# Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Classe di Scienze

## Lamberto Cesari

# Sobolev spaces and multidimensional Lagrange problems of optimization

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze  $3^e\,$  série, tome 22,  $n^o\,2\,(1968),\,p.\,193-227$ 

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\_1968\_3\_22\_2\_193\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\_1968\_3\_22\_2\_193\_0</a>

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1968, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

Numdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# SOBOLEV SPACES AND MULTIDIMENSIONAL LAGRANGE PROBLEMS OF OPTIMIZATION (\*)

#### LAMBERTO CESARI

In [3] and [4] we have proved existence theorems for multidimensional Lagrange problems with control variables and unilateral constraints in a fixed bounded domain of a real Euclidean space  $E_r$ , r > 1. These theorems are based on known weak compactness properties of Sobolev spaces  $W_p^l(G)$  for  $l \ge 1$ , p > 1, and G a fixed bounded open subset of  $E_r$ . In the present paper we shall prove a further existence theorem based on compactness properties of the same Sobolev spaces with p = 1. This theorem will extend to multidimensional Lagrange problems the well known Nagumo-Tonelli existence theorem for free problems of the calculus of variations. We have already extended this theorem to unidimensional Lagrange problems in [1, 2].

In the present paper we shall also consider multidimensional Lagrange problems in which the underlying domain is a fixed but unbounded domain in  $E_r$ . We shall extend the previous existence theorems of [3] and [4] as well as the existence theorem of the present paper to cases where the underlying fixed domain is unbounded.

### § 1. A few remarks on weak compactness in $L_p$ for $p \ge 1$ .

Weak compactness theorems in spaces  $L_p(G)$  with  $p \ge 1$  and G a bounded subset of  $E_r$  are well known. Here we state briefly one of these theorems together with some of its extensions to cases where p=1 or G is unbounded. We denote by t the real vector variable  $t=(t^1,\ldots,t^p)\in E_r$ .

If z(t),  $t \in G$ , denotes a real-valued function defined on a subset G of E, by the notation  $z \in L_p(G)$ , p > 1, we shall mean, as usual, that z is measurable,

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 14 Settembre 1967.

<sup>(</sup>\*) Research partially supported by US-AFOSR Grant 942-65 at the University of Michigan.

and that  $|z|^p$  is  $L_1$ -integrable in G. The following statement is well known(1.i) Let G be a measurable bounded subset of  $E_r$ , and  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., a sequence of real valued measurable functions such that  $(\alpha) \ z_k \in L_p(G), \ k = 1, 2, ...; \ (\beta) \int\limits_G |z_k|^p \ dt \le M, \ k = 1, 2, ..., \ for some constants <math>p > 1, \ M \ge 0$ . Then, there is a measurable function z(t),  $t \in G$ , and a subsequence  $[z_{k_p}]$  such that

$$(1) z \in L_r(G), \ 1 \le r \le p;$$

(3) 
$$\int_{G} z \, \Phi \, dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} z_{k_{s}} \Phi \, dt$$

for every real-valued measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , with  $\Phi \in L_q(G)$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$ . All integrals above are finite.

REMARK 1. This theorem is usually proved as a consequence of general statements of Functional Analysis. Indeed, the space  $L_p(G)$  with the usual  $L_p$ -norm is a uniformly convex normed space and hence symmetric by remarks of J. A. Clarkson, and consequently any strongly bounded sequence is weakly compact by a theorem of L. Alaoglu concerning weak topologies in normed linear spaces (see E. Rothe, Pacific Math. Journal 3, 1953, 493-499)). Nevertheless, there are direct proofs of statement (1.i) which are based on the remark that hypothesis  $(\beta)$  implies that the functions  $z_k$  are equiabsolutely integrable in G, that is, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $H \subset G$ , H measurable, meas  $H < \delta$  implies  $\int\limits_H |z_k| \, dt < \varepsilon$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , (indeed, by Holder's inequality

$$\int\limits_{H} |z_{k}| dt \leq \left(\int\limits_{H} 1^{q} dt\right)^{1/q} \left(\int\limits_{H} |z_{k}|^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} \leq M^{1/p} \ (\text{meas } H)^{1/q}$$

and it is enough to assume  $\delta = \varepsilon^q M^{-q/p}$ .

REMARK 2. There is a statement underlying (1.i), namely that, for G bounded, the integrability of  $|z|^p$ , p > 1, implies the integrability of every power  $|z|^r$ ,  $1 \le r \le p$ . This statement is not valid for G unbounded. Actually, for G unbounded, (1.i) itself is not true, as the following example

shows. Take v=1,  $G=[1,\infty)$ , p=2,  $z_k(t)=t^{-1}$  for  $1 \le t \le k$ ,  $z_k(t)=0$  for  $k < t < +\infty$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ . Then, we can take  $z(t)=t^{-1}$  for  $1 \le t < +\infty$ , and all  $z_k$ ,  $z_k^2$ ,  $z^2$  are  $L_i$ -integrable, but z is not. For G unbounded the following statement (1.i)' analogous to (1.i) holds.

(1.i)' Let G be any measurable subset of  $E_r$ , and  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., a sequence of real-valued measurable functions such that

$$(\alpha) \hspace{1cm} z_k \in L_p \ (G), \hspace{0.2cm} k = 1, \, 2 \, , \ldots \, , \hspace{1cm} (\beta) \hspace{0.2cm} \int\limits_G | \, z_k \, |^p \ dt \leq M, \hspace{0.2cm} k = 1, \, 2 \, , \ldots \, ,$$

for some constant p > 1,  $M \ge 0$ . Then, there is a measurable function z(t),  $t \in G$ , and a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  such that

$$z \in L_p(G);$$

(5) 
$$\int_{G} |z|^{p} dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} |z_{k_{s}}|^{p} dt;$$

(6) 
$$\int_{t_i} z \, \Phi \, dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{t_i} z_{k_s} \Phi \, dt$$

for every real-valued measurable function  $\Phi\left(t\right)$ ,  $t\in G$ , with  $\Phi\in L_{q}\left(G\right)$ ,  $q^{-1}+p^{-1}=1$ . All integrals above are finite.

REMARK 3. A proof of (1.i)' can be obtained by first extending all functions  $z_k$  to all of  $E_r$  by taking  $z_k(t)=0$  for  $t\in E_r-G$ , and then by representing  $E_r$  as the countable union of nonoverlapping hypercubes of side length one. On each of these hypercubes (1.i) holds, and the final subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  can be obtained by the diagonal process. Statement (1.i)' can be completed by the remark that if, together with  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$ , also the following hypothesis  $(\gamma)$  holds:  $(\gamma)$   $z_k \in L_1(G)$  and  $\int_G |z_k| \, dt \leq M'$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , for some

constant  $M' \geq 0$ , then it is also true that  $z \in L_1(G)$ , that  $z \in L_r(G)$  for all  $1 \leq r \leq p$ , and (2) holds for all  $1 \leq r \leq p$ . For G unbounded the following statement (1.i)" represents also an extension of (1.i) in a different direction.

(1.i)" Let G be any measurable subset of  $E_r$ , and  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., a sequence of real-valued measurable functions such that  $(\alpha)' z_k \in L_p(G \cap R)$ , p > 1, for every interval  $R \subset E_r$  and  $z_k$  restricted to  $G \cap R$ ;  $(\beta)'$  for every  $R \subset E_r$ 

<sup>3.</sup> Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. - Pisa.

there is a constant M=M(R) such that  $\int\limits_{G \cap R} |z_k|^p dt \leq M(R)$ , k=1,2,.... Then, there is a measurable function z(t),  $t \in G$ , and a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  such that

(7)  $z \in L_p(G \cap R)$  for every interval  $R \subset E_r$  and z restricted to  $G \cap R$ ;

(8) 
$$\int_{G \cap R} |z|^r dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} |z_{k_s}|^r dt \text{ for every } R \subset E_r \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq p;$$

(9) 
$$\int_{G} z \, \Phi \, dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} z_{k_{s}} \Phi \, dt$$

for every real-valued measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $\Phi \in L_q(G)$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$ ,  $\Phi$  of compact support. All integrals above are finite.

REMARK 4. For p=1 statement (1.i) is not true, as the following well known example proves. Take v=1, G=[0,1],  $z_k(t)=k$  for  $0 \le t \le k^{-1}$ ,  $z_k(t)=0$  for  $k^{-1} < t \le 1$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ . Then we can take z(t)=0 for all  $0 \le t \le 1$ , and now for  $\Phi=1$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ , we have  $\int_0^1 z_k dt = 1$ ,  $\int_0^1 z dt = 0$ , and (3) is not valid. For p=1 and G bounded, statement (1.i) can be replaced by the following statement (1.ii).

(1.ii) Let G be any measurable bounded subset of  $E_r$ , and  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., a sequence of real-valued measurable functions such that  $(\delta)$  the functions  $z_k$  are equiabsolutely integrable in G. Then there is a measurable function z(t),  $t \in G$ , and a subsequence  $[z_k]$  such that

$$(10) z \in L_1(G);$$

(11) 
$$\int_{G} |z| dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} |z_{k_{s}}| dt;$$

(12) 
$$\int_{G} z \, \Phi \, dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} z_{k_{s}} \, \Phi \, dt$$

for every measurable bounded function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ . All integrals above are finite.

REMARK 5. Since G is bounded and has, therefore, finite measure, condition (3) certainly implies  $\int_{G} |z_k| dt \leq M'$ , k = 1, 2, ..., for some constant M', and thus a condition analogous to  $(\beta)$  of (1,i) is superfluous here.

PROOF OF (1.ii). For the convenience of the reader we sketch a proof of the essentially known statement (1.ii). It is not restrictive to assume that G is contained in the hypercube  $0 \le t^i \le N$ , i = 1, ..., r, for some integer N.

Let us define each function  $z_k$  in  $E_r$  by taking  $z_k(t) = 0$  for  $t \in E_r - G$ . Then the functions  $z_k$  are  $L_i$ -integrable in every interval  $R_0 \subset E_r$ , and  $\int_{R_0} |z_k(t)| dt \leq M$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Given any interval R = [a, b],  $a = (a^1, \ldots, a^r)$ ,  $b = (b^1, \ldots, b^r)$ , by  $\int_a^b z_k(t) dt$  we shall denote the integral of  $z_k$  in R with the usual conventions concerning signs. Let  $R_0$  be the interval [0, N],  $0 = (0, \ldots, 0)$ ,  $N = (N, \ldots, N)$ , N > 0. For every  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , let us consider the function  $Z_k(t) = \int_0^b z_k(t) d\tau$ , defined for every  $t = (t^1, \ldots, t^r) \in R_0$ , and where the integral ranges over the interval [0, t]. Then, for every interval  $R \subset R_0$  the interval functions

$$\Psi_{k}\left(R\right)=\int\limits_{R}z_{k}\left(\tau\right)d\tau,\;k=1,2,\ldots,R=\left[a,b\right]\subset R_{0}\;,$$

can be expressed in terms of the usual differences of order  $\nu$  of the functions  $Z_k$  with respect to the  $2^{\nu}$  vertices of R, say

$$\Psi_k(R) = A_R Z_k = Z_k(b) - Z_k(a) \text{ for } \nu = 1,$$

$$\Psi_k(R) = A_R Z_k = Z_k(b^1, b^2) - Z_k(a^1, b^2) - Z_k(b^1, a^2) + Z_k(a^1, a^2) \text{ for } \nu = 2, \text{ etc.}$$

As a consequence of ( $\delta$ ) the interval functions  $\Psi_k(R) = \Delta_R Z_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., are equiabsolutely continuous in the usual sense, that is, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for every finite system  $R_1, ..., R_J$  of nonoverlapping intervals  $R_j \subset R_0$ , j = 1, ..., J, with  $\Sigma_j$  meas  $R_j \leq \delta$ , we have  $\Sigma_j \mid \Psi_k(R_j) \mid \leq \varepsilon$ .

