue of the Lévy-Khinchine theorem, we have, for any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

$$\psi(x) = c + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j + \int (1 - \cos(2\pi x \cdot y)) d\alpha(y),$$

where c is a non-negative constant, $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ is a positive semi-definite form, $x \cdot y$ is the inner product in \mathbf{R}^n and where α is a positive measure in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ symmetric with respect to 0 and satisfying $\int |x|^2 / (1 + |x|^2) d\alpha(x) < \infty$. It is well-known that the above decomposition of ψ is unique. The positive measure α in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ is called the *singular measure* associated with κ . Since, for each $t \geq 0$, $\exp(-t\psi)$ is of positive type in \mathbf{R}^n , there exists a positive measure α_t in \mathbf{R}^n such that $\hat{\alpha}_t = \exp(-t\psi)$. Evidently $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a vaguely continuous semi-group of positive measures and $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t dt$. Hence a Dirichlet convolution kernel is a Hunt convolution kernel and symmetric with respect to 0.

4. A positive linear operator $V : C_c(D) \rightarrow C(D)$ is called a continuous kernel on D (Evidently V is continuous). Similarly as in the section 2, we define $\mathcal{D}^+(V)$ and $\mathcal{D}(V)$. We say that V is a Hunt kernel on D if $V = \int_0^\infty \tilde{V}_t dt$ (that is, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $Vf(x) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{V}_t f(x) dt$ in D), where $(\tilde{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous semi-group of continuous kernels on D ; that is, $\tilde{V}_0 = I$ (the identity), for any $t \geq 0$, $s \geq 0$ and any $f \in C_c(D)$, $\tilde{V}_t f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{V}_s)$, $\tilde{V}_s(\tilde{V}_t f) = \tilde{V}_t(\tilde{V}_s f) = \tilde{V}_{t+s} f$ and the application $\mathbf{R}^+ \ni t \rightarrow \tilde{V}_t f$ is continuous in $C(D)$. Similarly as in [3], we see that $(\tilde{V}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is uniquely determined, and we call it the continuous semi-group associated with V . For a $p \geq 0$, put $V_p = \int_0^\infty \exp(-pt) \tilde{V}_t dt$; then we call $(V_p)_{p \geq 0}$ the resolvent associated with V . It is known that, for any $p \geq 0$, $q > 0$ and any $f \in C_c(D)$, $V_p f \in \mathcal{D}(V_q)$, $V_q f \in \mathcal{D}(V_p)$,

$$V_p f - V_q f = (q - p)V_q(V_p f) = (q - p)V_p(V_q f)$$

(the resolvent equation) and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} V_p f = V_0 f = Vf$ in $C(D)$.

Let V_1 and V_2 two continuous kernels on D . If, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $V_2 f \in \mathcal{D}(V_1)$, the application $C_c(D) \ni f \rightarrow V_1(V_2 f)$ is positive linear, we denote it by $V_1 \cdot V_2$.

Remark 10 (see [2]). — A Hunt kernel V on D satisfies the domination principle; that is, for two $f, g \in C_c^+(D)$, $Vf \leq Vg$ on $\text{supp}(f)$ implies the same inequality on D .

5. We shall show Theorem 1 mentioned in the section 1.

(1) \implies (2). By Lemmas 5 and 8, it suffices to prove that, for each $p > 0$, V_{x_p} is positive. Let $(V_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the resolvent associated with V_x . Then, for an $f \in C_c^+(D)$ and a $p > 0$, $V_x f = (pV_x + I)(V_p f)$. On the other hand, Lemmas 3 and 8 give the $V_{x_p} f \in \mathcal{D}(V_x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V_x f &= (x * (f - \bar{f}))_D = ((px + \varepsilon) * x_p * (f - \bar{f}))_D \\ &= (pV_x + I)(V_{x_p} f). \end{aligned}$$

By using the resolvent equation, we have

$$V_p f - V_{x_p} f = (I - pV_p)((pV_x + I)(V_p f - V_{x_p} f)) = 0.$$

The function f being arbitrary, we have $V_p = V_{x_p}$, and hence V_{x_p} is positive.

