

# Bulletin

de la SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

## **ASYMPTOTIC VASSILIEV INVARIANTS FOR VECTOR FIELDS**

**Sebastian Baader & Julien Marché**

**Tome 140**

**Fascicule 4**

**2012**

**SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE**

Publié avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique

pages 569-582

## ASYMPTOTIC VASSILIEV INVARIANTS FOR VECTOR FIELDS

BY SEBASTIAN BAADER & JULIEN MARCHÉ

---

ABSTRACT. — We analyse the asymptotical growth of Vassiliev invariants on non-periodic flow lines of ergodic vector fields on domains of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . More precisely, we show that the asymptotics of Vassiliev invariants is completely determined by the helicity of the vector field.

RÉSUMÉ (*Invariants de Vassiliev asymptotiques des champs de vecteurs*)

Nous analysons le comportement asymptotique des invariants de Vassiliev des orbites non périodiques d'un champ de vecteurs ergodique dans un domaine de  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Nous montrons que ce comportement est gouverné par l'hélicité du champ de vecteurs.

### 1. Introduction

A smooth vector field on a manifold defines a flow whose orbits may be closed or not. If the manifold is a compact domain  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , we may ask about the asymptotical growth of knot invariants on non-periodic orbits. A well-known and classical example for this is the helicity of a vector field, which measures how pairs of non-periodic orbits are asymptotically linked, in the average [1]. In order to make quantitative statements, we suppose that the flow of the

---

*Texte reçu le 21 janvier 2011, accepté le 9 mai 2011.*

SEBASTIAN BAADER, UPMC Université Paris 6, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, F-75005, Paris, France. • *E-mail* : [baader@math.jussieu.fr](mailto:baader@math.jussieu.fr)

JULIEN MARCHÉ, UPMC Université Paris 6, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, F-75005, Paris, France. • *E-mail* : [marche@math.jussieu.fr](mailto:marche@math.jussieu.fr)

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 57M27, 37A05.

Key words and phrases. — Vassiliev invariants, helicity, Gauss diagram.

vector field  $X$  be measure-preserving and ergodic with respect to a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , further, that the singularities of  $X$  be isolated and that the periodic orbits of  $X$  be not charged by the flow. For every non-periodic point  $p \in G$  and  $T > 0$  we define a set  $K(p, T) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , as follows:

$$K(p, T) = \{\phi^X(p, t) | t \in [0, T]\} \cup [p, \phi^X(p, T)],$$

where  $\phi^X$  is the flow of  $X$  and  $[p, \phi^X(p, T)]$  the geodesic segment in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  joining  $p$  and  $\phi^X(p, T)$ . This set is actually a knot, i.e. an embedded circle, for almost all  $p \in G$ ,  $T > 0$  ([3], [8]). Under the above hypotheses, Gambaudo and Ghys proved the existence of an asymptotic signature invariant which is proportional to the helicity of  $X$  [3]: for almost all  $p \in G$  the limit

$$\sigma(X) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} \sigma(K(p, T)) \in \mathbb{R}$$

exists and is independent of the starting point  $p \in G$ . Here  $\sigma$  denotes the signature invariant of links. The asymptotic signature invariant determines the asymptotical behaviour of a large class of concordance invariants [2]. In this note we show that Vassiliev invariants are asymptotically determined by the signature (hence also by the helicity).

**THEOREM 1.** — *Let  $v$  be a real-valued Vassiliev knot invariant of degree  $n$ . There exists a constant  $\alpha_v \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that for almost all  $p \in G$  the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^{2n}} v(K(p, T)) \in \mathbb{R}$$

*exists and coincides with  $\alpha_v \sigma(X)^n$ . The constant  $\alpha_v$  does not depend on the vector field  $X$ .*

Gambaudo and Ghys provided the first instance of this theorem since the helicity can be defined as an asymptotical linking number, which is a Vassiliev invariant of degree one (for links, however). The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a asymptotical count of Gauss diagrams with respect to suitable diagrams of the knots  $K(p, T)$ . We give a short summary of Gambaudo and Ghys' construction in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is contained in Section 3.

**REMARK.** — For reasons of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the study of asymptotical knots and their invariants, rather than links. The case of links does not pose any additional difficulties.

