

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

W. DESCH

W. SCHAPPACHER

On relatively bounded perturbations of linear C_0 -semigroups

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 11,
n° 2 (1984), p. 327-341

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1984_4_11_2_327_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Relatively Bounded Perturbations of Linear C_0 -Semigroups.

W. DESCH - W. SCHAPPACHER (*)

In recent years we see an increasing interest and literature devoted to various system-theoretical investigations of systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}x(t) &= Ax(t) + Du(t), \quad t > 0, \\ x(0) &= x_0.\end{aligned}$$

Here A is the infinitesimal generator of a linear C_0 -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on a Banach space X and D is a continuous linear operator from the Banach-space of control parameters into X . Of particular interest are problems concerning controllability, observability, boundary control etc. If the control is implemented through a feedback relation and we deal with the realistic case of having only a finite number of controls available, we face the following problem raised for instance in [11], p. 105:

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X and let B be a linear operator in X satisfying

- (i) Range (B) is finite-dimensional and
- (ii) B is A -bounded, i.e. $D(B) \supset D(A)$ and there are nonnegative constants a and b such that $\|Bx\| \leq a\|Ax\| + b\|x\|$ for all $x \in D(A)$.

Under which assumptions is $(A + B)$ the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X ?

If X is reflexive, then Hess proved in [6] that (i)-(ii) imply that the A -bound of B is zero and hence we can apply a general perturbation result

(*) This author was supported in part by the Fonds zur Forderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Austria, No. P 4534.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 6 Giugno 1983 ed in forma definitiva il 23 Gennaio 1984.

(Kato [8], p. 499) to conclude that if A generates an analytic semigroup so does $(A + B)$. (See also Zabczyk [12]).

A similar problem arises in the context of the semigroup approach to functional differential equations in the state space

$$X = \mathbf{R}^n \times L^p(-r, 0; \mathbf{R}^n) \quad \text{with } 0 < r < \infty \text{ and } 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Given a linear map $L: X \supset D(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ we consider the Cauchy-problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) &= L(x(t), x_t), \quad t > 0, \\ x(0) &= \eta, \quad x(s) = \phi(s) \quad \text{a.e. on } -r \leq s < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The « history » x_t is given by $x_t(s) = x(s + t)$, $t \geq 0$, $s \in [-r, 0]$.

If $x(t, \eta, \phi)$ denotes the solution of (1) we define the associated solution semigroup $T(\cdot)$ by $T(t)(\eta, \phi) = (x(t), x_t)$. In [7] it was shown that the infinitesimal generator A of this semigroup is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{(\phi(0), \phi) \mid \phi \in W^{1,p}(-r, 0, \mathbf{R}^n)\}, \\ A(\phi(0), \phi) &= (L(\phi(0), \phi), \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, A can be split up as $A = A_0 + B$ where

$$A_0(\phi(0), \phi) = (0, \phi), \quad B(\phi(0), \phi) = (L(\phi(0), \phi), \phi).$$

Delfour [5] proved that this operator A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup iff L is a continuous map $D(A_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, i.e. (i) and (ii) hold. Thus it seems to be attractive to conjecture that (i) and (ii) imply that $(A + B)$ is the infinitesimal generator also for non-analytic semigroups.

It is the objective of this paper to show that the above conjecture is false even if the unperturbed semigroup is ultimately compact, differentiable or a C_0 -group in a Hilbert-space!

On the other hand, we verify that if B satisfies an additional continuity assumption then $(A + B)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X without any restriction on the range of B .

Some counterexamples.

To begin with, we provide some counterexamples to the above mentioned conjecture. Let $X = l^2$. Given a sequence (λ_n) of complex numbers so that $\text{Re } \lambda_n \leq 0$ for all n it is clear that the linear operator $A = \text{diag } (\lambda_n)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ given by $S(t) = \text{diag } (\exp [\lambda_n t])$.