If  $t, t' \in R_0$ ,  $t = (t^1, ..., t^r)$ ,  $t' = (t^{1'}, ..., t^{r'})$ , and |t - t'| = d, let  $p_i$ , i = 0, 1, ..., r, be the r + 1 points  $p_0 = t$ ,  $p_r = t'$ ,

$$p_i = (t^1, \dots, t^{\nu-i}, t^{\nu-i+1'}, \dots, t^{\nu'}), i = 1, \dots, \nu - 1.$$

Note that

$$Z_{k}(t') - Z_{k}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} [Z_{k}(p_{i}) - Z_{k}(p_{i-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[ \int_{a}^{p_{i}} - \int_{a}^{p_{i-1}} z_{k}(\tau) d\tau,$$

and that the two intervals  $[0, p_i]$ ,  $[0, p_{i-1}]$  differ by the single interval  $r_i = (q_i, p_i)$ , where

$$q_i = (0, \ldots, 0, t^{r-i+1}, 0, \ldots, 0), p_i = (t^1, \ldots, t^{r-i}, t^{r-i+1'}, \ldots, t^{r'}),$$

and hence

$$Z_{k}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-Z_{k}\left(t\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\int\limits_{g_{i}}^{p_{i}}z_{k}\left(\tau\right)d\tau,$$

where meas  $r_i \leq N^{\nu-1} \mid t^{\nu-i+1} - t^{\nu-i+1'} \mid \leq N^{\nu-1} \mid t - t' \mid = N^{\nu-1} d$ . Thus, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , whenever  $\mid t - t' \mid = d < \epsilon/N^{\nu-1}$  we have  $\mid Z_k(t) - Z_k(t') \mid \leq N^{\nu-1} (\epsilon/N^{\nu-1}) = \epsilon$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ . This shows that the functions  $Z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , are equicontinuous in  $R_0$ . Since  $Z_k(0) = 0$ , the same functions are also equibounded in  $R_0$ . By Ascoli's theorem there is, therefore, a subsequence  $Z_{k_s}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ , with  $k_s \to \infty$ , which is uniformly convergent in  $R_0$  toward, a continuous function Z(t),  $t \in R_0$ . Since  $Z_k(t) = 0$  for every  $t = (t^1, \ldots, t^\nu)$  with  $t \in R_0$  and with  $t^1$   $t^2 \ldots t^\nu = 0$ , we deduce that Z(t) = 0, for the same t.

For any interval  $R = [a, b] \subset R_0$  we have

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{D}} z_{k_g}(\tau) d\tau = \Delta_R Z_{k_g} = \Sigma_j \pm Z_{k_g}(\alpha_j),$$

where  $\Sigma_j$  ranges over the  $2^r$  vertices  $\alpha_j$  of R with the usual sign conventions as mentioned above. As  $s \to \infty$  we deduce

$$\Psi\left(R\right) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{R} z_{k_{s}}(\tau) d\tau = \Delta_{R} Z = \Sigma_{j} \pm Z(\alpha_{j}),$$

and the convergence is uniform with respect to  $R \subset R_0$ . Since the interval functions  $\Psi_k(R) = \Delta_R Z_k$  are equiabsolutely continuous in  $R_0$ , then the interval function  $\Psi(R) = \Delta_R Z$  has the same property.

By Banach's theorem there is a measurable and  $L_i$ -integrable function  $z(t), t \in R_0$ , with

$$\Psi\left(R\right)=arDelta_{R}\,Z=\int\limits_{ec{k}}z\left(\mathbf{r}
ight)\,d\mathbf{r}$$

for every  $R \subset R_0$ , and

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{E}z_{k_{s}}\left(\tau\right)d\tau=\int\limits_{E}z\left(\tau\right)d\tau.$$

This relation proves (12) for every function  $\Phi$  which is the characteristic function of an interval.

Thus (12) is proved also for functions  $\Phi$  which are characteristic functions of a finite union of nonoverlapping intervals. If E is any measurable

set, we can approach E in measure by means of a sequence of finite unions of nonoverlapping intervals, and then (12) can be proved for functions  $\Phi$  which are the characteristic functions of measurable sets. Then (12) is proved also for measurable step functions  $\Phi$ . Finally, any measurable bounded function  $\Phi$  can be approached by means of a sequence of measurable step functions with the same bound, and thus (12) can be proved in general. Relation (11) is now a consequence of (12).

REMARK 6. For G unbounded, statement (1.ii) is not true, as the following example shows. Take  $\nu=1$ ,  $G=[0,+\infty)$ ,  $z_k(t)=1$ , for  $0 \le t \le k$ ,  $z_k(t)=0$  for  $k < t < +\infty$ , k=1,2,... Then we can take z(t)=1 for all  $0 \le t < +\infty$ , and (10) in false, and so is (12), though (12) still holds for every measurable bounded function  $\Phi$  with compact support.

For p=1 and G unbounded, statement (1. ii) can be replaced by the following two statements.

(1.ii)' The same as (1.ii) with G any measurable subset of  $E_r$ , and the additional hypothesis  $(\varepsilon)\int\limits_{\mathcal{C}} |z_k(t)| dt \leq M, \ k=1,2,...$ , and some constant M.

(1.ii)" The same as (1.ii) with G any measurable subset of  $E_r$ , where ( $\delta$ ) is replaced by the weaker hypothesis: ( $\delta'$ ) for every interval  $R \subset E_r$  the functions  $z_k$  restricted to  $G \cap R$  are equiabsolutely integrable; and the conclusions (10), (11), (12) are replaced by the following weaker statements:

(13) 
$$z \in L_1(G \cap R)$$
 for every interval  $R \subset E_r$ ,

(14) 
$$\int_{G \cap R} |z| dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G \cap R} |z_k| dt \text{ for every interval } R \subset E_r;$$

(15) 
$$\int_{a} z \, \Phi \, dt = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{a} z_{k_s} \, \Phi \, dt$$

for every measurable bounded function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , with compact support.

# § 2. Weak compactness in Sobolev spaces $W_p^1$ for $p \ge 1$ .

Weak compactness theorems in spaces  $W_p^1(G)$ , G an open subset of  $E_r$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , are well known [7] for G bounded. Here we summarize some of the results, and add some remarks for the cases where p = 1 or G is unbounded.

As we know an element z of  $W_p^1(G)$  is a real-valued function z(t).  $t \in G$ , with  $z \in L_p(G)$ , possessing generalized first order partial derivatives

 $D_i z = \partial z/\partial t^i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ , a.e. in G [8], with  $D_i z \in L_p(G)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ . If Dz denotes the  $\nu$ -vector  $(D_i z, i = 1, ..., \nu)$ , then  $D_p(z, G)$  and  $\widetilde{D}_p(z, G)$  denote the nonnegative numbers

$$D_{p}\left(z,\,G\right)=\left(\int\limits_{G}\mid Dz\left(t\right)\mid^{p}\,dt\right)^{1/p},\quad \widetilde{D}_{p}\left(z,\,G\right)=\left(\int\limits_{G}\mid z\left(t\right)\mid^{p}\,dt\right)^{1/p}+\,D_{p}\left(z,\,G\right).$$

We shall consider below a proof of compactness theorems in  $W_p^1(G)$  for  $p \ge 1$  in which the main tool is the following lemma.

(2.i) LEMMA. If z(t) is of class  $W_p^1(R)$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , in an hypercube R = [a, b] of side-length h, then

(16) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} |z(t) - z(\tau)|^{p} dt d\tau \leq [(2\nu - 1) h]^{p} h^{\nu} D_{p}(z, R),$$

(17) 
$$\int_{a}^{b} |z(t) - z_{R}|^{p} dt \leq [(2\nu - 1) h]^{p} D_{p}(z, R),$$

where  $z_R = h^{-r} \int\limits_R z(\tau) d\tau$  is the mean value of z in the hypercube R.

This lemma is well known [7]. For the convenience of the reader we shall sketch here the proof of the lemma for p = 1.

PROOF OF (2.i) FOR p=1. We can approach z strongly in R by means of functions of class  $C^1$ . Therefore, it is enough to prove (2.i) for functions z(t) of this class. Also, it suffices to give here the proof for v=2, the proof for v>2 being analogous. To simplify notations we shall replace  $t^1$ ,  $t^2$ ,  $t^1$ ,  $t^2$  by  $x, y, \xi$ ,  $\eta$  respectively, and we take R = [a, b, a + h, b + h]. Since

(18) 
$$\int_{b}^{b+h} \int_{a}^{a+h} |z_{x}(x,y)| dx = \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} |z_{x}(x,y)| dx dy \leq D_{1}(z,R) < + \infty,$$

there must be some  $\overline{y}$ ,  $b \leq \overline{y} \leq b + h$ , such that

$$\int_{a}^{a+h} |z_{x}(x,\overline{y})| dx \leq h^{-1} I_{x},$$

where  $I_x$  is the double integral in the second member of (18). We shall denote by  $I_y$  the analogous integral in terms of  $z_y$ . For all (x, y),  $(\xi, \eta) \in R$ ,

we have now

$$z(x,y)-z(\xi,\eta)=\int_{\eta}^{\overline{y}}z_{y}(\xi,t)\,dt+\int_{\xi}^{x}z_{x}(s,\overline{y})\,ds+\int_{\overline{y}}^{y}z_{y}(x,t)\,dt.$$

By integration with respect to  $x, \xi$  in [a, a+h] and with respect to  $y, \eta$  in [b, b+h], and taking absolute values, we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} |z(x,y)-z(\xi,\eta)| dx dy d\xi d\eta \leq$$

$$\leq \iint_{R} \iint_{\eta} |\int_{\eta}^{\bar{y}} z_{y}(\xi,t)| dt | dx dy d\xi d\eta + \iint_{R} \iint_{R} |\int_{\xi}^{z} z_{x}(s,\bar{y})| ds | dx dy d\xi d\eta +$$

$$+ \iint_{R} \iint_{\bar{y}} |\int_{y}^{y} z_{y}(x,t)| dt | dx dy d\xi d\eta$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} \int_{a}^{b+h} dx dy d\eta \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} |z_{y}(\xi,t)| d\xi dt +$$

$$+ \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} dx dy d\xi d\eta \cdot h^{-1} \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} |z_{x}(u,v)| du dv$$

$$+ \int_{b}^{b+h} \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} dy d\xi d\eta \int_{a}^{a+h} \int_{b}^{b+h} |z_{y}(x,t)| dx dt$$

$$\leq h^{3} \iint_{R} |z_{y}| dx dy + h^{3} \iint_{R} |z_{x}| dx dy + h^{3} \iint_{R} |z_{y}| dx dy \leq 3h^{3} D_{1}(z,R).$$

This proves (16) for p=1 and  $\nu=2$ . We have now again for any  $\nu\geq 2$  and p=1 by using the notation  $t=(t^1,\ldots,t^p), \tau=(\tau^1,\ldots,\tau^p)$ , and assuming (16) proved for every  $\nu\geq 2$ ,

$$\iint_{R} z(t) - z_{R} | dt = \iint_{R} |z(t) - h^{-\nu} \int_{R} z(\tau) d\tau | dt =$$

$$= h^{-\nu} \int_{R} |h^{\nu} z(t) - \int_{R} z(\tau) d\tau | dt$$

$$\begin{split} &= h^{-\nu} \int\limits_{R} \Big| \int\limits_{R} [z \, (t) - z \, (\tau)] \, d\tau \Big| \, dt \\ &\leq h^{-\nu} \int\limits_{R} \int\limits_{R} |z \, (t) - z \, (\tau)| \, dt \, d\tau \\ &\leq h^{-\nu} \cdot (2\nu - 1) \, h^{\nu+1} \, D_{4} \, (z, \, R) = (2\nu - 1) \, h \, D_{4} \, (z, \, R). \end{split}$$

This proves (17) for p=1 and  $\nu \geq 2$ .

For functions of class  $W_p^1(R)$ , p > 1, in an interval R = [a, b] a weak compactness theorem can be stated as follows.