## 2. Asymptotic Diagrams

The main part of Gambaudo and Ghys' work [3] consists in constructing good diagrams for the knots  $K(p, T)$ . For this purpose, they cover the domain  $G$ , away from the singularities of  $X$ , by a countable family of flow boxes  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Further, they define a projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  onto a plane which is well-adapted to this family: for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{N}$ , such that for almost all  $p \in G$ ,  $T > 0$  large enough, the diagram  $\pi(K(p, T))$  is regular and, up to an error  $\leq \epsilon T^2$ , its crossings arise from pairs of overcrossing flow boxes  $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j$ , with  $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$ . Moreover, at these finitely many overcrossing spots the diagram looks like a rectangular grid, as sketched in Figure 1. We will shortly see that the number of crossings of these grids grows like  $T^2$ .




FIGURE 1.

Let  $n_i(p, T) = \pi_0(\mathcal{F}_i \cap \{\phi^X(p, t) | t \in [0, T]\})$  be the number of times the flow line starting at  $p$  and ending at  $\phi^X(p, T)$  enters the flow box  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Applying Birkhoff's ergodic theorem to the characteristic function of the flow box  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , we immediately see that for almost all  $p \in G$  the limit

$$n_i = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} n_i(p, T) > 0$$

exists (and is proportional to the volume of the flow box  $\mu(\mathcal{F}_i)$ ). Therefore the number of crossings  $c_{ij}(p, T)$  at an overcrossing spot of two flow boxes  $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} c_{ij}(p, T) = n_i n_j.$$

For later purposes, we choose a natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and subdivide the time interval  $[0, T]$  into  $N$  sub-intervals  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_N$  of length  $\frac{T}{N}$ . Every index  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  gives rise to a function  $n_{i,k}(p, T) = \pi_0(\mathcal{F}_i \cap \{\phi^X(p, t) | t \in I_k\})$ . Again, by Birkhoff's theorem, we obtain

$$(2) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} n_{i,k}(p, T) = \frac{n_i}{N}.$$

At last, for two flow box indices  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , we define the number of crossings  $c_{i_1, k_1, i_2, k_2}(p, T)$  between  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1} \cap \{\phi^X(p, t) | t \in I_{k_1}\}$  and

$\mathcal{F}_{i_2} \cap \{\phi^X(p, t) | t \in I_{k_2}\}$ ) at an overcrossing spot of the flow boxes  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1}, \mathcal{F}_{i_2}$ . Equation (2) implies

$$(3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} c_{i_1, k_1, i_2, k_2}(p, T) = \frac{n_{i_1} n_{i_2}}{N^2}$$

This equality will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.

### 3. Gauss Diagram Formulae and Proof of Theorem 1

A Gauss diagram is nothing but a special notation for a knot diagram. It consists of an oriented circle with a finite number of signed arrows connecting pairs of points on the circle. The circle stands for the oriented knot itself, while the arrows encode crossing points of the knot diagram, pointing from the lower to the upper strand. Their signs indicate the signs of their crossings. For example, Figure 2 shows a Gauss diagram representing the standard diagram of the twist knot with six crossings. Here the orientation of the circle is understood to be clockwise.




FIGURE 2.

It is often convenient to consider pointed Gauss diagram, i.e. Gauss diagrams with a distinguished base point on its circle. Throughout this section, we will work with pointed Gauss diagram. In particular, we will be concerned with the pointed Gauss diagrams  $G(p, T)$  arising from Gambaudo and Ghys’ special diagrams  $D(p, T) = \pi(K(p, T))$ .

Gauss diagrams are of special interest in the theory of Vassiliev invariants, since the latter can be identified with certain formal linear combinations of Gauss diagrams. In order to explain this, we have to introduce a pairing between Gauss diagrams. Let  $\Gamma, G$  be two Gauss diagrams. The expression

$$\langle \Gamma, G \rangle$$

is defined as the weighted number of sub-diagrams of  $G$  isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ , respecting the circles, base points and all orientations. The weights are simply the products over all signs of arrows of the corresponding subgraphs and  $\Gamma$ .

The above pairing evidently extends to a bilinear form on formal linear combinations of Gauss diagrams with real coefficients. A well-known theorem by Goussarov, Polyak and Viro [5] says that every real-valued Vassiliev invariant  $v$  of order  $n$  can be represented by a finite linear combination of Gauss diagrams  $a_1\Gamma_1 + \dots + a_m\Gamma_m$  with at most  $n$  arrows: for every knot  $K$ , for every Gauss diagram  $G$  representing  $K$ , the value  $v(K)$  coincides with  $\langle a_1\Gamma_1 + \dots + a_m\Gamma_m, G \rangle$ . However, not every linear combination of Gauss diagram gives rise to a knot invariant.