Next, define a linear operator B in X by

$$(Bx)_n = \alpha_n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda_j x_j,$$

with $\alpha = (\alpha_j) \in l^2(\mathbf{R})$ is chosen so that B is A -bounded and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n^2 |\lambda_n| \cdot \exp [\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n] = \infty$. The specific choice of (λ_n) and (α_n) is still at our disposal.

We claim that the operator $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ cannot be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on $X \times X$. In fact, if \mathcal{A} were the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\mathfrak{T}(\cdot)$ on $X \times X$ we consider the elements $y_m = (\delta_{m,k})_{k=1, \dots} \in X$. As $y_m \in D(A^\infty)$ we infer that $t \rightarrow S(t)y_m \in C^\infty(0, \infty; D(A))$ and hence $BS(t)y_m \in C^\infty(0, \infty; X)$.

Consequently,

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t S(t-s)BS(s)y_m ds \\ S(t)y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

would be a strong solution of the Cauchy-problem $(d/dt)\tilde{x}(t) = \mathcal{A}\tilde{x}(t)$.

Being a C_0 -semigroup there must exist a constant M so that $\|\mathfrak{T}(t)\| \leq M$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. In particular we thus would expect that $\left\| \mathfrak{T}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq M$, and in particular

$$(2) \quad \left| \left(\int_0^1 S(1-s)BS(s)y_m ds \right)_m \right| \leq M.$$

The left hand side of this inequality can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 \exp [(1-s)\lambda_m] \alpha_m \lambda_m \alpha_m \exp [s\lambda_m] ds = \exp [\lambda_m] \alpha_m^2 \lambda_m$$

and as by assumption $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m] \alpha_m^2 |\lambda_m| = \infty$ we see that we cannot have an estimate of the form (2), i.e. $\mathfrak{T}(\cdot)$ is not a C_0 -semigroup.

We now specify the (λ_m) and (α_m) :

1. *The case of C_0 -group.*

Let $\lambda_m = im, m = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $A = -A^*$ and so $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ generate a

C_0 -group on X . Putting

$$\alpha_m = \begin{cases} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is an integer} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we see that $\alpha = (\alpha_m) \in l^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover,

$$\alpha_m^2 |\lambda_m| \exp[\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m] = \begin{cases} m^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is an integer} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and hence $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m^2 |\lambda_m| \exp[\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m] = \infty$.

The associated operator B clearly satisfies (i), (ii) but according to the above consideration $\mathcal{A} + B$ is not an infinitesimal generator.

2. The case of an ultimately compact and differentiable semigroup.

Let $\lambda_m = -m + i \exp[4m]$ and set $\alpha_m = \exp[-m]$. Then we obtain $\alpha_m^2 |\lambda_m| \exp[\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m] \geq \exp[m] \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so again the associated operator $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ cannot be an infinitesimal generator. In order to show that $S(\cdot)$ is differentiable for $t \geq 4$ it is sufficient to verify that $\lambda_m \exp[\lambda_m t]$ is bounded for $t \geq 4$. This follows from

$$|\lambda_m \exp[\lambda_m t]| = |-m + i \exp[4m]| \exp[-mt] \leq m \exp[-mt] + \exp[m(4-t)].$$

It is also obvious that $S(\cdot)$ is compact for $t \geq 4$.

Some generation results.

As already pointed out in the introduction, we present a general generation result that seems to be very useful in applications. Throughout this section, we assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach-space. If A is a closed linear operator in X we let X_A stand for the Banach space $(D(A), |\cdot|_A)$, with $|x|_A = \|x\| + \|Ax\|$.