(2.ii) Let  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in R = [a, b]$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of real-valued functions of class  $W^1_p(R)$ , p > 1, with  $\widetilde{D}_p(z_k, R) \le M$ , k = 1, 2, ..., and some constant M. Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  and a function z(t),  $t \in R$ , of class  $W^1_p(R)$  such that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s \to \infty} \int\limits_{R} \mid z\left(t\right) - z_{k_{s}}\left(t\right) \mid^{p} dt &= 0, \\ \lim_{s \to \infty} \int\limits_{R} D_{i} \, z_{k_{s}}\left(t\right) \, \varPhi\left(t\right) \, dt &= \int\limits_{R} D_{i} \, z\left(t\right) \, \varPhi\left(t\right) \, dt, \qquad i = 1, \, \dots, \, \nu, \end{split}$$

for all  $\Phi \in L_q(R)$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$ .

For p = 1 an analogous statement reads:

(2.iii) Let  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in R = [a, b]$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of real valued functions of class  $W_1^1(R)$  and with  $\int\limits_R |z_k(t)| \, dt \leq M$ , k = 1, 2, ..., for some constant M. Let us assume that the generalized first order partial derivatives  $D_i z_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, ...,  $\nu$ , are equiabsolutely integrable in R. Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  and a function z(t),  $t \in R$ , of class  $W_1^1(R)$  such that

(19) 
$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_{c}|z(t)-z_{k_{s}}(t)|dt=0,$$

(20) 
$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{R}D_{i}\,z_{k_{s}}(t)\,\Phi\left(t\right)\,dt=\int\limits_{R}D_{i}\,z\left(t\right)\,\Phi\left(t\right)\,dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu.$$

for every measurable bounded function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in K$ .

For the convenience of the reader we give below the proof of (2.iii). For any  $i=1,\ldots,\nu$ , we shall denote by  $t_i'$  the set of variables  $t^1,\ldots,t^{i-1},$   $t^{i+1},\ldots,t^{r}$  and we shall often write  $(t_i',t^i)$  for t and  $dt_i'$  for  $dt^1\ldots dt^{i-1}dt^{i+1}\ldots dt^r$ . We shall say that a property holds for almost all  $R=[a,b]\subset G$  provided the property holds for all R=[a,b] with  $(a,b)\in G\times G$  with exception at most of a set of points  $(a,b)\in G\times G$  of measure zero (in  $E_r\times E_r$ ).

The generalized derivatives  $D_i z(t) = \partial z/\partial t^i$ , i = 1, ..., r, of a function z(t),  $t \in G$ , of class  $W_1^1(G)$  can be characterized a. e. by the following important property: For almost all  $R = [a, b] \subset G$ ,  $a = (a^1, ..., a^r)$ ,  $b = (b^1, ..., b^r)$ , we have

$$\int\limits_{a_{i}^{\prime}}^{b_{i}^{\prime}}\left[z\left(t_{i}^{\prime},b^{i}\right)-z\left(t_{i}^{\prime},a^{i}\right)dt^{\prime}\right]=\int\limits_{a}^{b}D_{i}\,z\left(t\right)dt,\,\,i=1,\,...\,,\nu.$$

PROOF OF (2.iii). We may well assume that R is the interval [0,b], or  $0 \le t^i \le b^i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\nu$ . We can extend each function  $z_k(t)$  in the interval  $-b^i \le t^i \le b^i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\nu$ , by evenness of  $z_k$  in  $t^1,\ldots,t^r$ , and then we can extend each function  $z_k$  in the whole of  $E_r$  by periodicity of period  $2b^i$  in  $t^i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\nu$ . The functions  $z_k$  are now defined in  $E_r$  and are of class  $W_1^1$  in each fixed interval  $R_0 \subset E_r$ . The same functions  $z_k$  satisfy  $\int |z_k| dt \le M_0$  for a constant  $M_0$  which does not depend on k but may depend on  $R_0$ , and the derivatives  $D_i z_k$  are equiabsolutely integrable in  $R_0$ . We can take for  $R_0$  an hypercube  $0 \le t^i \le A$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,r$ , of side length A>0,  $R_0$  containing R in its interior. We shall prove (2.iii) for the hypercube  $R_0$ . As mentioned in Remark 5 of § 1, from the equiabsolute integrability of the derivatives  $D_i z_k$  in  $R_0$  we deduce that there is some constant M' such that

(21) 
$$\int_{R_0} |D_i z_k(t)| dt \leq M', \ k = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, ..., \nu.$$

Here M' does not depend on k but may depend on  $R_0$ .

For every integer  $r=0,\ 1,\ 2,\ldots$ , let us consider the subdivision of  $R_0$  into  $2^{pr}$  hypercubes  $R_{rj},\ j=1,\ldots,2^{pr},$  of side length  $2^{-r}A$ , that we obtain by dividing  $R_0$  by means of the hyperplanes  $t^i=\alpha 2^{-r}A,\ \alpha=1,\ldots,2^r,\ i=1,\ldots,r$ . For every r let us denote by  $z_{kr}(t),\ t\in R_0$ , the step function that we obtain by replacing  $z_k(t)$  in  $R_{rj}$  by means of the mean value  $(z_k)_{R_{rj}}$ 

of  $z_k$  in  $R_{rj}$ . For every r and for every  $R = R_{rj}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{kr}(t)| &= (2^{-r} A)^{-\nu} \int\limits_{R} z_{k}(\tau) d\tau \Big| \leq 2^{r\nu} A^{-\nu} \int\limits_{R} |z_{k}(\tau)| d\tau \leq \\ &\leq 2^{r\nu} A^{-\nu} M, \ t \in R = R_{rj}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last member does not depend on k but depends on r. Thus, for every r, the sequence  $z_{kr}(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , k = 1, 2, ..., is bounded in  $R_0$ .

For r=0,  $z_{k0}$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , k=1,2,..., is a bounded sequence of constants, and thus there is a convergent subsequence, say still  $z_{k0}$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , k=1, 2,.... We shall denote by  $\bar{z}_0$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , the limit function which is constant on  $R_0$ . For r=1 the functions  $z_{k1}$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , k=1,2,..., are constant on each of the  $2^r$  subintervals  $R_{1j}$ ,  $j=1,...,2^r$ , and thus there is a convergent subsequence, say still  $z_{k2}$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , k=1,2,.... We shall denote by  $\bar{z}_2$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , the limit function which is constant on each of the  $2^r$  intervals  $R_{1j}$ ,  $j=1,...,2^r$ . By repeating this process of successive extractions we obtain, for every r=0,1,2,..., a subsequence, say still  $z_{kr}$  (t),  $t\in R_0$ , and all  $z_{kr}$  and  $z_r$  are constant on each of the  $2^{rr}$  intervals  $R_{rj}$ ,  $j=1,2,...,2^{rr}$ . By the diagonal process we obtain now a subsequence of integers  $[k_s]$  such that  $z_{k_s}$   $(t) \to z_r$  (t) as  $s \to \infty$  for all  $t\in R_0$  and every r=0,1,2,.... By a suitable reindexing we can always denote by [k] the new sequence so that we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} z_{kr}(t) = z_r(t)$$

for all  $t \in R_0$  and every r = 0, 1, 2, ...

We shall now apply (17) of (2.i) to each function  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , and each interval  $R_{rj}$ ,  $j = 1, ..., 2^{r}$ . The mean values are now the values taken by the step functions  $z_{kr}(t)$  on each  $R_{rj}$ , and hence

$$\int_{R_{rj}} |z_k(t) - z_{kr}(t)| dt \le (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} A D_1(z_k, R_{rj})$$

and, by summation over  $j = 1, ..., 2^{r}$ , also

(23) 
$$\int\limits_{R_{-}} |z_{k}(t) - z_{kr}(t)| dt \le (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} A D_{1}(z_{k}, R_{0})$$
 for every  $k = 1, 2, ...$ 

For all r, s, r < s, we have now from (20) and (23),

$$(24) \int_{\vec{k}_0} |z_{kr}(t) - z_{ks}(t)| dt \leq 2 (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} A D_1(z_k, R_0) \leq 2\nu (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} A M'.$$

For r, s fixed, r < s, we have  $z_{kr} \to \overline{z_r}$ ,  $z_{ks} \to \overline{z_s}$  as  $k \to \infty$ , uniformly in  $R_0$  since, r and s being fixed, all functions  $z_{kr}$  are constant on each  $B_{rj}$  and all  $z_{k_s}$  are constant on each  $R_{sj}$ . Thus, as  $k \to \infty$ , from (23) we deduce

(25) 
$$\int_{R_{s}} |\overline{z_{r}}(t) - \overline{z_{s}}(t)| dt \leq 2\nu (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} AM', \ r < s.$$

Also, for every r, we can determine an index  $k = k_r$  such that

(26) 
$$\int_{R_0} |z_{k_r r}(t) - \overline{z_r}(t)| dt \leq 2r(2r+1) 2^{-r} AM'.$$

Relation (25) shows that the sequence  $\overline{z}_r(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , r = 0, 1, 2, ..., converges in  $L_1(R_0)$  toward a function z(t),  $t \in R_0$ , of class  $L_1(R_0)$ , and that

(27) 
$$\int_{R_{r}} |\overline{z}_{r}(t) - z(t)| dt \leq 2\nu (2\nu - 1) 2^{-r} AM'.$$

By (23), (26), and (27), we deduce

$$\begin{split} & \int_{R_0} |z_{k_r}(t) - z(t)| \, dt \leq \\ & \leq \int_{R_0} |z_{k_r} - z_{k_{r'}}| \, dt + \int_{R_0} |z_{k_{r'}} - \overline{z_r}| \, dt + \int_{R_0} |\overline{z_r} - z| \, dt \\ & \leq 5\nu \, (2\nu - 1) \, 2^{-r} \, AM', \end{split}$$

that is, the sequence  $z_{k_r}(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , r = 1, 2, ..., converges in  $L_1(R_0)$  toward a function z(t),  $t \in R_0$ , of class  $L_1(R_0)$ . Thus (19) of (2. iii) is proved.

Since the derivatives  $D_i z_{k_r}(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , r = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, ..., r, are equiabsolutely integrable in  $R_0$ , we can apply (1. ii) successively r times, and extract a new subsequence, which we still indicate  $[z_{k_r}]$  for the sake of simplicity, so that, together with relations (19), also the r relations hold

(28) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_{R_0}D_iz_{k_r}(t)\,\Phi(t)\,dt=\int\limits_{R_0}p_i(t)\,\Phi(t)\,dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for some suitable functions  $p_i(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ , of class  $L_1(R_0)$ , and for all measurable bounded functions  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in R_0$ . We have only to prove that these functions  $p_i$  are the generalized derivatives of the function z determined above.

