# Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Classe di Scienze

## L. FUCHS

## On quasi-injective modules

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 3<sup>e</sup> série, tome 23, nº 4 (1969), p. 541-546

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\_1969\_3\_23\_4\_541\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\_1969\_3\_23\_4\_541\_0</a>

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



### ON QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES

#### By L. Fuons

Dedicated to B. H. NEUMANN on his 60 th birthday

The purpose of this note is to point out certain analogies between injective and quasi-injective left modules over an arbitrary ring R with identity.

We shall show that quasi-injective modules can be characterized in the same way as injective modules M by the extensibility of homomorphisms  $L \to M$  (where L is a left ideal of R) to  $R \to M$ , but in the quasi-injective case only homomorphisms are admitted whose kernels contain the annihilator left ideal of some  $a \in M$ .

The notion of K-bounded module (with K an ideal of R) is introduced as a module M which is annihilated by K and which contains an element whose annihilator is exactly K. For K-bounded modules quasi-injectivity turns out to be equivalent to R/K-injectivity. A K-bounded module is a direct summand of every module containing it as a pure submodule where purity can be taken in two, inequivalent ways.

Finally, the so-called exchange property will be proved for quasi-injective modules.

1. By a ring we mean an associative ring with 1 and by a module a unital left module over a ring R.

An R module M is said to be quasi-injective (1) if every R-homomorphism of every R submodule of M into M is induced by an R-endomorphism of M. A module is quasi-injective exactly if it is a fully invariant submodule of its injective hull.

For an R-module M, we denote by  $\Omega(M)$  the set of all left ideals L of R such that L contains Ann  $a = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$  for some  $a \in M$ .

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 24 Dicembre 1968.

<sup>(1)</sup> For properties of quasi-injective modules we refer e.g. to Faith [5].

<sup>1.</sup> Annali della Scuola Norm Sup. - Pisa.

LEMMA 1. The following conditions on an R-module M are equivalent:

- (i) M is quasi-injective;
- (ii) if B is a submodule of a cyclic submodule A=Ra of M and if  $\beta: B \to M$  is any R-homomorphism, then there is an extension  $\alpha: A \to M$  of  $\beta$ ;
- (iii) if B is a submodule of any R-module A with  $\Omega(A) \subseteq \Omega(M)$ , then every R-homomorphism  $\beta: B \to M$  can be extended to an R-homomorphism  $\alpha: A \to M$ .

The implication (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii) is trivial. To prove (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii), assume (ii) and let  $A, B, \beta$  be given as in (iii). We use the standard argument and consider submodules C of A and homomorphisms  $\gamma: C \to M$  such that  $B \subseteq C \subseteq A$  and  $\gamma \mid B = \beta$ . If the pairs  $(C, \gamma)$  are ordered in the obvious way, then we can pick out a maximal pair  $(C_0, \gamma_0)$  in the set of pairs  $(C, \gamma)$ . By way of contradiction, suppose there is an  $a \in A$  not in  $C_0$ .

Clearly,  $L = \{r \in R \mid ra \in C_0\}$  is a left ideal of R contained in  $\Omega(A)$ , and hence in  $\Omega(M)$ . Choose some  $x \in M$  such that  $L \supseteq Ann \ a \supseteq Ann \ x$ , and consider the submodule N = Lx of M. The correspondence  $rx \mapsto \gamma_0$  (ra) with  $r \in L$  defines a homomorphism  $\varphi' \colon N \to M$  which can be extended, in view of our hypothesis (ii), to a homorphism  $\varphi \colon Rx \to M$ . Now let  $C' = C_0 + Ra$  and let  $\gamma' \colon C' \to M$  be defined as  $\gamma' \colon c + ra \mapsto \gamma_0(c) + \varphi(rx)$  for  $c \in C_0$ ,  $r \in R$ . It is easy to check that  $\gamma'$  is a well-defined homomorphism, so  $(C_0, \gamma_0) < (C', \gamma')$  contradicts the maximal choice of  $(C_0, \gamma_0)$ . Hence  $C_0 = A$  and  $\gamma_0 = \alpha$  is an extension of  $\beta$ .

The choice A = M in (iii) yields (i). This completes the proof.

Condition (ii) may be reformulated to give a characterization of quasiinjectivity which is similar to a well-known characterization of injectivity [1].

LEMMA 2. An R-module M is quasi-injective if and only if for every left ideal L of R and for every R-homomorphism  $\eta: L \longrightarrow M$  with Ker  $\eta \in \Omega(M)$  there exists an R-homomorphism  $\psi: R \longrightarrow M$  that extends  $\eta$  (2).

If  $a \in M$  is such that Ann  $a \subseteq \text{Ker } \eta$ , then  $\eta$  induces an R-homomorphism  $\beta: La \longrightarrow M$ , and the equivalence with (ii) becomes evident.