THEOREM. Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X . Let $(Z, |\cdot|_Z)$ be a Banach space such that

(Z1) Z is continuously embedded in X ,

(Z2) there is a $t_0 > 0$ so that for all continuous functions

$$\phi: [0, t_0] \rightarrow Z \quad \text{we have } \int_0^t T(t-s)\phi(s)ds \in D(A) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_0]$$

(Z3) there is an increasing continuous function $\gamma: [0, t_0] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\left| \int_0^t T(t-s)\phi(s)ds \right|_A \leq \gamma(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\phi(s)|_Z.$$

Then for any continuous linear operator $B: X_A \rightarrow Z$, $(A + B)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X .

PROOF. To begin with, we verify that under the above assumptions the map $t \rightarrow \int_0^t T(t-s)\phi(s)ds$ is continuous from $[0, t_0]$ into X_A : In fact, given any continuous $\phi: [0, t_0] \rightarrow Z$ and $t \in [0, t_0]$, we define for all $0 \leq h \leq t$

$$\phi_h(s) = \begin{cases} \phi(s-h) & \text{for } h \leq s \leq t+h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain for all $t \in [0, t_0 - h]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)\phi(s)ds - \int_0^t T(t-s)\phi(s)ds \right|_A \\ &= \left| \int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)(\phi(s) - \phi_h(s))ds \right|_A \\ &\leq \left| \int_h^{t+h} T(t+h-s)(\phi(s) - \phi_h(s))ds \right|_A + \left| \int_0^h T(t+h-s)(\phi(s) - \phi_h(s))ds \right|_A \\ &\leq \gamma(t) \sup |\phi(s+h) - \phi(s)|_Z + |T(t)|_A \gamma(h) \sup |\phi(s) - \phi_h(s)|_A. \end{aligned}$$

As the right side converges to 0 for $h \rightarrow 0$, the claim follows.

In the next step we verify that $(A + B)$ is a closed linear operator. To this end, we first estimate $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}B$ as an operator from X_A into X_A . Let N and ω be constants such that

$$\|T(t)\| \leq N \exp[\omega t] \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then we obtain for all sufficiently large λ and all $x \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\lambda I - A)^{-1} Bx|_A \\ &= \left| \int_0^t \exp[-\lambda s] T(s) Bx ds + \exp[-\lambda t] T(t) \int_0^\infty \exp[-\lambda s] T(s) Bx ds \right|_A \\ &\leq \exp[-\lambda t] \left| \int_0^t T(t-s) (\exp[\lambda s] Bx) ds \right|_A + N \exp[(\omega - \lambda)t] |(\lambda I - A)^{-1} Bx|_A \\ &\leq \gamma(t) \sup | \exp[\lambda s] Bx |_Z + N \exp[(\omega - \lambda)t] |(\lambda I - A)^{-1} Bx|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$t(\lambda) = \frac{\ln N + \ln 2}{\lambda - \omega}$$

we obtain

$$|(\lambda I - A)^{-1} Bx|_A \leq 2\gamma(t(\lambda)) \beta |x|_A,$$

where β denotes the norm of B regarded as an operator from X_A into Z , and as $t(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that

$$K(\lambda) = 2\gamma(t(\lambda)) \quad \text{converges to } 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

In order to prove that $(A + B)$ is closed, let (x_n) be a sequence in X_A such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ (in X) and $y_n := (A + B)x_n$ converges to $y \in X$. We have to show that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X_A : Fix some $\lambda > 0$ with $K(\lambda) < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_m|_A &= |\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}(x_n - x_m) - (\lambda I - A)^{-1}A(x_n - x_m)|_A \\ &= |\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}(x_n - x_m) - (\lambda I - A)^{-1}(y_n - y_m) + (\lambda I - A)^{-1}B(x_n - x_m)|_A \\ &\leq q\lambda \|x_n - x_m\| + q \|y_n - y_m\| + K(\lambda) |x_n - x_m|_A, \end{aligned}$$

where q denotes the norm of $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ regarded as an operator from X into X_A .