For every r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ , we know already that

(29) 
$$\int_{a_{i}'}^{b_{i}'} [z_{k_{r}}(t_{i}', b^{i}) - z_{k_{r}}(t_{i}', a^{i})] dt_{i}' = \int_{a}^{b} D_{i} z_{k_{r}}(t) dt,$$

for almost all intervals  $R=[a,b]\subset R_0$  ,  $a=(a^1\,,\ldots\,,a^{\nu}),\,b=(b^1\,,\ldots\,,b^{\nu}).$ 

Then it is also true that for almost all intervals  $R = [a, b] \subset R_0$  relations (29) holds for all r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and all  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ . Note that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_{R_{0}}\left|z_{k_{r}}(t)-z\left(t\right)\right|dt=0,$$

where  $R_0 = [0 \le t^i \le A, i = 1, ..., r]$ , and we can write this relation in the form

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_0^A dt^i\int\limits_0^A |z_{k_r}(t_i',t^i)-z(t_i',t^i)|\,dt_i'=0,$$

where [0, A'] denotes the  $(\nu - 1)$ -dim. interval  $0 \le t^j \le A$ ,  $j \ne i, j = 1, ..., \nu$ . This implies that, for almost all  $t^i$  of the 1-dim. interval  $0 \le t^i \le A$ , we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_0^{A'}\left|z_{k_r}(t_i',t^i)-z(t_i',t^i)\right|dt_i'=0.$$

Hence, for all  $[a'_i, b'_i] \subset [0, A']$  and almost all  $t', 0 \le t' \le A$ , we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{a_{i}^{\prime}}^{b_{i}^{\prime}}\left|z_{k_{r}}\left(t_{i}^{\prime},t^{\prime}\right)-z\left(t_{i}^{\prime},t^{\prime}\right)\right|dt_{i}^{\prime}=0.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{a_{i}'}^{b_{i}'} z_{k_{r}}(t_{i}', t^{i}) dt_{i}' - \int_{a_{i}'}^{b_{i}'} z(t_{i}', t^{i}) dt_{i}' \right| \leq \int_{a_{i}'}^{b_{i}'} |z_{k_{r}}(t_{i}', t^{i}) - z(t_{i}', t^{i})| dt_{i}',$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{a_i'}^{b_i'} z_{k_r}(t_i',t_i') dt_i' = \int_{a_i'}^{b_i'} z(t_i',t_i) dt_i'$$

for all  $[a'_i, b'_i]$  and almost all  $t^i, 0 \le t^i \le A$ . Thus, for almost all  $[a, b] \subset R_0$  the first member of (29) converges, as  $r \to \infty$ , toward the first member of

formula (30) below, and the second member of (29) toward the second member of (30) below. Thus, by (29), as  $r \to \infty$ , we deduce

(30) 
$$\int_{a'_{i}}^{b'_{i}} [z(t'_{i}, b^{i}) - z(t'_{i}, a^{i})] dt'_{i} = \int_{a}^{b} p_{i}(t) dt,$$

for almost all  $[a, b] \subset R_0$ ,  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ . This proves that  $p_i(t) = D_i z(t)$  almost everywhere in  $R_0$ ,  $i = 1, ..., \nu$ . Statement (2. iii) is thereby proved.

As in the previous papers [3,4] we shall assume for G and its boundary  $S=\partial G$  a certain amount of regularity, and we shall say that a bounded open set G is of class  $K_{0l}$ ,  $l \ge 1$ . To be precise, we may assume that K=cl  $G=G\cup S$  is the union of finitely many nonoverlapping parts  $K_1,...,K_J$ , each  $K_j=T_j(R)$  being the 1-1 image of a rectangle R under a transformation  $T_j$  which is continuous with its inverse  $T^{-1}$  and has continuous partial derivatives up to the order l,j=1,...,J. (A number of different assumptions can be made (See, for instance, S. L. Sobolev [8], Chap. I, § 10, p. 72, Remark). The further usual convention shall be made that the boundary S of G is the union of non overlapping parts  $\lambda_s$ , each  $\lambda_s$  being the image under  $T_j$  of one face  $l_j$  of R, or  $\lambda_s=T_j(l_j)$  for only one j.

If G is unbounded, we shall say that G is of class  $K_{0l}$  if its closure  $K = cl\ G$  is the countable union of nonoverlapping parts  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , ..., each  $K_j = T_j(R)$  as before, and with the further assumptions that each set  $V_N = \bigcup_{j=1}^N K_j = cl\ G_N$  is the closure of an open bounded set  $G_N$  of class  $K_{0l}$ , that every interval R of  $E_r$  has a non-empty intersection with at most finitely many  $K_j$ , and that  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , that is, G is the union of the bounded open subsets  $G_N$  all of class  $K_{0l}$ .

Obviously, there are  $\infty$ -many decompositions as described of sets G of class  $K_{0l}$ , bounded or unbounded. Any such decomposition will be called a *typical representation* of the set G of class  $K_{0l}$ .

Statements (2. ii) and (2. iii) have now the following extensions to open sets G.

(2. iv) Let  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of functions of class  $W_p^1(G)$ , p > 1, in a bounded open set G with  $\widetilde{D}_P(z_k, G) \leq M$ , k = 1, 2, ..., for some constant M. Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_g}]$  and a function z(t),  $t \in G$ , of class  $W_p^1(G)$  such that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{R}|z_{k_{s}}(t)-z\left(t\right)|^{p}dt=0,$$
 
$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{R}D_{i}z_{k_{s}}(t)\;\Phi\left(t\right)dt=\int\limits_{R}D_{i}z\left(t\right)\;\Phi\left(t\right)dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for every  $\Phi \in L_q(R)$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$ , and every interval  $R \subset G$ . If G is of

class  $K_{01}$ , then we have also

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{G}|z_{k_{s}}(t)-z\left(t\right)|^{p}\ dt=0,$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_{G}D_{i}z_{k_{s}}(t)\Phi(t)dt=\int_{G}D_{i}z(t)\Phi(t)dt, \qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for every  $\Phi \in L_q(G)$ .

(2. v) Let  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of functions of class  $W_1^1(G)$  in a bounded open set G, with  $\int_G |z_k| dt \le M$ , k = 1, 2, ..., and some

constant M, and whose generalized first order partial derivatives  $D_i z_k$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\nu, k=1,2,\ldots$ , are equiabsolutely integrable in G. Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  and a function  $z(t), t \in G$ , of class  $W_1^1(G)$  such that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_{R}\left|z_{k_{s}}\left(t\right)-z\left(t\right)\right|dt=0.$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_{R}D_{i}z_{k_{s}}(t)\ \Phi\left(t\right)\ dt=\int_{R}D_{i}z\left(t\right)\ \Phi\left(t\right)\ dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for every bounded measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in R$ , and every interval  $R \subset G$ . If G is of class  $K_{01}$ , then we have also

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_{G}\left|z_{k_{s}}(t)-z\left(t\right)\right|dt=0,$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}D_{i}\,z_{k_{s}}\left(t\right)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)dt=\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}D_{i}\,z\left(t\right)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for every bounded measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ .

REMARK 7. For G unbounded, (2.iv) and (2.v) are not true, as the following example shows. Take  $z_k(t)=0$  for all  $t \in G=E_v$  with exception of the solid sphere of radius one and center  $t_k=(k,\ldots,k)$ , where  $z_k(t)=1-|t-t_k|$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ . Then  $\widetilde{D}_p(z_k,G)=c_p$ ,  $p\geq 1$ , where  $c_p$  is a constant wich depends only on p, and the derivatives  $D_iz_k$  are equiabsolutely integrable in G, but  $\int_G |z_k-z|^p dt = C_p$  for all  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , where  $C_p>0$  is a constant which depends on p only.

For G unbounded (2.iv) is still valid under the same hypotheses, provided in the conclusion the strong convergence of  $z_{k_s}$  in the whole of G is replaced by

$$\begin{split} &\int_{G} \mid z\mid^{p} dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} \mid z_{k_{s}}\mid^{p} dt, \\ &\int_{G} \mid D_{i}z\mid^{p} dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} \mid D_{i}z_{k_{s}}\mid^{p} dt, \qquad \qquad i = 1, \dots, \nu, \end{split}$$

and we assume that  $\Phi$  has compact support.

Analogously, for G unbounded (2.v) is still valid under the additional hypothesis  $\int_G |D_i z_k| dt \leq M'$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,\nu$  and some constant M', provided in the conclusion the strong convergence of  $z_{k_s}$  in the whole of G is replaced by

$$\begin{split} & \int_{G} |z| \, dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} |z_{k_{s}}| \, dt \,, \\ & \int_{G} |D_{i}z| \, dt \leq \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{G} |D_{i}z_{k_{s}}| \, dt \,, \qquad \qquad i = 1, \dots, \nu, \end{split}$$

and we assume that  $\Phi$  has compact support.

For G unbounded, both theorems (2.iv) and (2.v) can be stated in weaker forms that can be deduced from the analogous theorems of § 1. To state these new theorems we shall always think of the unbounded open set G as the union of bounded open subsets, or  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , such that for any interval  $R \subset G$  we have also  $R \subset G_N$  for some N. If G is of class  $K_{01}$ , then we shall assume that the sets  $G_N$  are the open bounded subsets of G of class  $K_{01}$  described above relatively to any typical representation of the open set G of class  $K_{01}$ .

(2. iv)' Let  $z_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of measurable functions in the unbounded open set  $G \subset E_r$ ,  $G = \bigcup G_N$  as above. Assume that for every N = 1, 2, ..., we have  $z_k \in W_p^1(G_N)$ ,  $\widetilde{D}(z, G_N) \leq M_N$ , p > 1, k = 1, 2, ..., for some constant  $M_N$ . Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_p}]$  and a function z(t),  $t \in G$ , measurable in G, with  $z \in W_p^1(G_N)$  for every N, such that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{R} |\,z_{k_{s}}(t)-z\,(t)\,|^{\,p}\,dt &= 0,\\ \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{R} D_{i}z_{k_{s}}(t)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)dt &= \int\limits_{R} D_{i}z\,(t)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)dt, \qquad i=1,\ldots,r\,, \end{split}$$

for every interval  $R \subset G$ , and every function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in R$ ,  $\Phi \in L_q(R)$ ,  $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$ . If G is of class  $K_{01}$  and  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , is any typical representation of G, then we have also

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{G_N} |\, z_{k_s}(t) - z\,(t)\,|^p\,dt &=\,0,\\ \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{G} D_i \, z_{k_s}(t)\,\,\varPhi\,(t)\,dt &= \int\limits_{G} D_i \, z\,(t)\,\,\varPhi\,(t)\,dt, \qquad i\,=\,1,\,\ldots\,,\,r, \end{split}$$

for every  $N=1,\,2,\,\ldots$ , and for every function  $\Phi\left(t\right),\,t\in G,\,\Phi\in L_{q}\left(G\right),\,q^{-1}+p^{-1}=1,\,\Phi$  of compact support.

(2.v)' Let  $z_k(t), t \in G, k = 1, 2, ...$ , be a sequence of measurable functions in the unbounded open set  $G \subset E_r$ ,  $G = \bigcup G_N$  as above. Assume that for every N = 1, 2, ..., we have  $z_k \in W_1^1(G_N)$ ,  $\int_{G_N} |z_k| dt \leq M_N$ , k = 1, 2, ..., for

some constant  $M_N$ . Assume that, for every N the derivatives  $D_i z_k$ , i=1,  $2, \ldots, r$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , are equiabsolutely integrable in  $G_N$ . Then, there is a subsequence  $[z_{k_s}]$  and a function z(t),  $t \in G$ , measurable in G, with  $z \in W_1^1(G_N)$  for every N, and such that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{R} |z_{k_{s}}(t)-z\left(t\right)| \, dt &= 0 \;, \\ \lim_{s\to\infty} \int\limits_{R} D_{i}z_{k_{s}}(t) \, \varPhi\left(t\right) \, dt &= \int\limits_{R} D_{i}z\left(t\right) \varPhi\left(t\right) \, dt, \qquad i=1,\ldots, \; r, \end{split}$$

for every interval  $R \subset G$ , and every bounded measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in R$ . If G is of class  $K_{01}$ , and  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , is any typical representation of G, then we have also

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{G_{N}}|z_{k_{s}}(t)-z(t)|\,dt=0,$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int\limits_{G}D_{i}z_{k_{s}}\left(t\right)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)\,dt=\int\limits_{G}D_{i}z\left(t\right)\,\varPhi\left(t\right)\,dt,\qquad i=1,\ldots,\nu,$$

for every N=1,2,..., and for every bounded measurable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $\Phi$  of compact support.