EXAMPLES. —

1. The pairing with the sum of the two Gauss diagrams with one arrow does not define a knot invariant since it computes the writhe of a diagram.
2. The Casson invariant can be defined as the pairing with the diagram



[7].

The representation of Vassiliev invariants by linear combinations of Gauss diagrams allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to the following combinatorial statement.

LEMMA 1. — *Let  $\Gamma$  be a Gauss diagram with  $n$  arrows. There exists a constant  $\alpha_\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , depending only on  $\Gamma$ , such that for almost all  $p \in G$  the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^{2n}} \langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$$

*exists and coincides with  $\alpha_\Gamma \sigma(X)^n$ .*

REMARK. — It is tempting to replace  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$  by  $\langle \Gamma, K(p, T) \rangle$  in the above statement. This makes no sense unless the pairing with  $\Gamma$  defines a knot invariant. Nevertheless, Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, by the theorem of Goussarov, Polyak and Viro.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* — Every occurrence of  $\Gamma$  in the diagram  $G(p, T)$  corresponds to a collection of  $n$  crossings involving  $2n$  strands whose order is prescribed by  $\Gamma$ . We start by observing that only the crossings arising from overcrossing flow boxes  $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j$ , with  $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$ , produce an essential contribution to  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$ . Indeed, the total number of  $n$ -tuples of crossings of  $D(p, T)$  grows like  $T^{2n}$ , by equation (1). If we restrict ourselves to  $n$ -tuples with at least one ‘exceptional’ crossing, then this number is estimated by a constant multiple of  $\epsilon T^{2n}$ .

Let us now choose a natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and subdivide the interval  $[0, T]$  into  $N$  sub-intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_N$ , as in Section 2. Every  $n$ -tuple of crossings

$(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  of  $D(p, T)$  defines  $2n$  times  $t_1, \dots, t_{2n} \in [0, T]$ , with the convention that  $t_{2k-1}$ , resp.  $t_{2k}$ , denote the first, resp. second, time of occurrence at the crossing  $c_k$  ( $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ), starting at  $t = 0$ . The order of the  $t_l$ 's tells us whether the  $n$ -tuple contributes to  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$  or not. We would like to reduce our count to  $n$ -tuples with sufficiently distinct times, i.e. such that every interval  $I_j$  contains at most one  $t_k$  (this naturally forces  $N \geq 2n$ ). Let us fix two flow box indices  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  and determine  $c_{i_1, j, i_2, j}(p, T)$ , the number of (single) crossings between  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1}, \mathcal{F}_{i_2}$  for which both times belong to the same interval  $I_j, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . By equation (3), this number grows like  $\frac{n_{i_1} n_{i_2}}{N^2} T^2$ . Since there are  $N$  intervals  $I_j$ , we have to sum up the  $c_{i_1, j, i_2, j}(p, T)$  over  $j$  and conclude that the number of single crossings between  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1}, \mathcal{F}_{i_2}$  for which both times belong to the same interval grows like  $\frac{n_{i_1} n_{i_2}}{N} T^2$ . Choosing  $N$  large enough, we see that these crossings do not produce an essential contribution to  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$ , either.

It remains to count  $n$ -tuples  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  of crossings where all  $t_l$ 's belong to different intervals  $I_j$ , whose order is prescribed by  $\Gamma$ . These  $n$ -tuples come together with injective maps  $f_c : \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  satisfying  $t_l \in I_{f_c(l)}$ . Let us fix such a map  $f$  which is compatible with the Gauss diagram  $\Gamma$ , meaning that the obvious Gauss diagram associated with  $f$  coincides with  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, let us fix  $n$  not necessarily distinct spots  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of overcrossing flow boxes. We denote by  $i_k, j_k \in \mathcal{C}$  the indices of the two flow boxes that cross at  $X_k$ . Using equation (3) again, we see that the number of  $n$ -tuples  $c$  with prescribed function  $f_c$  and  $c_k \in X_k$  grows like

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{n_{i_k} n_{j_k}}{N^2} T^2.$$

Summing over all injective maps  $f$  compatible with  $\Gamma$  and over all collections of spots  $X_k$  (finitely many in number), we obtain an expression that grows like  $T^{2n}$ . However, this is not quite  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$ , since we have been neglecting signs so far. This will finally lead us to the desired relation with the asymptotic signature.