Consequently, we obtain

$$|x_n - x_m|_A \leq \frac{1}{1 - K(\lambda)} (\lambda q \|x_n - x_m\| + q' \|y_n - y_m\|).$$

This implies that $y_n = (A + B)x_n$ converges to $(A + B)x$ so that $(A + B)x = y$. The proof that $(A + B)$ is infact the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semi-

group on X is performed by making use of Ball's Theorem ([1]). Roughly speaking, we are to show that for any $x \in X$ the Cauchy problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) &= (A + B)x(t), \quad t > 0 \\ x(0) &= x, \end{aligned}$$

admits a unique weak solution $x(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$, i.e. for all $x^* \in D((A + B)^*)$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\langle x(t), x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle + \int_0^t \langle x(s), (A + B)^* x^* \rangle ds.$$

So, fix $x \in X$ and $\hat{t} > 0$. Given any continuous function $z: [0, \hat{t}] \rightarrow X_A$ we put

$$(\mathfrak{C}z)(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s)Bz(s)ds + \int_0^t T(t-s)xds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \hat{t}.$$

By the above considerations $(\mathfrak{C}z)(\cdot)$ is a continuous function $[0, \hat{t}] \rightarrow X_A$. If β denotes again the norm of B regarded as an operator $X_A \rightarrow Z$, we obtain for all $0 \leq t \leq \hat{t}$:

$$|\mathfrak{C}z_1(t) - \mathfrak{C}z_2(t)|_A \leq \beta\gamma(t) \sup |z_1(s) - z_2(s)|_A.$$

Choosing \hat{t} sufficiently small we conclude that there exists a unique fixed point of \mathfrak{C} . As $\beta\gamma(t)$ does not depend on x , we may continue this procedure and obtain a continuous function $y: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X_A$ satisfying

$$y(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s)By(s)ds + \int_0^t T(t-s)xds.$$

Putting $x(t) = (A + B)y(t) + x$, it is clear that $x(0) = x$ and x is continuous $[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$. Moreover, we have for all $h > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} (y(t+h) - y(t)) &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)(x + By(s))ds - \int_0^t T(t-s)(x + By(s))ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (T(h) - I) \int_0^t T(t-s)(x + By(s))ds + \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} T(t+h-s)(x + By(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

and as $y(t) \in D(A)$, the right hand side converges to $Ay(t) + x + By(t)$ as $h \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} y(t) = (A + B)y(t) + x = x(t).$$

For any $x^* \in D((A + B)^*)$ we obtain

$$\langle x(t), x^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle y(t), (A + B)^* x^* \rangle$$

and hence $x(t)$ is a weak solution of (3).

In order to verify uniqueness of this weak solution, let $x(\cdot)$ be any weak solution of (3) with $x(0) = 0$. Putting

$$y(t) = \int_0^t x(s) ds,$$

we get for all $x^* \in D((A + B)^*)$

$$\langle x(t), x^* \rangle = \int_0^t \langle x(s), (A + B)^* x^* \rangle ds = \langle y(t), (A + B)^* x^* \rangle.$$

As $(A + B)$ is closed, this implies that $y(\cdot) \in D(A)$ and hence

$$x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} y(t) = (A + B)y(t).$$

From the variation of constants formula for $T(\cdot)$ we get

$$y(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s)By(s)ds.$$

The unique solution of this integral equation is $y = 0$ and hence $x = 0$.

Hence (3) admits a unique weak solution for all $x \in X$ showing that $(A + B)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X .

The particular choice of Z depends of course, heavily on the problem under consideration and it may vary through a large class of different spaces and is illustrated by the following examples.

1. $Z = X_A$.

A particular interesting case of Z is provided by putting $Z = X_A$. Clearly, assumptions (Z1)-(Z3) are satisfied (with $\gamma(t) = tM \exp [\omega t]$), and hence we deduce that for any continuous linear operator $B: X_A \rightarrow X_A$ the operator $(A + B)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X .