(2) \implies (1). By Lemma 5, V_{x_p} is positive ($\forall p > 0$). Let α_p be the positive measure defined in Lemma 7 ($\forall p > 0, \forall t \geq 0$) and $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the vaguely continuous semi-group associated with x . By Lemmas 3 and 7,

$$V_{\alpha_p, t} = \exp(-pt) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^k t^k}{k!} (pV_{x_p})^k,$$

where $(pV_{x_p})^0 = I$, $(pV_{x_p})^1 = pV_{x_p}$ and

$$(pV_{x_p})^{n+1} = (pV_{x_p})^n \cdot (pV_{x_p}).$$

Therefore $V_{\alpha_p, t}$ is positive. From Lemma 7, it follows that, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} V_{\alpha_p, t} f = V_{\alpha_t} f$ in $C(D)$ ($\forall t \geq 0$). Hence V_{α_t} is positive. By using Lemma 3, we see that $(V_{\alpha_t})_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous semi-group of continuous kernels on D and that $V_x = \int_0^{\infty} V_{\alpha_t} dt$. Consequently V_x is a Hunt kernel on D . This completes the proof.

Question 11. — Let κ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$. Is it true that V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D provided that V_κ is positive?

Remark 12. — Let $k(x)$ be a non-negative continuous function in the wide sense in \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $k(x) = k(\bar{x})$. Suppose that $\kappa = k(x) dx$ is a Hunt convolution kernel and that V_κ is also a Hunt kernel on D . Put

$$G(x,y) = k(x-y) - k(x-\bar{y}) \quad \text{in} \quad D \times D.$$

If the function kernel $k(x-y)$ satisfies the continuity principle ⁽³⁾, then G satisfies the domination principle; that is, for two positive measures μ and ν in D with compact support and with $\int G\mu d\mu < \infty$, then $G\mu \leq G\nu$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ implies the same inequality in D , where

$$G\mu(x) = \int G(x,y) d\mu(y).$$

It is known that $k(x-y)$ satisfies the continuity principle when κ is a Dirichlet convolution kernel (see [4]).

We show this remark. We see that G also satisfies the continuity principle. Therefore it suffices to prove that, for a positive measure μ in D with compact support and an $x \in D$, $G\mu \leq G\epsilon_x$ in D provided that $G\mu \leq G\epsilon_x$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ and that $G\mu$ is finite continuous (see [8]). Since V_κ is a Hunt kernel, there exists $f \in C_c^+(D)$ such that $V_\kappa f = Gf \geq 1$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$, where $Gf(y) = \int G(y,z)f(z) dz$. Here we remark that μ is considered as a positive measure in \mathbf{R}^n . For a given positive number δ , there exists a neighborhood U of 0 such that, for any finite continuous function $\varphi \geq 0$ in \mathbf{R}^n with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset U$ with $\int \varphi dx = 1$, $\mu * \varphi$, $\epsilon_x * \varphi \in C_c^+(D)$ and $G(\mu * \varphi) \leq G(\epsilon_x * \varphi) + \delta Gf$ on $\text{supp}(\mu * \varphi)$. By letting $\varphi dx \rightarrow \epsilon$ (vaguely) and $\delta \downarrow 0$, we have $G\mu \leq G\epsilon_x$.

⁽³⁾ This means that, for a positive measure μ in \mathbf{R}^n with compact support, the function $\int k(x-y) d\mu(y)$ of x is finite continuous provided that its restriction to $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is finite continuous.

6. Theorem 1 gives the following

COROLLARY 13. — Let $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t dt$ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n . Then κ is symmetric with respect to ∂D and V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D if and only if, for each $t \geq 0$, α_t is symmetric with respect to ∂D and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha_t \leq 0$ in the sense of distribution in D .

COROLLARY 14. — Let $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t dt$ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and μ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^1 supported by \mathbf{R}^+ . Suppose that $\kappa_\mu = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t d\mu(t)$ is defined (in the sense of measures) and that κ is symmetric with respect to ∂D . If V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D , then V_{κ_μ} is also a Hunt kernel on D .

Proof. — We denote by $(\mu_p)_{p \geq 0}$ the resolvent associated with μ . Since $\mu_p \leq \mu$, $\kappa_{\mu,p} = \int \alpha_t d\mu_p(t)$ is defined ($\forall p \geq 0$). It is known that κ_μ is a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and that $(\kappa_{\mu,p})_{p \geq 0}$ is the resolvent associated with κ_μ (see Theorem 1 in [5]). By Theorem 1 and Corollary 13, α_t is symmetric with respect to ∂D and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha_t \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D . Hence κ_μ is also symmetric with respect to ∂D and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa_{\mu,p} \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D ($\forall p \geq 0$). Consequently Theorem 1 gives this corollary.