REMARK 8. For functions z(t),  $t \in G$ , of any Sobolev space  $W_p^l(G)$ ,  $l \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , all generalized derivatives  $D^{\alpha} z(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , of all orders  $|\alpha|$ ,

 $0 \le |\alpha| \le l$ , exist a.e. in G. Here  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$  denotes an arbitrary system of integers  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ , with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_r$ . In addition  $D^\alpha z \in L_p(G)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 \le |\alpha| \le l$ , and each derivative  $D^\alpha z$  of order  $0 \le |\alpha| \le l - 1$  possesses boundary values  $\Phi_i^\alpha$  on the boundary  $S = \partial G$  of G, provided G be, say, of class  $K_{0l}$ . If  $D_h z(t)$  denotes the vector of all generalized partial derivatives of all orders  $|\alpha| = h$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ , h = 0, 1, ..., l, (so that, for example,  $D_0 z = z$  is a 1-vector), then let  $D_p^l(z, G)$  and  $\widetilde{D}_p^l(z, G)$  denote the nonnegative numbers

$$D_{p}^{l}(z, G) = \left(\int_{G} |D_{l}z(t)|^{p} dt\right)^{1/p},$$

$$\widetilde{D}_{p}^{l}\left(z,\,G\right)=\varSigma_{h=0}^{l}\left(\int\limits_{G}\left|\,D_{h}\,z\left(t\right)\,\right|^{p}dt\right)^{1/p}\,.$$

The compactness theorems above in Sobolev spaces  $W_p^l(G)$  can be extended without difficulties to Sobolev spaces  $W_p^l(G)$ ,  $l \ge 1$ . All that is needed is to assure that the derivatives of maximum order  $D^\alpha z(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $|\alpha| = l$ , are equiabsolutely integrable, and that the other derivatives  $D^\alpha z(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , of lower orders,  $0 \le |\alpha| \le l-1$ , possess an integral bound.

## § 3 Preliminary notations for multidimensional Lagrange problems.

Let G be an open subset of the t-space  $E_r$ ,  $t=(t^1,\ldots,t^r)$ , let  $x=(x^1,\ldots,x^n)$  denote a vector variable in  $E_n$ , and  $u=(u^1,\ldots,u^m)$  a vector variable in  $E_m$ . We shall denote the  $x^i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , as state variables, and the  $u^j$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,m$ , as control variables. As usual, we denote by clG and by  $bdG=\partial G$  the closure and the boundary of G. We also denote by coH the convex hull of a set H, and thus  $cl\ coH$  is the closure of the convex hull of H. For every  $t\in clG$ , let A(t) be a given nonempty subset of  $E_n$ , and let A be the set of all (t,x) with  $t\in cl\ G, x\in A$  (t). For every  $(t,x)\in A$  let U(t,x) be a subset of  $E_m$ , and let M be the set of all (t,x,u) with  $(t,x)\in A$ ,  $u\in U(t,x)$ . The set M defined above is a subset of  $E_r\times E_n\times E_m$  and its projection on  $E_r\times E_n$  is A. We assume G to be of class  $K_{0l}$  for some  $l\geq 1$ .

We shall consider vector functions  $x(t) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ ,  $u(t) = (u^1, \dots, u^m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , and, by analogy with the case r = 1, we may denote x(t) as a trajectory, and u(t) as a control function, or strategy. For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we shall denote by  $\{\alpha\}_i$  a given finite system of nonnegative integral indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), 0 \le |\alpha| \le l$ , with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$ . We shall assume each component  $x^i(t)$  of x to be locally  $L_{p_i}$  integrable in G and to possess the generalized partial derivatives  $D^{\alpha} x^i(t)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_i$ , all locally

 $L_{p_i}$  integrable in G for certain  $p_i \ge 1$ . In § 5 we shall assume all  $p_i > 1$ , in § 6 we may allow some  $p_i$  to be = 1. We shall assume that each component  $u^j(t)$  of u is measurable in G.

Let N denote the total number of indices  $\alpha$  contained in the n systems  $\{\alpha\}_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, and let  $f(t, x, u) = (f_{i\alpha})$  denote an N-vector function whose components are real-valued functions  $f_{i\alpha}(t, x, u)$  defined on M. We shall consider the system of N partial differential equations in G:

$$D^{\alpha} x^{i} = f_{i\alpha}(t, x, u), \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

or briefly

$$Dx = f(t, x, u)$$

We are interested in pairs x, u of vector functions  $x(t), u(t), t \in G$ , as above, satisfying the constraints

(31) 
$$(t, x(t)) \in A, u(t) \in U(t, x(t))$$
 a.e. in  $G$ ,

which are often denoted as unilateral constraints, and the system of partial differential equations

(32) 
$$D^{\alpha} x^{i}(t) = f_{i\alpha}(t, x(t), u(t)) \text{ a.e. in } G, \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

or in short

$$Dx(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \text{ a.e. in } G.$$

Given  $\delta > 0$  and a point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$ , we shall mean by the closed neighborhood  $N_\delta(t_0, x_0)$  of radius  $\delta$  of  $(t_0, x_0)$  in A the set of all  $(t, x) \in A$  at a distance  $\leq \delta$  from  $(t_0, x_0)$ . Also, we shall denote by  $U_\varepsilon$  the set of all points  $u \in E_m$  at a distance  $\leq \varepsilon$  from a given set U. We shall say that U(t, x) is metrically upper semicontinuous at the point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$  provided that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta = \delta(t_0, x_0; \varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $U(t, x) \subset [U(t_0, x_0)]_\varepsilon$  for all  $(t, x) \in N_\delta(t_0, x_0)$ . We shall say that U(t, x) is metrically upper semicontinuous in A provided U(t, x) has this property at every point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$ . This concept of metric upper semicontinuity is most often used when the sets U(t, x) are compact and all contained in a bounded part of  $E_m$ . In general, the sets U(t, x) are only closed and not compact, and in these cases it has been found that analogous concepts of upper semicontinuity, more topological in character, are needed. We shall denote these properties as properties (U) and (Q).

First, given  $\delta>0$  and a point  $(t_0\,,x_0)\in A,$  let us denote by  $U(t_0\,,x_0\,;\,\delta)$  the set

$$U(t_0, x_0; \delta) = \bigcup U(t, x),$$

where U ranges over all  $(t, x) \in N_{\delta}(t_0, x_0)$ . We shall say that U(t, x) satisfies property (U) at a point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$  provided

$$U(t_0, x_0) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} cl \ U(t_0, x_0; \delta),$$

that is,

$$U\left(t_{0}^{-},x_{0}^{-}
ight)=\bigcap_{\delta}cl_{\left(t,x
ight)\in\mathcal{N}_{\delta}\left(t_{0}^{-},x_{0}^{-}
ight)}U\left(t,x
ight).$$

We shall say that U(t, x) satisfies property (U) in A if U(t, x) satisfies property (U) at every point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$ . A set U(t, x) satisfying property (U) is necessarily closed as the intersection of closed sets. Property (U) is the so-called property of upper semicontinuity used by C. Kuratowski, E. Michael, and G. Choquet (see [4] for references).

Below we shall also consider the sets

$$Q(t,x) = f(t,x, U(t,x)) = [z \mid z = f(t,x,u), u \in U(t,x)] \subset E_N,$$

and other analogous ones, which we shall introduce as needed. We shall say that such a set Q(t, x) satisfies property (Q) at a point  $(t_0, x_0) \in A$  provided

$$Q\left(t_{0},x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{\delta>0}cl\ co\ Q\left(t_{0},x_{0};\delta\right),$$

that is,

$$Q\left(t_{0}^{-},x_{0}^{-}\right)=\bigcap_{\delta}cl\,co\,\bigcup_{\left(t,\,x\right)\in\,N_{\delta}\left(t_{0}^{-},\,x_{0}\right)}\,Q\left(t,\,x\right).$$

We shall say that Q(t,x) satisfies property (Q) in A if Q(t,x) satisfies property (Q) at every point  $(t_0,x_0) \in A$ . A set Q(t,x) satisfying property (Q) is necessarily closed and convex as the intersection of closed and convex subsets of  $E_N$ .

### § 4. Boundary conditions and the cost functional.

Beside the N-vector  $f(t,x,u)=(f_{ia})$ , we shall consider a scalar function  $f_0(t,x,u)$  defined on M, and we shall denote by  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)$  the (N+1)-vector function  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)=(f_0,f_{ia})$ , or  $\widetilde{f}=(f_0,f)$ , defined on M. Concerning the n-vector function  $x(t)=(x^1,\ldots,x^n)$  we shall require that each function  $x^i(t)$ ,  $t\in G$ , belongs to a Sobolev class  $W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G)$  for given  $l_i$  and  $p_i$ ,  $1\leq l_i\leq l$ ,  $p_i\geq 1$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . By force of Sobolev's imbedding theorems [8], as well as by direct arguments, each function  $x^i$  and each of its derivatives  $D^a x^i$  for which  $0\leq |\alpha|\leq l_i-1$  has boundary values  $\Phi^i_a$  defined almost everywhere on the boundary  $S=\partial G$  of G, each  $\Phi^i_a$  being of class  $L_{p_i}$  on S.

We shall now require a set (B) of boundary conditions involving the boundary values of the functions  $x^i$  and of their generalized partial derivatives  $D_a x^i$ ,  $0 \le |\alpha| \le l_i - 1$ . On these boundary conditions (B) we assume the following closure property:  $(P_1)$  if  $x(t) = (x^1, ..., x^n)$ ,  $x_k(t) = (x^1_k, ..., x^n_k)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., are vector functions whose components  $x^i$ ,  $x^i_k$  belong to the Sobolev class  $W^{l_i}_{p_i}(G)$ , if  $D_\beta x^i_k(t) \to D^\beta x^i(t)$  as  $k \to \infty$  strongly in  $L_{p_i}(G)$  for every  $\beta$  with  $0 \le |\beta| \le l_i - 1$ , if  $D^\beta x^i_k(t) \to D^\beta x^i(t)$  as  $k \to \infty$  weakly in  $L_{p_i}(G)$  for every  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = l_i$ , and if the boundary values  $\Phi^i_{ka}$  of  $x^i_k(t)$ , i = 1, ..., n, on  $S = \partial G$  satisfy boundary conditions (B), then the boundary values  $\Phi^i_a$  of  $x^i(t)$ , i = 1, ..., n, on  $S = \partial G$  satisfy conditions (B).

For G unbounded but of class  $K_{0l}$  in a given representation  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$  (see § 2) we shall replace  $(P_i)$  by the analogous condition, say still  $(P_i)$ , where we assume only  $x^i, x_k^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G_N)$  for every N, and we assume that  $D^{\beta} x_k^i \to D^{\beta} x^i$  as  $k \to \infty$  strongly in  $L_{p_i}(G_N)$  for each N and  $0 \le |\beta| \le l_i - 1$ , and that  $D^{\beta} x_k^i \to D^{\beta} x^i$  as  $k \to \infty$  weakly in  $L_{p_i}(G_N)$  for each N and  $|\beta| = l_i$ , i = 1, ..., n.

For instance, if the boundary conditions (B) are defined by requiring that some of the boundary values coincide with preassigned continuous functions  $\Phi_{\alpha}^{i}$  on certain parts of  $\partial G$ , then, by force of Sobolev's imbedding theorems [8], as well as by direct argument, we know that property  $(P_{1})$  is valid.

A pair  $x(t)=(x^1,\ldots,x^n)$ ,  $u(t)=(u^1,\ldots,u^m)$ ,  $t\in G$ , with  $x^i\in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G)$   $(x^i\in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G_N))$  for every N if G is unbounded),  $u^j$  measurable in G, satisfying  $(t,x(t))\in A$ ,  $u(t)\in U(t,x(t))$ ,  $D^ax^i(t)=f_{ia}(t,u(t))$ ,  $a\in\{a\}_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , a.e. in G, the boundary conditions (B), and  $f_0(t,x(t),u(t))\in L_1(G)$ , is said to be admissible. A class G of admissible pairs is said to be complete if, for any sequence  $x_k,u_k,k=1,2,\ldots$  of pairs all in G, and any other admissible pair G, G unbounded), then the pair G, G belongs to G. The class of all admissible pairs is obviously complete.

The Lebesgue integral

$$I\left[x,u\right] = \int_{G} f_{0}\left(t,x\left(t\right),\;u\left(t\right)\right)dt,$$

where x, u is any admissible pair, and where  $dt = dt^1 dt^2 \dots dt^r$ , is said to be the cost functional or performance index.

We shall seek the minimum of I[x, u] in classes  $\Omega$  as above. Problems of maximum of I are equivalent to problems of minimum of I, and corresponding existence theorems for a maximum can be obtained by existence

theorems for a minimum by changing  $f_0$  into  $-f_0$ , that is, changing the sense of the relative inequalities.