In connection with Lemma 2 let us notice that  $\Omega(M)$  can be replaced by the filter (i.e. the dual ideal)  $\overline{\Omega}(M)$  generated by  $\Omega(M)$  in the lattice of all submodules of M. In fact, if M is quasi-injective, then so is  $M \oplus ... \oplus M$  with a finite number n of summands and  $\Omega(M \oplus ... \oplus M)$  contains all  $L_1 \cap ... \cap L_n$  with  $L_j \in \Omega(M)$ . Together with  $M \oplus ... \oplus M$  also M must have the property stated in Lemma 2 for the finite intersections  $L_1 \cap ... \cap L_n$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> Notice that the stated condition makes sense only for  $L \in \Omega(M)$ .

modules 543

2. Next we introduce the notion of bounded modules.

Let K be a left ideal of R. An R-module M will be called K-bounded if  $\Omega(M)$  consists exactly of the left ideals of R which contain K. That is,  $K \in \Omega(M)$  is the unique minimal element of  $\Omega(M)$ , or, in other words,  $\Omega(M)$  is the filter generated by  $K(^3)$ .

It follows at once that K must be two-sided, since it is the annihilator of M. We can thus form the factor ring R/K and may consider M as an R/K-module in the obvious way. If we do so, then we are led to

THEOREM 1. A K-bounded R-module M is quasi-injective if and only if it is injective as an R/K-module.

In the K-bounded case, the condition in Lemma 2 amounts to R/K-injectivity. Hence Theorem 1 holds.

For K = 0, we have: a 0-bounded quasi-injective is injective.

If we drop the hypothesis of K-boundedness, then — under rather restrictive conditions — a similar result can be established with R/K replaced by a topological ring which is constructed as an inverse limit [4].

3. Following Cohn [2], we call a submodule N of the R-module M pure if for all right R modules U, the homomorphism  $U \bigotimes_R N \to U \bigotimes_R M$  [induced by the inclusion  $N \to M$ ] is monic. This is equivalent to the following condition which is more suitable for our purposes: if

is a finite set of equations in the unknowns  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  where  $r_{ij} \in R$ , and if this system has a solution in M, then it has a solution in N too.

An R-module A is called algebraically compact (see [6], [8]) if it is a direct summand in every R-module in which it is a pure submodule. Or, equivalently, if

(2) 
$$\sum_{j} r_{ij} x_{j} = a_{i} \in A \qquad (i \in I)$$

is an arbitrary set of equations in the unknowns  $x_j$   $(j \in J)$  [where I and J are arbitrary index sets and each equation contains but a finite number of

<sup>(3)</sup> For Z-modules, i.e. for abelian groups, K-boundedness means that the group is a direct sum of cyclic groups of order n and orders m dividing n or it contains an element of infinite order, according as K = (n) or K = (0).

non-zero  $r_{ij} \in R$ , and if every finite set of equations in (2) has a solution in A, then the entire system (2) is solvable in A.

THEOREM 2. A K-bounded quasi-injective R-module is algebraically compact. Let A be a K-bounded quasi-injective R-module and (2) a system of equations which is finitely solvable in A. If we consider A as an R/K-module and replace  $r_{ij}$  by  $r_{ij} + K = \bar{r}_{ij}$ , then (2) may be viewed as a system of equations over the R/K-module A. Finite solvability implies that this system is compatible in the sense of Kertész [7], thus it has a solution in the injective R/K-module A. This is evidently a solution of the original form (2), hence the algebraic compactness of A follows.

Algebraic compactness is preserved under direct products and direct summands, hence

COROLLARY 1. Let  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be  $K_i$ -bounded quasi-injective R-modules and M a direct summand of their direct product  $\Pi$   $M_i$ . Then M is algebraically compact (4).

4. There are various definitions of purity for modules which all reduce to ordinary purity for abelian groups. We are going to show that Theorem 2 holds if we replace purity in the sense of P. M. Cohn by the following one.

A submodule N of the R-module M is now called pure if

$$LN = N \cap LM$$

for all two-sided ideals L of R. Algebraic compactness can be defined in the same way as in 3 by using this definition of purity.

Next we prove Theorem 2 for this algebraic compactness. Let A be a K-bounded quasi injective R-module and let M contain A as a pure submodule. The module M/KM is annihilated by K, thus  $\Omega\left(M/KM\right)$  contains only left ideals containing K. In view of  $0=KA=A\cap KM$ , the natural homomorphism  $\varphi:M\to M/KM$  maps A isomorphically upon  $\varphi A$  which is thus quasi-injective. The two last sentences imply, by Lemma 1, that the identity map of  $\varphi A$  extends to a homomorphism  $M/KM\to \varphi A$  showing that  $M/KM=\varphi A\oplus N/KM$  for a submodule N of M. Hence  $M=A\oplus N$ , and A is algebraically compact.