In the same manner as above, we have the following

COROLLARY 15. — Let $(\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a vaguely continuous semi-group of positive measures in \mathbf{R}^n and μ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^1 supported by \mathbf{R}^+ . Suppose that $\int_0^\infty \alpha_t d\mu(t)$ is defined and that, for each $t \geq 0$, α_t is symmetric with respect to ∂D and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha_t \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D . Then V_{κ_μ} is a Hunt kernel on D , where

$$\kappa_\mu = \int_0^\infty \alpha_t d\mu(t).$$

We shall show that the question raised by H. L. Jackson is affirmatively solved.

Remark 16. — Let ν be a positive measure in $(0, 2)$ such that $\int_0^2 \frac{1}{\alpha} d\nu(\alpha) < \infty$ and c_0, c_1 be non-negative constants.

Put

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} c_0\varepsilon + \left(\int |x|^{\alpha-n} d\nu(\alpha)\right) dx & \text{if } n = 2 \\ c_0\varepsilon + \left(\int |x|^{\alpha-n} d\nu(\alpha) + c_1|x|^{2-n}\right) dx & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then V_x is a Hunt kernel.

In fact, we have, with a positive constant $c(\alpha)$,

$$|x|^{\alpha-n} = c(\alpha) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right) t^{\alpha/2-1} dt$$

($0 < \alpha < 2$ if $n = 2$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ if $n \geq 3$). Evidently the function $c(\alpha)$ of α is finite continuous. Put

$$\mu = \begin{cases} c_0\varepsilon + \left(\int c(\alpha)t^{\alpha/2-1} d\nu(\alpha)\right) dt & \text{if } n = 2 \\ c_0\varepsilon + \left(\int c(\alpha)t^{\alpha/2-1} d\nu(\alpha) + c_1c(2)\right) dt & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

in \mathbf{R}^1 . Since $\int_0^2 \frac{1}{\alpha} d\nu(\alpha) < \infty$, κ_μ is a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n and

$$\kappa_\mu = \left(\int \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right) d\mu(t)\right) dx.$$

Hence μ is a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^1 supported by \mathbf{R}^+ . Then μ is a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^1 (cf. [5]), and Corollary 14 gives our remark.

Let G_α be the Green type kernel of order α in D . Put

$$G(x,y) = \begin{cases} \int G_\alpha(x,y) d\nu(\alpha) & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \int G_\alpha(x,y) d\nu(\alpha) + c_1G_2(x,y) & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then Remarks 12 and 16 give that G satisfies the domination principle.

7. Let $L_{loc}(D)$ be the usual Fréchet space of real-valued locally summable functions in D . A Hilbert space $H(D)$

contained in $L_{loc}(D)$ is called a Dirichlet space on D if the following three conditions are satisfied :

(1) For each compact set K in D , there exists a constant $A(K) > 0$ such that, for any $u \in D$, $\int_K |u| dx \leq A(K) \|u\|$.

(2) $C_c(D) \cap H(D)$ is dense both in $C_c(D)$ and in $H(D)$.

(3) For any normalized contraction T on \mathbf{R}^1 ⁽⁴⁾ and any $u \in H(D)$, $T \cdot u \in H(D)$ and $\|T \cdot u\| \leq \|u\|$.

This is the definition by A. Beurling and J. Deny (see [1]). Here we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ and by (\cdot, \cdot) the norm in $H(D)$ and the associated inner product, respectively. For an $f \in C_c(D)$, (1) gives that there exists uniquely $u_f \in H(D)$ such that, for any $u \in H(D)$, $(u_f, u) = \int uf dx$.

Let V be a linear operator from $C_c(D)$ into $L_{loc}(D)$. We say that V is a Dirichlet kernel on D if there exists a Dirichlet space $H(D; V)$ on D such that, for any

$$f \in C_c(D), \quad Vf = u_f.$$

Evidently $H(D; V)$ is uniquely determined. We call $H(D; V)$ the Dirichlet space associated with V and V the kernel of $H(D; V)$. For a Dirichlet kernel V on D , we set

$$\mathcal{D}(V) = \left\{ f \in L_{loc}(D); \sup \left\{ \frac{\left| \int uf dx \right|}{\|u\|}; u \neq 0 \in C_c(D) \cap H(D; V) \right\} < \infty \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{D}^+(V) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(V); f \geq 0\}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm in $H(D; V)$. By virtue of (2), for an $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$, there exists uniquely $Vf \in H(D; V)$ such that, for any

$$u \in C_c(D) \cap H(D; V), \quad (Vf, u) = \int uf dx,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denote the inner product in $H(D; V)$. Thus V may be considered as a linear operator from $\mathcal{D}(V)$ into $H(D; V)$. It is known that V is positive (that is,

$$f \in \mathcal{D}^+(V) \implies Vf \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \quad (\text{see [1]}).$$