We observe that all crossings of the grid at a spot  $X_k$  share the same sign  $\epsilon_k$ . Taking these into account, we obtain the following expression for the asymptotical growth of  $\langle \Gamma, G(p, T) \rangle$ :

$$(4) \quad \sum_f \sum_{\{X_k\}} \prod_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k n_{i_k} n_{j_k} \frac{T^2}{N^2},$$

where the first sum is taken over all injective maps  $f$  compatible with  $\Gamma$  and the second one over all collections of spots  $X_k$ . The inner sum is easily recognizable

as

$$\left( \sum_{X_k} \epsilon_k n_{i_k} n_{j_k} \frac{T^2}{N^2} \right)^n,$$

where the sum is now taken over all single spots  $X_k$ . For large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the proportion of injective maps  $f : \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  compatible with  $\Gamma$  gets close to a constant  $\alpha_\Gamma$ , i.e. their number grows like  $\alpha_\Gamma N^{2n}$ . The expression (4) therefore simplifies to

$$\alpha_\Gamma \left( \sum_{X_k} \epsilon_k n_{i_k} n_{j_k} T^2 \right)^n.$$

The sum between brackets is nothing but the asymptotical writhe of the diagram  $D(p, T)$ , which converges to one half times the asymptotical signature of  $K(p, T)$ , by Gambaudo and Ghys [3]. □

### 4. Asymptotic Kontsevich integral

**4.1. Reduction to torus knots.** — Let  $X$  be a vector field in a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as in the settings of the Theorem 1 and denote by  $\sigma$  its asymptotic signature invariant. Then, for any finite type invariant  $v$  of degree  $n$ , the constant  $\alpha_v$  describes the asymptotics of  $v(K(p, T))$  for  $T$  going to infinity. Precisely, we denote by  $\alpha_n(v)$  the limit of  $\frac{v(K(p, T))}{T^{2n}\sigma^n}$  where  $\sigma$  is supposed to be non zero.

The correspondence  $v \mapsto \alpha_n(v)$  is linear and if  $v$  happens to have a degree less than  $n$ , then  $\alpha_n(v) = 0$ . We conclude that  $\alpha_n$  is a linear form on the  $n$ -th graded space of Vassiliev invariants which itself is dual to the linear space of  $n$ -chords diagrams  $\mathcal{U}_n(S^1)$ . Hence,  $\alpha_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_n(S^1)$  and our purpose in this section is to compute  $\alpha = \sum_n \alpha_n \in \mathcal{U}(S^1)$ .

The trick is to reduce the computation to torus knots, thanks to the following lemma. Denote by  $T(p, q)$  the torus knot with parameters  $p$  and  $q$ .

**LEMMA 2.** — *Let  $\lambda$  be an irrational number in  $[0, 1]$ . Then, there exists two sequences  $p_k, q_k$  of coprime integers going to infinity such that  $q_k/p_k$  converges to  $\lambda$  and  $v(T(p_k, q_k))/p_k^{2n}$  converges to  $\alpha_n(v)\lambda^n$ .*

*Proof.* — Consider the square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and identify two sides with the rule  $(x, 0) = (x + \lambda \text{ mod } 1, 1)$ . Then it is well known that the vector field  $(0, 1)$  defines an ergodic flow on this space. Cut the square along the segment  $\{1 - \lambda\} \times [1/2, 1]$  and embed this template as in the Figure 3. The proof of the Theorem 1 implies that for almost all starting points  $p$  in the template,  $v(K(p, T))/T^{2n}$  converges to  $\alpha_n(v)\lambda^n$ . Let  $p = (x_0, y_0)$  be such a starting point: for all integers  $k$ ,  $\phi(p, k) = (x_k, y_0)$  where  $x_k = x_0 + k\lambda \text{ mod } 1$ . We define a sequence of times  $t_k$  for which the flow goes very close to the starting point.



In formulas, set  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_{k+1} = \inf\{k > t_k, x_0 < x_k < \inf\{x_l, 0 < l < k\}\}$ . Denote by  $K_k$  the knot obtained by closing the orbit of  $p$  during a time  $t_k$  with the segment  $[x_0, x_{t_k}] \times \{y_0\}$ . This knot may be drawn on a torus and




FIGURE 3.

hits the meridian  $t_k$  times. The number of times this knot hits the parallel is  $q_k = \#\{k, x_k < \lambda\}$ . This number is equivalent to  $\lambda t_k$ . The pair of sequences  $p_k = t_k$  and  $q_k$  satisfy the assumptions of the lemma. □

As an easy application, one can give formulas for the asymptotic Alexander polynomial and Jones polynomial.