2. *Delay equations on product spaces.*

Let Y be a real Banach space and put $X = Y \times L^p(-r, 0; Y)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r \leq \infty$. Let $T(\cdot)$ denote the solution semigroup of the unperturbed equation given by

$$T(t)(\eta, \varphi) = (\eta, \psi)$$

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \eta & \text{if } s + t \geq 0 \\ \varphi(s + t) & \text{if } s + t < 0. \end{cases}$$

As already mentioned in the introduction its infinitesimal generator A is given by

$$D(A) = \{(u(0), u) \mid u \in W^{1,p}(-r, 0; Y)\},$$

$$A(u(0), u) = (0, \dot{u}).$$

Then the assumptions of the generation theorem are satisfied with $Z = Y \times \{0\}$. Therefore, for any linear operator B that maps $W^{1,p}(-r, 0; Y)$ continuously into Y the operator $(A + B)$ is an infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X .

PROOF. For any $t > 0$ let φ be a continuous function $[0, t] \rightarrow Y$. Define ψ by

$$\psi(s)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s) & \text{if } \theta \geq s - t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for all $\theta \in [-r, 0]$ we have

$$\int_0^t \psi(s)(\theta) ds = \int_0^{t+\theta} \varphi(s) ds,$$

$$\left(\int_0^t \psi(s) ds, \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds \right) = \int_0^t T(t-s)(\psi(s), \varphi(s)) ds \in D(A)$$

and since

$$\left(\int_{-r}^0 |\tilde{\varphi}(s+t)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \leq t^{1/p} \sup |\varphi(\tau)|$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s) & \text{for } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

also (Z3) is satisfied.

Thus it is not the finite-dimensional range property of the perturbation that ensures the generation of a C_0 -semigroup. Of course, the above condition is too restrictive for partial-differential equations involving delay terms, although they are not far away from being necessary ([9]).

We next turn to partial differential equations: To begin with we provide

3. *The Favard-class of $T(\cdot)$.*

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ on X . Then the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied for Z being the Favard class of $T(\cdot)$, i.e.

$$Z = \left\{ x \in X \mid \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \|T(t)x - x\| \text{ is finite} \right\},$$

$$|x|_Z = \|x\| + \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \|T(t)x - x\|.$$

PROOF. Let φ be a continuous function $[0, t] \rightarrow Z$. Then there is a sequence (φ_n) of continuously differentiable functions such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, $\int_0^t T(t-s)\varphi_n(s) ds \in D(A)$, and

$$\left\| A \int_0^t T(t-s)\varphi_n(s) ds \right\| \leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t \frac{1}{h} \|(T(h) - I)T(t-s)\varphi_n(s) ds\|$$

$$\leq M \exp[\omega t] \int_0^t |\varphi_n(s)|_Z ds \leq tM \exp[\omega t] \sup |\varphi_n|_Z.$$

(Here M and ω are constants such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M \exp[\omega t]$ for all $t \geq 0$). A closedness argument now shows that the same estimate is also valid for φ .

An interesting application of this result is

4. *Integrodifferential equations.*

Let Y be a Banach space and consider the Cauchy problem

$$(4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = Lu(t) + \int_0^t C(t-s)u(s) ds + f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$u(0) = u_0.$$

Here L is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $S(\cdot)$ on Y and $\{C(t); t \geq 0\}$ is a family of continuous linear operators $X_L \rightarrow Y$ such that for each $x \in X_L$ the map Cx given by $(Cx)(t) = C(t)x$ belongs to $L^1(0, \infty; Y)$.

Following the notation used in [3], we say that (4) is uniformly well posed if for each $u_0 \in D(L)$ and each $f \in W^{1,1}(0, \infty; Y)$ then exists a unique strong solution $u(t, u_0; f)$ of (4) which depends continuously on u_0 (with respect to the Y -norm) and f (with respect to the L^1 -norm), uniformly for t in compact intervals.

There is a large number of papers in which uniform well-posedness of (4) is proven under various additional smoothness assumptions on $C(\cdot)$. Our approach allows the following very general result:

THEOREM. (4) is uniformly well-posed if Cx is of bounded variation for each $x \in D(L)$.