We shall often need below a second set of finite systems  $\{\beta\}_i$ , i=1,...,n, of indices  $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_r)$ ,  $0 \le |\beta| \le l_i$ , and these systems  $\{\beta\}_i$  may be different from the systems  $\{\alpha\}_i$ . As usual then,  $\{\alpha\}_i \cup \{\beta\}_i$  and  $\{\alpha\}_i \cap \{\beta\}_i$  shall denote the union and the intersection of the two systems  $\{\alpha\}_i$  and  $\{\beta\}_i$ .

# § 5. Existence theorems for multidimensional Lagrange problems in Sobolev classes $W_p^l$ , p > 1.

EXISTENCE THEOREM 1. Let G be bounded, open and of class  $K_{0i}$ , let A be compact, let U(t,x) be nonempty and compact for every  $(t,x) \in A$ , and let U(t,x) be metrically upper semicontinuous on A. Let  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)=(f_0,f_{ia},\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_i,i=1,\ldots,n)=(f_0,f)$  be continuous on M, and assume that the set  $\widetilde{Q}(t,x)$  of all  $\widetilde{z}=(z^0,z) \in E_{N+1}$  with  $z^0 \geq f_0(t,x,u), z=f(t,x,u), u \in U(t,x)$ , is a convex subset of  $E_{N+1}$  for every  $(t,x) \in A$ . Let (B) be a system of boundary conditions satisfying property  $(P_1)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty complete class of admissible pairs  $x(t)=(x^1,\ldots,x^n), u(t)=(u^1,\ldots,u^m), t \in G, x^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G), p_i > 1, 1 \leq l_i \leq l, i=1,\ldots,n, u^j$  measurable,  $j=1,\ldots,m$ , satisfying given inequalities

(33) 
$$\int_{G} |D^{\beta} x^{i}|^{p_{i}} dt \leq N_{i\beta}, \ \beta \in \{\beta\}_{i}, \ i = 1, \dots n,$$

where the  $N_{i\beta}$  are given constants, and the  $\{\beta\}_i$  given finite systems of indices with  $0 \le |\beta| \le l_i$ . Assume that  $(x,u) \in \Omega$  and  $I[x,u] \le L_0$  implies  $\int_G |D^r x^i(t)|^{p_i} dt \le L_{i\gamma}$  for some constants  $L_{i\gamma}$  (which may depend on  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}$ , (B),  $\Omega$ , G) and all systems  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ ,  $0 \le |\gamma| \le l_i$ , which are not in  $\{\alpha\}_i \cup \{\beta\}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then, the cost functional I[x, u] possesses an absolute minimum in  $\Omega$ .

Now let G be unbounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ , and let  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , be a typical representation of G. Let A be closed now instead of compact, but assume that for every closed interval R of E, the subset of all  $(t, x) \in A$  with  $t \in R \cap cl$  G is compact. Let us assume that  $(*) f_0(t, x, u) \geq \sum -\psi(t)$  for all  $(t, x, u) \in M$ , where  $\psi(t) \geq 0$  is a given L-integrable function defined on G. This condition is certainly satisfied if, say,  $f_0 \geq 0$  on M. Since G is not empty and G0 and G1 is by hypothesis G2 integrable on G3 for every pair G3, G4 of the class G5, then hypothesis G5 assures that the infimum G6 of G6 is finite. Let us assume that for every pair G7, G8 is finite. Let us assume that for every pair G8, G9.

of the class  $\Omega$  we have

(34) 
$$x^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G), \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

and that there are constants M'i such that

$$\widetilde{D}_{p_i}^{l_i}(x^i, G) \leq M_i', \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for every admissible pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$ . Then Theorem 1 still holds, and for the minimizing admissible pairs x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence (at least one) we know that (34) and (35) hold.

Again, assume that G is unbounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ , and let  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , be a typical representation of G. Let us assume again that A is closed but that for every closed interval  $R \subset E$ , the set of all  $(t, x) \in A$  with  $t \in R \cap cl G$  is compact, and let us assume that condition (\*) is satisfied. Theorem 1 holds also in a particularly weak form. Indeed, it is enough to know that the following conditions are fulfilled: For every pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have  $x^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G_N)$ , N = 1, 2, ..., i = 1, ..., n; relations (33) hold in the weak form

$$\int_{G_{N}}\left|D^{\beta}x^{i}\left(t\right)\right|^{p_{i}}dt\leq N_{i\beta}\left(N\right),\;\beta\in\{\beta\}_{i},\;i=1,\ldots,n,$$

where the  $N_{i\beta}(N)$  are given constants which may depend on N;  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ ,  $I[x, u] \leq L_0$  implies

$$\int\limits_{G_{N}}\left|\;D^{\gamma}\,x^{i}\left(t\right)\;\right|^{p_{i}}dt\leq L_{i\gamma}\left(N\;\right)$$

for every N and all  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ ,  $0 \le |\gamma| \le l_i$ , which are not in  $\{\alpha\}_i \cup \{\beta\}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  — here the  $L_{i\gamma}(N)$  are constants which may depend on  $N, L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}(N)$ , (B), G,  $\Omega$ . Under these weak assumptions, theorem 1 still holds, and for the miminizing admissible pairs (x, u) of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know only that

$$\widetilde{D}_{n_i}^{l_i}(x^i, G_N) \leq M_{iN}', i = 1, ..., n,$$

for every N, where the  $M'_{iN}$  are constants which may depend on N.

REMARK 9. It is not restrictive to consider only those pairs (x, u) of  $\Omega$  satisfying a further constraint of the form  $I[x, u] \leq L_0$  provided  $L_0$  is large

enough so that  $\Omega$  so reduced is not empty. The minimizing pair will necessarily satisfy this further constraint. This remark holds also for theorems 2, 3, and 4, and will not be repeated. Theorem 1 for G bounded was proved in our previous paper [3]. For G unbounded the proof is the same with the use of the remarks on compactness theorems in §§ 1 and 2 of the present paper.

EXISTENCE THEOREM 2. Let G be bounded, open, and of class  $K_{0i}$ , let A be closed, let U(t,x) be nonempty, closed, and satisfying property (U) in A. Let  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)=(f_0,f_{i\alpha},\alpha\in a\in a)$ ,  $i=1,\dots,n)=(f_0,f)$  be continuous on M, and assume that the set  $\widetilde{Q}(t,x)=\widetilde{f}(t,x,U(t,x))$  is closed, convex, and satisfies property (Q) in A. Let (B) be a system of boundary conditions satisfying property  $(P_i)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty, complete class of admissible pairs  $x(t)=(x^1,\dots,x^n), u(t)=(u^1,\dots,u^n), t\in G, x^i\in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G), p_i>1, 1\leq l_i\leq l, i=1,\dots,n, u^j$  measurable,  $j=1,\dots,m$ , satisfying given inequalities

(36) 
$$\int\limits_{G} |D^{\beta} x^{i \cdot \cdot}(t)|^{p_{i}} dt \leq N_{i\beta}, \ \beta \in \{\beta\}_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$\int\limits_{G} |f_{0}(t, x(t), u(t))|^{p_{0}} dt \leq N_{0},$$

where  $p_0>1$ ,  $N_{i\beta}>0$ ,  $N_0\geq 0$  are given constants, and  $\beta \xi_i$  is a given finite system of indices  $\beta=(\beta_1\,,\ldots\,,\beta_r)$  with  $0\leq |\beta|\leq l_i$ . Assume that  $(x,\,u)\in\Omega$  and  $I[x,\,u]\leq L_0$  implies  $\int\limits_G |D^\gamma\,x^i(t)|^{p_i}\,dt\leq L_{i\gamma}$  for some constants  $L_{i\gamma}$  (which may depend on  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}$ , (B),  $\Omega$ , G), and all systems  $\gamma=(\gamma_1\,,\ldots\,,\gamma_r)$ ,  $0\leq |\gamma|\leq l_i$ , which are not in  $\beta \xi_i$ ,  $i=1\,,\ldots\,,n$ . Then, the cost functional  $I[x,\,u]$  possesses an absolute minimum in  $\Omega$ .

If G is unbounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ , and  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , is a typical representation of G, let us assume that (\*)  $f_0(t, x, u) \geq -\psi(t)$  for all  $(t, x, u) \in M$ , where  $\psi(t) \geq 0$  is a given L-integrable function on G. If we know that for every pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have

(37) 
$$x^{i} \in W_{p_{i}}^{l_{i}}(G), i = 1, ..., n, f_{0}(t, x(t), u(t)) \in L_{i}(G) \cap L_{p_{0}}(G),$$

and that there are constants  $M'_i$ ,  $N_0$ ,  $N'_0$  such that

$$\widetilde{D}_{\nu_i}^h(x^i, G) \leq M_i', \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{G} \left| f_{0}\left(t,x\left(t\right)\!,u\left(t\right)\right) \right|^{p_{0}} dt & \leq N_{0} \,, \\ \int\limits_{G} \left| f_{0}\left(t,x\left(t\right)\!,u\left(t\right)\right) \right| \, dt & \leq N_{0}' \end{split}$$

for every pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$ , then theorem 2 still holds as above, and for the minimizing admissible pairs x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know that (37), (38), (39) hold.

For G unbounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ ,  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , a typical representation of G, Theorem 2 holds also in a particular weak form. Indeed, it is enough to know that the following hypotheses are satisfied: the condition (\*) holds; for every pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have  $x^i \in W^{l_i}_{p_i}(G_N)$ , i = 1, ..., n, for every N; relations (36) hold in the weak form

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{G_N} \mid D^{\beta} \, x^i(t) \mid^{p_i} \, dt & \leq N_{i\beta}(N), \, \beta \in \{\beta_{ii}^l, \, i = 1 \,, \ldots, n, \\ \int\limits_{G_N} \mid f_0 \left( t, x \left( t \right), u \left( t \right) \right) \mid^{p_0} dt & \leq N_0 \left( N \right), \\ \int\limits_{G} \mid f_0 \left( t, x \left( t \right), u \left( t \right) \right) \mid dt & \leq N_0' \,, \end{split}$$

where  $N_0'$  is a constant and  $N_{i\beta}(N)$ ,  $N_0(N)$  are given constants which may depend on N;  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ ,  $I[x, u] \leq L_0$  implies

$$\int\limits_{G_N} |D^{\gamma} x^i(t)|^{p_i} dt \leq L_{i\gamma}(N)$$

for all N=1, 2,... and all  $\gamma$ ,  $0 \le |\gamma| \le l_i$ , which are not in  $\{\beta\}_i$ , i=1,...,n—here the  $L_{i\gamma}(N)$  are constants which may depend on N as well as  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}(N)$ , (B),  $N_0(N)$ , G,  $\Omega$  as above). Under these weak assumptions, Theorem 2 still holds, and for the minimizing admissible pairs x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know only that

$$\widetilde{D}_{p_{i}}^{l_{i}}(x^{i}, G_{N}) \leq M_{iN}^{l}, i = 1, ..., n,$$

for every N=1, 2, ..., where the  $M'_{iN}$  are constants which may depend on N.