PROPOSITION 1. — *Let  $X$  be a vector field as in the Theorem 1 with asymptotic signature invariant  $\sigma$ .*

*Set  $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta(e^h) \in \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$  where  $\Delta$  is the Alexander polynomial normalized such that it is a Laurent symmetric polynomial.*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Delta}_{K(p,T)}(h/T^2) = \frac{\sinh(\sigma h)}{\sigma h}$$

*Set  $\tilde{J} = J(e^h) \in \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$  where  $J$  is the Jones polynomial normalized such that it takes the value 1 at the trivial knot.*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{J}_{K(p,T)}(h/T^2) = -h\sigma e^{\sigma h}$$

*Proof.* — Its an easy application of the Lemma 2 using the formulas  $\Delta_{T(p,q)}(t) = t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2} \frac{(t^{pq}-1)(t-1)}{(t^p-1)(t^q-1)}$  and

$$J_{K(p,q)}(t) = \frac{t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}(1 - t^{p+1} - t^{q+1} + t^{p+q})}{1 - t^2}. \quad \square$$

**4.2. The Kontsevich integral of torus knots and its limit.** — Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the space of formal series of trivalent diagrams lying on  $S^1$  up to the usual (AS) and (IHX) relations. The Kontsevich integral  $Z(K)$  of a knot  $K$  takes values in  $\mathcal{U}$ . It happens to be easier to work with a space of formal series of uni-trivalent diagrams modulo the same relations denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ . We define the degree of a diagram as half the total number of vertices and the product of two diagrams as their disjoint union.

The wheeling map  $\Upsilon : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is a well-known isomorphism of algebras between these two spaces. We will denote by  $Z^{\star\star}(K)$  the series  $\Upsilon^{-1}Z(K) \in \mathcal{B}$ : we will need a closed expression for  $Z^{\star\star}(T(p, q))$  for relatively prime integers  $p$  and  $q$ .

Let us start with equation (2) in [6]:

$$(5) \quad Z^{\star\star}T(p, q) = \partial_{\Omega}^{-1} \left( {}_q\Omega \cdot \Omega_p \exp\left(\frac{pq}{2} \frown\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{pq}{2} \frown + \frac{pq}{48} \Theta\right)}{\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle}.$$

In this formula,  $\frown$  is the diagram of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of a single edge,  $\Theta$  is the theta graph and  $\Omega$  is a series of diagrams to be defined later. Given two diagrams  $A$  and  $B$  one defines the following operations:

1.  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is the sum of all diagrams obtained by gluing all univalent vertices of  $A$  with all univalent vertices of  $B$ .
2.  $\partial_A B$  is the sum of all diagrams obtained by gluing all univalent vertices of  $A$  with some univalent vertices of  $B$ .
3.  $A \cdot B$  is the sum of all diagrams obtained by gluing some univalent vertices of  $A$  with some univalent vertices of  $B$ .
4.  ${}_q A \cdot B_p$  is the same sum as before except that each term is multiplied by  $q^a p^b$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are the numbers of remaining univalent vertices of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

Given a series  $f(h) \in \mathbb{R}[[h]]$  we define the wheel series  $\text{Wh}(f(h)) \in \mathcal{B}$  by associating to each monomial  $h^n$  a circle with  $n$  legs attached to it: for instance one has  $\text{Wh}(h^8) = \star$ . These series of diagrams play a central role: if we define  $\Omega = \exp(\text{Wh}(F(h)))$  where  $F(h) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sinh(h/2)}{h/2}$ , we have for instance  $Z^{\star\star}(U) = \Omega / \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle$  where  $U$  is the unknot.

**PROPOSITION 2.** — *Let  $X$  be a vector field as in Theorem 1 with asymptotic signature invariant  $\sigma$ . Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{B}$  we define  $\alpha^{\deg} D$  as  $\alpha^n D$  where  $n$  is the degree of  $D$ . Then, the following formula holds:*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-2 \deg} Z^{\star\star} K(p, T) = (2\sigma)^{\deg} \partial_{\Omega}^{-1} \left( \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frown\right) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frown + \frac{\Theta}{48}\right).$$

*Proof.* — Thanks to Lemma 2, it is sufficient to consider the limit of  $Z^{\times}T(p, q)$  for  $p$  and  $q$  going to infinity at the same rate. The corresponding asymptotic signature will be equal to  $1/2$ . Thanks to Theorem 1, we recover the general formula by applying the operator  $(2\sigma)^{\text{deg}}$ .