⁽⁴⁾ This means that T is an application: $\mathbf{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ such that $R(0) = 0$ and $|Ta - Tb| \leq |a - b|$ ($\forall a, \forall b \in \mathbf{R}^1$).

LEMMA 17. — Let κ be a Hunt convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$. If V_κ is a Dirichlet kernel on D , then V_κ is a Hunt kernel.

Proof. — For the sake of simplicity, we write $H = H(D; V_\kappa)$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ and by (\cdot, \cdot) the norm in H and the inner product in H , respectively. Let $L^2(D)$ be the Hilbert space of real-valued square summable functions in D . For a $p \geq 0$, H_p denotes the Hilbert space associated to the norm $\|u\|_p = (p \int |u|^2 dx + \|u\|^2)^{1/2}$ on $H \cap L^2(D)$. Evidently H_p is a Dirichlet space on D . Let $f \in C_c(D)$. For any $u \in C_c(D) \cap H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int V_{pf}(x)u(x) dx &= \frac{1}{p} ((V_{pf}, u)_p - (V_{pf}, u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} ((V_\kappa f, u) - (V_{pf}, u)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} (\|V_\kappa f\| + \|V_{pf}\|) \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

where V_p is the kernel of H_p and where $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$ is the inner product in H_p . Hence $V_{pf} \in \mathcal{D}(V)$. Since, for any $u \in C_c(D) \cap H$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(V_\kappa(V_{pf}), u) &= p \int u(x)V_{pf}(x) dx \\ &= (V_{pf}, u)_p - (V_{pf}, u) = (V_\kappa f - V_{pf}, u), \end{aligned}$$

(2) gives $V_\kappa f - V_{pf} = pV_\kappa(V_{pf})$ a.e. in D . Let $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the resolvent associated with κ . By Lemmas 3 and 8, we have $V_\kappa f - V_{\kappa_p} f = pV_\kappa(V_{\kappa_p} f)$. In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have $V_{pf} = V_{\kappa_p} f$ a.e. in D , and hence V_{κ_p} is positive ($\forall p > 0$). By Theorem 1 and Lemma 5, we see that V_κ is a Hunt kernel.

We shall prove Theorem 2 mentioned in the section 1.

(1) \implies (2). Let $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ be the resolvent associated with κ . Then it is known that $p^2 \kappa_p \rightarrow \alpha$ vaguely in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (see [1]), and hence theorem 1 and Lemma 17 give that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \alpha \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D .

(2) \implies (1). Since $p^2 \kappa_p \rightarrow \alpha$ vaguely in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 8 gives that α is symmetric with respect to ∂D . Let A be the diagonal set of $D \times D$ and β be the

positive measure in $D \times D - A$ defined by

$$\iint f(x)g(y) d\beta(x,y) = \iint (f(x-y) - \bar{f}(x-y))g(x) d\alpha(y) dx$$

for any couple $f, g \in C_c(D)$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ (see Lemma 6). For any p, κ_p being symmetric with respect to the origin, we have $\alpha = \check{\alpha}$, and hence β is symmetric with respect to A . Let $C_c^\infty(D)$ be the topological vector space of real-valued and infinitely differentiable functions in D with compact support (we identify an element of $C_c^\infty(D)$ and an infinitely differentiable function in \mathbf{R}^n with compact support in D).