The underlying basic idea that was introduced in [10] and carried out in a more general framework in [3] is to associate to (4) a differential equation in a larger Banach space.

To this end, let $T(\cdot)$ denote the shift semigroup on $L^1(0, \infty; Y)$ defined by $(T(t)\phi)(s) = \phi(s + t)$, $s \geq 0, t \geq 0$. Moreover, let D_s denote its infinitesimal generator and let δ be the operator $W^{1,1}(0, \infty; Y) \rightarrow Y$ given by $(\delta\phi = \phi(0))$.

In [3] it is shown that (4) is uniformly well-posed if and only if the following abstract Cauchy problem is uniformly well-posed in $X = Y \times Y \times L^1(0, \infty; Y)$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) &= \mathcal{A}x(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{A} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{A}) &= Y \times D(L) \times W^{1,1}(0, \infty; Y), \\ \mathcal{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & L & \delta \\ 0 & C & D_s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have to show that \mathcal{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup on X . To prove this claim, we split up \mathcal{A} as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{B}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & D_s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is an elementary calculation to verify that \mathcal{A}_0 generates a semigroup $\mathfrak{G}(\cdot)$ given by

$$\mathfrak{G}(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ S(t)y + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s)ds \\ T(t)f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The range of \mathfrak{B} consists of all vectors $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix}$ where f is of bounded variation. If we can verify that these vectors belong to the Favard class of $\mathfrak{G}(\cdot)$. Example 2 implies that \mathcal{A} generates a C_0 -semigroup on X and hence (4) is uniformly well-posed.

As

$$\frac{1}{t} \left(\mathfrak{G}(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left(0, \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s)ds, \frac{1}{t} (T(t)f - f) \right)^T$$

and

$$\frac{1}{t} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s)ds \right\| \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|S(t-s)\| \|f(s)\|$$

is bounded as f has bounded variation, and

$$\frac{1}{t} \|T(t)f - f\| = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty \|f(s+t) - f(s)\| ds \leq \text{Var}(f, (0, \infty))$$

([2], Appendix), we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \left\| \mathfrak{G}(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix} \right\| \text{ is finite.}$$

5. *Interpolation spaces.*

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(\cdot)$. Then we can take $Z = (D(A), X)_I$, an interpolation space between $D(A)$ and X .

The proof follows from the general properties of interpolation spaces (see [4]).

If we are dealing with nonlinear perturbations then the situation is much more complicated as is seen by the following example. Roughly speaking, we show that even for a one-dimensional, C^∞ -nonlinear perturbation B that maps X_A into itself the operator $(A + B)$ is not a generator of a nonlinear semigroup on X .

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \mathbb{R} \times C_{u,b}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$, where $C_{u,b}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ denotes the usual Banach space of all uniformly continuous, bounded functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Let $T(\cdot)$ be the linear semigroup given by $T(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi(t + \cdot) \end{pmatrix}$.

An easy calculation shows that its infinitesimal generator A is given by

$$D(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \mid \varphi' \in C_{u,b}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ψ be a C^∞ -function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi(0) = 0, \quad \psi(s) \geq \inf(|s|, s^2),$$

and ψ is Lipschitzian with constant ≤ 2 .

We define B by $B \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(\varphi'(0)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

For $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} \in D(A)$, the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix},$$

has a unique strong solution given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}(t) = \left(\int_0^t \psi(\zeta'(s)) ds, \zeta(t + \cdot) \right)$$

Given $t \in (0, 1]$ we choose a $\zeta \in C_{u,b}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ such that the restriction of ζ to $(0, t]$ does not have a bounded variation. Let (ζ_n) be a sequence in $C_{u,b}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ so that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ and $(0, \zeta_n)^T \in D(A)$.