Consider formally the expression  $p^{-2 \text{deg}} Z^{\times}T(p, p)$  in Equation (5). The expression  $p^{-2 \text{deg}} \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle$  goes to 1 as any non empty diagram in  $\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle$  is divided by a positive power of  $p$ .

As  $p^{-2 \text{deg}}$  commutes with addition and multiplication in  $\mathcal{B}$ , one has  $p^{-2 \text{deg}} \exp(-\frac{p^2}{2} \frown + \frac{p^2}{2} \Theta) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frown + \frac{\Theta}{48})$ .

Remark that  $\partial_{\Omega}^{-1} = \partial_{\Omega^{-1}}$  and  $\Omega^{-1} = \exp(-\text{Wh}(F(h)))$ . Consider now a term of the expression  $p^{-2 \text{deg}} \partial_{\Omega^{-1}} ({}_p\Omega \cdot \Omega_p \exp(\frac{p^2}{2} \frown))$ . It is a diagram  $D$  obtained by gluing wheels coming from  $\Omega^{-1}$ ,  ${}_p\Omega$  and  $\Omega_p$ . Let us denote by  $z, x, y$  these three distinct types of wheels appearing in  $D$ . The diagram  $D$  is multiplied by a factor  $p^{2n_z+n_x+n_y}$  where  $n_z$  is the number of edges which are attached only to wheels of type  $z$ . The number  $n_x$  (resp.  $n_y$ ) denote the number of edges attached to wheels of type  $x$  (resp. of type  $y$ ) which are not attached to wheels of type  $y$  (resp. of type  $x$ ).

We remark that the degree of  $D$  is equal to the total number of edges attached to the wheels. Hence,  $p^{-2 \text{deg}} D$  has a negative power of  $p$  unless there are no wheels of type  $x$  and  $y$ . When  $p$  goes to infinity, only gluings between  $\Omega^{-1}$  and  $\exp(\frac{p^2}{2} \frown)$  remain and one obtains the formula of the proposition.  $\square$

## Appendix A

### The tree expansion of the Kontsevich integral

**A.1. Gluing graphs and their substitutions.** — Let  $X$  be a finite set. We denote by  $S(X)$  the vector space generated by isomorphism classes of finite graphs with vertices labeled by  $X$  and cyclic orientation of the edges around vertices. We complete  $S(X)$  with respect to the degree which counts edges and vertices.

Given  $\Gamma \in S(X)$  and  $f(\cdot, h) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[h]]$ , we define  $\text{Sub}(\Gamma, f)$  in the following way: replace a vertex of  $\Gamma$  labeled with  $x$  by the wheel series  $\text{Wh}(f(x, h))$  (using multilinearity), then sum over all possible gluings (respecting cyclic orientations) of the edges of  $\Gamma$  to univalent vertices of wheels located at the corresponding vertices. As a simple example, one has  $\text{Sub}(\exp(\bullet), F(h)) = \Omega$ .

We can interpret the Formula (5) in terms of substitution of gluing graphs. Set  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  and denote by  $G$  the following element of  $S(X)$ .

$$G = \sum_{[\Gamma]} \frac{(pq)^{-|E(\Gamma)|}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \Gamma.$$

The notation  $[\Gamma]$  means that we sum over isomorphism classes of graphs  $\Gamma$  which do not have edges between two vertices labeled by  $x$  or two vertices labeled by  $y$ .

Set  $f(x, h) = F(ph)$ ,  $f(y, h) = F(qh)$  and  $F(z) = -F(pqh)$ . Then, the definition of  $G$  is designed to verify the following formula, taking care of the factors of  $p$  and  $q$  as in the proof of Proposition 2.

$$(6) \quad \text{Sub}(G, f) = \partial_{\Omega}^{-1} \left( {}_q\Omega \cdot \Omega_p \exp\left(\frac{pq}{2} \frown\right) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{pq}{2} \frown\right).$$

Let us recall the notion of  $\Pi$ -diagram from [6]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category whose objects are free abelian groups of finite rank and morphisms are linear isomorphisms. If  $\Pi$  is a functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  to real vector spaces, we define  $\mathcal{D}(\Pi)$  as a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{[\Gamma]} \Pi(H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}))$  by generalized (AS) and (IHX) relations. In this direct sum,  $\Gamma$  runs over trivalent diagrams.

As an example, if  $\Pi(H) = \prod_n S^n(H \otimes \mathbb{R})$  then there is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\Pi)$ . Through this isomorphism, a leg is replaced by the cohomology class of the edge to which it is attached. Setting  $\Pi_s(H) = \Pi(H)[(H \otimes \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})^{-1}]$  one defines a space of singular diagrams where non-trivial legs become invertible. We denote this space by  $\mathcal{B}_s$ .