EXISTENCE THEOREM 3. Let G be bounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ , let A be closed, let U(t,x) be nonempty, closed, and satisfying property (U) in A. Let  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)=(f_0,f_{i\alpha},\alpha\in\{\alpha\}_i,i=1,\dots,n)=(f_0,f)$  be continuous on M, and let us assume that the set  $\widetilde{Q}(t,x)$  of all  $\widetilde{z}=(z^0,z)\in E_{N+1}$  with  $z^0\geq f_0(t,x,u),\ z=f(t,x,u),\ u\in U(t,x),$  is a convex closed subset of  $E_{N-1}$  satisfying property (Q) in A. Also, let us assume that  $f_0(t,x,u)\geq -M_0$  for all  $(t,x,u)\in M$  and some constant  $M_0\geq 0$ . Let (B) be a system of boundary conditions satisfying property  $(P_1)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty complete class of admissible pairs  $x(t)=(x^1,\dots,x^n),\ u(t)=(u^1,\dots,u^m),\ t\in G,\ x^i\in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G),\ p_i>1,\ 1\leq l_i\leq l,\ i=1,\dots,n,\ u^j$  measurable,  $j=1,\dots,m$ , satisfying given inequalities

where the  $N_{i\beta}$  are given constants, and the  $\{\beta\}_i$  are given finite systems of indices  $\beta=(\beta_1\,,\dots\,,\,\beta_r)$  with  $0\leq \mid\beta\mid\leq l_i$ . Assume that  $x,\,u\in\Omega,\ I\left[x,\,u\right]\leq L_0$ , implies  $\int\limits_G \mid D^r\,x^i(t)\mid^{p_i}\,dt\leq L_{ir}$  for some constant  $L_{i\gamma}$  (which may depend on  $L_0,\,N_{i\beta},\,(B),\,\Omega,\,G$ ) and all systems  $\gamma=(\gamma_1\,,\dots\,,\gamma_r),\,0\leq \mid\gamma\mid\leq l_i$  which are not in  $\{\beta\}_i$ ,  $i=1,\dots,n$ . Then, the cost functional  $I\left[x,u\right]$  possesses an absolute minimum in  $\Omega$ .

If G is unbounded, open, of class  $K_{0l}$ , and  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , is a typical representation of G, if we know that  $f_0(t, x, u) \ge -\psi(t)$  where  $\psi(t) \ge 0$  is of class  $L_1(G)$ , and if we know that for every pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have

(41) 
$$x^{i} \in W_{p_{i}}^{l_{i}}(G), \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

and that there are constants M'i, such that

(42) 
$$\widetilde{D}_{p_{i}}^{l_{i}}(x^{i}, G) \leq M'_{i}, \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

for every admissible pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$ , then theorem 1 still holds as above, and for the minimizing admissible pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know that (41) and (42) hold.

For G unbounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ ,  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , a typical representation of G, Theorem 3 holds also in a particularly weak form. Indeed, it is enough to know that the following hypotheses are satisfied:  $f_0(t, x, u) \ge -\psi(t)$  where  $\psi(t) \ge 0$  is of class  $L_i(G)$ ; for each pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have  $x^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G_N)$ , i = 1, ..., n, for every N; the relations

(40) hold in the weak form

$$\int\limits_{G_{N}}\left|\;D^{\beta}\;x^{i}\left(t\right)\right|^{p_{i}}\;dt\leq N_{i\beta}\left(N\right),\;\beta\in\left\{\beta\right\}_{i},\;i=1\;,\ldots\,,n^{\prime}$$

where  $N_{i\beta}(N)$  are given constants which may depend on N;  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ ,  $I[x, u] \leq L_0$ , implies

$$\int\limits_{\dot{G_{N}}} \left| \ D^{\gamma} \ x^{i} \ (t) \ \right|^{p_{i}} \ dt \leq L_{i\gamma}(N)$$

for all N=1,2,... and all  $\gamma,0\leq |\gamma|\leq l_i$ , which are not in  $\{\beta\}_i$ , i=1,...,n—here the  $L_{i\gamma}(N)$  are constants which may depend on N as well as  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}(N)$ , (B), G,  $\Omega$  as above. Under these weak assumptions Theorem 3 still holds, and for the minimizing admissible pairs x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know only that

$$\widetilde{D}_{p_i}^{l_i}(x^i, G_N) \leq M'_{iN}, \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

for every  $N=1,\,2,\,\dots$ , where the  $M'_{iN}$  are constants which may depend on N.

REMARK 10. Theorems 2 and 3 for G bounded have been proved in our previous paper [4]. For G unbounded the proofs are the same with the use of the remarks on compactness of  $\S$  1 and 2 of the present paper.

# § 6. An existence theorem for multidimensional Lagrange problems in Sobolev classes $W_p^l$ , $p \ge 1$ .

Existence theorem 4. Let G be bounded, open, and of class  $K_{0l}$ , let A be closed, let U(t,x) be nonempty, closed, and satisfying property (U) in A. Let  $\widetilde{f}(t,x,u)=(f_0,f_{1d},\alpha\in\{\alpha\}_i,\ i=1\,,\dots,n)=(f_0,f)$  be continuous on M, and let us assume that the set Q(t,x) of all  $\widetilde{z}=(z^0,z)\in E_{N+1}$  with  $z^0\geq f_0(t,x,u),\ z=f(t,x,u),\ u\in U(t,x)$ , is a convex closed subset of  $E_{N+1}$  satisfying property (Q) in A. Also, let us assume that  $(\alpha)$  there is a continuous scalar function  $\Phi(\xi),\ 0\leq \xi<+\infty$ , and two constants  $C,\ D\geq 0$  such that  $\Phi(\xi)/\xi\to+\infty$  as  $\xi\to\infty$ , and  $f_0(t,x,u)\geq \Phi(|u|),\ |f(t,x,u)|\leq C+D|u|$  for all  $(t,x,u)\in M$ . Let (B) be a system of boundary conditions satisfying property  $(P_1)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty complete class of admissible pairs

 $x(t)=(x^1,\ldots,x^n),\ u(t)=(u^1,\ldots,u^m),\ t\in G,\ x^i\in W_1^{l_i}(G),\ 1\leq l_i\leq l,\ i=1,\ldots,n,$   $u^j$  measurable,  $j=1,\ldots,m$ , satisfying given inequalities

(43) 
$$\int\limits_{i} |D^{\beta} x^{i}(t)| dt \leq N_{i\beta}, \ \beta \in \{\beta\}_{i}, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where the  $N_{i\beta}$  are given constants, and the  $\{\beta\}_i$  are given finite systems of indices  $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_r)$  with  $0 \le |\beta| \le l_i$ . Assume that  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ ,  $I[x, u] \le L_0$  implies (1)  $\int |D^{\gamma}x^i(t)| dt \le L_{i\gamma}$  for some constants  $L_{i\gamma}$  (which may depend on  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}$ , (B),  $\Omega$ , G) and all systems  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r)$ ,  $0 \le |\gamma| \le l_i - 1$ , which are not in  $\{\alpha\}_i \cup \{\beta\}_i$ , i = 1, ..., n; (2)  $D^{\gamma}x^i(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , are equiabsolutely integrable in G, i = 1, ..., n, for all finite systems  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r)$  with  $|\gamma| = l_i$  which are not already in  $\{\alpha\}_i$  (if any). Then, the cost functional I[x, u] possesses an absolute minimum in  $\Omega$ .

If G is unbounded, open, of class  $K_{0l}$ , and  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , is a typical representation of G, if we know that (i)  $f_0(t,x,u) \geq -\psi(t)$  for all  $(t,x,u) \in M$ , where  $\psi(t) \geq 0$  is of class  $L_1(G)$ ; (ii) for every N there is a continuous function  $\Phi_N(\xi)$ ,  $0 \leq \xi < +\infty$ , and two constants  $C_N$ ,  $D_N \geq 0$  such that  $\Phi_N(\xi)/\xi \to +\infty$  as  $\xi \to +\infty$ , and  $f_0(t,x,u) \geq \Phi_N(|u|)$ ,  $|f(t,x,u)| \leq C_N + D_N|u|$  for all  $(t,x,u) \in M$  with  $t \in G_N$ , if we know that for every pair (x,u) of the class  $\Omega$  we have

(44) 
$$x^{i} \in W_{1}^{l_{i}}(G), i = 1, ..., n,$$

and that there are constants  $M_i$  such that

(45) 
$$\widetilde{D}_{1}^{l_{i}}(x^{i}, G) \leq M'_{i}, i = 1, ..., n,$$

for every admissible pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$ , and  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ ,  $I[x, u] \leq L_0$  implies (2) as above, then Theorem 4 still holds as above, and for the minimizing admissible pairs x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know that (44) and (45) hold.

For G unbounded, open, and of the class  $K_{0l}$ ,  $G = \bigcup G_N$ ,  $G_N \subset G_{N+1}$ , a typical representation of G, Theorem 4 holds also in a particulary weak form. Indeed, it is enough to know that the following hypotheses are satisfied: (i), (ii) above hold; for each pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  we have  $x^i \in W_1^{l_i}(G_N, i = 1, ..., n$ , for every N; relations (43) hold in the weak form

$$\int_{G_N} D^{\beta} x^{i}(t) \mid dt \leq N_{i\beta}(N), \ \beta \in \{\beta\}_i, \ i = 1, ..., n,$$

where  $N_{i\beta}(N)$  are given constants which may depend on N;  $(x,u)\in\Omega$ ,  $I[x,u]\leq L_0$  implies  $(1)'\int\limits_{G_N}|D^{\gamma}x^i(t)|\,dt\leq L_{i\gamma}(N)$  for every N, where  $L_{i\gamma}(N)$  are constants (which may depend on N,  $L_0$ ,  $N_{i\beta}(N)$ , (B),  $\Omega$ , G), and where  $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r)$  in any systems with  $0\leq |\gamma|\leq l_i-1$ , which is not in  $\{\alpha\}_i$   $\cup$   $\{\beta\}_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ ; (2') for every N,  $D^{\gamma}x^i(t)$ ,  $t\in G_N$ , are equiabsolutely integrable in  $G_N$ , for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , and all finite systems  $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r)$  with  $|\gamma|=l_i$  which are not in  $\{\alpha\}_i$  (if any). Then, Theorem 4 still holds, and for the minimizing admissible pair x, u of the class  $\Omega$  of which we assert the existence we know only that  $\widetilde{D}_i^{l_i}(x^i,G_N)\leq M'_{iN}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , for every  $N=1,2,\ldots$ , where the  $M'_{iN}$  are constants which may depend on N.

PROOF. First let us consider the case G bounded. We have  $\Phi(\xi) \ge -M_0$  for some number  $M_0 \ge 0$ , hence  $\Phi(\xi) + M_0 \ge 0$  for all  $\xi \ge 0$ , and  $f_0(t, x, u) + M_0 \ge 0$  for all  $(t, x, u) \in M$ . Therefore, for every pair x, u in  $\Omega$  we have

$$I\left[x,u\right] = \int_{a} f_{0} dt \geq \int_{a} \Phi\left(\mid u\mid\right) dt \geq -M_{0}\mid G\mid > -\infty,$$

where |G| = meas G.

Let i = Inf I[x, u], where Inf is taken for all pairs  $(x, u) \in \Omega$ . Then i is finite.

Let  $x_k(t)$ ,  $u_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., be a sequence of pairs all in  $\Omega$  such that  $I[x_k, u_k] \to i$  as  $k \to \infty$ . We may assume

$$i \le I[x_k, u_k] = \int_G f_0(t, x_k(t), u_k(t)) dt \le i + k^{-1} \le i + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us prove that the functions  $u_k^i(t)$ ,  $D^{\alpha} x_k^i(t)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m, are equiabsolutely integrable in G. We shall use a well known argument. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any given number, and let  $\sigma = 2^{-1} \varepsilon (|G| M_0 + |i| + 1)^{-1}$ , where |G| = meas G.