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(D)$. Consider the approximation of the function $|f(x) - f(y)|^2$ of (x,y) by the functions of form $\sum_i \varphi_i(x)\psi_i(y)$ in $D \times D$, where $\varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(D)$ and $\psi_i \in C_c^\infty(D)$ with

$$\text{supp}(\varphi_i) \cap \text{supp}(\psi_i) = \emptyset.$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \iint |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\beta(x,y) + \int |f(x)|^2 a(x) dx \\ &= \iint |f(x-y) - f(x)|^2 d\alpha(y) dx \\ &\quad - \iint (\bar{f}(x-y) - \bar{f}(x))(f(x-y) - f(x)) d\alpha(y) dx < \infty \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where, for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D$, $a(x) = 2 \int_{|y| \geq x_1} d\alpha(y)$. Let \tilde{H} be the specialized Dirichlet space with the kernel κ (see [1]). We denote by $||| \cdot |||$ and by $((\cdot, \cdot))$ the norm in \tilde{H} and the associated inner product. For a couple $f, g \in C_c^\infty(D)$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) &= \int fg \left(\frac{a}{2} + c \right) dx + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \iint (f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) d\beta(x,y) \\ &= ((f - \bar{f}, g)) = ((f, g - \bar{g})) = \frac{1}{2} ((f - \bar{f}, g - \bar{g})), \end{aligned}$$

(5) The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Prof. F. Hirsch for the correction of this formula.

where $\hat{x} = \left(c + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j + \int (1 - \cos (2\pi x \cdot y)) \, d\alpha(y) \right)^{-1}$. Then (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product in $C_c^\infty(D)$. For a compact set K in D , we have

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in C_c^\infty(D) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_K |u| \, dx}{\|u\|} = \sup_{\substack{u \in C_c^\infty(D) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\sqrt{2} \int_K |u - \bar{u}| \, dx}{\| |u - \bar{u}| \|} < \infty,$$

where $\|u\| = (u, u)^{1/2}$. Hence the completion H of $C_c^\infty(D)$ by $\|\cdot\|$ is contained in $L_{loc}(D)$. Evidently, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(D)$ and any normalized contraction T on \mathbf{R}^1 , $T \cdot u \in H$ and $\|T \cdot u\| \leq \|u\|$. For a $u \in H$, we choose a sequence $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset C_c^\infty(D)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u\| = 0.$$

Since $(T \cdot u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to $T \cdot u$ in H as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see [1]), we have $T \cdot u \in H$ and $\|T \cdot u\| \leq \|u\|$. Hence H is a Dirichlet space on D . We shall show that V_x is the kernel of H . For an integer $m \geq 1$, let T_m denote the projection from \mathbf{R}^1 into $\left[-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \right]$. Let $f \in C_c(D)$; then $x * (f - \bar{f}) - T_m \cdot x * (f - \bar{f}) \in \tilde{H}$ and

$$V_x f - T_m \cdot V_x f \in C_c(D),$$

because $x * (f - \bar{f}) = 0$ on ∂D and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} x * (f - \bar{f})(x) = 0$. Therefore there exists a neighborhood V_m of the origin such that, for any non-negative, spherically symmetric and infinitely differentiable function φ in \mathbf{R}^n with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset V_m$ and $\int \varphi \, dx = 1$, $f * \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D)$ and

$$(V_x f - T_m \cdot V_x f) * \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x * (f - \bar{f}) - T_m \cdot x * (f - \bar{f})) * \varphi \\ = (V_x f - T_m \cdot V_x f) * \varphi - \overline{(V_x f - T_m \cdot V_x f) * \varphi} \end{aligned}$$

and, for a $u \in \tilde{H}$,

$$\| |u * \varphi| \|^2 = \iint ((u * \varepsilon_x, u * \varepsilon_y)) \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \, dx \, dy \leq \| |u| \|^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (V_x f - T_m \cdot V_x f) * \varphi \|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \| \| x * (f - \bar{f}) - T_m \cdot x * (f - \bar{f}) \| \|^2 \leq 2 \| \| x * (f - \bar{f}) \| \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\varphi dx \rightarrow \varepsilon$ (vaguely) and $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $V_x f \in H$ and, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(D)$,

$$(V_x f, u) = ((x * (f - \bar{f}), u)) = \int u(f - \bar{f}) dx = \int u f dx.$$

This implies immediately that, for any $u \in H$,

$$(V_x f, u) = \int u f dx.$$

Consequently V_x is the kernel of the Dirichlet space H . This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 gives also that the question raised by H. L. Jackson is affirmatively solved. In fact, the singular measure associated with the convolution kernel $r^{\alpha-n}$ is equal to $c_\alpha |x|^{-\alpha-n} dx$ provided that $0 < \alpha < 2$, where c_α is a positive constant, where $|x|^{\alpha-n} dx$ is symbolically denoted by $r^{\alpha-n}$ ($0 < \alpha < n$).