The interest of this space of diagrams comes from the Proposition 3.1 of [6] that we recall without proof, though in a more explicit form:

**PROPOSITION 3.** — *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph in  $S(X)$  and  $f(\cdot, h) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[h]]$  a decoration of  $X$  by formal series. Denote by  $\Gamma_{\circ}$  the trivalent diagram obtained from  $\Gamma$  by gluing all edges incoming to a same vertex to a circle in the order given by the cyclic orientation of  $\Gamma$ .*

*For each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $k_v$  the valency of  $v$ . Consider the edges of the circle lying at  $v$  as cohomology classes in  $H^1(\Gamma_{\circ}, \mathbb{Z})$ . We introduce variables  $y_v^1, \dots, y_v^{p_v}$  to parametrize the  $p_v$  distinct classes occurring with multiplicities  $m_v^1, \dots, m_v^{p_v}$ . Then, the following formula holds:*

$$\text{Sub}(\Gamma, f) = \prod_v \sum_{l_v=1}^{p_v} \frac{\partial^{k_v-p_v}}{\partial y_v^{m_v^1-1} \dots \partial y_v^{m_v^{p_v}-1}} \left( \frac{f'(v, y_v^{l_v}) \prod_{j_v=1}^{p_v} \frac{(y_v^{j_v})^{m_v^{j_v}-1}}{(m_v^{j_v}-1)!}}{(y_v^{l_v})^{k_v-p_v} \prod_{j_v \neq l_v} (y_v^{l_v} - y_v^{j_v})} \right)$$

Let us summarize what is important in this formula:

- there is a finite number of terms,
- the formula depends on  $f'$  instead of  $f$ ,
- all terms have non trivial denominator except if  $p_v = 1$  for all  $v$ . This occurs if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a tree.

**A.2. Rationality.** — Let  $\Pi_{\text{rat}}(H) = \mathbb{R}[\exp(H)]_{\text{loc}}$  where we localize expressions of the form  $P_1(e^{h_1}) \cdots P_k(e^{h_k})$  for non-zero polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  and non-zero cohomology classes  $h_1, \dots, h_k$ . Call  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{rat}} = \mathcal{D}(\Pi_{\text{rat}})$ . The Taylor expansion  $\Pi_{\text{rat}}(H) \rightarrow \Pi(H)$  induces a map  $\text{Hair} : \mathcal{B}_{\text{rat}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_s$  and Garoufalidis and Kricker (see [4]) showed that for every knot  $K$ , there exists a series  $Z_{\text{rat}}^{\star\star}(K) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{rat}}$  such that

$$Z^{\star\star}(K) = \frac{1}{\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle} \exp\left(\text{Wh}(F(h) - \frac{1}{2} \log \tilde{\Delta}(h))\right) \text{Hair} Z_{\text{rat}}^{\star\star}(K).$$

Let us ignore from now all wheel diagrams as they are well understood and are not in the image of the Hair map. We will denote this part by  $Z_{>1}^{\star\star}$  as all non-wheel diagram have first Betti number greater than 1.

Recall that one has  $Z^{\star\star}T(p, q) = \frac{\exp(\frac{pq\Theta}{48})}{\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle} \text{Sub}(G, f)$ . The derivative of  $F(h)$  is  $\frac{1}{4} \frac{e^h + 1}{e^h - 1} - \frac{1}{2h}$  which is a mixture of rational function in  $e^h$  and Laurent polynomial in  $h$ . In the substitution of  $G$  with  $f$  will appear a more complicated mixture which at the end, happens to be rational, that is, belongs to the image of the Hair map. The point is that we can identify from the beginning which terms can be rational.

Consider  $\Pi_m(H)$  the subalgebra of  $\Pi_s(H)$  generated by  $\Pi_{\text{rat}}(H)$  and Laurent polynomials in  $H$ . This subalgebra is stable by derivation and Proposition 3 shows that the Kontsevich integral  $Z_{>1}^{\star\star}T(p, q)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_m)$  (except for the wheel part). The degree of a Laurent polynomial in  $H$  extends to a well-defined degree on  $\Pi_m(H)$  and on  $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_m)$  by functoriality. The rationality of the Kontsevich integral of torus knots shows that  $Z_{>1}^{\star\star}T(p, q)$  belongs to the degree 0 part.