If the solutions $\begin{pmatrix} x_n(\cdot) \\ \varphi_n(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$ with initial values $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \zeta_n \end{pmatrix}$ were convergent to, say $\begin{pmatrix} \eta(t) \\ \omega(t) \end{pmatrix}$, then we clearly have $\omega(t) = \zeta(t + \cdot)$.

Let $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq t$. We choose measurable sets E_1 and E_2 such that

$$[s_1, s_2] = E_1 \cup E_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\zeta'_n(s)) \geq |\zeta'_n(s)| \quad \text{on } E_1$$

and

$$\psi(\zeta'_n(s)) \geq (\zeta'_n(s))^2 \quad \text{on } E_2.$$

Then

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \psi(\zeta'_n(s)) ds \geq \int_{E_1} |\zeta'_n(s)| ds + \int_{E_2} (\zeta'_n(s))^2 ds \geq \int_{E_1} |\zeta'_n(s)| ds + \left(\int_{E_2} |\zeta'_n(s)| ds \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\text{meas}(E_2)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\zeta'_n(s)| ds \leq (s_2 - s_1) + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \psi(\zeta'_n(s)) ds.$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we thus obtain

$$|\zeta(s_2) - \zeta(s_1)| \leq \gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1) + s_2 - s_1,$$

where γ is the limit of the sequence of monotone functions $\int_0^t \psi(\zeta'_n(s)) ds$ and hence γ is itself monotone. Therefore ζ must be of bounded variation which contradicts the assumptions. As a consequence we deduce that the solution operators cannot be continuous which is a standing hypothesis for all nonlinear semigroups.

Acknowledgements. This work was heavily motivated by discussions with Prof. R. Vinter during W. S. was visiting Imperial College. It is a pleasure to thank the Royal Society and the Austrian Academy of Sciences for making this visit possible, Moreover, it is a special pleasure to thank Prof. G. Da Prato and I. Lasiecka for several very fruitful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BALL, *Strongly continuous semigroups, weak solutions and the variation of constants formula*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1977), pp. 370-373.
- [2] H. BREZIS, *Operateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, North Holland (1973).
- [3] G. CHEN - R. GRIMMER, *Semigroups and integral equations*, J. Integral Equations, **2** (1980), pp. 133-154.

- [4] G. DA PRATO - P. GRISVARD, *Equations d'évolution abstraites non linéaires de type parabolique*, Annali Mat. Pura ed Appl., **70** (1979), pp. 329-396.
- [5] M. C. DELFOUR, *The largest class of hereditary systems defining a C_0 -semigroup on the product space*, Canad. J. Math., **32** (1980), pp. 969-978.
- [6] P. HESS, *Zur Störungstheorie linearer Operatoren: Relative Beschränktheit und relative Kompaktheit von Operatoren in Banachräumen*, Comm. Math. Helv., **44** (1969), pp. 245-248.
- [7] F. KAPPEL - W. SCHAPPACHER, *Nonlinear functional differential equations and abstract integral equations*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **84 A** (1979), pp. 71-91.
- [8] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer (1976).
- [9] K. KUNISCH and W. SCHAPPACHER, *Necessary conditions for partial differential equations with delay to generate C_0 -semigroups*, J. Differential Equations, **50** (1983), pp. 49-79.
- [10] R. MILLER, *Volterra integral equations in a Banach space*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **18** (1975), pp. 163-193.
- [11] J. ZABCZYK, *A semigroup approach to boundary value control*, in Proc. of the 2nd IFAC Symposium on Control of distributed parameter systems (S. Banks, A. Pritchard eds.), pp. 99-107.
- [12] J. ZABCZYK, *On decomposition of generators*, SIAM. J. Control and Optimization, **16** (1978), pp. 523-534.

Institut für Mathematik
Universität Graz
Brandhofgasse 18
A-8010 Graz, Austria

Institut für Mathematik
Universität Graz
Elisabethstr. 16
A-8010 Graz, Austria