We denote now by Δ the laplacian on \mathbf{R}^n . We say that a convolution kernel κ on \mathbf{R}^n is a Frostman-Kunugui kernel if κ is spherically symmetric, vanishes at infinity ⁽⁶⁾, and if $\Delta \kappa \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions outside the origin 0. Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 in [7] give the following

COROLLARY 18. — *Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then the following two statements hold.*

(1) *For a Frostman-Kunugui kernel $\kappa \neq 0$ on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Delta \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D , there exists uniquely a spherically symmetric Dirichlet convolution kernel κ' on \mathbf{R}^n such that $V_{\kappa'}$ is a Dirichlet kernel on D and that, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $V_\kappa(V_x f)(x) = V_{\kappa'}(V_x f)(x) = G_2 f(x)$ in D .*

(2) *For a spherically symmetric Dirichlet kernel κ on \mathbf{R}^n such that V_κ is a Dirichlet kernel on D , there exists uniquely*

⁽⁶⁾ This means that, for any finite continuous function f in \mathbf{R}^n with compact support, $\kappa * f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

a Frostman-Kunugui kernel κ' on \mathbf{R}^n such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Delta \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D and that, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $V_x(V_x f)(x) = V_{x'}(V_x f)(x) = G_2 f(x)$ in D .

Proof. — First we shall show (1). By Theorem 1 in [7], there exists uniquely a spherically symmetric Dirichlet kernel κ' on \mathbf{R}^n such that $\kappa * \kappa' = r^{2-n}$. We have, with a positive constant c , $(\Delta \kappa) * \kappa' = -c\varepsilon$ in the sense of distributions in \mathbf{R}^n . This implies that the singular measure associated with κ' is equal to $\frac{1}{c} \Delta \kappa$ outside 0. Theorem 2 and our assumption give that $V_{x'}$ is a Dirichlet kernel on D . Since $\Delta \kappa \geq 0$ in the sense of distributions in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ and κ vanishes at infinity, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D . By Lemma 5, V_x is positive, and by Lemma 3 and Remark 4, we obtain the required equality. Let's show the uniqueness of κ' . Let κ'' be a Dirichlet convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n which is possessed of the same properties as of κ' . Since κ is injective (see Theorem 1 in [7]) ⁽⁷⁾ and

$$\kappa * (V_{x'} f - \overline{V_{x'} f}) = \kappa * (V_x f - \overline{V_x f})$$

in \mathbf{R}^n ⁽⁸⁾, we have $V_{x'} f = V_x f$ ($\forall f \in C_c(D)$). This implies that, for any $f \in C_c(D)$, $(\kappa' - \kappa'')f = (\kappa' - \kappa'') * \bar{f}$. In the same manner as in Lemma 5, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\kappa' - \kappa'') = 0$ in the sense of distributions in D . Since $\kappa' - \kappa''$ is spherically symmetric and vanishes at the infinity, we have $\kappa' = \kappa''$. Thus we see that (1) holds.

Next we shall show (2). By Theorem 1 in [7], there exists uniquely a Frostman-Kunugui kernel κ' on \mathbf{R}^n such that $\kappa * \kappa' = r^{2-n}$. Since the singular measure associated with κ is equal to $\frac{1}{c} \Delta \kappa'$ outside 0, Theorem 2 gives that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Delta \kappa' \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D . Similarly as

⁽⁷⁾ This means that, for an $f \in C(D)$, $f = 0$ provided that $\kappa * |f|$ is defined and that $\kappa * f = 0$.

⁽⁸⁾ We may assume that $V_{x'} f$ is a continuous function in \mathbf{R}^n with support $\subset \bar{D}$.

above, we see that $V_{\kappa'}$ is positive and the required equality holds. Since κ is also injective (see, for example, [1]), we can similarly show the uniqueness of κ' .

Remember the Riesz decomposition formula

$$r^{\alpha-n} * r^{(2-\alpha)-n} = a_{\alpha} r^{2-n} \quad (0 < \alpha < 2),$$

where a_{α} is a positive constant (see [9]). Then, by this corollary, we see that G_{α} satisfies the domination principle provided with $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$.

Remark 19. — For a spherically symmetric convolution kernel κ on \mathbf{R}^n , $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in D if and only if $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \kappa \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$, where $r = |x|$. In this case, κ is absolutely continuous outside 0.