Analyzing Proposition 3, one sees that the degree 0 part is obtained as follows. Set  $F^+(h) = \frac{1}{2} \log \sinh(h/2)$ : it is not a Laurent power series but its derivative is. Setting  $f^+(x, h) = F^+(ph)$ ,  $f^+(y, h) = F^+(qh)$ ,  $f^+(z) = -F^+(pqh)$ , the formula of Proposition 3 allows us to make sense of  $\text{Sub}(G, f^+)$ . Then  $\text{Sub}(G^t, f^+)$  is the degree 0 contribution to  $Z^{\star\star}T(p, q)$  where  $G^t$  is the tree part of  $G$ . We deduce the following formula:

PROPOSITION 4 (The rational expression of the Kontsevich integral of torus knots)

$$(7) \quad Z_{>1}^{\star\star}T(p, q) = \frac{\exp(\frac{pq\Theta}{48})}{\langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle} \sum_{[\Gamma, \text{tree}]} \frac{(pq)^{-|E(\Gamma)|}}{|Aut(\Gamma)|} \text{Sub}(\Gamma, f^+).$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a tree in  $S(\{x, y, z\})$  without edges connecting two vertices of type  $x$  or two vertices of type  $y$ .

**A.3. Tree expansion of the asymptotic Kontsevich Integral.** — Let  $\Gamma$  be a tree in  $S(X)$  and for any vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ , denote by  $y_v$  the cohomology class in  $H^1(\Gamma_v, \mathbb{Z})$  of any edge of the circle inserted at  $v$ . Using Proposition 3, one obtains the following formula:  $\text{Sub}(\Gamma, f^+) = \prod_v \frac{1}{(k_v-1)!} \frac{\partial^{k_v} f^+(v, y_v)}{\partial y_v^{k_v}}$

Take formally  $p = q$  in the Formula (7) and apply the operator  $p^{-2 \deg}$ . Denote by  $F^{+(n)}$  the  $n$ -th derivative of  $F^+$ . Then, the following formula holds:

$$p^{-2 \deg} Z_{>1}^{\star\star} T(p, p) = \frac{\exp(\Theta/48)}{p^{-2 \deg} \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle} \sum_{[\Gamma, \text{tree}]} \frac{p^{-4|E(\Gamma)|}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \text{ type } z} \frac{(-p^2)^{k_v} F^{+(k_v)}(y_v)}{(k_v - 1)!} \prod_{v \text{ type } x, y} \frac{p^{k_v} F^{+(k_v)}\left(\frac{y_v}{p}\right)}{(k_v - 1)!}.$$

Using the equation  $\sum_v k_v = 2|E(\Gamma)|$ , the estimate  $F^{+(n)}(h) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!}{2h^n} + O(1)$  and letting  $p$  go to infinity, one obtains the last proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. — *The asymptotic Kontsevich integral may be computed with the following formula:*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-2 \deg} Z_{>1}^{\star\star} T(p, p) = \exp(\Theta/48) \sum_{[\Gamma, \text{tree}]} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{v \text{ type } z} \frac{F^{+(k_v)}(y_v)}{(k_v - 1)!} \prod_{v \text{ type } x, y} \frac{-1}{2y_v^{k_v}}.$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a tree in  $S(\{x, y, z\})$  without edges connecting two vertices of type  $x$  or two vertices of type  $y$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] V. I. ARNOLD & B. A. KHESIN – *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 125, Springer, 1998.
- [2] S. BAADER – “Asymptotic link invariants for ergodic vector fields”, preprint [arXiv:math.GT/0803.0898](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0803.0898).
- [3] J.-M. GAMBAUDO & É. GHYS – “Signature asymptotique d’un champ de vecteurs en dimension 3”, *Duke Math. J.* **106** (2001), p. 41–79.
- [4] S. GAROUFALIDIS & A. KRICKER – “A rational noncommutative invariant of boundary links”, *Geom. Topol.* **8** (2004), p. 115–204.
- [5] M. GOUSSAROV, M. POLYAK & O. VIRO – “Finite-type invariants of classical and virtual knots”, *Topology* **39** (2000), p. 1045–1068.
- [6] J. MARCHÉ – “A computation of the Kontsevich integral of torus knots”, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **4** (2004), p. 1155–1175.

- [7] M. POLYAK & O. VIRO – “Gauss diagram formulas for Vassiliev invariants”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1994** (1994), p. 445ff., approx. 8 pp.
- [8] T. VOGEL – “On the asymptotic linking number”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), p. 2289–2297.