<sup>(4)</sup> Notice that for abelian groups the converse also holds: every algebraically compact group is a summand of a direct product of K-bounded quasi-injectives.

modules 545

5. Next we turn our attention to the so-called exchange property which was systematically discussed by Crawley and Jónsson [3].

Recall that an R-module M is said to have the exchange property if for every R-module A containing M and for submodules N and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of A, the direct decomposition

(3) 
$$A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$$
 (I = arbitrary index set)

implies the existence of R-submodules  $B_i$  of  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) satisfying

$$A = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i).$$

It is known [3] that M has the exchange property if it has the stated property with the  $A_i$  subject to the condition that each  $A_i$  is isomorphic to a submodule of M. The following result generalizes a theorem of Warfield [9] from injectives to quasi injectives.

THEOREM 3. A quasi-injective module has the exchange property.

Let M be a quasi-injective R-module and assume (3) holds for R-modules N,  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) with  $A_i$  isomorphic to submodules of M. Select a submodule B of A which is maximal with respect to the properties: (i)  $B = \bigoplus B_i$  with  $B_i \subseteq A_i$ , and (ii)  $M \cap B = 0$ . We claim (4) holds with these  $B_i$ .

Denote by  $\varphi$  the natural homomorphism  $A \to A/B$ . Because of (ii),  $\varphi \mid M$  is monic, so  $\varphi(M)$  is a quasi-injective submodule of the R-module  $A/B = \bigoplus_i A_i/B_i$  where  $A_i/B_i$  has been identified with  $(A_i + B)/B$  under the canonical isomorphism. The maximal choice of B guarantees that no  $A_i/B_i$  has a non-zero submodule with 0 intersection with  $\varphi(M)$ , that is,  $\varphi(M) \cap (A_i/B_i)$  is essential in  $A_i/B_i$ , and so  $\bigoplus_i [\varphi(M) \cap (A_i/B_i)]$  and a fortiori  $\varphi(M)$  is essential in A/B. Now  $\varphi$  maps  $A_i$  into A/B, but since  $A_i$  is isomorphic

is essential in A/B. Now  $\varphi$  maps  $A_i$  into A/B, but since  $A_i$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\varphi(M)$  and  $\varphi(M)$  is fully invariant in its injective hull (which contains A/B), we see that  $\varphi(A_i)$  must be contained in  $\varphi(M)$ . Consequently,  $\varphi$  maps the whole A into  $\varphi(M)$ , i. e.  $\varphi(M) = A/B$ , so M and B generate A. This proves  $A = M \oplus B$ .

An immediate consequence is:

COROLLARY 2. Assume that

$$A = M_1 \oplus ... \oplus M_m = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$$

are two direct decompositions of an R-module A where every M; and every

 $N_i$  is a quasi-injective R-module, and I is an arbitrary index set. Then they have isomorphic refinements, i. e. there exist R modules  $A_{ji}$   $(j = 1, ..., m; i \in I)$  such that

$$M_j \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{ji}$$
 and  $N_i \cong A_{1i} \oplus ... \oplus A_{mi}$ 

for every j and i, respectively.

An application of Theorem 3 yields  $A = M_1 \oplus (\bigoplus_i N_i')$  for submodules  $N_i'$  of  $N_i$ . Write  $N_i = N_i' \oplus A_{1i}$  to get  $M_1 \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_{1i}$  and  $M_2 \oplus ... \oplus M_m \cong \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i'$ . A simple induction completes the proof.

It is an open problem whether or not two infinite decompositions have isomorphic refinements (5).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. CARTAN and S. EILENBERG, Homological algebra (Princeton, 1956).
- [2] P. M. COHN, On the free product of associative rings, Math. Z. 71 (1959), 380-398.
- [3] P. CRAWLEY and B. JÓNSSON, Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic system, Pac. Journ. Math. 14 (1964), 797-855.
- [4] S. EILENBERG and N. STEENROD, Foundations of algebraic topology (Princeton, 1952).
- [5] C. FAITH, Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 49 (1967).
- [6] L. Fuchs, Algebraically compact modules over Noetherian rings, Indian Journ. Math. 9 (1967), 357-374.
- [7] A. KERTÉSZ, Systems of equations over modules, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged 18 (1957), 207-234.
- [8] R. B. Warfield, Jr., Purity and algebraic compactness for modules. Pac. Journ. Math. 28 (1969), 699-720.
- [9] R. B. WARFIELD, JR., Decompositions of injective modules.

<sup>(5)</sup> This holds for injectives as was shown by Warfield [9].