By using Theorem 1, Corollary 13 and this remark 19, we have the following

Remark 20. — Let $\kappa = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha_t dt$ be a spherically symmetric Dirichlet kernel on \mathbf{R}^n . Then V_{κ} is a Dirichlet kernel on D if and only if, for any $t \geq 0$, α_t is of form

$$\alpha_t = c_t \varepsilon + k_t(|x|) dx,$$

where c_t is a non-negative constant and k_t is a non-negative decreasing (in the wide sense) function on \mathbf{R}^+ .

8. First we shall show that the inverse of the question raised by H. L. Jackson is also affirmative.

PROPOSITION 21. — *If the Green type kernel G_{α} ($0 < \alpha < n$) on D satisfies the domination principle, then $0 < \alpha \leq 2$.*

Proof. — Since G_{α} satisfies the domination principle, G_{α} also satisfies the balayage principle (see, for example, [8]); that is, for a positive measure μ in D with compact support and a compact set F in D , there exists a positive measure μ'_F supported by F such that $G_{\alpha}\mu \geq G_{\alpha}\mu'_F$ in D and

$G_\alpha \mu = G_{\alpha \mu'_F}$ G_α -n.e. on F ⁽⁹⁾. Let $\mu \neq 0$ and F be a closed ball contained in D such that $\text{supp}(\mu) \cap F = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\alpha > 2$. Let t be positive integer satisfying $0 < \alpha - 2t \leq 2$ and $\beta = \alpha - 2t$. Then

$$G_\alpha(x,y) = \int G_{2t}(x,z)G_\beta(z,y) dz$$

(see Lemma 3). Since $G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu) = G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu'_F)$ a.e. on F , we have $G_\beta \mu = G_\beta \mu'_F$ a.e. on F , because

$$\Delta^t(G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu) - G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu'_F)) = (-c)^t(G_\beta \mu - G_\beta \mu'_F)$$

in the sense of distributions in D , where c is the positive constant satisfying $\Delta r^{2-n} = -c\varepsilon$. Since $G_\beta \mu$ is continuous on F and $G_\beta \mu'_F$ is lower semi-continuous, we have $G_\beta \mu \geq G_\beta \mu'_F$ on F , and so $\int G_\beta \mu'_F d\mu'_F < \infty$. The function kernel G_β satisfying the domination principle, we have $G_\beta \mu \geq G_\beta \mu'_F$ in D . By virtue of the injectivity of G_β , we have $G_\beta \mu \neq G_\beta \mu'_F$. But this contradicts the equality $G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu) = G_{2t}(G_\beta \mu'_F)$ G_α -n.e. on F . Thus we achieve the proof.

We raise a question.

Question 22. — Let κ be a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$. Suppose that V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D . Then is it true that κ is the sum of a Hunt convolution kernel and of a non-negative constant ?

The following proposition shows that the answer is « yes » in a special case.

PROPOSITION 23. — *Let κ be a convolution kernel on \mathbf{R}^n satisfying $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$. Suppose that V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D . If $\int d\kappa < \infty$ and κ is absolutely continuous outside 0, then κ is a Hunt convolution kernel.*

Proof. — We may assume that $\int d\kappa < 1$. For a $p \in (0,1]$, we put

$$\kappa_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-p)^k (\kappa)^{k+1};$$

⁽⁹⁾ We write $G_\alpha \mu = G_{\alpha \mu'_F}$ G_α -n.e. on F if, for any positive measure ν in D with $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset F$ and $\int G_\alpha \nu d\nu < \infty$, $\int G_\alpha \mu d\nu = \int G_\alpha \mu'_F d\nu$.

then κ_p is a real measure in \mathbf{R}^n , absolutely continuous outside 0, $\kappa_p = \bar{\kappa}_p$ and $\int d|\kappa_p| < \infty$, where $|\kappa_p|$ denote the total variation of κ_p . Since $(p\kappa + \varepsilon) * \kappa_p = \kappa$, Lemma 3 gives that, for any $f \in C_c(\mathbf{D})$, $(pV_\kappa + I)(V_{\kappa_p}f) = V_\kappa f$. Let $(V_p)_{p \geq 0}$ the resolvent associated with V_κ . In the same manner as in Theorem 1, we have, for any $f \in C_c(\mathbf{D})$, $V_p f = V_{\kappa_p} f$ in \mathbf{D} . Hence V_{κ_p} is positive. In the same manner as in Lemma 5, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa_p \leq 0$ in the sense of distributions in \mathbf{D} . We show that κ_p is a convolution kernel. It suffices to prove that, for any $f \in C_c^+(\mathbf{D})$, $\int_{\mathbf{D}} f d\kappa_p \geq 0$, because