Let N>0 be a number such that  $\Phi(\xi)/\xi>\sigma^{-1}$  for all  $\xi\geq N$ . Let E be any measurable subset of G with meas  $E<\eta=\varepsilon/2N$ . Let  $E_1$  be the subset of all  $t\in E$  where  $u_k(t)$  is finite and  $|u_k(t)|\leq N$ , and let  $E_2=E-E_1$ . Then  $|u_k(t)|\leq N$  in  $E_1$ , and  $\Phi(|u_k|)/|u_k|\geq 1/\sigma$ , or  $|u_k|\leq \sigma\Phi(|u_k|)$  a.e. in  $E_2$ . Hence

$$\int_{E} |u_{k}(t)| dt = \left(\int_{E_{1}} + \int_{E_{2}} |u_{k}(t)| dt\right)$$

$$\leq N \operatorname{meas} E_1 + \sigma \int_{E_2} \Phi \left( \mid u_k(t) \mid \right) dt$$

$$\leq N \operatorname{meas} E + \sigma \int_{E_2} \left[ \Phi \left( \mid u_k(t) \mid \right) + M_0 \right] dt$$

$$\leq N \eta + \sigma \int_{G} \left[ \Phi \left( \mid u_k(t) \mid \right) + M_0 \right] dt$$

$$\leq N \eta + \sigma \int_{G} \left[ f_0 \left( t, x_k(t), u_k(t) \right) + M_0 \right] dt$$

$$\leq N \eta + \sigma \left( M_0 \mid G \mid + \mid i \mid + 1 \right) \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

This proves that the vector functions  $u_k(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , k = 1, 2, ..., are equiabsolutely integrable. From here we deduce

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{E} \mid D^{a} \, x_{k}^{i}\left(t\right) \mid dt &= \int\limits_{E} \mid f_{ia}\left(t, x_{k}\left(t\right), \; u_{k}\left(t\right)\right) \mid dt \leq \int\limits_{E} \left[C + D \mid u_{k}\left(t\right) \mid\right] dt \\ &\leq C \; \operatorname{meas} \; E + D \int\limits_{E} \mid u_{k}\left(t\right) \mid dt, \end{split}$$

and this proves the equiabsolute integrability of the functions  $D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}(t)$ ,  $\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}$ , i = 1,...,n. Thus, all functions  $D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}(t)$ ,  $k = 1, 2,..., \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}$ , i = 1,...,n, are equiabsolutely integrable in G.

Since |G| = meas G is finite, we conclude that the integrals

$$\int\limits_{a} | D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}(t) | dt \leq N_{i\alpha}, \ \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \ i = 1, ..., n, \ k = 1, \ 2, ...$$

admit of finite bounds  $N_{i\alpha}$  which are independent of k. By the hypotheses of the theorem we conclude that all partial derivatives  $D^{\alpha} x_k^i$  with  $|\alpha| = l_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, are equiabsolutely integrable in G, and that for all derivatives  $D^{\alpha} x_k^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, with  $0 \le |\alpha| \le l_i - 1$ , there are bounds

$$\int\limits_{G} |D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}| dt \leq N_{i\alpha}, \text{ or } L_{i\alpha}, \ 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq l_{i} - 1, \ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

By application of the compactness theorems of § 2, we conclude that there are functions  $x^i$  with  $x^i \in W_1^{l_i}(G)$ , i = 1, ..., n, and a suitable subsequence

such that  $x_k^i \longrightarrow x^i$  in the sense described under property  $(P_1)$ . The proof, from here on is identical with the one we have given in our previous paper [4].

For G unbounded the proof is the same with use of the remarks on compactness of  $\S$  1 and 2 of the present paper.

In theorem 4 we have taken all  $p_i = 1, i = 1, ..., n$ . Combining the hypotheses and the arguments of theorems 3 and 4 it is possible to set up existence theorems with some exponents  $p_i = 1$  and other exponents  $p_i > 1$ , i = 1, ..., n.

REMARK 11. Property (Q) for the sets Q(t,x) of existence theorem 4 is a consequence of growth condition  $(\alpha)$  of the same theorem (or of (ii) if G is unbounded). Namely, property (Q) for G bounded can be shown to be a consequence of the continuity hypothesis, of property (U) for U(t,x), and of the following milder growth condition:  $(\beta)$  Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a number  $u_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$  such that  $1 \le \varepsilon f_0(t,x,u)$ ,  $|f(t,x,u)| \le \varepsilon f_0(t,x,u)$  for all  $(t,x,u) \in M$  with  $|u| \ge u_{\varepsilon}$ . For G unbounded it is enough to know that a property  $(\beta)$  holds for every subset  $G_N$ . Furthermore, the continuity hypothesis of  $f_0$  on M can be replaced by lower semicontinuity on M. [L. Cesari, Existence theorems for optimal controls of the Mayer type, SIAM J. Control, 6, no. 4, 1968].

REMARK 12. Growth condition  $(\alpha)$  of existence theorem 4 for G bounded can be replaced by the following milder one:  $(\varepsilon)$  Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a nonnegative L-integrable function  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(t), t \in G$ , which may depend on  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $|f(t,x,u)| \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}(t) + \varepsilon f_0(t,x,u)$  for all  $(t,x,u) \in M$ . Indeed, first note that  $(\varepsilon)$  implies, for  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $0 \leq \psi_1(t) + f_0(t,x,u)$  for all  $(t,x,u) \in M$ , with  $\psi_1(t) \geq 0$ , and we shall denote by m the constant  $m = \int_G \psi_1(t) \, dt < + \infty$ . Then, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\sigma = 2^{-1} \varepsilon (|i| + m + 1)^{-1}$ , and let E denote any measurable subset of G. For  $\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{E} \left| D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}(t) \right| dt & \leq \int_{E} \left[ \psi_{\sigma}(t) + \sigma f_{0}(t, x_{k}(t), u_{k}(t)) \right] dt \\ & \leq \int_{E} \psi_{\sigma} dt + \sigma \int_{G} \left[ f_{0} + \psi_{1} \right] dt \\ & \leq \int_{E} \psi_{\sigma} dt + \sigma \left[ \left| i \right| + m + 1 \right] \leq \varepsilon/2 + \int_{E} \psi_{\sigma} dt. \end{split}$$

There is, therefore, some  $\delta>0$  such that meas  $E<\delta$  implies  $\int\limits_E \psi_\sigma\,dt<\epsilon/2$  and

$$\int_{\Gamma} |D^{\alpha} x_{k}^{i}(t)| dt \leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

We have proved the equiabsolute integrability in G of all derivatives  $D^{\alpha} x_k^i$ ,  $\alpha \in \{\alpha\}_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, k = 1, 2, ... For G unbounded and the weak form of existence theorem 4 it is enough to know that a property ( $\epsilon$ ) holds in each set  $G_N$ .

### § 7. Weak solutions.

In many cases the sets  $\overset{\sim}{Q}$ , or  $\overset{\approx}{Q}$ , are not convex, and examples show that an optimal solution may well fail to exist. It has been proposed, then, to replace the differential system and functional

(47) 
$$D^{\alpha} x^{i} = f_{i\alpha}(t, x, u), \ \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \ i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$(48) I = \int_{G} f_0(t, x, u) dt,$$

by a new analogous system of differential equations and a new functional, say,

$$D^{\alpha} x^{i} = g_{i\alpha}(t, x, v), \quad \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$J = \int_{\Omega} g_{0}(t, x, v) dt.$$

More precisely, we consider with R. V. Gamkrelidze [5] the differential system and functional defined by

$$(49) D^{\alpha} x^{i} = \sum \lambda_{i} f_{i\alpha}(t, x, u^{(j)}), \ \alpha \in \{\alpha\}_{i}, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

(50) 
$$J = \int_{G} \Sigma \lambda_{j} f_{0}(t, x, u^{(j)}) dt,$$

where  $\Sigma$  ranges from 1 to  $\mu$ , where the new control variable v is now an  $(m\mu + \mu)$ -vector, say  $u^{(1)},...,u^{(\mu)}$ ,  $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{\mu}$ , each  $u^{(j)}$  being an m-vector subject to the usual constraint  $u^{(j)} \in U(t,x)$ ,  $j=1,...,\mu$ , and each  $\lambda_j$  being a scalar satisfying  $\lambda_j \geq 0, j=1,...,\mu$ ,  $\Sigma \lambda_j=1$ . If we denote by  $\lambda$  the  $\mu$ -vector  $\lambda=(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{\mu})$ 

and by  $\Gamma$  the simplex defined by  $\lambda_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, ..., \mu$ ,  $\Sigma \lambda_j = 1$ , then for the new control variable  $v = (u^{(1)}, ..., u^{(m)}, \lambda)$  we have the constraint  $v \in V = U^{\mu} \times \Gamma$ , where  $U^{\mu} = U \times ... \times U$  ( $\mu$  times). We shall then require that all  $u^{(j)}$ ,  $\lambda$  are measurable functions on G, and that the new space variables  $x^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, belong to the same Sobolev's spaces as before,  $x^i \in W_{p_i}^{l_i}(G)$ , i = 1, ..., n.

Thus, there are still n state variables  $x^i$  as before, but they satisfy a more complex system of partial differential equations, and an analogous change has been made in the functional.

The variables  $\lambda_j$  can be thought of as probability distributions, and correspondingly the new (weak, or generalized) solutions can be thought of as generated by a probability distribution of the  $\mu$  usual controls  $u^{(j)}$  (acting contemporaneously).

Instead of the sets  $\widetilde{Q}(t,x) = \widetilde{f}(t,x,U(t,x))$ , or the sets  $\widetilde{Q}(t,x)$ , we shall now consider the analogous sets  $\widetilde{Q}^* = g(t,x,V(t,x))$ , and  $\widetilde{Q}^*$ , with

$$\widetilde{Q}^{\bullet}\left(t,x\right)=\widetilde{g}\left(t,x,\,V\right))=[\widetilde{\xi}\,|\,\widetilde{\xi}=\varSigma\,\lambda_{j}\,\widetilde{f}\left(t,x,\,u^{(j)}\right),\,(u^{(1)},\ldots\,,\,u^{(\mu)},\,\lambda)\in\,U^{\mu}\times\varGamma\,].$$

Obviously  $\widetilde{Q}^*$  is the set of all the points which can be thought of as convex combinations of  $\mu$  points of  $\widetilde{Q}$ . Thus, for  $\mu \geq N+2$ , the set  $\widetilde{Q}^*$  is certainly convex and so will be the set  $\widetilde{Q}^*$ .

Existence theorems 1, 2, and 3 can be repeated for the (weak, or generalized) problem above without any essential change. The same holds for theorem 4 by using growth condition  $(\varepsilon)$  of the last remark of § 6 (instead of condition  $(\alpha)$ ), since condition  $(\varepsilon)$  for  $f_0$ , f implies an analogous property for  $g_0$ , g. Indeed, if  $|f(t,x,u)| \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}(t) + \varepsilon f_0(t,x,u)$  for all  $(t,x) \in A$ ,  $u \in U(t,x)$ , then

$$|g(t, x, v)| = |\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} f(t, x, u^{(j)})|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} [\psi_{\varepsilon}(t) + \varepsilon f_{0}(t, x, u^{(j)})]$$

$$= \psi_{\varepsilon}(t) + \varepsilon g_{0}(t, x, v)$$

for all  $(t, x) \in A$ ,  $v \in V = U^{\mu} \times \Gamma$ .

REMARK 13. For  $\nu=1$  the introduction of weak, or generalized solutions has been associated to the remark that — under conditions often satisfied — the solutions of the modified equations (49) and the values of the corresponding integral J can be approached by means of usual solutions of the unmodified equations (47) and the values of the corresponding integral I. See, also for references, [2], II, nos. 14 and 15]). Such a question for  $\nu>1$  will be answered elsewhere.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. CESARI Existence theorems for optimal solutions in Pontryagin and Lagrange problems. SIAM J. Control 3, 1965, 475-498.
- [2] L. CRSARI, Existence theorems for weak and usual optimal solutions in Lagrange problems with unilateral constraints, I and II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 124, 1966, 369-41?, 413-429
- [3] L. CESARI, Existence theorems for multidimensional problems of optimal control. Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems-Academic Press, 1967, 115-132.
- [4] L. CESARI, Existence theorems for multidimensional Lagrange problems. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 1, 1967, 87-114.
- [5] R. V. GAMKRELIDZF, On sliding optimal states, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 143, 1962, 1243-1244. Also, Soviet Dokl., 3, 1962, 559-561.
- [6] J. V. Lewis, The existence of solutions to Lagrange problems for multiple integrals. Duka Math. J. 12, 1945, 705-722.
- [7] C. B. MORREY. Multiple integral problems in the calculus of variations and related topics, Univ. of California Press, 1943, pp. 1-130.
- [8] S. L. SOBOLEV, Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics, Translations Math. Monographs, vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1963.

<sup>.</sup> Annalı della Scuola Norm. Sup. - Pisa.