$$\kappa_p(\{0\}) = \frac{\kappa(\{0\})}{1 + p\kappa(\{0\})} \geq 0, \quad \kappa_p = \bar{\kappa}_p$$

and κ_p is absolutely continuous outside 0. For each integer $k \geq 1$, we choose a non-negative, spherically symmetric and infinitely differentiable function φ_k in \mathbf{R}^n such that $\int \varphi_k dx = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\varphi_k) \subset \left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; |x| < \frac{1}{k}\right\}$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \kappa_p * \varphi_k(x) \leq 0$ in the set

$$\left\{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; x_1 \geq \frac{1}{k}\right\}$$

and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_p * \varphi_k(x) = 0$, we have $\kappa_p * \varphi_k(x) \geq 0$ in the above set. Hence, for any $f \in C_c^+(\mathbf{D})$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} f d\kappa_p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x_1 \geq \frac{1}{k}} f(x) \kappa_p * \varphi_k(x) dx \geq 0.$$

Consequently κ_p is a convolution kernel ($\forall p \in (0, 1]$). Since $\kappa - \kappa_p = p\kappa * \kappa_p$, $\kappa \geq \kappa_p$. For a $p \in (1, 2]$, we put

$$\kappa_p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^k (\kappa_1)^{k+1};$$

then κ_p is also a real measure in \mathbf{R}^n , absolutely continuous outside 0, $\kappa_p = \bar{\kappa}_p$, $\int d|\kappa_p| < \infty$ and $\kappa - \kappa_p = p\kappa * \kappa_p$. In the same manner as above, κ_p is a convolution kernel. Inductively we obtain a family $(\kappa_p)_{p \geq 0}$ of convolution ker-

nels satisfying $\kappa - \kappa_p = p\kappa * \kappa_p$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \kappa_p = \kappa$ (vaguely). By Lemma 3.2 in [6], we obtain that, for each $p \geq 0$ and $q > 0$, $\kappa_p - \kappa_q = (q - p)\kappa_p * \kappa_q$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \kappa_p = \kappa$ (vaguely), where $\kappa_0 = \kappa$. Since V_κ is a Hunt kernel on D , $\kappa \neq 0$, and hence, for any $x \neq 0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\kappa \neq \kappa * \varepsilon_x$, because

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \kappa * f(x) = 0$$

for any finite continuous function f in \mathbf{R}^n with compact support. Hence, by Corollary 1 of Theorem 5 in [6], κ is a Hunt convolution kernel. This completes the proof.

Remark 24. — In the above proposition, if κ is spherically symmetric, the same conclusion holds without the assumption that κ is absolutely continuous outside 0. See Remark 19.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. BEURLING and J. DENY, Dirichlet spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. U.S.A.*, 45 (1959), 208-215.
- [2] J. DENY, Éléments de la théorie du potentiel par rapport à un noyau de Hunt, *Sém. Brelot-Choquet-Deny* (Théorie du potentiel), 5^e année, 1960-1961, n^o 8.
- [3] J. DENY, Noyaux de convolution de Hunt et noyaux associés à une famille fondamentale, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble), 12 (1962), 643-667.
- [4] M. IRÔ, Sur la régularité des noyaux de Dirichlet, *C.R. A. S. Paris*, 286 (1969), 867-868.
- [5] M. IRÔ, Sur la famille sous-ordonnée au noyau de convolution de Hunt donné, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 51 (1973), 45-56.
- [6] M. IRÔ, Sur le principe relatif de domination pour les noyaux de convolution, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 5 (1975), 293-350.
- [7] M. IRÔ, Sur les noyaux de Frostman-Kunugui et les noyaux de Dirichlet, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble), 27, 3 (1977), 45-95.
- [8] M. KISHI, Maximum principle in the potential theory, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 23 (1963), 165-187.
- [9] M. RIESZ, Intégrales de Riemann-Liouville et potentiels, *Acta Sc. Math.*, Szeged, 9 (1938), 1-42.

Manuscrit reçu le 10 janvier 1977

Proposé par G. Choquet.

Masayuki Irô,
 Mathematical Institute
 Nagoya University
 Nagoya, Japon.